Journal of Neonatal-Perinatal Medicine 8 (2015) 177–178 DOI 10.3233/NPM-15915035 IOS Press

Editorial

Mounting evidence favoring single-family room neonatal intensive care

D. Stevens^{a,*}, P. Thompson^b, C. Helseth^a and J. Pottala^c

^aBoekelheide Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, Sanford Children's Hospital, Department of Pediatrics, University of South Dakota Sanford School of Medicine, Sioux Falls, SD, USA ^bSanford Health System, Department of Pediatrics, University of South Dakota Sanford School of Medicine, Sioux Falls, SD, USA ^cDepartment of Internal Medicine, University of South Dakota Sanford School of Medicine, Sioux Falls, SD, USA

Received 20 April 2015 Accepted 30 April 2015

Abstract. Controversy regarding the optimal design for neonatal intensive care has existed for more than 20 years. Recent evidence confirms that in comparison with the traditional open-bay design, the single-room facility provides for improved control of excessive noise and light, improved staff and parental satisfaction with care and equal, or possibly reduced, cost of care. Single-room care was not associated with any increase in adverse outcomes. To optimize long term developmental outcomes, single-room care must be augmented with appropriate developmental therapy and programs to actively support parental involvement.

Keywords: Evidence-based NICU design, outcomes of care, single-family room NICU

Controversy has existed for nearly 20 years regarding the ideal design for neonatal intensive care units (NICU). Debate has continued due to the fact that much of the evidence, until recently, has been anecdotal. A number of substantial research investigations have been published in recent years which serve to shift the weight of evidence toward the single-family room (SFR) with appropriate developmental and family support.

In our early work, we documented the improved ability to control environmental factors such as sound and light exposure in the SFR [1]. We also reported improved staff [2] and parent [3] perceptions of care. In this journal, we published no significant increase in adverse medical outcomes with SFR care [4]. In all of our research, developmental therapy implemented by appropriately trained neonatal developmental specialists were used in both the open bay and SFR environments, possibly reducing the impact upon many of the variables measured.

Recently, Lester et al. [5] have published compelling information regarding improved outcomes of NICU neonates, but only with appropriate developmental care in the SFR environment. Not all of the evidence regarding SFR design has been positive. Pineda et al. [6] questioned whether the long-term development of neonates cared for in the SFR is less advanced than those cared for in a traditional open-bay facility. This

^{*}Corresponding author: Dennis C. Stevens, MD, 1600 West, 22nd Street, Sioux Falls, SD 57117, USA. Tel.: +1 605 312 1050; Fax: +1 605 312 1008; E-mail: Dennis.Stevens@sanfordhealth.org.

author has also described the potential for increased maternal stress in the SFR NICU [7].

References

Recently, we have published findings that the direct cost of NICU care in the SFR is no greater, and is very likely less, than the traditional open-bay facility [8]. In a theoretical business plan for a SFR NICU, using data from two independent studies [8,9], Shepley et al. demonstrated that the cost of constructing a SFR NICU may be recuperated within the first 12 months of operation [10].

In light of substantial evidence accumulating in favor of the SFR NICU, it is evident that care may be provided safely, efficiently and at no added cost. As pediatricians have known for decades, all pediatric care must be augmented by appropriate developmental support primarily by parents and enhanced by health providers when necessary. All NICU care must be provided with close attention to developmental support by the medical, nursing and administrative teams. In instances were parental involvement is limited, multibed facilities may be developmentally preferable for stable neonates.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants from the following organizations: Sanford Health System, the Sanford Health Research Foundation, and the Foundation for the Advancement of Medical Education and Research of the Sanford School of Medicine.

Disclosure statement

None of the authors have financial disclosures or conflicts of interest to declare.

- [1] Stevens DC, Khan MA, Munson DP, Reid EJ, Helseth CC, Buggy J. The impact of architectural design upon the environmental sound and light exposure of neonates who require intensive care: An evaluation of the Boekelheide Neonatal Intensive Care Nursery. J Perinatol 2007;27 Suppl 2:S20-8.
- [2] Stevens DC, Helseth CC, Khan MA, Munson DP, Smith TJ. Neonatal intensive care nursery staff perceive enhanced workplace quality with the single-family room design. J Perinatol 2010;30(5):352-8.
- [3] Stevens DC, Helseth CC, Khan MA, Munson DP, Reid EJ. A comparison of parent satisfaction in an open-bay and single-family room neonatal intensive care unit. HERD 2011;4(3):110-23.
- [4] Stevens DC, Thompson, PA, Helseth, CC, Pottala, JV, Khan, MA, Munson, DP. A comparison of outcomes of care in an open-bay and single-family room neonatal intensive care facility. J Neonatal Perinatal Med 2011;4(3):189-200.
- [5] Lester BM, Hawes K, Abar B, Sullivan M, Miller R, Bigsby R, et al. Single-family room care and neurobehavioral and medical outcomes in preterm infants. Pediatrics 2014;134(4):754-60.
- [6] Pineda RG, Neil J, Dierker D, Smyser CD, Wallendorf M, Kidokoro H, et al. Alterations in brain structure and neurodevelopmental outcome in preterm infants hospitalized in different neonatal intensive care unit environments. J Pediatr 2014;164(1):52-60 e2.
- [7] Pineda RG, Stransky KE, Rogers C, Duncan MH, Smith GC, Neil J, et al. The single-patient room in the NICU: Maternal and family effects. J Perinatol 2012;32(7):545-51.
- [8] Stevens DC, Thompson PA, Helseth CC, Hsu B, Khan MA, Munson DP. A comparison of the direct cost of care in an open-bay and single-family room NICU. J Perinatol 2014;34(11):830-5.
- [9] Ortenstrand A, Westrup B, Brostrom EB, Sarman I, Akerstrom S, Brune T, et al. The Stockholm Neonatal Family Centered Care Study: Effects on length of stay and infant morbidity. Pediatrics 2010;125(2):e278-85.
- [10] Shepley M, Smith, JA, Sadler, BL, White, RD. The business case for building better neonatal intensive care units. J Perinatol 2014;34(11):811-5.

178