# Lean production and work-related musculoskeletal disorders: overviews of international and Swedish studies

Mikael Brännmark<sup>a,b,\*</sup> and Malin Håkansson<sup>a</sup>

Abstract. This paper aimed at summarizing the knowledge of the relationship between Lean and work related musculoskeletal disorders (WMSD), and WMSD risk factors, in manufacturing companies. Literature search processes identified 23 publications studying this, in scientific journals. Eight included measurements of WMSD; three were mostly negative, two showed mixed results, one showed no results and two were mostly positive. Eighteen publications included measurements of WMSD risk factors; seven showed mostly negative results, eight snowed mixed results, two showed mostly positive results and one was inconclusive. Three literature reviews were identified, which studied this question; two were mostly negative, while the third was inconclusive. Also, 12 publications of grey literature studying Lean and WMSD risk factors in Swedish organizations were identified; nine showed mixed results, two showed mostly positive results and one showed mostly negative results. Due to the varying quality and study design of the publications, together with the few identified studies, it is difficult to compare them. The context and the implementation also likely affect the results. The general conclusion was that a Lean implementation may increase the risk of WMSD and risk factors for WMSD, if it is not accompanied with an ergonomic intervention.

Keywords: WMSD, working conditions, manufacturing

## 1. Introduction

Lean Production is currently the dominating rationalization concept in the manufacturing industry in Sweden[1-2]. Due to the fast spread of Lean, it is important to study the effects of Lean on work related musculoskeletal disorders (WMSD) and potential risk factors for WMSD, especially since assembly workers in general has a high risk of developing WMSD.

The Swedish context is of special interest for this study, due to the socio-technical tradition that has been influential in the Scandinavian countries, together with a strong union presence and legislation regarding work conditions[2]. These factors likely affect how Swedish companies work with Lean and how the concept affects the employees[3].

Lean Production as a management concept was introduced to a wider public through the book *The Machine that Changed the World*[4]. The concept was not new; earlier publications existed, but through this book, the concept received a large impact[5].

There is no unified definition of Lean[6] and different researchers focus on different aspects of the concept. However, techniques which are often included in Lean's operationalization are small-sized batches (Just in Time-production), 5S/housekeeping, waste reduction (muda), production balancing (jido-ka), kanban, standardization, reduction of set-up times and continuous improvements[6].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Royal Institute of Technology (KTH), Division of Ergonomics, Stockholm, Sweden

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Linköping University, HELIX VINN Excellence Centre, Linköping, Sweden

<sup>1.1.</sup> Lean production

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. E-mail: mikael.brannmark@sth.kth.se

## 1.2. Aim of the study

The aim of the study was to create an overview of the scientific knowledge concerning the relationship between Lean Production (and similar production system designs) and WMSD/risk factors for WMSD. The focus was on manufacturing companies.

A separate search was also made, with the aim of studying how Swedish organizations' work with Lean affects employees.

#### 2. Method

Literature searches were performed in Ergonomics Abstract, Pubmed and Business Source Elite<sup>1</sup>. The identified publications references lists were used to find more publications. The search was limited to English and Swedish publications, published after 1980. Conceptual and theoretical papers have been excluded. Studies from non-manufacturing contexts or studies which only focused on specific Lean tools were excluded from the results. Also, studies of Total Quality Management/TQM were excluded, since TQM and Lean are significantly different[6]. However, studies of the Toyota Production System/TPS, Just in Time-philosophy and JiT-manufacturing were included in the results, due to the similarities between TPS and Lean, and since JiT was determined to be an often reoccurring part of Lean.

No excluding definition of Lean has been used during the search process, due to the lack of a unifying definition of the concept. Neither has any means of measuring level of Lean implementation been used, for the same reasons. In order to determine which risk factors that affect mechanical exposure, and consequently, increases the risk for WMSD, Westgaard and Winkel's definition was used[7].

No quality measurement model has been use to assess and rank the identified publications in the search, depending on such factors as study design, etc.

During the search, three literature reviews[7-9] were also identified, which studied directly or indirectly the relationship between Lean and employee effects. Other researchers have also contributed with publications of significance for this overview, which were not identified by the search engines or included in the reference lists of the identified publications.

