An automatic glioma grading method based on multi-feature extraction and fusion
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Abstract.
BACKGROUND: An accurate assessment of tumor malignancy grade in the preoperative situation is important for clinical management. However, the manual grading of gliomas from MRIs is both a tiresome and time consuming task for radiologists. Thus, it is a priority to design an automatic and effective computer-aided diagnosis (CAD) tool to assist radiologists in grading gliomas.

OBJECTIVE: To design an automatic computer-aided diagnosis for grading gliomas using multi-sequence magnetic resonance imaging.

METHODS: The proposed method consists of two steps: (1) the features of high and low grade gliomas are extracted from multi-sequence magnetic resonance images, and (2) then, a KNN classifier is trained to grade the gliomas. In the feature extraction step, the intensity, volume, and local binary patterns (LBP) of the gliomas are extracted, and PCA is used to reduce the data dimension.

RESULTS: The proposed "Intensity-Volume-LBP-PCA-KNN" method is validated on the MICCAI 2015 BraTS challenge dataset, and an average grade accuracy of 87.59% is obtained.

CONCLUSIONS: The proposed method is an effective method for automatically grading gliomas and can be applied to real situations.
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1. Introduction

Every year, primary malignant brain tumor cases are widely diagnosed in the world [1]. A common type of brain tumor is glioma, which accounts for up to 30% of all brain and central nervous system
(CNS) tumors and 80% of all malignant brain tumors [2]. Glioma severity is further distinguished by grades ranging from least malignant (I) to most malignant (IV), according to such features as atypical cellular characteristics, cell proliferation, angiogenesis, and necrosis [3]. Grades I and II gliomas are considered low grade tumors, whereas Grades III and IV gliomas are considered high grade tumors [4]. For low grade tumors, the prognosis is somewhat more optimistic; the median survival of patients diagnosed with a low grade glioma is 11.6 years [5]. In contrast, the median overall survival of patients with high grade glioma is approximately 3 years. More seriously, glioblastoma multiform is a type of high grade glioma that has a poor median overall survival of 15 months [6].

Clinical management is dependent upon an accurate assessment of tumor malignancy grade in the pre-operative situation [4]. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the method of choice for the radiological evaluation of brain tumors. As a rapid and non-invasive imaging technique, MRIs allow for an investigation of the anatomic structure of both healthy and diseased brains as well as provide considerable information for glioma diagnosis. However, the process of manually grading gliomas from numerous MRIs it a very tiresome and time-consuming task for radiologists. Thus, the development of an automatic and effective computer-aided diagnosis (CAD) tool would be a significant asset to radiologists for the process of grading gliomas.

At present, numerous methods have been proposed for automatic diagnosis. The majority of these methods consist of two steps: feature extraction and classification. Feature extraction utilizes an initial set of measured data and builds derived features that are intended to be informative and non-redundant; this facilitates the subsequent learning and generalization steps and, in some cases, leads to better human interpretations [7]. For MR image classification, the intensity features, shape features, and texture features are always extracted to describe the differences between normal regions and abnormal regions in the brain. In previous works, features such as histogram, mean value, variance, area, perimeter, circularity, irregularity, contrast, entropy, SIFT [8], HOG [9], WLD [10], DWT [11], and D-HLDO [12,13] were usually extracted for biometric image classification. After the feature extraction, the classification model is used. Frequently used classification patterns include fuzzy C-means (FCM) [14], Gaussian mixture model (GMM) [15], K-nearest neighbors (KNN) [16], support vector machine (SVM) [17–19], random forest (RF) [20], sparse representation-based classifier [21], and so on.

In this paper, the aim is to develop an accurate gliomas grade system. Therefore, we propose an automatic computer-aided diagnosis to grade the gliomas obtained by multi-sequence magnetic resonance imaging. The proposed method consists of two steps: (1) the intensity, volume, and LBP features of high grade and low grade gliomas are extracted from multi-sequence magnetic resonance images. Then, principle component analysis (PCA) is used to reduce the dimension and combine the features. (2) Using the fusion feature, a KNN classifier is trained to grade the testing gliomas. The proposed “Intensity-Volume-LBP-PCA-KNN” method is validated on the MICCAI 2015 BraTS challenge dataset (http://braintumorsegmentation.org/), and an average grade accuracy of 87.59% is obtained. The proposed method is effective and can be applied for practical use.

2. Data description

For glioma grading, multi-sequence MRIs are frequently used for tumor assessment. The following sequences are commonly applied: T1-w, T2-w, FLAIR, and T1-w with contrast enhancement (T1-wc). Figure 1 shows the multi-sequence MRIs of low grade and high grade gliomas, respectively. In the T1-w MRI, healthy tissues are easily distinguishable. Moreover, the T1-wc MRI provides a clear difference between the necrotic core and the active cell region. In the T2-w MRI, the intensities of the edema and
tumor regions are higher than those of normal tissues. The differences between CSF and lesions are highly obvious [22] in the FLAIR MRI. Therefore, a fusion of the information from the multi-sequence MRIs is required to accurately grade gliomas.

3. Feature extraction and fusion

Before feature extraction, the MRIs were pre-processed in three steps: nonparametric intensity non-uniformity normalization (N3-correction), tumor segmentation, and image re-slicing. N3-correction cor-
rects the inhomogeneity in each set of MRI scans [23]. Tumor segmentation was conducted in order to classify the MRIs into enhancing tumor, non-enhancing tumor, necrosis, edema, and normal tissues. The MICCAI 2015 BraTS challenge dataset provides the segmentation ground truth of each case. The image slice with the maximum tumor area was extracted from each MRI sequence for 2D texture features.

