# Stress and musculoskeletal discomfort among hydrocarbon industry workers in Mexico

R. Avila-Chaurand <sup>a,\*</sup>, L.R. Prado-León and E.L. González-Muñoz

<sup>a</sup>Ergonomics Research Center. University of Guadalajara, Calzada Independencia Norte No. 5075, Huentitán El Bajo, C.P. 44250. Guadalajara, Jal., México.

**Abstract.** This study of 114 workers in the hydrocarbon industry was conducted to identify the relationship between stress and musculoskeletal discomfort, and to view the roles played by such factors as age, schooling, obesity, workplace and job seniority. All factors except seniority were found to affect the presence of musculoskeletal discomfort in some area of the body.

Keywords: stress, musculoskeletal discomfort, hydrocarbon industry

## 1. Introduction

The objective of this research was to gauge levels of stress and musculoskeletal discomfort in a group of workers from the hydrocarbon processing industry, and their possible association with certain characteristics of workers' occupational and sociodemographic conditions. The study was carried out at two locations; one offshore platform and one on land.

Kraus [1] showed that in such companies work went on twenty-four hours a day, in 8- or 12-hour shifts, and that workers needed considerable experience, skill and energy in order to face the tough mental and physical job requirements. Because of these and other demands, attention must be paid to workers' moods as well as their health and safety. The main occupational risks related to this activity are illness from exposure to geographic and climatic elements, stress produced by having to travel long distances over water or rough terrain, and personal injuries. The physical isolation of the drilling grounds, their distance from base encampments and the long work days necessary on both offshore drilling platforms and remote onshore installations, may lead to psychological problems [1].

The presence of musculoskeletal injuries is also common among this type of worker. A study by Troconis, et al. [2] concluded that a high risk of mus-

There are various theories to explain the presence of musculoskeletal problems; all highlight the importance of psychosocial factors as they interact with physical exposure to heighten the probability of injury [3].

## 2. Method

A descriptive cross sectional study was done with 114 male workers at offshore and onshore hydrocarbon distribution sites. Average age was  $42 \pm 11$  years, with an average  $5 \pm 4$  years on the job. The sampling is non-random, with subjects chosen according to those who were working at the time and agreed to participate in the study.

Along with other data, anthropometric measurement obtained subjects' weight and height, from which was derived Body Mass index

Two questionnaires were applied, one to detect the presence of musculoskeletal discomfort and the other, the Job Content Questionnaire (JCQ) developed by Karasek [4], to detect levels of worker stress.

culoskeletal injuries exists for the majority of drilling platform workers, and that age and length of time on the job are significantly related to the presence of said risk.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail: rolexrachal@yahoo.com.mx

#### 3. Results

As for stress, it appeared in 15% of workers with no difference between onshore or offshore workers (Table 1).

Although musculoskeletal discomfort exists at both offshore and onshore installations, the variables with which it is associated are very different. On land, discomforts are linked to employment characteristics (seniority and shifts worked) as well as sociodemographic ones (age and schooling). At the maritime platform, on the other hand, there is more relation with sociodemographic features such as schooling and obesity. Obesity is related to musculoskeletal discomfort among workers at both land and sea installations, but it has different effects in each location, with the former manifesting more hip discomfort. For all, obesity is related to knee and ankle discomfort (Table 2 and 3).

Table 1 Subjects' characteristics related to musculoskeletal discomfort.

Stress	All	Onshore	Offshore
No	85.1	84.2	86
Yes	14.9	15.8	14

#### 4. Discussion

Study results confirm the presence of stress and musculoskeletal discomfort among the workers evaluated, and obesity as a possibly significant factor in these conditions, along with job characteristics. Despite social isolation and 12-hour shifts at the maritime platform, stress levels were similar to those at the onshore installation: this aspect will require further research in subsequent studies, since studies in other countries have found higher stress levels among workers at offshore installations (R.S. Kraus, [1]). Given the high level of obesity observed, preventive worker health and safety plans should include programs with rigorous dietary and physical exercise components.

Table 2
Subjects' characteristics related to musculoskeletal discomfort

			Neck				Elbo	ows		Hips			Knees		
			o N	es Y	$X^2$	N o	Y es	$X^2$	N o	Y es	$X^2$	o N	es Y	$X^2$	
All	Dichotomous Age	< 40				45	0	4.13 (0.05)	45	0					
		40 or older				63	6		63	6	4.13 (0.05)				
		Total				108	6		108	6					
	Obesity	No										43	10	0.6 (0.05)	
		Yes										39	21		
		Total										82 31		(****)	
Onshore	Dichotomous Age	< 40	25	7		32	0	8.58 (0.01)	32	0					
		40 or older	11	14	7.02 (0.01)	19	6		21	4	5.51 (0.05)				
	-	Total	36	21		51	6		53	4					
Offshore	High School Diploma	No										33	7		
		Yes										8	9	7.42 (0.01)	
		Total										41	16	( ' )	

Table 3 Description of Subjects

		All							Onshore				Offshore			
		M	easurement Si	Shift			Seniority				Occupation					
		On-	Off-	Total	Regular	Split	Total	<	10	10+	Total	Operator	Middle	Total		
		shore	shore					years		years			manager			
Neck	No											36	9	45		
	Yes											5	7	12		
	$X^2$											6.90 (0.01)				
Dorsal	No											36	10	46		
	Yes											5	6	11		
	$X^2$											4.73 (0.05)				
Lumbar	No				18	58	76									
	Yes				15	18	34									
	$X^2$				6											
Shoulders	No	42	51	93								41	10	51		
	Yes	15	6	21								0	6	6		
	$X^2$		4.73 (0.05)									17.18 (0.001)				
Elbows	No	51	57	108												
	Yes	6	0	6												
	$X^2$		6.33 (0.05)													
Knees	No							27		9	36	33	8	41		
	Yes							15		0	15	8	8	16		
	$X^2$							4.55 (0.05)			5.30 (0.05)					

# References

- R. S. Kraus, Ch. 75. Petróleo: prospección y perforación. In *Enciclopedia de Salud y Seguridad en el Trabajo*, Organización Internacional del Trabajo, España, 2001.
- [2] F. Troconis, A. Lubo M. Montiel, A. L. Quevedo, L. Rojas, B. Chacin, et al. Valoración postural y riesgo de lesión músculo esquelética en trabajadores de una plataforma de perforación petrolera lacustre. (Study of postural and skeletal muscle damage in oil platform workers). Salud de los Trabajadores, 1 (2008), 29-38.
- [3] B.T. Karsh, Theories of work-related musculoskeletal disorders: implications for ergonomics interventions, Theoretical Issues in Ergonomics Science 7 (2006), 71-88.
- [4] R. Karasek, C. Brisson, N. Kawakami, I. Houtman, P. Bongers, & B. Amick, The Job Content Questionnaire (JCQ): An instrument for internationally comparative assessments of psychosocial job characteristics. Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 3, (1998). 322-355.