Fuzzy incidence coloring on cartesian product of some fuzzy incidence graphs

V. Yamuna^a and K. Arun Prakash^{b,*}

Department of Mathematics, Kongu Engineering College, Perundurai, Erode, Tamilnadu, India

Abstract. The Fuzzy Incidence Coloring (FIC) of a graph is a mapping of its Fuzzy Incidence set to a color set in which adjacent Fuzzy Incidences (FIs) are colored with different colors. Using various sorts of fuzzy graph products, new graphs can be created from two existing graphs. In this paper, we determined the Fuzzy Incidence Coloring Number (FICN) of some cartesian product with two Fuzzy Incidence Paths (FIPs) $(\widetilde{P}_m \times \widetilde{P}_n)$, two Fuzzy Incidence cycles $(\widetilde{C}_m \times \widetilde{C}_n)$, two Fuzzy Incidence complete graphs $(\widetilde{K}_m \times \widetilde{K}_n)$, FIP, and Fuzzy Incidence cycle $(\widetilde{P}_m \times \widetilde{C}_n)$, FIP and Fuzzy Incidence complete graph $(\widetilde{P}_m \times \widetilde{K}_n)$, Fuzzy Incidence cycle and Fuzzy Incidence complete graph $(\widetilde{C}_m \times \widetilde{K}_n)$, respectively.

Keywords: Fuzzy incidence path, fuzzy incidence cycle, fuzzy incidence complete graph, cartesian product

1. Introduction

A graph is a simple model relation that represents information between things skillfully. Objects and relationships are represented by vertices and edges. There is frequently uncertainty in the items or their relationships, or between both objects and their relationships, while describing a graph. Fuzzy graph models are well suited in this scenario. Mordeson et al. [10] introduced various fuzzy graph operations that can be used to generate new graphs from two existing graphs, such as union, join, cartesian product, and composition. Shovan Dogra [23] presented various fuzzy graph products to identify the degree of vertices, including modular, homomorphic, box dot, and star fuzzy graph products. Talal AL-Hawary [26] proposed three new operations: direct product, semistrong product, and strong product for fuzzy graphs to be a complete fuzzy graph. Ghorai et al. [15] developed detour G-interior and detour G-boundary nodes with applications in a bipolar fuzzy network. In a bipolar fuzzy environment, they have also investigated a few graph indices [14]. The definition and theory of bipolar fuzzy graphs were revised by Ghorai et al. [16], and they also provided some numerical examples.

A FIG is a new approach to the degree to which an edge and a vertex incident were defined by Dinesh [5, 6]. FIGs, have long been acknowledged as an effective and well-organized tool for capturing and resolving a wide range of real-world scenarios involving ambiguous data and information. Because of the importance of unpredictability and nonspecific information in real-world problems that are usually uncertain, it is highly difficult for an expert to describe those difficulties using a fuzzy graph. As a result, establishing a FIG, on which fuzzy graphs may not produce appropriate results, can be utilized to address the uncertainty associated with any unpredictable and generic information of any real-world problem. Later, Sunil Mathew et al. [24] presented FIG connectivity principles, which are useful in interconnection networks with impacted flows. Because most interconnection networks do not follow the crisp rule, fuzzy graph theory can be applied to improve performance.

^{*}Corresponding author. K. Arun Prakash, Department of Mathematics, Kongu Engineering College, Perundurai, Erode – 630060, Tamilnadu, India. E-mail: arun.kannusamy@yahoo.co.in.

One of the most important challenges in combinatorial optimization is graph coloring, which is widely used to promote conflict resolution or the optimal division of mutually exclusive events. Many practical problems can be represented as coloring problems. Any graph can be usually related to two types of coloring namely, vertex coloring and edge coloring. Vertex coloring is a function that gives distinct colors to adjacent vertices. Edge coloring is a function that assigns distinct colors to the edges so that the incident edges are colored differently. Many researchers have experimented with some additional graph colorings like, centre coloring, fractional coloring, dynamic coloring, harmonic coloration, rainbow coloring, incidence coloring, and so on. Graph coloring is used in picture segmentation, image capture, data mining, scheduling, allocation, networking, and other real-time applications.

Any network that focuses on both data at the same time is subjected to incidence coloring. Constructing a wireless network in which a group of transceivers is connected in space communication is one of the major challenges in the frequency assignment problem. Each transceiver can send and receive data at the same time, and nearby transceivers with different frequencies avoid broadcast conflicts. As a result, the incidence coloring of graphs to be used to characterize the model such that neighborly incidences are assigned different colors. The incidence chromatic number is the least number of independent incidence sets, and incidence coloring separates the entire graph into disjoint separate incidence sets. Richard et al. [17] demonstrated incidence coloring on graphs such as trees, full graphs, and complete bipartite graphs, and they hypothesized that every graph G could be incidence colored with $\Delta + 2$ colors. Cheng et al. [4] researched incidence coloring techniques for square meshes, hexagonal meshes, and honeycomb meshes with a minimum color requirement of $\Delta + 1$. The chromatic number on some standard products of graphs with some applications of product colorings was introduced by Sandi Klavzar [22]. Incidence coloring on the cartesian product of some special classes of graphs was extensively investigated by Alexander et al. [1]. Petr Gregor et al. [13] introduced some sufficient properties of the two-factor graphs of a cartesian product graph which admits an incidence coloring with at most $\Delta + 2$ colors.

Fuzzy coloring is used to deal with uncertainties such as vagueness and ambiguity in coloring situations. Because there may be a variation in coloring numbers between crisp and fuzzy graphs where crisp

graph coloring may not yield appropriate results in the situation of uncertainty. Fuzzy coloring is created to color political maps as well as a variety of real-time scenarios like traffic light systems, immigration, work scheduling, image classification, and network communications. The process of coloring the fuzzy graphs was implemented by Munoz et al. [12]. Anjaly Kishore et al. [2] defined the chromatic number of fuzzy graphs and the chromatic number of threshold graphs to make the coloring of the fuzzy graph simple. The vertex coloring function uses the α -cut of a fuzzy graph to color all of the graph's vertices and the method of getting the graph chromatic number was proposed by Arindam Dey et al. [3]. The edge coloring of fuzzy graphs was introduced by Rupkumar Mahapatra et al. [21] in which the chromatic index and the strong chromatic index with related attributes were examined. Furthermore, the edge coloring of fuzzy graphs has been more effectively used to solve the issues in the job-oriented websites and traffic light. Madhumangal Pal et al. [9] addressed fresh ideas for coloring fuzzy graphs, focusing mostly on vertex and edge coloring and illuminating their discussion with examples. Additionally, he introduced a fuzzy fractional chromatic number and proposed a new technique for fuzzy fractional coloring of a fuzzy graph. He studied several fuzzy graph types and provided useful examples. The representation of ecological problems, social networks, telecommunications systems, link prediction in fuzzy social networks, manufacturing industry competition, bus network patrolling, image contraction, cell phone tower installation, traffic signaling, job selection, etc. are just a few examples of real-world applications that are illustrated as fuzzy graphs.

Rosyida et al. [18] created a novel method by taking the FCN of the cartesian product of complete fuzzy graphs and path. The Fuzzy Chromatic Number (FCN) of the cartesian product of two fuzzy graphs was developed by Rosyida et al. [19, 20], and a relationship was found between the maximum FCN of two fuzzy graphs and the FCN of the cartesian product of those two fuzzy graphs. Additionally, in accordance with the characteristics revealed by the testing results, he created an algorithm to compute the cartesian product FCN. In everyday life, a variety of instances involving human loss may occur. In these cases, preserving human lives as well as preventing such events is equally important. Although these issues can be represented as graphs and solved via fuzzy coloring, it is valid for any one criteria. To address such issues, a new FIC with a quick turnaround time has been proposed. Some specific results on chromatic FIs were studied by Liu Xikui et al. [8]. We provided a new method based on FI such that vehicle waiting times were minimized to reduce the number of accidents and traffic jams as well as bounds for many FIGs using FIC [27].