Since no publications in scientific journals were detected, which studied the relationship between WMSD and Lean in the Swedish manufacturing in-

dustry, the choice was made to expand the search to grey literature (conference publications, consultant and union reports, student thesis and dissertations, etc.). This decision was based on Eklund et al.'s[10] argumentation to use the best knowledge available.

## 3. Results

The search process resulted in 23 publications in scientific journals (not including the publications describing Swedish organizations work with Lean). These are presented in table 1. The few identified papers, together with the differences between the studies, meant that no meta-analysis was possible. The included studies were of varying quality and the Lean interventions were seldom described in detail, making comparisons difficult.

Of the identified publications, eight included measurements regarding WMSD; three showed mostly negative results, two showed mixed results, one showed positive results and two showed no differences between Lean and non-Lean companies. 18 of the found publications studied relevant risk factors for WMSD. Of these, seven showed mostly negative results, eight showed mixed results, two showed positive results and one showed inconclusive results.

Three literature reviews were identified, which studied the relationship between Lean and employee effects[7-9]. Two of these showed mostly negative views of Lean's effects on employee, while one gave an inconclusive view.

The search for publications describing the relationship between Lean and employee effects in Swedish companies resulted in 12 publications (mostly grey literature). Of these, nine showed mixed results, two showed mostly positive results and one showed mostly negative results. Only one publication contained measurements of WMSD frequencies, comparing Lean to non-Lean companies; it showed an increased risk for WMSD in Lean companies, especially for women. However, one of the found publications contained results from non-manufacturing organizations.

When comparing included positive and negative employee results in the Swedish and non-Swedish publications, the ratio between them in the Swedish studies were more even; in the non-Swedish studies, the negative results were more common.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Used search words are available on request.

#### 4. Discussion

Given the small number of studies, together with their varying quality and study design, and often insufficient descriptions of the Lean interventions, there is not enough basis to make decisive conclusions regarding the connection between Lean and WMSD. However, tentative conclusions were made regarding emerging *tendencies* in the found publications.

The results shows a tendency for increasing the risk of WMSD, when Lean is implemented, especially if the implementation is not accompanied by an ergonomic intervention program, focused on addressing issues such as reducing monotony and repetitiveness of work.

When it comes to risk factors for WMSD, the results shows that Lean appears to have a tendency to lead to increased work pace, workload, work intensification and stress. However, Lean often, though not always, seem to create positive effects for the employees as well. Consequently, this seems to be in line with Berggren's[11] conclusion, that Lean means worker smarter *and* harder, not just smarter.

The results from the publications describing employee effects from Swedish companies also shows mixed results, though with a majority of positive effects, at least concerning risk factors for WMSD. However, the grey nature of the studies, together with the low number of publications, means that conclusions from them should be tentative, at best. There is little direct information concerning WMSD, meaning that no conclusions are merited from the publications.

The tendency towards more positive results from Lean, could possibly be explained by the Swedish socio-technical context[3] and a high level of employee participation in the companies' Lean implementations. Also, Saurin and Ferreira's[12] argumentation, that a Lean system which is not fully in place allows for more worker autonomy, which could reduce some of the negative aspects of the Lean, could apply here. The reason is because many of the studied Swedish companies have only implemented some aspects of Lean.

# References

 Börnfelt, P.-O., Förändringskompetens på industrigolvet: kontinuerligt förändringsarbete i gränslandet mellan lean production och socioteknisk arbetsorganisation, in Lastly, we need to keep in mind, as Hasle et al.[8] and Landsbergis et al.'s[9] argue, the importance of how the implementation and context affects the employee results from Lean. Consequently, it is difficult to assess how much of the results that are caused by these factors. Based on this, we argue that it is important to monitor the Lean implementation effects on the employees, in order to reduce problems and capture positive effects.

#### 5. Conclusions

While Lean can lead to both positive and negative effects for employees, there is insufficient amount of studies, and they are of varying quality and study design, to make decisive conclusions. Nevertheless, negative results are more frequently reported in the non-Swedish studies, when compared to the grey literature describing studies in Swedish organizations. Thus, it is merited to tentatively conclude that without active ergonomic interventions, the introduction of Lean in a manufacturing setting can create an increased risk for work-related musculoskeletal disorders, though the risk of this in Swedish companies might be lower. However, future research is needed to better understand the relationship between Lean Production and WMSD, both in Swedish and non-Swedish organizations.

