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Abstract

BACKGROUND: Consuming a poor diet and being physically inactive may increase the risk of developing disabilities of old age.

OBJECTIVE: To investigate correlations between Healthy Eating Index-2010 (HEI-2010) scores, physical activity levels, and disabilities of old age in a large biracial cohort.

METHODS: The ARIC Study is a prospective cohort aged 45–64 years at baseline. Overall diet quality was assessed using the HEI-2010. A physical activity score was the sum of work, sports, and leisure. Activities of daily living (ADL), instrumental activities of daily living (IADL), and functional abilities were compared with HEI-2010 and physical activity by logistic regression 9 years after the baseline examination.

RESULTS: Compared with the lowest quartile, quartile 4 healthier HEI-2010 scores showed an odds ratios of 0.76 (95% confidence interval 0.67–0.87) for functional disability, 0.89 (95% CI 0.75–1.07) for ADL disabilities, and 0.88 (95% CI 0.76–1.03) for IADL disabilities. Compared with the lowest tertile, tertile 3 of physical activity showed an odds ratios of 0.60 (95% CI 0.54–0.67) for functional disability, 0.68 (95% CI 0.60–0.78) for ADL disabilities, and 0.53 (95% CI 0.47–0.60) for IADL disabilities.

CONCLUSIONS: Healthier diet and higher physical activity were associated with lower levels of the disabilities of aging, particularly functional disability, at follow up.
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1. Introduction

Americans aged 65 years and older are the fastest growing segment of the US population. Since 1900, the percentage of this age group has more than tripled when compared to the total population [1]. In addition, the elderly are living longer than ever; persons living to age 65 have an average life expectancy of 18.8 more years, up from 14.7 years in 1950. Unfortunately, physical and cognitive function typically decreases in older age. These functions may be assessed as activities of daily living (ADLs) and instrumental activities of daily living (IADLs). ADLs include daily self-care activities, while IADLs are those activities that go beyond basic functioning and allow individuals to live independently [2].

Maintaining independence, specifically independence in physical functioning, was one of the most commonly referenced factors when adults aged 90–95 years were asked to define “successful aging” [3]. Individuals with low physical function scores, similar to ADLs, have a significantly higher risk of all-cause mortality, and are more likely to be hospitalized [4]. In a nationwide study related to healthcare, individuals with limitations in ADLs and IADLs utilized outpatient services, emergency services, and in-patient services more often than those without limitations. Patients in the same study, specifically those with moderate to severe IADL limitations, could expect to spend two to three times as much money on total medical services [5]. Hardy et al. also showed that those who reported difficulty walking ¼ mile paid $2,773 more in average total healthcare expenditures annually. The collateral economic costs associated with this type of limited mobility total over $42 billion, and over 2 million
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additional hospitalizations [6]. While little may be possible to prevent these costs or reverse these disabilities once in place, preventive measures taken years and decades prior to onset have long been recognized as successful and cost effective.

Key factors leading to decreased functional abilities, ADLs, and IADLs have been investigated. Martin and Schoeni found that those with higher levels of education typically experience lower rates of disabilities, while those with increased obesity often experience higher rates of physical disabilities [7]. Seeman et al. showed that overweight and obese individuals displayed greater increases in reported IADL disabilities than those in the normal weight category [8]. This same study also found that non-Hispanic Blacks, aged 60 to 69 years, reported significantly greater increases in ADL disabilities than non-Hispanic Whites. Factors such as low grip strength, low walking speed, and low physical activity throughout one’s life have also been identified as strong predictors of ADL disabilities later in life [9]. In a recent meta-analysis, Tak et al. summarized physical activity studies examining the subsequent ADL disability. They found a consistently lower risk of ADL disability among those with higher initial physical activity levels [10].