1.1. Novelty and motivation

Several research articles in the field of fuzzy graphs have been distributed in various journals. In the same way, there are few articles about FIGs. The present research introduces a new type of coloring known as Fuzzy Incidence Coloring (FIC) with an edge having two incidences and each adjacent incidence are colored with different colors in which the amount of coloring of various types of FIGs is considered. To minimize the human loss during accidents and to reduce the waiting time of vehicles in lanes of traffic flow, Fuzzy Incidence Coloring Numbers (FICNs) must be employed. Violence against illegal border crossers is common near land and maritime borders. Kidnapping, robbery, extortion, sexual violence, and death are all crimes committed against illegal immigrants by cartels, smugglers, and even corrupt government officials. Individuals are also killed as a result of heat exhaustion, dehydration, and drowning. As the government cracks down on narcotics operations and other illicit activities, criminal groups turn to alternative sources of money, such as human smuggling and sex trafficking. There has already been research done in fuzzy graph theory, demonstrating a set of techniques that can be successfully employed for modelling and dealing with illicit human trafficking. Sunil Mathew et al. [25] used FIGs with incidence blocks to study illicit international migration. However, the proposed research cannot be extended to more complicated structures with more paths and cycles in the routes. As a result, we are interested in developing a more efficient structure that can address these issues. In this article, we set the framework for such applications by computing the FICN for cartesian products of various graph combinations. In computer science, geometry, algebra, number theory, and combinatorial bayesian optimization, among other fields, the cartesian product of fuzzy graphs has been used to mimic real issues. We are therefore interested in looking at a few issues involving the cartesian product of FIGs. It made us possible to discover the FICN of the cartesian product of any two FIGs. We are interested in learning more about the FICN of the

FIGs cartesian product because it is better suited to handle ambiguous phenomena in practical situations.

The objective of this paper is to find the FICN bounds for the cartesian product of FIGs. Section 1, provides an introduction and literature survey of incidence graphs, incidence coloring, FIC, and literature field analysis. Preliminary is in Section 2. Section 3 deals with the definition and FICN of graphs, as well as bounds on the cartesian product of some FIGs. The comparative study, applications, advantages and limitations, conclusion and scope of future research are outlined in the last section.

2. Preliminaries

Basic definitions of incidence coloring, FIC, and cartesian product of fuzzy graphs are found in this section.

Definition: 2.1 [17]. Let G = (V, E) be a multigraph of order *n* and of size *m*. Let $I = \{(v, e) : v \in V, e \in E, v \text{ is incident with } e\}$ be the set of incidences of *G*. We say that two incidences (v, e) and (w, f) are neighborly (adjacent) provided one of the following holds:

- (i) v = w,
- (ii) e = f,
- (iii) the edge $\{v, w\}$ equals e or f.

The configurations associated with (i)–(iii) are pictured in Fig. 2.1.

We define an incidence coloring of G to be a coloring of its incidences in which neighborly incidences are assigned different colors. The incidence coloring number of G, denoted by I(G), is the smallest number of colors in an incidence coloring.

Definition 2.2 [11]. Let (V, E) be a graph. Then G = (V, E, I) is called an incidence graph, where $I \subseteq V \times E$. We note that if $V = \{u, v\}, E = \{uv\}$ and $I = \{(v, uv)\}$, then (V, E, I) is an incidence graph even though $(u, uv) \notin I$.

Definition 2.3 [11]. Let G = (V, E, I) be an incidence graph. If $(u, vw) \in I$, then (u, vw) is called an incidence pair or simply a pair. If $(u, uv), (v, uv), (v, vw), (w, vw) \in I$, then uv and vw are called adjacent edges.

Definition 2.4 [5]. Let G = (V, E) be a graph and σ be a fuzzy subset of *V* and μ be a fuzzy subset of $E (E \subseteq V \times V)$. Let ψ be a fuzzy subset of $V \times E$. If



Fig. 2.1. Incidence pairs.

 $\psi(v, e) \leq \sigma(v) \land \mu(e)$ for all $v \in V$ and $e \in E$, then ψ is called a Fuzzy Incidence (FI) of *G*.

Definition 2.5 [6]. Let G = (V, E) be a graph and (σ, μ) be a fuzzy subgraph of *G*. If ψ is a Fuzzy Incidence of *G*, then $\tilde{G} = (\sigma, \mu, \psi)$ is called a Fuzzy Incidence Graph (FIG) of *G*.

Definition 2.6 [27]. An incidence coloring of Fuzzy incidence Graph \tilde{G} can be defined as a function F_c : $\psi(G) \rightarrow N$ such that $F_C[\psi(v, e)] \neq F_C[\psi(u, f)]$ for any Fuzzy Incidences $\psi(v, e) \& \psi(u, f)$ that are adjacent. This implies that an incidence coloring assigns distinct colors to its adjacent Fuzzy Incidences.

Definition 2.7 [27]. Let $\tilde{G} = (\sigma, \mu, \psi)$ be a Fuzzy Incidence Graph. Let $C = \{\varphi_i \in \psi \ (\sigma \times \mu) : 1 \le i \le k\}$. Let $k \in N$

- i. $\lor \varphi = \psi$
- ii. $\varphi_i \varphi_j = 0, 1 \le i, j \le k$
- iii. for each, $u \in \sigma$ and for each pair of strongly adjacent Fuzzy Incidences ψ toward u, $\psi \in I(G)$. $\varphi_i [\psi(v, e)] \land \varphi_j [\psi(u, f)] = 0 \forall i$

That C is said to be k- Fuzzy Incidence Coloring (FIC).

Definition 2.8 [27]. The minimum number of colors needed for an incidence coloring of a fuzzy graph is known as Fuzzy Incidence Chromatic Number (FICN) or Fuzzy Incidence Coloring Number of \tilde{G} and denoted by $\chi_{\psi}(\tilde{G})$.

Definition 2.9 [10]. Let $G_1(\sigma_1, \mu_1)$ and $G_2(\sigma_2, \mu_2)$ be two fuzzy graphs with underlying vertex sets V_1 and V_2 and edge sets E_1 and E_2 respectively. Then cartesian product of G_1 and G_2 is pair of functions $(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2, \mu_1 \times \mu_2)$ with underlying vertex set $V = V_1 \times V_2 = \{(u_1, v_1); u_1 \in V_1$ and $v_1 \in V_2\}$, and underlying edge set $E = E_1 \times E_2 = \{(u_1, v_1)(u_2, v_2); u_1 = u_2, (v_1, v_2) \in E_2$ or (u_1, u_2)

$$\varepsilon E_{1}, v_{1} = v_{2}$$
 with

$$(\sigma_{1} \times \sigma_{2}) (u_{1}, v_{1})$$

$$= \sigma_{1} (u_{1}) \wedge \sigma_{2} (v_{1}), \text{ where } u_{1} \varepsilon V_{1} \text{ and } v_{1} \varepsilon V_{2}$$

$$(\mu_{1} \times \mu_{2}) ((u_{1}, v_{1}) (u_{2}, v_{2}))$$

$$= \sigma_{1} (u_{1}) \wedge \mu_{2} (v_{1}v_{2}), \text{ if } u_{1} = u_{2} \text{ and } v_{1}v_{2} \varepsilon E_{2}$$

$$= \mu_{1} (u_{1}u_{2}) \wedge \sigma_{2} (v_{1}), \text{ if } u_{1}u_{2} \varepsilon E_{1} \text{ and } v_{1} = v_{2}$$

3. Fuzzy incidence coloring number on cartesian product graphs

The FICN on the cartesian product of some FIGs such as $\widetilde{P_m} \times \widetilde{P_n}$, $\widetilde{P_m} \times \widetilde{C_n}$, $\widetilde{P_m} \times \widetilde{K_n}$, $\widetilde{C_m} \times \widetilde{C_n}$, $\widetilde{C_m} \times \widetilde{K_n}$, $\widetilde{K_m} \times \widetilde{K_n}$ are provided in this section.