# 6. Limitations

The result of the literature searches does not pretense to be covering all existing publications of Lean and WMSD, especially not psychological risk factors.

# Acknowledgments

This study was done as part of a literature overview project, studying the effects from musculoskeletal disorders interventions, financed by the *Swedish Work Environment Authority*, during the autumn of 2010 and spring of 2011.

Institutionen för arbetsvetenskap. 2006, University of Gothenburg: Gothenburg. p. 221.

- [2] Johansson, J. and L. Abrahamsson, The good work A Swedish trade union vision in the shadow of lean production. Applied Ergonomics, 2009. 40(4): p. 775-780.
- [3] Hampson, I., Lean Production and the Toyota Production System Or, the Case of the Forgoften Production Concepts. Economic and Industrial Democracy, 1999. 20(3): p. 369-391.
- [4] Womack, J., D. Jones, and D. Roos, The machine that changed the world: the story of lean production. 1991, New York: HarperPerennial. 323.
- [5] Holweg, M., The genealogy of lean production. Journal of Operations Management, 2007. 25(2): p. 420-437.
- [6] Pettersen, J., Defining Lean Production some conceptual and practical issues. The TQM Journal, 2009. 21(2): p. 127-142
- [7] Westgaard, R.H. and J. Winkel, Occupational musculoskeletal and mental health: Significance of rationalization and opportunities to create sustainable production systems - A systematic review. Applied Ergonomics, 2011. 42(2): p. 261-296.
- [8] Hasle, P., et al., Lean and the working environment a review of the literature. International Journal of Operations and Production Management, unpublished(accepted, but not published).
- [9] Landsbergis, P.A., J. Cahill, and P. Schnall, The impact of lean production and related new systems of work organization on worker health. Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 1999. 4(2): p. 108-130.
- [10] Eklund, J., et al., Arbetsmiljöarbete och effekter en kunskapsöversikt. 2006, Arbetslivsinstitutet.
- [11] Berggren, C., Lean Production—The End of History? Work, Employment & Society, 1993. 7(2): p. 163-188.
- [12] Saurin, T.A. and C.F. Ferreira, The impacts of lean production on working conditions: A case study of a harvester assembly line in Brazil. International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics, 2009. 39(2): p. 403-412.
- [13] Womack, S.K., T.J. Armstrong, and J.K. Liker, Lean job design and musculoskeletal disorder risk: A two plant comparison. Human Factors and Ergonomics in Manufacturing & Service Industries, 2009. 19(4): p. 279-293
- [14] Brown, G.D. and D. O'Rourke, Lean manufacturing comes to China: a case study of its impact on workplace health and safety. Int J Occup Environ Health, 2007. 13: p. 249-57.
- [15] Conti, R., et al., The effects of lean production on worker job stress. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 2006. 26(9): p. 1013-1038.
- [16] Mehri, D., The Darker Side of Lean: An Insider's Perspective on the Realities of the Toyota Production System. Academy of Management Perspectives, 2006(May): p. 21-42.
- [17] Brenner, M.D., D. Fairris, and J. Ruser, "Flexible" Work Practices and Occupational Safety and Health: Exploring the Relationship Between Cumulative Trauma Disorders and Workplace Transformation. Industrial Relations: A Journal of Economy and Society, 2004. 43(1): p. 242-266.
- [18] Fairris, D. and M. Brenner, Workplace Transformation and the Rise in Cumulative Trauma Disorders: Is There a Connection? Journal of Labor Research, 2001. XXII(1): p. 15-28.
- [19] Schouteten, R. and J. Benders, Lean Production Assessed by Karasek's Job Demand–Job Control Model. Economic and Industrial Democracy, 2004. 25(3): p. 347-373.
- [20] Seppälä, P. and S. Klemola, How do employees perceive their organization and job when companies