Diet also has been shown to play a crucial role in prevention of physical disabilities, as well as lower rates of ADL and IADL-related disabilities. Based on the ARIC study of Blacks and Whites, Houston et al. found that higher vegetable, fruit, and dairy intakes were inversely associated with functional disability development [11]. Similarly, Kim et al. found that subjects following a Modified Traditional Korean diet, characterized by high consumption of fruits, dairy, and legumes, experienced a lower likelihood of ADL disability (OR = 0.17, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.05–0.56) [12]. Another similar study found the Mediterranean Diet (dense in vegetables, fruits, legumes, cereals, and fish) corresponded with decreased ADL and IADL disabilities [13]. This indicates that a healthy diet, rich in fruits, vegetables, and legumes, and even modest physical exercise may significantly alter outcomes related to improved aging outcomes, quality of life, and medical cost outcomes.

Updated every five years, the Healthy Eating Index (HEI) is a measure of diet quality derived from the recommendations of the Dietary Guidelines for Americans. In the past, the HEI has been applied to the evaluation of diet quality in subjects with diabetes [14], in cardiovascular risk [15], and in lung function in humans [16]; HEI-2005 scores have even been used to relate diet to symptoms of depression [17]. Xu et al. found that the odds of experiencing IADL disability, poor lower extremity mobility, and general physical activity disabilities were significantly lower in subjects who met the recommendations for HEI-2005 total fruit/whole fruit scores, compared with those who did not [18].

Limited research has been done to investigate the relationship between overall dietary quality, physical activity, and the prospective development of functional disabilities in old age. The ARIC study is a large prospective, bi-racial, middle-aged cohort. The objective of this research was to confirm a positive association between baseline HEI-2010 scores and physical activity scores and the development of positive functional outcomes at a nine-year follow-up as measured by ADL, IADL, and functional abilities.

2. Methods

2.1. Subjects

This manuscript was prepared using Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Research Materials obtained from the National Heart Lung Blood Institute (NHLBI) Biologic Specimen and Data Repository Information Coordinating Center and does not necessarily reflect the opinions or views of the ARIC research groups or the NHLBI. The Institutional Review Board of Appalachian State University approved the acquisition and use of this dataset. The ARIC study is a large biracial, prospective cohort that aimed to investigate atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease in four US communities [19]. Baseline data from 1987 through 1989 were available for 14,950 subjects. Subjects between the ages of 45 to 64 years were evaluated at 3-year intervals for four visits: 1987–1989, 1990–1992, 1993–1995, and 1996–1998.

Subjects who were identified during the initial physical examination as needing a wheelchair, using a cane, walking with an abnormal gait, having noticeable arm weakness, or having noticeable balance issues were excluded from the study. (Rhomberg test). Subjects who identified themselves as experiencing a prior stroke or heart failure, declared themselves to be in poor health, or identified themselves with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease were also excluded from the study. See Fig. 1.
2.2. Functional limitations and disability

Functional limitations and disabilities were grouped into three categories: activities of daily living (ADLs), instrumental activities of daily living (IADLs), and functional abilities. ADLs are considered the most essential of daily activities. These include getting dressed, walking from one room to another on the same floor, getting into and out of bed, holding a fork and cutting food, and drinking from a glass [20]. IADLs include completing chores around one’s home, managing money, and preparing meals [2, 21]. Functional ability tasks are the most physically demanding of the three categories. These include walking a quarter of a mile (400 m), standing from an armless chair, kneeling or stooping, and lifting and carrying a 10-pound (4.5 kg) load [21, 22]. During visit 4, 9 years after the initial visit, these abilities were evaluated by the Physical Ability Questionnaire containing 12 items grouped into the three categories above. In the questionnaire, subjects indicated the level of difficulty in completing tasks. Abilities were divided into a no impairment category (experiences no difficulty completing task) and an impaired category (including three stages: some difficulty, much difficulty, or unable to do). If any of the variables within each category were rated as impaired, then the subject was considered impaired for that category. This created 3 dichotomous variables for these abilities.