Definition: 3.1. Let $\widetilde{G}_1(\sigma_1, \mu_1, \psi_1)$ and $\widetilde{G}_2(\sigma_2, \mu_2, \psi_2)$ are two FIGs with V_1 and V_2 as vertex sets, E_1 and E_2 as edge sets, Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 as Fuzzy Incidence pair sets respectively. The cartesian product of \widetilde{G}_1 and \widetilde{G}_2 is a function of $(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2, \mu_1 \times \mu_2, \psi_1 \times \psi_2)$ with vertex set $V = V_1 \times V_2 =$ $\{(v_1, t_1); v_1 \in V_1 \text{ and } t_1 \in V_2\}, \text{ edge set } E = E_1 \times E_2 =$ $\{(v_1, t_1)(v_2, t_2); v_1 = v_2, (t_1, t_2) \in E_2 \text{ or } (v_1, v_2) \in E_2$ $E_1, t_1 = t_2$, incidence pair set $\Psi = \Psi_1 \times \Psi_2 =$ $\{[(v_1, t_1), (v_1, t_1)(v_2, t_2)]; (v_1, t_1) \in V_1 \times V_2 \text{ and } \}$ $(v_1, t_1)(v_2, t_2) \varepsilon E_1 \times E_2$.

and whose fuzzy subsets $\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2 ofV$, $\mu_1 \times \mu_2 ofE$ and $\psi_1 \times \psi_2 of\Psi$ must defined as follows

- $(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(v_1, t_1) \le \sigma_1(v_1) \land \sigma_2(t_1)$, where $v_1 \varepsilon V_1$ and $t_1 \varepsilon V_2$
- $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)(v_1, t_1)(v_2, t_2) \le \sigma_1(v_1) \land$ $\mu_2(t_1, t_2)$, where $t_1 = t_2$ and $(v_1, v_2) \varepsilon E_2$ $\le \mu_1(t_1, t_2) \land \sigma_2(v_1)$, where $(t_1, t_2) \varepsilon E_1$ and $v_1 = v_2$ $(\psi_1 \times \psi_2)[(v_1, t_1), (v_1, t_1)(v_2, t_2)] \le [\sigma_1(v_1) \land \sigma_2(t_1)]$

 $\wedge [\sigma_1(v_1) \wedge \mu_2(t_1, t_2)], \text{ where } v_1 \varepsilon V_1, t_1 \varepsilon V_2 \text{ and } (t_1, t_2) \varepsilon E_2$

Then the Fuzzy Incidence Graph $\widetilde{(G_1 \times \widetilde{G_2})}(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2, \mu_1 \times \mu_2, \psi_1 \times \psi_2)$ is said to be the cartesian product of $\widetilde{G_1}(\sigma_1, \mu_1, \psi_1)$ and $\widetilde{G_2}(\sigma_2, \mu_2, \psi_2)$.

7756

An example for the Definition 3.1 is illustrated below.

Example 3.1. Let $\widetilde{P_2}$ be the FIP with 2 vertices whose degree 2 and $\widetilde{C_3}$ be the Fuzzy Incidence cycle with 3 vertices whose degree 2 are represented in Figs. 3.1 and 3.2.

Let V_1 , E_1 , FI_1 are the vertex set, edge set and Fuzzy Incidence pair set of $\widetilde{P_2}$ whose membership values are listed below.

V_1	<i>t</i> ₁₁	<i>t</i> ₁₂
σ_1	0.7	0.6

E_1	$t_{11}t_{12}$
μ_1	0.6

FI_1	$(t_{11}, t_{11}t_{12})$	$(t_{12}, t_{11}t_{12})$
ψ_1	0.6	0.6

Let V_2 , E_2 , FI_2 are the vertex set, edge set and Fuzzy Incidence pair set of $\widetilde{C_3}$ whose membership values are listed below.

V_2	<i>t</i> ₂₁	t ₂₂	t ₂₃
σ_2	0.4	0.5	0.8

E_2	$t_{21}t_{22}$	$t_{22}t_{23}$	$t_{21}t_{23}$
μ_2	0.4	0.5	0.4

FI_2	$(t_{21}, t_{21}t_{22})$	$(t_{22}, t_{21}t_{22})$	$(t_{22}, t_{22}t_{23})$
ψ_2	0.4	0.4	0.5
FI_2	$(t_{23}, t_{22}t_{23})$	$(t_{23}, t_{21}t_{23})$	$(t_{21}, t_{21}t_{23})$
ψ_2	0.5	0.4	0.4

Now taking the cartesian product of FIP $\widetilde{P_2}$ and Fuzzy Incidence cycle $\widetilde{C_3}$ such that $\widetilde{P_2} \times \widetilde{C_3}$ with 6 vertices along with its membership values is represented in Fig. 3.3 satisfying the following conditions



Fig. 3.2. Fuzzy Incidence cycle C_3 .

- i. $(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(v_1, t_1) \leq \sigma_1(v_1) \wedge \sigma_2(t_1)$
- ii. $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)[(v_1, t_1)(v_2, t_2)] \le (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(v_1, t_1) \land (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(v_2, t_2)$
- iii. $(\psi_1 \times \psi_2)[(v_1, t_1), (v_1, t_1)(v_2, t_2)] \le (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(v_1, t_1) \land$ $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)[(v_1, t_1)(v_2, t_2)]$

Here, $(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(t_{11}, t_{21}) \le \sigma_1(t_{11}) \land \sigma_2(t_{21}) \le 0.7 \land 0.4 \le 0.4$

 $(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(t_{11}, t_{22}) \le \sigma_1(t_{11}) \land \sigma_2(t_{22}) \le 0.7 \land 0.5 \le 0.5$

 $(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(t_{11}, t_{23}) \le \sigma_1(t_{11}) \land \sigma_2(t_{23}) \le 0.7 \land 0.8 \le 0.7$

 $(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(t_{12}, t_{21}) \le \sigma_1(t_{12}) \land \sigma_2(t_{21}) \le 0.6 \land 0.4 \le 0.4$

 $(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(t_{12}, t_{22}) \le \sigma_1(t_{12}) \land \sigma_2(t_{22}) \le 0.6 \land 0.5 \le 0.5$

 $(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(t_{12}, t_{23}) \le \sigma_1(t_{12}) \land \sigma_2(t_{23}) \le 0.6 \land 0.8 \le 0.5$

 $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)[(t_{11}, t_{21})(t_{11}, t_{22})] \le (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(t_{11}, t_{21}) \land$

 $(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(t_{11}, t_{22}) \le 0.4 \land 0.5 \le 0.4$

 $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2) [(t_{11}, t_{21})(t_{11}, t_{23})] \le (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) (t_{11}, t_{21})$ $\land (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) (t_{11}, t_{23}) \le 0.4 \land 0.7 \le 0.4$



Fig. 3.3. Cartesian product of $\widetilde{P}_2 \times \widetilde{C}_3$.

 $\begin{aligned} &(\mu_1 \times \mu_2) \left[(t_{11}, t_{22}) (t_{11}, t_{23}) \right] \le (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) (t_{11}, t_{22}) \land (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) (t_{11}, t_{23}) \\ &\le 0.5 \land 0.7 \le 0.5 \end{aligned}$

 $\begin{aligned} (\mu_1 \times \mu_2) \left[(t_{11}, t_{21}) (t_{12}, t_{21}) \right] &\leq (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) (t_{11}, t_{21}) \wedge (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) (t_{12}, t_{21}) \\ &\leq 0.4 \wedge 0.4 \leq 0.4 \end{aligned}$

 $\begin{aligned} (\mu_1 \times \mu_2) \left[(t_{12}, t_{21}) (t_{12}, t_{22}) \right] &\leq (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) (t_{12}, t_{21}) \land (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) (t_{12}, t_{22}) \\ &\leq 0.4 \land 0.5 \leq 0.4 \end{aligned}$

 $\begin{aligned} (\mu_1 \times \mu_2) \left[(t_{12}, t_{21}) (t_{12}, t_{23}) \right] &\leq (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) (t_{12}, t_{21}) \land (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) (t_{12}, t_{23}) \\ &\leq 0.4 \land 0.5 \leq 0.4 \end{aligned}$

 $\begin{aligned} &(\mu_1 \times \mu_2) \left[(t_{11}, t_{22}) (t_{12}, t_{22}) \right] \le (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) (t_{11}, t_{22}) \land (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) (t_{12}, t_{22}) \\ &\le 0.5 \land 0.5 \le 0.5 \end{aligned}$

 $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2) [(t_{12}, t_{22}) (t_{12}, t_{23})] \le (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) (t_{12}, t_{22}) \land (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) (t_{12}, t_{23})$ $\le 0.5 \land 0.5 \le 0.5$

 $\begin{aligned} &(\mu_1 \times \mu_2) \left[(t_{11}, t_{23}) (t_{12}, t_{23}) \right] \le (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) (t_{11}, t_{23}) \land (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) (t_{21}, t_{23}) \\ &\le 0.7 \land 0.5 \le 0.5 \end{aligned}$

 $\begin{aligned} (\psi_1 \times \psi_2) \left[(t_{11}, t_{21}), (t_{11}, t_{21}) (t_{11}, t_{22}) \right] &\leq (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) (t_{11}, t_{21}) \wedge (\mu_1 \times \mu_2) \left[(t_{11}, t_{21}) (t_{11}, t_{22}) \right] \\ &\leq 0.4 \wedge 0.4 \leq 0.2 \end{aligned}$