- adopt principles of lean production? Human Factors and Ergonomics in Manufacturing & Service Industries, 2004. 14(2): p. 157-180.
- [21] Parker, S., K. Longitudinal effects of lean production on employee outcomes and the mediating role of work characteristics. Journal of Applied Psychology, 2003. 88(4): p. 620-634.
- [22] Anderson-Connolly, R., et al., Is Lean Mean? Work, Employment & Society, 2002. 16(3): p. 389-413.
- [23] Bruno, R. and L. Jordan, Lean Production and the Discourse of Dissent. WorkingUSA, 2002. 6(1): p. 108-134.
- [24] Lewchuk, W., P. Stewart, and C. Yates, Quality of working life in the automobile industry: A Canada-UK comparative study. New Technology, Work and Employment, 2001. 16(2): p. 72-87.
- [25] Lewchuk, W. and D. Robertson, Production without Empowerment: Work reorganization from the perspective of motor vehicle workers. Capital & Class, 1997. 21(3): p. 37-64.
- [26] Jackson, P.R. and S. Mullarkey, Lean production teams and health in garment manufacture. Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 2000. 5(2): p. 231-245.
- [27] Leclerc, A., et al., Carpal tunnel syndrome and work organisation in repetitive work: a cross sectional study in France. Study Group on Repetitive Work. Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 1998. 55(3): p. 180-187.
- [28] Adler, P.S., B. Goldoftas, and D.I. Levine, Ergonomics, Employee Involvement, and the Toyota Production System: A Case Study of NUMMI's 1993 Model Introduction. Industrial and Labor Relations Review, 1997. 50(3): p. 416-437.
- [29] Lewchuk, W. and D. Robertson, Working Conditions under Lean Production: A Worker-based Benchmarking Study. Asia Pacific Business Review, 1996. 2(4): p. 60 81.
- [30] Jackson, P.R. and R. Martin, Impact of just-in-time on job content, employee attitudes and well-being: a longitudinal study. Ergonomics, 1996, 39(1): p. 1-16.
- [31] Nielsen, K.T., How strong are the links between new market conditions, new production principles, and better working conditions? International Journal of Human Factors in Manufacturing, 1996. 6(1): p. 21-28.
- [32] Stewart, P. and P. Garrahan, Employee Responses to New Management Techniques in the Auto Industry. Work, Employment & Society, 1995. 9(3): p. 517-536.
- [33] Mullarkey, S., P.R. Jackson, and S.K. Parker, Employee reactions to JIT manufacturing practices: a two-phase investigation. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 1995. 15(11): p. 62-79.
- [34] Babson, S., Lean or mean: The MIT model and lean production at Mazda. Labor Studies Journal, 1993. 18: p. 3-24.
- [35] Berglund, R., Engagemang efterfrågas: Hur tre tillverkande företag söker medverkan från sina medarbetare när de inför Lean, in Institutionen för arbetsvetenskap. 2010, University of Gothenburg: Göteborg.
- [36] Brännmark, M., Is Lean No Longer Mean? A Study of the Consequences for Working Conditions in Companies Implementing Lean, in Forum för arbetslivsforsknings konferens (FALF2010): Arbetsliv i förändring. 2010, FALF: Forum för arbetslivsforskning: Malmö, Sweden.

- [37] Oudhuis, M. and A. Olsson, Clashes between Japanese and Swedish cultures implementing Lean Production in a Japanese owned Swedish company, in Arbetsliv i förändring FALF2010. 2010, Forum för arbetslivsforskning: Malmö, Sweden.
- [38] Andersson, C. and J. Liljenvald, Lean-tänkandet i Sverige - en kvantitativ undersökning av medelstora tillverkningsföretag. 2009, Göteborgs universitet, Industriell och finansiell ekonomi: Gothenburg.
- [39] Pettersen, J., The implementation of lean: Lost in translation?, in The Nordic Ergonomics Society conference (NES2008): Ergonomics is a lifestyle. 2008, Nordic Ergonomics Society: Reykjavik, Iceland.
- [40] Eklund, J. and P. Berglund, Reactions from employees on the implementation of lean production, in Presented at the Nordic Ergonomics Society conference (NES2007): Ergonomics for a future. 2007, Nordic Ergonomics Society: Lysekil, Sweden.
- [41] Berglund, P., Turning Japanese?: Kulturella aspekter av införandet av ett nytt produktionssystem. 2007, Linköpings universitet, Institutionen för ekonomisk och industriell utveckling, Industriell arbetsvetenskap: Linköping.
- [42] Berglund, R., Smart lean: möjligheter att utnyttja Leankonceptet för att skapa en god arbetssituation. 2006, Mölndal: IVF Industriforskning och utveckling.
- [43] IFMetall, Industriarbetarna och lean production. 2003, IF Metall, Arbetsutvecklingsenheten.
- [44] Härenstam, A., et al., "Mager och god" eller "Lean and Mean"? Samband mellan organisationsförändringar och arbetsförhållanden, in Smärtgränsen? : en antologi om hälsokonsekvenser i magra organisationer, K. Barklöf, Editor. 2000, Rådet för arbetslivsforskning: Stockholm.
- [45] Nilsson, T., Lean Production and White-Collar Work: The Case of Sweden. Economic and Industrial Democracy, 1996. 17(3): p. 447-472.

