| | Summary of balance for matched data: | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|--------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| | Means Treated | Means Control | SD Control | Mean Diff | eQQ Med | eQQ Mean | eQQ Max |
| Propensity Score | 0.0134 | 0.0134 | 0.0033 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Run Size by Momentumed Team | 7.3766 | 7.3766 | 1.9279 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Substitutions by Momentumed Team | 0.0517 | 0.0517 | 0.2587 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Substitutions by Opposing Team | 0.1084 | 0.1084 | 0.4121 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Table A1: Balance Test of Matched Covariates using logit-based propensity score

Note: Differences in all variables are not statistically significant.

| | Summary of balance for matched data: | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|--------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| | Means Treated | Means Control | SD Control | Mean Diff | eQQ Med | eQQ Mean | eQQ Max |
| Run Size by Momentumed Team | 7.3766 | 7.3766 | 1.9279 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Substitutions by Momentumed Team | 0.0517 | 0.0517 | 0.2587 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Substitutions by Opposing Team | 0.1084 | 0.1084 | 0.4121 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Table A2: Balance Test of Matched Covariates using Mahalanobis distance

Note: Differences in all variables are not statistically significant. Results are substantively identical to Table A1 because relatively few variables were used for matching.

| | Dependent variable: Points Scored in Next 3 Minutes by Momentumed Team | | |
|----------------------------------|---|---------------------|------------------------------|
| | | | |
| | $Run \ge 6$ Points | $Run \ge 10$ Points | $Run \geq 15 \text{ Points}$ |
| Subsequent TV Timeout | -0.111*** | -0.150*** | -0.363** |
| | (0.014) | (0.041) | (0.175) |
| Run Size by Momentumed Team | -0.004 | -0.008 | 0.027 |
| | (0.004) | (0.010) | (0.040) |
| Substitutions by Momentumed Team | -0.164*** | -0.067 | |
| | (0.031) | (0.080) | |
| Substitutions by Opposing Team | -0.080*** | 0.013 | -0.058 |
| | (0.019) | (0.057) | (0.153) |
| Constant | 1.806*** | 1.864*** | 1.346** |
| | (0.028) | (0.116) | (0.681) |
| Observations | 5,148 | 576 | 48 |
| Log Likelihood | -12,370.540 | -1,376.980 | -120.674 |
| theta | 16.702*** (1.425) | 18.802*** (5.298) | 6.108* (3.197) |
| Akaike Inf. Crit. | 24,751.080 | 2,763.960 | 249.348 |

Table B1: Alternative Estimators

Note: Using an estimator designed for skewed data, the negative binomial, does not change results. *p<.1; **p<.05; ***p<.01.

| | Dependent variable: | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|-------------------|----------------|--|
| | Points Scored in Next 3 Minutes by Momentumed Te | | | |
| | First TV Timeout Onl | y No Garbage Time | No Crunch Time | |
| Subsequent TV Timeout | -0.090*** | -0.093*** | -0.105*** | |
| | (0.012) | (0.012) | (0.012) | |
| Run Size by Momentumed Team | -0.012*** | -0.010*** | -0.010*** | |
| | (0.003) | (0.003) | (0.003) | |
| Substitutions by Momentumed Team | -0.115*** | -0.124*** | -0.110*** | |
| | (0.027) | (0.027) | (0.027) | |
| Substitutions by Opposing Team | -0.071*** | -0.075*** | -0.078*** | |
| | (0.017) | (0.017) | (0.017) | |
| Constant | 1.835*** | 1.830*** | 1.837*** | |
| | (0.025) | (0.025) | (0.025) | |
| Observations | 4,920 | 5,144 | 4,968 | |
| Log Likelihood | -11,884.040 | -12,379.090 | -11,922.610 | |
| Akaike Inf. Crit. | 23,778.080 | 24,768.190 | 23,855.210 | |

Table C1: Robust to Game Context

Note: TV timeouts decrease points scored when analyzing only the first timeout, excluding garbage time, and excluding crunch time. These results suggest that strategic changes during TV timeouts do not explain the results. *p<.1; **p<.05; ***p<.01. In the 114 games with a second TV timeout interrupting momentum, there is no effect on momentum. No games have three TV timeouts interrupting momentum; results available upon request.

| | Dependent variable: Points Scored in Next 3 Minutes by Momentumed Team | | |
|----------------------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| | | | |
| | $Run \ge 6$ Points | $Run \ge 10$ Points | $Run \ge 15$ Points |
| Subsequent Coaches' Timeout | -0.134*** | -0.120*** | -0.054* |
| | (0.003) | (0.008) | (0.028) |
| Run Size by Momentumed Team | 0.001 | 0.001 | -0.004 |
| | (0.001) | (0.002) | (0.008) |
| Substitutions by Momentumed Team | -0.145*** | -0.151*** | -0.108 |
| | (0.011) | (0.028) | (0.109) |
| Substitutions by Opposing Team | -0.091*** | -0.061*** | -0.251*** |
| | (0.007) | (0.020) | (0.092) |
| Constant | 1.794*** | 1.783*** | 1.799*** |
| | (0.006) | (0.024) | (0.128) |
| Observations | 82,624 | 11,276 | 912 |
| Log Likelihood | -202,333.000 | -27,586.430 | -2,246.644 |
| Akaike Inf. Crit. | 404,676.000 | 55,182.860 | 4,503.287 |

Table E1: Recreating Original Analysis but With Coaches' Timeout as Treatment

Note: These results replace runs interrupted by TV timeouts with those interrupted by coaches' timeouts. Results from this analysis are largely similar, though the effect size of coaches' timeouts is slightly larger on average. *p<.1; **p<.05; ***p<.01.

| | Dependent variable: |
|----------------------------------|--|
| | Points Scored in Next 3 Minutes by Momentumed Team |
| Subsequent TV Timeout | -0.142*** |
| | (0.009) |
| Subsequent Coaches' Timeout | -0.139*** |
| | (0.003) |
| Run Size by Momentumed Team | 0.0002 |
| | (0.001) |
| Substitutions by Momentumed Team | -0.141*** |
| | (0.010) |
| Substitutions by Opposing Team | -0.083*** |
| | (0.007) |
| Constant | 1.805*** |
| | (0.006) |
| Observations | 87,772 |
| Log Likelihood | -215,032.200 |
| Akaike Inf. Crit. | 430,076.500 |

Table E2: Comparing Coaches' Timeouts and TV Timeouts by Including Both in the Same Regression

Note: This regression matches runs with any timeout (coaches' or TV) with those uninterrupted by runs in order to compare the effect of the two types of timeouts to each other. The subsequent regression analysis includes indicator variables for both coaches' and TV timeouts. There is not a statistically significant difference between the effect of coaches' timeouts and TV timeouts. *p<.1; **p<.05; ***p<.01.

Figure captions

Caption for Figure D1: This figure shows the coefficient from regressions that replicate Table 3 but change the length of gameplay after a TV timeout that is used to measure the effect of interrupting momentum. The effect of a TV timeout is strongest soonest after gameplay resumes. All results are significant at a p < 0.001 level.

