## **Supplementary Material**

Effects of Continuous Dopaminergic Stimulation on Parkinson's Disease Gait: A Longitudinal Prospective Study with Levodopa Intestinal Gel Infusion

**Supplementary Table 1.** Spearman rank correlation coefficients for associations between improvement from T0 to T2 of motor complications with improvement of gait outcomes and of Experime of Coit

Freezing of Gait

		Δ NFoG-Q Total score	Δ 2MWT Gait speed	Δ 2MWT Stride length	Δ TUG Total duration	Δ TUG Sit to Stand duration
Δ MDS- UPDRS IV	p	0.560	0.505	0.403	0.336	0.121
	Rho	0.187	-0.214	-0.266	-0.305	-0.473
	95% CI	-0.449 - 0.697	-0.711 - 0.427	-0.738 - 0.380	-0.756 - 0.343	-0.829 - 0.158
A MDS- UPDRS IV - Motor fluctuations	p	0.329	0.487	0.669	0.055	0.126
	Rho	0.308	-0.223	-0.138	0.565	0.466
	95% CI	-0.340 - 0.758	-0.716 - 0.419	-0.670 - 0.488	-0.032 - 0.865	-0.166 - 0.827

We calculated the percentage improvement between baseline (T0) and last follow-up (T2) assessments. As an example, the improvement in NFoG-Q was determined using the formula:  $\Delta$ NFoG-Q at T2 - NFoG-Q at T0)/NFoG-Q at T0).

MDS-UPDRS, Movement Disorder Society - Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale; NFoG-Q, New Freezing of Gait Questionnaire; 2MWT, Two minute walking test; TUG, Timed Up and GO; CI, Confidence Interval

Supplementary Table 2. Clinical and kinematic prospective assessment of subgroup of the 9

patients with long-term follow-up

patients with long-term follow-u	T0 - Baseline	T1 - 3 months	T2 - 6 months	T3 - long-term follow-up	p
N-FoG-Q - Total score	19.7 ± 5.2 *^°	127 ± 8.2 ^	8.1 ± 7.9 *	$13.1 \pm 10.5^{\circ}$	0.002
Number of falls (last month)	$0.8 \pm 1.1$	0	$0.11 \pm 0.33$	$0.11 \pm 0.33$	0.052
<b>2MWT - Gait speed (m/s)</b> <i>Normative 1.04 — 1.64 m/s</i>	0.7 ± 0.26 *	$0.73 \pm 0.15$	0.82 ± 0.17 *	$0.68 \pm 0.26$	0.031
2MWT - Step duration (s) Normative 0.450 — 0.580 s	$0.63 \pm 0.08$	$0.61 \pm 0.06$	$0.59 \pm 0.06$	$0.63 \pm 0.06$	0.14
2MWT - Stride Length (m) Normative 1.11 — 1.66 m	0.84 ± 0.24 *°	$0.88 \pm 0.16$	0.97 ± 0.17 *	$0.88 \pm 0.28^{\circ}$	0.014
2MWT - Double support (%GCT) Normative 12.4 — 24.6 %	$26.3 \pm 7.5$	25.1 ± 5.1	$23.9 \pm 4.7$	$27.9 \pm 8.2$	0.036
TUG - Duration (s) Normative 6.28 — 11.6 s	17.5 ± 4.8 *°	$16.0 \pm 3.0$	14.3 ± 2.2 *	17.3 ± 13.3°	0.04
TUG - Turn velocity (degree/s) Normative 158 — 322 degree/s	$145.8 \pm 35.8$	$147.7 \pm 30$	$159.4 \pm 35.5$	$141.9 \pm 58.9$	0.447
TUG - Turn duration (s) Normative 1.42 — 2.53 s	$2.64 \pm 0.92$	$2.59 \pm 0.58$	$2.43 \pm 0.61$	$2.32 \pm 0.59$	0.212
TUG – Sit To Stand duration (s) Normative 0.69 — 1.27 s	$1.17 \pm 0.17$	$1.09 \pm 0.25$	$0.96 \pm 0.25$	$1.01 \pm 0.22$	0.102
<b>360° - Turn duration (s)</b> <i>Normative 1.86 — 3.80 s</i>	$4.64 \pm 1.5$	4.53 ± 1.19	$4.3 \pm 1.29$	$5.66 \pm 1.98$	0.352
360° - Turn velocity (degree/s) Normative 146 — 359 degree/s	$133.4 \pm 73$	139.8 ± 45.7	$145.2 \pm 20.1$	157.4 ± 125.5	0.678
<b>Sway - Area (m<sup>2</sup>/s<sup>4</sup>)</b> Normative 0.248 — 1.59 m <sup>2</sup> /s <sup>4</sup>	$8.71 \pm 7.73$	$9.08 \pm 7.59$	$8.12 \pm 5.73$	$12.3 \pm 17.5$	0.855
RMS Sway (m/s²) Normative 0.231 — 0.661 m/s²	$1.2 \pm 0.67$	$1.21 \pm 0.44$	$1.19 \pm 0.36$	$0.77 \pm 1.19$	0.165

Results are reported as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation.

Normative values reported on Mobility lab software are reported for each gait or balance evaluation conducted. Statistical difference at post-hoc analysis between two evaluations is reported with \* (if between assessment at T0 and T2) or  $^{\circ}$  (if between assessment at T0 and T1) or  $^{\circ}$  (if between T0 and T3)

N-FoG-Q, New Freezing of Gait Questionnaire; LCIG, Levodopa-Carbidopa Intestinal Gel; 2MWT, 2 Minute Walking Test; TUG, Timed Up and GO; GCT, Gait Cycle Time; RMS, Root Mean Square