#### Table 1

Identified studies describing found relationship between Lean and WMSD, and between Lean and risk factors for WMSD.

(+) = high, increasing; (+?) = weak positive connection; (-) = decreasing, low; (-?) = weak negative connection; (+/-) = both increasing and decreasing; (0) = no effect/no connection. The studies are presented in chronological order, starting with the most recent ones.

Author, year, industry, study	Effects on	Effects on risk factors for WMSD
design, studied change	WMSD & health	
Saurin & Ferreira 2009[12]	Pain/discomfort at	Increased work pace/load (+)
Manufacturing of harvesters,	a moderate level;	Decreased time for brakes (-).
Brazil, interviews, question-	unchanged by	Increased pressure from superiors to achieve goals; still, the employees were
naires, feedback meeting, no	Lean (0).	fairly satisfied with the relationship with the managers (+)
control group, several Lean tools		General working conditions had improved (+)
implemented since 2001.		Moderately stressed, which had increased from Lean (+)
		Improved autonomy (+)
Womack et al. 2009[13]	The Lean factory	Repetitive work increased (+)
Car manufacturing, USA. Com-	had reduced the	Lower use of force (-)
parison between 56 work stations	number of injuries	No difference in work postures (0)
in a Lean & traditional factory.	by 19% between	In both factories, 60% of the work was classified as having risk for hand related
	2000-2006 (-).	problems; no significant differences between the two factories.
Brown & O'Rourke 2007[14]		Increased pressure on the production (+)
Shoe manufacturing, China. Case		Increased individual stress (+)
study: 27 questionnaires & focus		Almost all of the respondents reported that the work conditions had improved
groups (20 persons), no control		during the recent year. (+)
group. Lean was introduced		Stress was related to worry of not reaching set goals, unrealistic goal setting, too
approximately 1 year ago; used		high work pace, too much work, unfair supervisor
tools was JiT, reduced invento-		
ries, etc.		
Conti et al. 2006[15]		Reversed u-shaped connection between stress and level of Lean implementation:
Manufacturing, UK, 1391 ques-		low level of Lean, increased stress, while reduced stress at high level of imple-
tionnaires, assessed level of Lean		mentation of Lean(+/-)
(1-5) for 10 Lean tools & tested		The stress is increased by work intensification, overtime, doing the work for
21 hypothesis related to stress.		personnel missing for sick leave, lack of tools, ergonomic difficulties and shame
		for defect products; stress was reduced through participation, teamwork and
		support in the work situation.
Mehri 2005[16]		Perceived work load was high (+)
Car manufacturing, Japan, for-		
mer employee of studied compa-		
ny. 75 interviews.	~	
Brenner et al. 2004[17]	Correlation be-	
Fairis & Brenner 2001 [18]	tween cumulative	
Industrial setting, statistics from	trauma disord-	
BLS, combined with investiga-	ers/CTD and	
tion of the occurrence of TQM,	Quality Cir-	
JiT, teams and Quality Circles.	cles/QC, and	
	between CTDS &	
	JiT (+); worse	