2.3. Dietary analysis

Participants were interviewed about their typical dietary intakes over the past year with a revised version of the semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire validated by Willet et al. in the Nurses’ Health Study [23]. Based on these results, nutrient composition of subjects’ diets were calculated using the consumption frequency of each food, as well as its nutrient composition from the US Department of Agriculture Nutrient Composition Table [24, 25].

The Healthy Eating Index-2010 is a scoring method used to measure adherence to the Dietary Guidelines for Americans in 2010 [26, 27]. For this study, the HEI-2010 scoring was calculated using data from the ARIC food frequency questionnaire and nutrient derived data sets. A minor change was required in calculating the fatty acid HEI component score to make up for differences seen between optimal data required for the HEI-2010 and available data gathered from the ARIC food frequency questionnaire. The component score was calculated using vegetable oil (grams per day) from the nutrient data rather than the sum of mono and poly unsaturated fatty acids. The HEI-2010 was assessed by way of quartiles from lowest to highest. Higher scores are healthier.

2.4. Physical activity and other measures

Physical activity in ARIC was assessed according to a modified version of the Baecke survey [28]. Slight modifications were made to accommodate updates...
and to broaden some questions [29]. The results of the questionnaire were reduced to 3 scores (1–5) for level of physical activity at work, during sports, and at leisure. Reliability (test-retest) over 6 years in the ARIC cohort ranged from $r = 0.44$ to $0.53$ [29]. Validity when comparing the sum of the 3 Baecke scores with doubly labelled water-assessed physical activity was $r = 0.69$ [30]. For this analysis, these 3 scores were combined for a summed score of physical activity (1–15). Tertiles were then created from lowest to highest. A combined Baecke or Baecke-like score has been used in assessing overall physical activity in previous analyses [31–35]. Combining the work index with the more commonly used sport index is more inclusive of the type of physical activity experienced by Blacks and those with lower educational achievement in this cohort.

Height and weight were measured in the clinic at baseline and body mass index (BMI) was calculated. Interviewers also collected information related to multiple covariates such as age, sex, ethnicity, smoking habits, and level of education. Current diagnoses of coronary heart disease, heart failure, diabetes, and hypertension were also assessed during the interviews at visit 1.

### 2.5. Statistical analysis

Statistics were performed with SPSS v. 22. Comparisons between subject characteristics across quartiles of HEI-2010 were performed with chi-square for nominal variables and ANOVA for continuous variables. Multivariate logistic regression was performed with the 3 measures of disability of old age as dichotomous outcomes. A simple model included only age (as a continuous variable), sex, and ethnicity (Black or non-Black) as independent variables. Multivariate model #1 used in this analysis also included BMI, education (3 levels: less than high school degree, high school degree and vocational, college degree and more), total calories per day, and current smoking status (current smoker or not). Multivariate model #2 also included the physical activity tertiles. The relation between the physical activity score tertiles and the disabilities of old age was assessed with the same multivariate models as above. Interactions between key independent variables and HEI quartiles were determined. P-trends was estimated from the ordered relationships of the odds ratios of disabilities across the quartiles of HEI-2010 and physical activity. Sensitivity analysis was performed by repeating the primary analyses of HEI-2010 and physical activity while eliminating key subgroups with pre-existing disease conditions. In a separate analysis, initially excluded subjects with a prior stroke, heart failure, poor health, or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease were returned to the cohort for sensitivity analysis.

### 3. Results

Figure 1 shows the selection process for subjects in the study. Given the number of missing values and the number excluded, there were a total of 12,343 subjects available for analysis from visit 1. However, 2,975 were lost to follow up at visit 4 (9 years later) and 280 were missing information on functional abilities. This left 9,088 for the subsequent analyses.

Table 1 shows the characteristics of study subjects by quartiles of HEI-2010 in the ARIC study cohort from visit 1 including the covariates used in the later analyses. All parameters were significantly different across quartiles of HEI score. The change across quartiles was particularly notable for sex, current smokers, and education level.