 $\begin{aligned} (\psi_1 \times \psi_2) \left[(t_{11}, t_{22}), (t_{11}, t_{21}) (t_{11}, t_{22}) \right] &\leq (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) (t_{11}, t_{22}) \land (\mu_1 \times \mu_2) \left[(t_{11}, t_{21}) (t_{11}, t_{22}) \right] \\ &\leq 0.5 \land 0.4 \leq 0.4 \end{aligned}$

 $\begin{aligned} (\psi_1 \times \psi_2) \left[(t_{11}, t_{21}), (t_{11}, t_{21}) (t_{11}, t_{23}) \right] &\leq (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) (t_{11}, t_{21}) \wedge (\mu_1 \times \mu_2) \left[(t_{11}, t_{21}) (t_{11}, t_{23}) \right] \\ &\leq 0.4 \wedge 0.4 \leq 0.3 \end{aligned}$

 $\begin{aligned} (\psi_1 \times \psi_2) \left[(t_{11}, t_{23}), (t_{11}, t_{21}) (t_{11}, t_{23}) \right] &\leq (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) (t_{11}, t_{23}) \wedge (\mu_1 \times \mu_2) \left[(t_{11}, t_{21}) (t_{11}, t_{23}) \right] \\ &\leq 0.7 \wedge 0.4 \leq 0.4 \end{aligned}$

 $\begin{aligned} (\psi_1 \times \psi_2) \left[(t_{11}, t_{22}), (t_{11}, t_{22}) (t_{11}, t_{23}) \right] &\leq (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) (t_{11}, t_{22}) \wedge (\mu_1 \times \mu_2) \left[(t_{11}, t_{22}) (t_{11}, t_{23}) \right] \\ &\leq 0.5 \wedge 0.5 \leq 0.5 \end{aligned}$

 $\begin{aligned} (\psi_1 \times \psi_2) \left[(t_{11}, t_{23}), (t_{11}, t_{22}) (t_{11}, t_{23}) \right] &\leq (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) (t_{11}, t_{23}) \wedge (\mu_1 \times \mu_2) \left[(t_{11}, t_{22}) (t_{11}, t_{23}) \right] \\ &\leq 0.7 \wedge 0.5 \leq 0.5 \end{aligned}$

 $\begin{aligned} (\psi_1 \times \psi_2) \left[(t_{11}, t_{21}), (t_{11}, t_{21}) (t_{12}, t_{21}) \right] &\leq (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) (t_{11}, t_{21}) \wedge (\mu_1 \times \mu_2) \left[(t_{11}, t_{21}) (t_{12}, t_{21}) \right] \\ &\leq 0.4 \wedge 0.4 \leq 0.4 \end{aligned}$

 $\begin{aligned} (\psi_1 \times \psi_2) \left[(t_{12}, t_{21}), (t_{11}, t_{21}) (t_{12}, t_{21}) \right] &\leq (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) (t_{12}, t_{21}) \wedge (\mu_1 \times \mu_2) \left[(t_{11}, t_{21}) (t_{12}, t_{21}) \right] \\ &\leq 0.4 \wedge 0.4 \leq 0.3 \end{aligned}$

 $\begin{aligned} (\psi_1 \times \psi_2) \left[(t_{12}, t_{21}), (t_{12}, t_{21}) (t_{12}, t_{22}) \right] &\leq (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) (t_{12}, t_{21}) \land (\mu_1 \times \mu_2) \left[(t_{12}, t_{21}) (t_{12}, t_{22}) \right] \\ &\leq 0.4 \land 0.4 \leq 0.3 \end{aligned}$

 $(\psi_1 \times \psi_2) [(t_{12}, t_{22}), (t_{12}, t_{21})(t_{12}, t_{22})] \le (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) (t_{12}, t_{22}) \land (\mu_1 \times \mu_2) [(t_{12}, t_{21})(t_{12}, t_{22})]$

$$\leq 0.5 \land 0.4 \leq 0.3$$

 $(\psi_1 \times \psi_2) [(t_{12}, t_{21}), (t_{12}, t_{21}), (t_{12}, t_{23})] \le (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) (t_{12}, t_{21}) \land (\mu_1 \times \mu_2) [(t_{12}, t_{21}) (t_{12}, t_{23})]$

$$\leq 0.4 \wedge 0.4 \leq 0.4$$

 $(\psi_1 \times \psi_2) [(t_{12}, t_{23}), (t_{12}, t_{21}) (t_{12}, t_{23})] \le (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) (t_{12}, t_{23}) \land (\mu_1 \times \mu_2) [(t_{12}, t_{21}) (t_{12}, t_{23})]$

$$\leq 0.5 \land 0.4 \leq 0.4$$

 $(\psi_1 \times \psi_2) [(t_{11}, t_{22}), (t_{11}, t_{22}) (t_{12}, t_{22})] \le (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) (t_{11}, t_{22}) \land (\mu_1 \times \mu_2) [(t_{11}, t_{22}) (t_{12}, t_{22})]$

$$\leq 0.5 \land 0.5 \leq 0.3$$

 $(\psi_1 \times \psi_2)[(t_{12}, t_{22}), (t_{11}, t_{22})(t_{12}, t_{22})] \le (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(t_{12}, t_{22}) \land (\mu_1 \times \mu_2)[(t_{11}, t_{22})(t_{12}, t_{22})]$

$$\leq 0.5 \land 0.5 \leq 0.3$$

 $(\psi_1 \times \psi_2)[(t_{12}, t_{22}), (t_{12}, t_{22})(t_{12}, t_{23})] \le (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(t_{12}, t_{22}) \land (\mu_1 \times \mu_2)[(t_{12}, t_{22})(t_{12}, t_{23})]$

 $\leq 0.5 \land 0.5 \leq 0.5$

 $(\psi_1 \times \psi_2)[(t_{12}, t_{23}), (t_{12}, t_{22})(t_{12}, t_{23})] \le (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(t_{12}, t_{23}) \land (\mu_1 \times \mu_2)[(t_{12}, t_{22})(t_{12}, t_{23})]$

$$\leq 0.5 \land 0.5 \leq 0.4$$

$$(\psi_1 \times \psi_2) \left[(t_{11}, t_{23}), (t_{11}, t_{23}) (t_{12}, t_{23}) \right] \le (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) (t_{11}, t_{23}) \land (\mu_1 \times \mu_2) \left[(t_{11}, t_{23}) (t_{12}, t_{23}) \right]$$

 $\leq 0.7 \land 0.5 \leq 0.4$

 $(\psi_1 \times \psi_2)[(t_{12}, t_{23}), (t_{11}, t_{23})(t_{12}, t_{23})] \le (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(t_{12}, t_{23}) \land (\mu_1 \times \mu_2)[(t_{11}, t_{23})(t_{12}, t_{23})]$

$$\leq 0.5 \land 0.5 \leq 0.5$$

Proposition 3.1. The cartesian product of any two FIGs is also a FIG.

Proof. Let $\widetilde{G_1}(\sigma_1, \mu_1, \psi_1)$ and $\widetilde{G_2}(\sigma_2, \mu_2, \psi_2)$ are two FIGs then to prove the cartesian product of $\widetilde{G_1} \times \widetilde{G_2}$ is also a FIG.