_	1	
	when JiT & QC	
	was combined (+).	
	No connection	
	between CTD &	
	TQM, & between	
	CTD & team. (0)	
Schouten & Benders 2004[19]	Workers:	Workers:
Bike assembly, Holland	Few problems	Autonomy limited (-)
Observations and 63 employee	with health/ phys-	Support from supervisors or other departments had decreased (-)
questionnaires, used tools were	ical reactions (-)	High dissatisfaction with work content (-)
JiT, quality control, TPM, stan-	icui reactions ( )	Short work cycles (-)
dardization, 5S, andon, kaizen		
		Overview of work limited (-)
and reduced inventories.		Need for resting high (+)
		Satisfactory means to solve problems in work, due to support from workers (+)
		Work satisfaction limited (-)
		Supervisors:
		Better working conditions, when compared to workers (+)
6 "1" 6 1/1 1 2004[20]		Better working conditions, when compared to workers (+)
Seppälä & Klemola 2004[20]		Increased perceived work pace (+)
Manufacturing companies, Fin-		Increased perceived work control (+)
land. Cross-sectional study, 525		Increased stress for the white-collar workers (+)
questionnaires,4 companies,		
introduced Lean tools were JiT,		
"pull" production, etc.		
		World related downwards in our and midth and the transfer of t
Parker 2003[21]		Work related depression increased with assembly lines and standardization (+)
Automotive manufacturer, UK.		Reduced confidence to own ability to perform a broader role, such as making
368 questionnaires, 3 years after		suggestions for improvements (-)
Lean was implemented. Control		Worker involvement in the workplace was reduced (-)
group used. Introduced Lean		Participation was unchanged for those participating in Lean-teams (0), while it
tools: assembly lines, Lean-		increased for the employees in the technical support group (+)
teams, process development		No changes in workload or work related worry for lean team (0); increased for
teams, standardization, reduced		assembly lines (+).
inventories, "pull-production",		Reduced participation in the work process and usage of skills, and reduced
manufacturability. The assem-		autonomy, were contributing factors for negative effects from Lean.
blers in the assembly line were		
not included in the Lean teams.		
		Employees:
Andersen-Connolly et al.		
<b>2002</b> [22]		Reduced health related to work intensity, competence and teamwork (-).
Manufacturing, USA. Longitu-		Improved health related to autonomy (+)
dinal questionnaire study (1997		Management:
& 1999), 1244 questionnaires.		Reduced health related to work intensity and autonomy (-).
Studying effects from restructur-		Improved health related to teamwork and work skill (+).
ing, outsourcing, reduced inven-		improved health related to team work and work skin (*).
tories, simplified processes &		
cross-functional teams.		
Bruno & Jordan 2002[23]		The perceived work environment and job satisfaction was reduced (-)
Car manufacturing (Chrysler),		Most of the employees felt that quality circles & teamwork gave very little (0).
USA. Longitudinal study (1989		However, difficult to assess if the changes are caused by Lean or other factors,
& 1997). Lean tools imple-		such as distrust of the management or failed expectations on the work.
mented: quality circles, team-		sand as district of the management of faired expectations on the work.
work, kaizen and andon.		
Lewchuk & Robertson	Working with	Perceived work pace higher at GM (+)
<b>2001</b> [24]	pain, exposure to	Highest perceived work load at GM (+)
Lewchuk & Robertson	muscular fatigue	Possibility of varying work rhythm and talking during the work was lowest at
1997[25]	& WMSD (+?)	GM, highest at CAMI (+/-)
Car manufacturing companies,	33325 (*.)	Difficulty of finding replacement for bathroom brakes were approximately the
		1
Canada. Comparing 4 companies		same (0)
of differing level of Lean imple-		
mentation. Highest level had GM		
& CAMI; lowest had Ford &		
Chrysler. 2424 questionnaire.		
Jackson & Mullarkey 2000[26]		Job satisfaction unchanged (0)
Garment manufacture. Compar-		Work related effort unchanged (0)
ing normal production line & cell		
manufacturing.		
Leclerc et al. 1998[27]	Odds ratio 2,24	