At visit 4 there were 1,121 cases of ADL disabilities (12% of the study population), 1,482 cases of IADL disabilities (17%) and 4,277 cases of functional disability (47%). In Table 2, the age-sex-ethnicity adjusted Simple models for ADL and IADL significantly decreased across quartiles of HEI-2010; this effect became attenuated, but retained statistical significance in model 1. When additionally controlling for physical activity, the relationship of HEI-2010 and ADL and IADL became non-significant. However, the risk of functional disability remained substantially lower at higher quartiles of HEI-2010 across all 3 models.

The risk of the three disabilities of old age decreased considerably with level of initial physical activity (see Table 3). These were all improved about a third or better across the tertiles of combined physical activity even after controlling for the effect of HEI-2010. There was no significant interaction between HEI-2010 and physical activity.

For sensitivity testing, analysis subjects with coronary heart disease, hypertension, or diabetes at visit 1 were removed from the study one condition at a time. No significant changes were found in the primary results of HEI-2010 or physical activity. Adding back subjects with poor health or disabling chronic
### Table 1

Characteristics of study subjects by quartiles of HEI-2010 in ARIC study cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Quartile of HEI-2010</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1–4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>9088</td>
<td>2272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEI Score (median &amp; range)</td>
<td>(22–95)</td>
<td>(22–51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black (%)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (%)</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>53.8 ± 5.6</td>
<td>52.7 ± 5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Smoker (%)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Energy (Kcal)</td>
<td>1624 ± 596</td>
<td>1947 ± 656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Energy (kJ)</td>
<td>465 ± 157</td>
<td>411 ± 136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>27.4 ± 5.0</td>
<td>27.4 ± 4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (% college)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Level score</td>
<td>7.1 ± 1.4</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discrete variables were compared by Chi-Square. Continuous variables were compared by ANOVA.

### Table 2

Characteristics of study subjects by tertiles of physical activity score in ARIC study cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>1–3</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>9088</td>
<td>2771</td>
<td>3172</td>
<td>3145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Level score (median &amp; range)</td>
<td>7.13 (3.00–13.750)</td>
<td>5.75 (3.00–6.38)</td>
<td>7.00 (6.50–7.63)</td>
<td>8.50 (7.75–13.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black (%)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (%)</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>53.8 ± 5.6</td>
<td>52.7 ± 5.5</td>
<td>53.6 ± 5.5</td>
<td>54 ± 5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Smoker (%)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Energy (Kcal)</td>
<td>1624 ± 596</td>
<td>1575 ± 580</td>
<td>1610 ± 584</td>
<td>1682 ± 617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Energy (kJ)</td>
<td>388 ± 142</td>
<td>376 ± 139</td>
<td>385 ± 140</td>
<td>402 ± 147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>27.4 ± 5.0</td>
<td>27.9 ± 5.4</td>
<td>27.4 ± 5.0</td>
<td>28.8 ± 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (% college)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEI 2010 Score</td>
<td>60 ± 13</td>
<td>59 ± 13</td>
<td>60 ± 13</td>
<td>62 ± 13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discrete variables were compared by Chi-Square. Continuous variables were compared by ANOVA.

### Table 3

Odds ratios of disabilities of old age by quartiles of HEI-2010 in the ARIC cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disability</th>
<th>Disability (percent)</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Quartiles of HEI-2010</th>
<th>Trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Simple</td>
<td>1.00 0.81 (0.72–0.92)**</td>
<td>0.68 (0.60–0.78)***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Multivariate 1</td>
<td>1.00 0.87 (0.77–0.98)*</td>
<td>0.75 (0.66–0.76)***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Multivariate 2</td>
<td>1.00 0.89 (0.79–1.00)</td>
<td>0.78 (0.68–0.89)***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADL</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Simple</td>
<td>1.00 0.79 (0.67–0.94)**</td>
<td>0.78 (0.65–0.91)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Multivariate 1</td>
<td>1.00 0.86 (0.74–1.01)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.76–1.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Multivariate 2</td>
<td>1.00 0.89 (0.76–1.04)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.77–1.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IADL</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Simple</td>
<td>1.00 0.68 (0.77–1.02)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.72–0.95)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Multivariate 1</td>
<td>1.00 0.87 (0.76–1.05)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.75–1.02)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Simple logistic model covariates are age, sex, and ethnicity (Black or non-Black). Multivariate 1 model covariates are simple covariates and BMI, education (3 levels), total calories per day, and current smoking status (current smoker or not). Multivariate 2 model includes the Multivariate 1 variables and quartiles of physical activity. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 when compared to Quartile 1.
conditions also did not materially alter the results. No substantive interactions were found in the primary results with age groups, sex, ethnicity groups, smoking subgroups, BMI levels, or education levels.