Let σ_1 , σ_2 be the Fuzzy Incidence subsets of the vertex sets V_1 , V_2 , μ_1 , μ_2 be the Fuzzy Incidence subsets of the edge sets E_1 , E_2 , and ψ_1 , ψ_2 be the Fuzzy Incidence subsets of the Fuzzy Incidence pair sets Ψ_1 , Ψ_2 . By Definition 3.1, the cartesian product of FIGs,

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_{1} \times \sigma_{2})(v_{1}, t_{1}) &\leq \sigma_{1}(v_{1}) \wedge \sigma_{2}(t_{1}), \text{ where } v_{1} \varepsilon V_{1} \text{ and } t_{1} \varepsilon V_{2} \\ (\mu_{1} \times \mu_{2})[(v_{1}, t_{1})(v_{2}, t_{2})] &\leq \sigma_{1}(v_{1}) \wedge \mu_{2}(t_{1}, t_{2}) \\ &\leq \sigma_{1}(v_{1}) \wedge \{\sigma_{2}(t_{1}) \wedge \sigma_{2}(t_{2})\} \\ &\leq \{\sigma_{1}(v_{1}) \wedge \sigma_{2}(t_{1})\} \wedge \{\sigma_{1}(v_{1}) \wedge \sigma_{2}(t_{2})\} \\ &\leq (\sigma_{1} \times \sigma_{2})(v_{1}, t_{1}) \wedge (\sigma_{1} \times \sigma_{2})(v_{2}, t_{2}), \text{ where } v_{1} = v_{2} \in V_{1}, (t_{1}, t_{2}) \in E_{2} \text{ or } t_{1} = t_{2} \in V_{2}, (v_{1}, v_{2}) \in E_{1}. \end{aligned}$$

 $(\psi_1 \times \psi_2)[(v_1, t_1), (v_1, t_1)(v_2, t_2)] \le [\sigma_1(v_1) \land \sigma_2(t_1)] \land [\sigma_1(v_1) \land \mu_2(t_1, t_2)]$

 $\leq [\sigma_{1}(v_{1}) \land \sigma_{2}(t_{1})] \land [\sigma_{1}(v_{1}) \land \{\sigma_{2}(t_{1}) \land \sigma_{2}(t_{2})\}]$ $\leq (\sigma_{1} \times \sigma_{2})(v_{1}, t_{1}) \land \{[\sigma_{1}(v_{1}) \land \sigma_{2}(t_{1})] \land [\sigma_{1}(v_{1}) \land \sigma_{2}(t_{2})]\}$ $\leq (\sigma_{1} \times \sigma_{2})(v_{1}, t_{1}) \land \{(\sigma_{1} \times \sigma_{2})(v_{1}, t_{1}) \land (\sigma_{1} \times \sigma_{2})(v_{1}, t_{2})\}$ $\leq (\sigma_{1} \times \sigma_{2})(v_{1}, t_{1}) \land (\mu_{1} \times \mu_{2})[(v_{1}, t_{1})(v_{2}, t_{2})],$

where $v_1 \in V_1$, $t_1 \in V_2$ and $(t_1, t_2) \in E_2$.

Thus $\sigma = \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2$ is the Fuzzy Incidence subset of the vertex set $V = V_1 \times V_2$, $\mu = \mu_1 \times \mu_2$ is the Fuzzy Incidence subset of the edge set $E = E_1 \times E_2$ and $\psi_1 \times \psi_2$ is the Fuzzy Incidence subset of the Fuzzy Incidence pair set $\Psi = \Psi_1 \times \Psi_2$. Therefore $(G_1 \times G_2)(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2, \mu_1 \times \mu_2, \psi_1 \times \psi_2)$ is a FIG.

Hence the cartesian product of any two FIGs is also a FIG.■

Theorem 3.1. *The FICN for the cartesian product of FIPs* $\widetilde{P_m}$ *and* $\widetilde{P_n}$ *is*

$$\chi_{\psi}\left(\widetilde{P_m}\times\widetilde{P_n}\right) = \begin{cases} 4, ifm = 2orn = 2\\ 6ifm \ge 3, n \ge m \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $(\widetilde{P}_m \times \widetilde{P}_n)(\sigma, \mu, \psi)$ be a cartesian product of FIPs $\widetilde{P}_m(\sigma_1, \mu_1, \psi_1)$ and $\widetilde{P}_n(\sigma_2, \mu_2, \psi_2)$. Let $\sigma_1 = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_m\}$ be a vertex set of degree 2 with m vertices and $\sigma_2 = \{t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots, t_n\}$ be a vertex set of degree 2 with n vertices. Let $\mu_1 = \{v_1v_2, v_2v_3, \dots, v_{m-1}v_m\}$ be the set of edges incident with σ_1 and $\mu_2 = \{t_1t_2, t_2t_3, \dots, t_{n-1}t_n\}$ be the set of edges incident with σ_2 . Let $\psi_1 = \{(v_1, v_1v_2), (v_2, v_1v_2), \dots, (v_m, v_{m-1}v_m)\}$ be the set of Fuzzy Incidence pairs of σ_1 and μ_1 and $\psi_2 = \{(t_1, t_1t_2), (t_2, t_1t_2), \dots, (t_n, t_{n-1}t_n)\}$ be the set of Fuzzy Incidence pairs of σ_2 and μ_2 .

By Definition 3.1 and Proposition 3.1, the cartesian product of any two FIGs must satisfies the following

- i. $(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(v_1, t_1) \leq \sigma_1(v_1) \wedge \sigma_2(t_1)$
- ii. $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)[(v_1, t_1)(v_2, t_2)] \le (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(v_1, t_1) \land (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(v_2, t_2)$
- iii. $(\psi_1 \times \psi_2) [(v_1, t_1), (v_1, t_1)(v_2, t_2)]$ $\leq (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(v_1, t_1) \land (\mu_1 \times \mu_2) [(v_1, t_1)(v_2, t_2)]$

FIs Let adjacent the of $\psi[(v_1, t_1), (v_1, t_1)(v_1t_1, v_1t_2)]$ are $\psi[(v_1, t_2),$ $(v_1, t_1)(v_1t_1, v_1t_2)], \quad \psi[(v_1, t_2), (v_1, t_2)(v_1t_2, v_2t_2)],$ $\psi[(v_1, t_1), (v_1, t_1)(v_1t_1, v_2t_1)],$ $\psi[(v_2, t_1),$ $(v_1, t_1)(v_1t_1, v_1t_2)$],... By Definition 2.7, color 1 for the FI $\psi[(v_1, t_1), (v_1, t_1)(v_1t_1, v_1t_2)$ and distinct colors for the adjacent incidences. It is impossible to color adjacent FIs in the same color. Color all of the FIs of the cartesian product of the FIG with minimum colors in the same way. Since the two FIPs $\widetilde{P_m}$ and $\widetilde{P_n}$ with m and n vertices have a vertex degree of 2. Any coloring for the cartesian product of two FIPs will result in $m \times n$ mesh, whose adjacent FIs to be colored with different colors. The cartesian product of two FIPs falls in two cases.

- If m = 2orn = 2:
- Here the products will result as a single row or single column mesh such that by coloring the adjacent FIs it is sufficient to have exactly 4 colors. Thus χ_ψ (P̃_m × P̃_n) = 4*i*fmorn = 2.

• If
$$m \ge 3$$
 and $n \ge m$:

Here is the cartesian product graph with $m \times n$ rows and columns as a mesh. In this graph, the number of rows or columns is 3 and above such that exactly 6 colors are needed to color all the adjacent incidences of the mesh. Thus $\chi_{\psi}\left(\widetilde{P_m} \times \widetilde{P_n}\right) = 6ifm \ge$ $3andn \ge m$.

Example 3.2. Let us consider two FIPs $\widetilde{P_3}$ and $\widetilde{P_4}$ with 3 and 4 vertices respectively represented in Figs. 3.4 and 3.5.

Applying cartesian product of two FIPs \widetilde{P}_3 and \widetilde{P}_4 such that $\widetilde{P}_3 \times \widetilde{P}_4$ is represented in Fig. 3.6 satisfying the following conditions











Fig. 3.6. Cartesian product of FIPs $\widetilde{P}_3 \times \widetilde{P}_4$.