A . 1 C	C 1 1 :	
Assorted manufacturing compa-	for developing	
nies, France. Cross-sectional	CTD in compa-	
study of JiT-production, with	nies working with	
reference group	JiT (+).	
Adler et al. 1997[28]	High frequency of	
Car manufacturing (NUMMI),	reported injuries	
USA. Longitudinal case study,	during launch of	
investigating the ergonomic	car model (+).	
situation during launches of new	Injuries reduced	
car models in 1993 and 1995.	after ergonomic	
	intervention pro-	
	gram (-).	
Lewchuk & Robertson	Working with	Perceived work load higher, and the perceived increase was higher, when com-
1996[29]	pain similar in	paring the Lean companies to the traditional companies (+)
16 suppliers to car manufacturing	Lean & traditional	Perceived work load and uncomfortable working postures was lower in compa-
companies, Canada. 2 Lean	companies (0).	nies initiating Lean compared to traditional companies; the perceived increase in
companies, 4 companies who had	Working with	work load was also lower (-)
initiated Lean, 8 traditional com-	pain lower at	Uncomfortable work postures, fatigue after work and tension during work was
panies & 2 explorative compa-	companies initiat-	similar in Lean & traditional companies (0); however, the perceived increase of
nies. 1670 questionnaires	ing Lean (-)	fatigue after work and tension during work was higher in the Lean company (+)
<b>Jackson &amp; Martin 1996</b> [30]		Work load higher with JiT (+)
Electronics manufacturing, UK		Work satisfaction decreased with JiT (-)
Comparison between JiT assem-		Psychological stress unchanged (0)
bly line (small batches, job rota-		Chance of influencing work pace and which order in which job task are done in
tion, simplified processes, pull		the work process decreased with JiT (-)
production, inspection of own		
work) & traditional non-JiT line.		
44 questionnaires before & after.		
Nielsen 1996[31]		Few or no changes in competence requirements (0)
6 manufacturing companies, 1 in		Integration of quality control in the normal job design was perceived as creating
process industry, Denmark		better job satisfaction (+)
Studied production systems		No changes in worker autonomy, though in one company, the autonomy in-
inspired by Japanese manage-		creased since workers could see the production planning one month ahead,
ment (sporadic implementation		instead of only 1-2 weeks (0)
of JiT, other Lean tools in parts		Physical work load unchanged (0)
of the factories). Interviews with		The general conclusion was that those jobs who had the best working conditions
persons from all levels of com-		from the start perceived some improvements (+), while it was unchanged in the
panies, observations from 3		jobs with repetitive and unqualified jobs (0).
companies, 150 questionnaires		The results and basis for the conclusions were not clearly presented in the paper.
from 4 companies		
Stewart & Garrahan 1995[32]		Physical fatigue from work increased (+)
Car manufacturers, USA & UK		Mental fatigue from work increased (+)
4 factories; 140 questionnaires.		Job satisfaction unchanged, or slight decrease (0/-)
Used tools: teamwork, conti-		
nuous improvements, etc.		
Mullarkey et al. 1995[33]		Stress showed no connection to JiT (0)
Electronics manufacturing, UK		Work satisfaction increased with JiT (+)
Prospective study of JiT & TQM.		Work pace/demands had a weak reversed connection with JiT (-?)
Babson 1993[34]		Perceived work demands increased (+)
Car manufacturing (Mazda &		Perceived work control decreased (-)
Ford), USA. Case study, 2380		A high proportion of the respondents believed that they would be injured/worn
questionnaires 3 years after Lean		out before pension, with the current work intensity (+)
implemented. No control group.		* '
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	I.	

## Table 2

Identified studies describing the relationship between Lean and risk factors for WMSD in Swedish companies. (+) = high, increasing; (+?) = weak positive connection; (-) = decreasing, low; (-?) = weak negative connection; (+/-) = both increasing and decreasing; (0) = no effect/no connection. The studies are presented in chronological order, starting with the most recent ones.