4. Discussion

This study suggests that there is a significant inverse association between quality of diet as measured by HEI-2010 and functional disability, and also between the level of overall physical activity and the increasing disabilities of old age, including functional disabilities, ADL, and IADL.

Dietary effects on subsequent disabilities of old age have been found by other investigators. Xu et al. found that adherence to the Dietary Guidelines for Americans 2005 was associated with lower disability in physical, social, and psychological domains in older adults [18]. Kim et al. determined that individuals following a Modified Traditional Korean diet containing higher amounts of fruit, dairy, and legumes, experienced a lower likelihood of developing ADL disability when compared to a carbohydrate-dense Traditional Korean eating pattern [12]. Houston et al. found that higher amounts of vegetables, fruits, and dairy in the diet were inversely associated with functional limitations in men and women of the ARIC study [11]. Feart et al. showed that women with higher adherence to the Mediterranean diet were less likely to experience IADL disabilities as they age [13]. Milaneschi et al. reviewed recent studies on nutrition and mobility-related issues in older adults. According to this review, current evidence now supports that diets high in fruits and vegetables, such as the Mediterranean Diet, play a valuable role in aging [36]. Diets higher in fruits, vegetables, soy products, and fish and lower in soft drinks, alcoholic beverages, and rice (which would be measured with a high HEI-adherence score) were found to have protective effects on the cognition of older Japanese adults and were correlated with lower incidences of dementia [37]. The present study found only limited association between a diet and lower ADL and IADL and these associations were attenuated in the full models that included physical activity. Indeed, after controlling for age, sex, and ethnicity, there is a strong correlation between HEI-2010 score and physical activity score (R = 0.138).

Physical activity has consistently been shown to predict better physical, more functional, and less disabled outcomes later in life [10]. It is interesting to note that even modest physical activity, as when comparing tertile 2 with tertile 1 in this study’s results, is correlated with significantly lower risk of all 3 disabilities. Even with the use of a very general physical activity score, these consistent correlations were noted. No effort was made to distinguish specific types of activity that were more beneficial over others. Martin et al. have confirmed that the early stages of disease contribute to multiple trajectories of disability [38]. Poher et al found that physical activity programs were more effective in preventing disability in adults between the ages of 70 and 89 years than a health education program alone [39]. Chodzko-Zajko et al. suggest the importance of physical activity in delaying the earliest stages of this process during aging [40]. In addition to the direct effects of physical activity, indirect effects such as improved self-efficacy and reduced depression may also impact the risk of...
disabilities of old age. Depression has been found to negatively influence the level of physical activity and subsequently increase the risk of disability [41]. Finally, unknown or unmeasured confounding variables may lead to overestimation of the correlation between physical activity and abilities of old age.