- i. $(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(v_1, t_1) \leq \sigma_1(v_1) \wedge \sigma_2(t_1)$
- ii. $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)[(v_1, t_1)(v_2, t_2)] \le (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(v_1, t_1) \land (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(v_2, t_2)$
- iii. $(\psi_1 \times \psi_2)[(v_1, t_1), (v_1, t_1)(v_2, t_2) \le (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(v_1, t_1) \land (\mu_1 \times \mu_2)[(v_1, t_1)(v_2, t_2)]$

The membership values of the vertices, edges for the cartesian product of $\widetilde{P_3} \times \widetilde{P_4}$ is represented below

\overline{V}	(t_{11}, t_{21})	(t_{11}, t_{22})	(t_{11}, t_{23})	(t_{11}, t_{24})	(t_{12}, t_{21})	(t_{12}, t_{22})
σ	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.6
\overline{V}	(t_{12}, t_{23})	(t_{12}, t_{24})	(t_{13}, t_{21})	(t_{13}, t_{22})	(t_{13}, t_{23})	(t_{13}, t_{24})
σ	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.5

Ε	$(t_{11},t_{21})(t_{11},t_{22})$	$(t_{11},t_{21})(t_{12},t_{21})$	$(t_{11},t_{22})(t_{11},t_{23})$	$(t_{11},t_{22})(t_{12},t_{22})$
μ	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4
E	$(t_{11},t_{23})(t_{11},t_{24})$	$(t_{11},t_{23})(t_{12},t_{23})$	$(t_{11},t_{24})(t_{12},t_{24})$	$(t_{12}, t_{21})(t_{12}, t_{22})$
μ	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3
E	$(t_{12},t_{21})(t_{13},t_{21})$	$(t_{12},t_{22})(t_{12},t_{23})$	$(t_{12},t_{22})(t_{13},t_{22})$	$(t_{12}, t_{23})(t_{12}, t_{24})$
μ	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.4
E	$(t_{12}, t_{23})(t_{13}, t_{23})$	$(t_{12}, t_{24})(t_{13}, t_{24})$	$(t_{13}, t_{21})(t_{13}, t_{22})$	$(t_{13}, t_{22})(t_{13}, t_{23})$
μ	0.2	0.5	0.3	0.4
E	$(t_{13},t_{23})(t_{13},t_{24})$			
μ	0.4			

The membership functions of the FIs are represented as $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4, \varphi_5, \varphi_6$ in Table 3.1. **** Thus

$$\varphi_1(\psi_i) = \begin{cases} 0.2, i = 1, 5\\ 0.4, i = 11, 27\\ 0.3, i = 16, 18\\ 0.5, i = 32 \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi_2(\psi_i) = \begin{cases} 0.2, i = 2\\ 0.1, i = 6\\ 0.3, i = 10, 12, 28\\ 0.4, i = 21 \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi_{3}(\psi_{i}) = \begin{cases} 0.2, i = 3, 7\\ 0.3, i = 8, 26, 29\\ 0.5, i = 16, 18\\ 0.4, i = 23, 33 \end{cases};$$

$$\varphi_4\left(\psi_i\right) = \begin{cases} 0.2, \, i = 4, 9\\ 0.4, \, i = 15, \, 19, \, 22, \, 30 \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi_5(\psi_i) = \begin{cases} 0.1, \, i = 25\\ 0.4, \, i = 13, 20, 31 \end{cases};$$

7763

Fuzzy incidences	φ_1	φ_2	φ_3	φ_4	φ_5	φ_6	Max
$\psi[(t_{11}, t_{21}), (t_{11}, t_{21})(t_{11}, t_{22})]$	0.2	0	0	0	0	0	0.2
$\psi[(t_{11}, t_{21}), (t_{11}, t_{21}), (t_{12}, t_{21})]$	0	0.2	0	0	0	0	0.2
$\psi[(t_{12}, t_{21}), (t_{11}, t_{21}), (t_{12}, t_{21})]$	0	0	0.2	0	0	0	0.2
$\psi[(t_{12}, t_{21}), (t_{12}, t_{21}), (t_{12}, t_{22})]$	0	0	0	0.2	0	0	0.2
$\psi[(t_{12}, t_{21}), (t_{12}, t_{21})(t_{13}, t_{21})]$	0.2	0	0	0	0	0	0.2
$\psi [(t_{13}, t_{21}), (t_{12}, t_{21}) (t_{13}, t_{21})]$	0	0.1	0	0	0	0	0.1
$\psi [(t_{13}, t_{21}), (t_{13}, t_{21}) (t_{13}, t_{22})]$	0	0	0.2	0	0	0	0.2
$\psi [(t_{11}, t_{22}), (t_{11}, t_{21})(t_{11}, t_{22})]$	0	0	0.3	0	0	0	0.3
$\psi [(t_{11}, t_{22}), (t_{11}, t_{21})(t_{12}, t_{22})]$	0	0	0	0.2	0	0	0.2
$\psi [(t_{11}, t_{22}), (t_{11}, t_{21})(t_{11}, t_{23})]$	0	0.3	0	0	0	0	0.3
$\psi [(t_{12}, t_{22}), (t_{11}, t_{22})(t_{12}, t_{22})]$	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi [(t_{12}, t_{22}), (t_{12}, t_{21})(t_{12}, t_{22})]$	0	0.3	0	0	0	0	0.3
$\psi [(t_{12}, t_{22}), (t_{12}, t_{22})(t_{12}, t_{23})]$	0	0	0	0	0.4	0	0.4
$\psi \left[(t_{12}, t_{22}), (t_{12}, t_{22})(t_{13}, t_{22}) \right]$	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi [(t_{13}, t_{22}), (t_{12}, t_{22})(t_{13}, t_{22})]$	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	0.4
$\psi [(t_{13}, t_{22}), (t_{13}, t_{21})(t_{13}, t_{22})]$	0.3	0	0	0	0	0	0.3
$\psi \left[(t_{13}, t_{22}), (t_{13}, t_{22}) (t_{13}, t_{23}) \right]$	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0.4
$\psi \left[(t_{11}, t_{23}), (t_{11}, t_{22}) (t_{11}, t_{23}) \right]$	0.3	0	0	0	0	0	0.3
$\psi \left[(t_{11}, t_{23}), (t_{11}, t_{23}) (t_{11}, t_{24}) \right]$	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	0.4
$\psi \left[(t_{11}, t_{23}), (t_{11}, t_{23}) (t_{12}, t_{23}) \right]$	0	0	0	0	0.4	0	0.4
$\psi \left[(t_{12}, t_{23}), (t_{11}, t_{23}) (t_{12}, t_{23}) \right]$	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi \left[(t_{12}, t_{23}), (t_{12}, t_{22})(t_{12}, t_{23}) \right]$	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	0.4
$\psi \left[(t_{12}, t_{23}), (t_{12}, t_{22})(t_{12}, t_{24}) \right]$	0	0	0.4	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi \left[(t_{12}, t_{23}), (t_{12}, t_{23}) (t_{13}, t_{23}) \right]$	0	0	0	0	0	0.2	0.2
$\psi \left[(t_{13}, t_{23}), (t_{12}, t_{23}) (t_{13}, t_{23}) \right]$	0	0	0	0	0.1	0	0.1
$\psi \left[(t_{13}, t_{23}), (t_{13}, t_{22}) (t_{13}, t_{23}) \right]$	0	0	0.3	0	0	0	0.3
$\psi \left[(t_{13}, t_{23}), (t_{13}, t_{23}) (t_{13}, t_{24}) \right]$	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi \left[(t_{11}, t_{24}), (t_{11}, t_{23})(t_{11}, t_{24}) \right]$	0	0.3	0	0	0	0	0.3
$\psi \left[(t_{11}, t_{24}), (t_{11}, t_{24}) (t_{12}, t_{24}) \right]$	0	0	0.3	0	0	0	0.3
$\psi \left[(t_{12}, t_{24}), (t_{11}, t_{24}) (t_{12}, t_{24}) \right]$	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	0.4
$\psi \left[(t_{12}, t_{24}), (t_{12}, t_{23}) (t_{12}, t_{24}) \right]$	0	0	0	0	0.4	0	0.4
$\psi \left[(t_{12}, t_{24}), (t_{12}, t_{24}) (t_{13}, t_{24}) \right]$	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi[(t_{13}, t_{24}), (t_{12}, t_{24})(t_{13}, t_{24})]$	0	0	0.4	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi [(t_{13}, t_{24}), (t_{13}, t_{23})(t_{13}, t_{24})]$	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0.4

Table 3.1 Membership functions of Fuzzy Incidence pairs of $\widetilde{P}_3 \times \widetilde{P}_4$

$$\varphi_6(\psi_i) = \begin{cases} 0.2, \, i = 24\\ 0.4, \, i = 17, \, 34 \end{cases}$$

As a result, the partitions satisfy the FIC definition's criteria by [27]. In Fig. 3.7, the FIs in φ_1 are colored blue, φ_2 are colored pink, φ_3 are colored green, φ_4 are colored brown, φ_5 are colored violet, and φ_6 are colored orange.

and φ_6 are colored orange. There fore $\chi_{\psi}\left(\widetilde{P_3} \times \widetilde{P_4}\right) = 6.$

Theorem 3.2. The FICN for the cartesian product of the FIP $\widetilde{P_m}$ with $m \ge 2$ vertices and the Fuzzy Incidence cycle with $n \ge 3$ vertices is $\chi_{\psi}\left(\widetilde{P_m} \times \widetilde{C_n}\right)$ ≤ 8 .