4. Intensity feature

The intensity feature is one of the most widely used features. In the proposed method, we extracted seven intensity features of the 3D tumor region in each MRI sequence: the greatest intensity value, smallest intensity value, mean intensity value, median intensity value, the intensity at the histogram peak, the intensity at the histogram valley, and the variance of the tumor region. Therefore, the intensity feature of one case is a vector with 28 elements.

4.1. Volume feature

From Fig. 1, it can be seen that the volumes of the low grade glioma and high grade glioma are quite different. We extracted the volumes of the enhancing tumor, non-enhancing tumor, necrosis, edema, complete tumor, and the whole outlier region (including the tumor region and edema region) as the volume feature. According to this extraction, the volume feature of each case is a vector with 6 elements.

4.2. Texture feature

Texture is an image characteristic that provides a higher order description of an image and includes information about the spatial distribution of intensity [24]. The texture extraction defines the homogeneity or similarity between regions of an image. From Fig. 1, the homogeneity of the tumor region in the T1-wc sequence is very different for the low grade glioma and compared to the high grade glioma. In the proposed method, the LBP method was applied to extract the texture feature. The LBP operator assigned a label to every pixel of an image by thresholding the $3 \times 3$-neighborhood of each pixel with the center pixel value and considering the result as a binary number. Then, the histogram of the labels can be used as a texture descriptor [25]. In our method, the histogram of the labels was obtained from the image slice with the maximum area in the T1-wc sequence. Then, the lowest and highest intensity values were deleted from the histogram in order to eliminate interruptions from the background. The texture feature used in the proposed method is a vector containing 254 elements.

4.3. Feature fusion and dimension reduction

The intensity feature, volume feature, and texture feature were combined to form a complete glioma feature, which is a vector containing 289 elements. Then, PCA was used to approximate the complete feature set with lower dimensional feature vectors. The principal components are the projections of the original features onto the eigenvectors, which correspond to the largest eigenvalues of the covariance matrix of the original feature set. The first L principal components were used for classifier training and testing.

5. Classification using KNN

After dimension reduction, the features were submitted to the classification procedure. A KNN classifier was used to determine the glioma grade. The input of the KNN classifier consists of the k closest
training samples in the feature space, and the output of the KNN classifier is a class membership. A testing sample was classified by a majority vote of its neighbors, with the testing sample being assigned to the class most common among its k nearest neighbors. In our application, the class number k is 2, as we only need to estimate whether a glioma is low grade or high grade.

6. Experimental results

The MICCAI 2015 BraTS challenge data set was used for our method validation and experimental comparison. All of the data from the challenge database was provided by ETH Zurich, University of Bern, University of Debrecen, and University of Utah [26]. It contains 220 HGG cases and 54 LGG cases. All brains in the datasets have the same orientation. For each brain, all available sequences, i.e., T1-w, T1-wc, T2-w, and FLAIR sequences, were already co-registered and provided with ground truth. The ground truth of the data was subdivided into enhancing tumor, non-enhancing tumor, necrosis, and edema. Before classification was performed, all features were normalized into [0, 1].

6.1. Intensity feature extraction result

First, intensity feature extraction was carried out on both the LGG and HGG datasets, respectively. Figure 2 demonstrates the mean value of the intensity feature extracted from LGG and HGG, respectively. From the figure, it is clear that some of the intensities of HGG and LGG are quite different.
6.2. Volume feature extraction result

Next, we calculated the volume feature of LGG and HGG, respectively. The mean values of the corresponding regions of LGG and HGG are shown in Fig. 3. From the figure, it can be seen that the enhancing tumor volume of HGG is greater than that of LGG, whereas the necrosis volume of LGG is greater than that of HGG, and the volumes of the other parts are very close.

6.3. Texture feature extraction result

Figure 4 demonstrates the texture feature of LGG and HGG. Although the overall shapes of the texture feature extracted from LGG and HGG are similar, there is a large gap between some of the LGG texture feature values and the HGG texture feature values. This result indicates that the texture feature can be used for glioma grade.

6.4. Classification results comparison

We compared the proposed method with the intensity-based method, volume-based method, texture-based method, and intensity-volume-based method. In our experiment, the training data of LGG and HGG were randomly selected from the whole dataset. The training data numbers of LGG and HGG are 10 and 40, respectively, because there are four times more HGG cases than LGG cases in the MICCAI 2015 BraTS challenge dataset. After dimension reduction, the first 120 principle components were used as the input data because the contribution rate of the first 120 principle components was more than 99%. We performed our method 10 times and calculated the mean value of the classification results. The overall accuracy was used for validation. The corresponding results are shown in Table 1. From the table, it can be seen that the accuracies of the Intensity-KNN method, Volume-KNN method, and Intensity-Volume-KNN method are very close and are a little higher than that of the Texture-KNN method. The
accuracy of the grade results obtained by the proposed method is 2.3% higher than that of the Intensity-Volume-KNN method, 4.35% higher than that of the Texture-KNN method, 1.81% higher than that of the Volume-KNN method, and 2.21% higher than that of the Intensity-KNN method. This result indicates that feature fusion and dimension reduction play an important role in glioma grades.

7. Conclusion

To develop an effective and automatic method for grading gliomas from multi-sequence MRIs, we used multi-feature fusion and PCA to extract the original features and used KNN to classify the glioma into LGG and HGG. The experiments demonstrated that the proposed “Intensity-Volume-LBP-PCA-KNN” method superiorly graded gliomas from MICCAI 2015 BraTS dataset.
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