**Dietary Guidelines for Americans 2010** is characterized by high intakes of fruits, vegetables, whole grains, low-fat dairy, lean meats, and seafood. A diet that is high in fruits and vegetables is likely to contain higher levels of phytochemical antioxidants. These phytochemical and vitamin antioxidants can counter oxidative stress in older adults, which may reduce levels of inflammation and neurological effects, which may affect ADLs, IADLs, and functional abilities. It is proposed that serum antioxidants are critical in reducing the inflammatory response caused by increased release of cytokine interleukin-6 in the blood, [42] which have been linked to poor health outcomes in older adults [43]. Gianni et al. found that there is an increase in oxidative damage to DNA and proteins, particularly in muscle, as people age which potentially contributes to sarcopenia [44]. Sarcopenia contributes to the development of physical disabilities of old age. Cesari et al. also determined a correlation between higher blood concentration of vitamin antioxidants and increased physical ability, particularly muscle strength [45]. In addition, low levels of serum carotenoids have been associated with decreased grip, hip, and knee strength, all of which are of particular importance for older adults [46]. Neuroinflammation in response to dietary factors may also play a role in neurodegenerative diseases and possibly a decline in ADLs and IADLs [47, 48].

The ARIC cohort can be compared with other groups from the US of about the same age for their diet and disabilities. The older adults (age >60) participating in the 1999–2002 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) had an average HEI score of only 66.6 out of 100, with >80 defined as a recommended diet. Recommendations for fruits, vegetables, and dairy were met by less than one-third of this group [49]. Our ARIC cohort had an average score of 62 with for an age range at visit 1 of 45–64. This was a somewhat lower score in a younger group than from NHANES. Comparing ADL and IADL with other studies was a bit more difficult. There was a range of definitions of these disabilities. A recent MMWR [50] article matched 3 of the 5 indicators for ADL in this report and 2 of 3 indicators for IADL. They reported a disability prevalence of about 2.3% for ADL and 4.6% for IADL for a similar age range. In contrast, Hung et al. with a similar comparability to our indicators found disabilities of 19% for ADL and 18% for IADL in a comparably aged group [51]. Our results were 12% ADL and 17% IADL disabilities.

No interaction was noted between the two lifestyle factors we considered (diet and physical activity) when considering disabilities of aging. While not considering physical disability directly, it is interesting to note a recent study by Nijholt et al. found no synergistic interaction in the beneficial roles of diet and exercise associated with cognitive function of old age [52].

There were limitations to this study. These results cannot conclude causation because ARIC was an epidemiological observational study. Since the ARIC study was performed using a bi-racial cohort, results cannot be associated with races other than White or Black. As described above, the preferred exclusion of subjects with functional, ADL, and IADL disabilities at visit 1 was not possible because these data were not collected at that time. Variables that were available concerning physical limitations were utilized for this purpose. The substitution of vegetable oil for mono and poly unsaturated fats would tend to increase this fatty acid component score slightly due to the presence of other fatty acids in the vegetable oil sum. This would tend to make this population appear to have a slightly healthier diet than they were in actuality. Physical activity could not be assessed directly, but was instead assessed as a score that reflected self-declared activity levels at work, leisure, and sport. Understandably, those who were unable to participate in physical activities due to disability would score low in the original assessment and also would be low in the disabilities of age assessment nine years later. Subjects who declared a disability or condition that might reduce ability were eliminated from this study in an effort to minimize this effect. These exclusions were very similar to those by Houston et al. in their study on diet and disabilities in the ARIC cohort [11]. During sensitivity analysis, these exclusions were selectively increased to observe their potential effects on the results. Only modest effects were noted. Physical activity was found to attenuate dietary effects, though there was not a significant interaction between the two.

**5. Conclusions**

A better diet characterized by adherence the **Dietary Guidelines for Americans 2010** was found to
be significantly associated sustained functional abilities. Higher levels of physical activity were also associated with improved subsequent abilities of aging including functional abilities, ADL, and IADL.

5.1. Take away points
- Disabilities increase with aging, including functional disabilities, Activities of Daily Living disabilities, and Independent Activities of Daily Living disabilities.
- Overall, closer adherence to the Dietary Guidelines for Americans 2010 throughout middle age may reduce functional disabilities in older years.
- Staying physically active whether on the job, in sports, or at leisure may also significantly reduce the onset of these disabilities.
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