Proof. Let $\widetilde{P_m}(\sigma_1, \mu_1, \psi_1)$ be the FIP with m vertices such that $m \ge 2$, $\widetilde{C_n}(\sigma_2, \mu_2, \psi_2)$ be the Fuzzy Incidence cycle with n vertices such that $n \ge 3$, and $\left(\widetilde{P_m} \times \widetilde{C_n}\right)(\sigma, \mu, \psi)$ be the cartesian product of FIP

and cycle with mn vertices.

Assume that $\sigma_1 = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_m\}$ be the vertex set of degree $\Delta'_m = 2$ of FIP and $\sigma_2 = \{t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots, t_n\}$ be a vertex set of degree $\Delta'_n = 2$ of Fuzzy Incidence cycle. μ_1 and μ_2 are the edge sets of $\widetilde{P_m}$ and $\widetilde{C_n}$ incident with σ_1 and σ_2 , ψ_1 be the set of FIs of σ_1 and μ_1 , ψ_2 be the set of FIs of σ_2 and μ_2 .

By Proposition 3.1, the cartesian product of any two FIGs must satisfy the following conditions

- i. $(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(v_1, t_1) \leq \sigma_1(v_1) \wedge \sigma_2(t_1)$
- ii. $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)[(v_1, t_1)(v_2, t_2)] \le (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(v_1, t_1) \land (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(v_2, t_2)$
- iii. $(\psi_1 \times \psi_2)[(v_1, t_1), (v_1, t_1)(v_2, t_2) \le (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(v_1, t_1) \land (\mu_1 \times \mu_2)[(v_1, t_1)(v_2, t_2)]$

Thus $\sigma = \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2$ is the vertex set of the cartesian product of FIP and Fuzzy Incidence cycle with *mn* vertices and maximum degree $\Delta' = 4.\mu = \mu_1 \times \mu_2$ be the edge set incident with σ and $\psi = \psi_1 \times \psi_2$ be the FI set of σ and μ .



Fig. 3.7. FIC on the cartesian product of FIPs $\widetilde{P}_3 \times \widetilde{P}_4$.

Color all of the adjacent FIs of the cartesian product of the FIG with minimum colors by Definition 2.7.

By Theorem 3.1, the cartesian product for any two FIPs with $\Delta' = 4$ needs exactly 6 colors. Since the cartesian product between any two FIGs will be constructed as a mesh initially then the edges may be incident with vertices if the graph is a Fuzzy Incidence cycle.

Thus the FICN of the cartesian product between FIP and Fuzzy Incidence cycle has its upper bound 8, i.e. $\chi_{\psi} \left(\widetilde{P_m} \times \widetilde{C_n} \right) \le 8$.

For every
$$m \ge 2, n \ge 3\chi_{\psi}\left(\widetilde{C_m} \times \widetilde{P_n}\right) \le 8.$$

Theorem 3.3. If $\widetilde{C_m}$ and $\widetilde{C_n}$ are the Fuzzy Incidence cycles with 'm' and 'n' vertices, then, the lower bound of FICN is $\chi_{\psi}\left(\widetilde{C_m} \times \widetilde{C_n}\right) \leq 8$, where $m \geq 3$ and $n \geq m$.

Proof: Let $\widetilde{C}_m(\sigma_1, \mu_1, \psi_1)$ and $\widetilde{C}_n(\sigma_2, \mu_2, \psi_2)$ be the Fuzzy Incidence cycles with vertices $m \ge 3andn \ge m$.

Applying the cartesian product on $\widetilde{C_m}$ and $\widetilde{C_n}$ we get $\widetilde{C_m} \times \widetilde{C_n}$ as a FIG on *mn* vertices satisfies as per Definition 3.1 and Proposition 3.1.

Suppose in $\widetilde{C_m} \times \widetilde{C_n}$ there exist m independently fuzzy cycles with n vertices such that $\widetilde{C_1}$, $\widetilde{C_2}, \ldots, \widetilde{C_m}$. Consider the cycle $\widetilde{C_1}$ for any m vertices, by Definition 2.7 assigning distinct colors alternatively to its adjacent incidences. In such a way



Fig. 3.8. Fuzzy incidence cycle \widetilde{C}_4 .

use the combinations of the colors applied in C_1 for the remaining cycles existing in the cartesian product $\widetilde{C_m} \times \widetilde{C_n}$ without repeating the same color in its adjacent incidences.

The FICN required to color the cartesian product of $\widetilde{C_m} \times \widetilde{C_n}$ with $m \ge 3$ and $n \ge m$ needs a minimum of eight colors.

Hence $\chi_{\psi}\left(\widetilde{C_m}\times\widetilde{C_n}\right)\leq 8.\blacksquare$

Example 3.3. Let us consider two Fuzzy Incidence cycles $\widetilde{C_4}$ and $\widetilde{C_3}$ with 4 and 3 vertices whose degree is 2 represented in Figs. 3.8 and 3.9.

Applying the cartesian product of Fuzzy Incidence cycles \widetilde{C}_4 and \widetilde{C}_3 such that $\widetilde{C}_4 \times \widetilde{C}_3$ is represented in Fig. 3.10 satisfying the following conditions

i. $(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(v_1, t_1) \leq \sigma_1(v_1) \wedge \sigma_2(t_1)$



V (t_{11}, t_{21}) (t_{11}, t_{22}) (t_{11}, t_{23}) (t_{12}, t_{21}) (t_{12}, t_{22}) (t_{12}, t_{23}) 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.4 0.4 0.4 σ V (t_{13}, t_{21}) (t_{13}, t_{22}) (t_{13}, t_{23}) (t_{14}, t_{21}) (t_{14}, t_{22}) (t_{14}, t_{23}) 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.3 0.3 0.3 σ

Ε	$(t_{11},t_{21})(t_{11},t_{22})$	$(t_{11}, t_{21})(t_{12}, t_{21})$	$(t_{11}, t_{21})(t_{11}, t_{23})$	$(t_{11}, t_{21})(t_{14}, t_{21})$
μ	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3
Е	$(t_{11},t_{22})(t_{11},t_{23})$	$(t_{11},t_{22})(t_{12},t_{22})$	$(t_{11},t_{22})(t_{14},t_{22})$	$(t_{11}, t_{23})(t_{12}, t_{23})$
μ	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.4
Е	$(t_{11}, t_{23})(t_{14}, t_{23})$	$(t_{12}, t_{21})(t_{12}, t_{22})$	$(t_{12}, t_{21})(t_{13}, t_{21})$	$(t_{12}, t_{21})(t_{12}, t_{23})$
μ	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4
Ε	$(t_{12},t_{22})(t_{12},t_{23})$	$(t_{12},t_{22})(t_{13},t_{22})$	$(t_{12},t_{23})(t_{13},t_{23})$	$(t_{13}, t_{21})(t_{14}, t_{21})$
μ	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3
Ε	$(t_{13}, t_{21})(t_{13}, t_{22})$	$(t_{13}, t_{21})(t_{13}, t_{23})$	$(t_{13}, t_{22})(t_{13}, t_{23})$	$(t_{13}, t_{22})(t_{14}, t_{22})$
μ	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.3
Е	$(t_{13}, t_{23})(t_{14}, t_{23})$	$(t_{14}, t_{21})(t_{14}, t_{22})$	$(t_{14}, t_{21})(t_{14}, t_{23})$	$(t_{14}, t_{22})(t_{14}, t_{23})$
μ	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3

Fig. 3.9. Fuzzy incidence cycle \widetilde{C}_3 .

- ii. $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)[(v_1, t_1)(v_2, t_2)] \le (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(v_1, t_1) \land (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(v_2, t_2)$
- iii. $(\psi_1 \times \psi_2)[(v_1, t_1), (v_1, t_1)(v_2, t_2) \le (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(v_1, t_1) \land (\mu_1 \times \mu_2)[(v_1, t_1)(v_2, t_2)]$

The membership values of the vertex set, edge set for the cartesian product of $\widetilde{C}_4 \times \widetilde{C}_3$ with degree 4 is represented below.

Table 3.2 represents the membership functions of the fuzzy incidences as $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4, \varphi_5, \varphi_6$ and φ_7 .



$$\varphi_1(\psi_i) = \begin{cases} 0.3, i = 1, 36, 44\\ 0.4, i = 16, 18, 29\\ 0.5, i = 9\\ 0.2, i = 37 \end{cases}$$



Fig. 3.10. Cartesian product of $\widetilde{C}_4 \times \widetilde{C}_3$.