Author, year, type of publi- cation, study design, studied change	Effects on WMSD & health	Effects on risk factors for WMSD
Berglund 2010[35]		Increased job satisfaction, through employee involvement in work with conti-
Dissertation. Qualitative study,		nuous improvements (CI) and problem solving (PS) (+)
3 companies working with		Reduced job satisfaction, due to increased control and steering of the work (-)
Lean.		Reduced cycle times ()

D :: 1 2010[27]	ī	T 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
<b>Brännmark 2010</b> [36]		Improved working environment (+)
Conference paper. Qualitative		Increased stress (+)
& quantitative study (41 inter-		
views, 82 questionnaires), 8		
companies. Lean tools: value		
stream mapping, standardiza-		
tion, 5S, improvement groups		
& set-up time reduction.		
<b>Oudhuis &amp; Olsson 2010</b> [37]		Improved work environment (+)
Conference paper. Qualitative		Increased job satisfaction, through employee involvement in CI & PS (+)
case study of large company		Increased stress due to more monotonous and stressful work (+)
implementing Lean.		Reduced cycle times (-)
		Reduced job satisfaction, due to standardization making work more boring (-)
		Increased workload, due to increased demands for working overtime (+)
		The positive effects of Lean only affect a portion of the employees.
Andersson & Liljenvald		Increased job satisfaction, through increased group autonomy and employee
2009[38]		empowerment, is common aspects in Swedish medium sized manufacturing
Student thesis. Questionnaire		companies work with Lean (+?)
study of common elements in		
manufacturing companies'		
Lean work.		
Pettersen 2008[39]		Improved work environment (+)
Conference paper. Qualitative		Improved job satisfaction, due to improved communication between depart-
study, 2 companies implement-		ments (+)
ing Lean. Lean-tools: daily		
steering, visualization & 5S.		
		Immercial visibility any incomment (1)
Eklund & Berglund 2007[40]		Improved working environment (+)
Conference paper. Qualitative		Lowered workload, due to slower and more even work pace (+)
study, 2 companies implement-		Increased job satisfaction, through employee involvement in CI & PS (+)
ing Lean (one turbine manu-		Increased stress, caused by more monotonous work, assembly lines and in-
facturer & one lift truck		creased work pace (+)
manufacturer).		Reduced job satisfaction, due reduced contacts with other employees (-)
·		Reduced cycle times (-)
		Increased workload, due to demands to work more overtime and to work in
		shift, not just day time (+)
Berglund 2007[41]		Improved working environment (+)
Student thesis. Qualitative		Reduced workload, due to slower and more even work pace (-)
study of company implement-		Increased job satisfaction, through employee involvement in CI & PS (+)
ing Lean.		Reduced job satisfaction from increased control, steering and standardization (-)
Börnfelt 2006[1]		Increased job satisfaction, through employee involvement in CI & PS (+)
Dissertation. Qualitative study		Increased job demands, due to competition between work groups and "shame"
of 3 companies' Lean work.		techniques, and the employees are expected to monitor each other (+)
Berglund 2006[42]		Reduced heavy lifting and improved work postures (-)
Consultant report. Interviews		Employees can take shorter brakes when needed (+)
with managers from 22 com-		Work pace is slow and leveled (-)
panies working with Lean, plus		Increased job satisfaction, through employee involvement in CI & PS (+)
work place studies in 5 com-		Two of the five studied work places were assess as needing improvements in
panies.		work postures, increased work variation and reductions of heavy lifting.
	WMCD framer	Increased workload, due to insufficient staffing (-)
IF Metall 2003[43]	WMSD frequency	
Union report. Questionnaire	higher for em-	Reduced cycle times (-)
study (17 000 respondents) of	ployees in Lean	Increased perceived stress by over 70% of respondents (+)
Lean's impact on working	companies; highest	The positive effects of Lean only affect a portion of the employees.
conditions.	risk for women(+)	
Härenstam et al. 2000[44]		Work postures increasing the risk of WSMD was higher (+)
Book chapter. Mixed qualita-		Increased workload (+)
tive & quantitative study, 72		Increased stress, due to increased number of indirect obstacles in the work (+)
organizations & 210 respon-		Less negative effects, compared to non-Lean organizations, on influence and
dents. Comparing the effects		stimulation in the work situation (-)
on work conditions in Lean &		The negative effects are especially negative for women.
non-Lean organizations.		The hegative effects are especially negative for wollien.
		White-collar workers:
Nilsson 1996[45]		
	i .	Broader work duties (+)
Peer review article. Discusses		
Peer review article. Discusses Lean & how it affects white- collar workers in Sweden		Increased workload, due to understaffing (+)