Fuzzy incidences	φ_1	φ_2	φ_3	φ_4	φ_5	φ_6	$arphi_7$	Max
$\overline{\psi}[(t_{11}, t_{21}), (t_{11}, t_{21})(t_{11}, t_{22})]$	0.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.3
$\psi [(t_{11}, t_{21}), (t_{11}, t_{21})(t_{11}, t_{23})]$	0	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi [(t_{11}, t_{21}), (t_{11}, t_{21}) (t_{12}, t_{21})]$	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0	0.4
$\psi [(t_{11}, t_{21}), (t_{11}, t_{21})(t_{14}, t_{21})]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.3	0.3
$\psi [(t_{11}, t_{22}), (t_{11}, t_{21})(t_{11}, t_{22})]$	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi [(t_{11}, t_{22}), (t_{11}, t_{22})(t_{11}, t_{23})]$	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0.5
$\psi [(t_{11}, t_{22}), (t_{11}, t_{22}) (t_{12}, t_{22})]$	0	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi [(t_{11}, t_{22}), (t_{11}, t_{22})(t_{14}, t_{22})]$	0	0	0	0.3	0	0	0	0.3
$\psi [(t_{11}, t_{23}), (t_{11}, t_{22}) (t_{11}, t_{23})]$	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi [(t_{11}, t_{23}), (t_{11}, t_{23}) (t_{12}, t_{23})]$	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi [(t_{11}, t_{23}), (t_{11}, t_{21}) (t_{11}, t_{23})]$	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi [(t_{11}, t_{23}), (t_{11}, t_{23}), (t_{14}, t_{23})]$	0	0	0	0	0.3	0	0	0.3
$\psi [(t_{12}, t_{21}), (t_{11}, t_{21})(t_{12}, t_{21})]$	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi [(t_{12}, t_{21}), (t_{12}, t_{21}) (t_{12}, t_{22})]$	0	0	0.3	0	0	0	0	0.3
$\psi [(t_{12}, t_{21}), (t_{12}, t_{21})(t_{12}, t_{23})]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.3	0.3
$\psi[(t_{12}, t_{21}), (t_{12}, t_{21})(t_{13}, t_{21})]$	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi[(t_{12}, t_{22}), (t_{12}, t_{21})(t_{12}, t_{22})]$	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0	0.4
ψ [(t_{12}, t_{22}), (t_{11}, t_{22})(t_{12}, t_{22})]	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi[(t_{12}, t_{22}), (t_{12}, t_{22})(t_{12}, t_{23})]$	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi[(t_{12}, t_{22}), (t_{12}, t_{22})(t_{13}, t_{22})]$	0	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	0.4
ψ [(t_{12}, t_{23}), (t_{12}, t_{22})(t_{12}, t_{23})]	0	0	0.3	0	0	0	0	0.3
ψ [(t_{12}, t_{23}), (t_{11}, t_{23}) (t_{12}, t_{23})]	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0	0.4
ψ [(t_{12}, t_{23}), (t_{12}, t_{21}) (t_{12}, t_{23})]	0	0	0	0	0.3	0	0	0.3
ψ [(t_{12}, t_{23}), (t_{12}, t_{23}) (t_{13}, t_{23})]	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	0	0.4
ψ [(t_{13}, t_{21}), (t_{12}, t_{21}) (t_{13}, t_{21})]	0	0	0	0	0	0.3	0	0.3
$\psi [(t_{13}, t_{21}), (t_{13}, t_{21}) (t_{13}, t_{22})]$	0	0	0	0	0.3	0	0	0.3
ψ [(t_{13}, t_{21}), (t_{13}, t_{21}) (t_{13}, t_{23})]	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	0	0.4
ψ [(t_{13}, t_{21}), (t_{13}, t_{21}) (t_{14}, t_{21})]	0	0.3	0	0	0	0	0	0.3
ψ [(t_{13}, t_{22}), (t_{13}, t_{21}) (t_{13}, t_{22})]	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi [(t_{13}, t_{22}), (t_{13}, t_{22})(t_{13}, t_{23})]$	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0.5
ψ [(t_{13}, t_{22}), (t_{12}, t_{22})(t_{13}, t_{22})]	0	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi [(t_{13}, t_{22}), (t_{13}, t_{22})(t_{14}, t_{22})]$	0	0	0	0	0	0.3	0	0.3
$\psi [(t_{13}, t_{23}), (t_{13}, t_{22}) (t_{13}, t_{23})]$	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0.5
$\psi [(t_{13}, t_{23}), (t_{13}, t_{21})(t_{13}, t_{23})]$	0	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi [(t_{13}, t_{23}), (t_{12}, t_{23})(t_{13}, t_{23})]$	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi [(t_{13}, t_{23}), (t_{13}, t_{23}), (t_{14}, t_{23})]$	0.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.3
$\psi [(t_{14}, t_{21}), (t_{13}, t_{21})(t_{14}, t_{21})]$	0.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.2
$\psi [(t_{14}, t_{21}), (t_{11}, t_{21})(t_{14}, t_{21})]$	0	0	0	0.3	0	0	0	0.3
$\psi [(t_{14}, t_{21}), (t_{14}, t_{21})(t_{14}, t_{22})]$	0	0	0	0	0	0.3	0	0.3
$\psi [(t_{14}, t_{21}), (t_{14}, t_{21}) (t_{14}, t_{23})]$	0	0	0	0	0.3	0	0	0.3
$\psi [(t_{14}, t_{22}), (t_{14}, t_{21})(t_{14}, t_{22})]$	0	0.2	0	0	0	0	0	0.2
$\psi [(t_{14}, t_{22}), (t_{13}, t_{22}) (t_{14}, t_{22})]$	0	0	0	0	0.3	0	0	0.3
$\psi [(t_{14}, t_{22}), (t_{11}, t_{22})(t_{14}, t_{22})]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.3	0.3
$\psi [(t_{14}, t_{22}), (t_{14}, t_{22})(t_{14}, t_{23})]$	0.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.3
$\psi [(t_{14}, t_{23}), (t_{14}, t_{22})(t_{14}, t_{23})]$	0	0	0	0	0	0.3	0	0.3
$\psi [(t_{14}, t_{23}), (t_{14}, t_{21})(t_{14}, t_{23})]$	0	0.3	0	0	0	0	0	0.3
$\psi [(t_{14}, t_{23}), (t_{13}, t_{23})(t_{14}, t_{23})]$	0	0	0	0.3	0	0	0	0.3
$\psi \left[(t_{14}, t_{23}), (t_{11}, t_{23})(t_{14}, t_{23}) \right]$	0	0	0.3	0	0	0	0	0.3

Table 3.2 Membership functions of the Fuzzy Incidences pairs of $\widetilde{C_4}\times \widetilde{C_3}$

	0.4, i = 5, 10, 13, 19, 35		(0.3, i = 8, 38, 47)
$\varphi_2(\psi_i) = \langle$	0.3, i = 28, 46	$\varphi_4\left(\psi_i\right) = \langle$	0.4, i = 11, 24, 27
	0.2, i = 41		0.5, i = 30

$$\varphi_3(\psi_i) = \begin{cases} 0.4, i = 2, 7, 31, 34\\ 0.3, i = 14, 21, 48 \end{cases};$$

$$\varphi_4(\psi_i) = \begin{cases} 0.5, i = 8, 58, 47\\ 0.4, i = 11, 24, 27\\ 0.5, i = 30 \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi_5(\psi_i) = \begin{cases} 0.3, i = 12, 22, 25, 40, 42\\ 0.4, i = 17\\ 0.5, i = 33 \end{cases};$$



Fig. 3.11. FIC on cartesian product of $\widetilde{C}_4 \times \widetilde{C}_3$.

$$\varphi_6(\psi_i) = \begin{cases} 0.4, i = 3, 17, 22\\ 0.5, i = 6\\ 0.3, i = 25, 32, 39, 45 \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi_7(\psi_i) = \{0.3, i = 4, 15, 43\}$$

According to the definition of FIC by Yamuna et al. [27], the partitions set of cartesian product $\widetilde{C}_4 \times \widetilde{C}_3$ fulfills. In Fig. 3.11, the FIs in φ_1 are colored blue, φ_2 are colored pink, φ_3 are colored violet, and φ_4 are colored green. φ_5 is colored red, φ_6 is colored brown, and φ_7 is colored orange as provided.

By Theorem 3.3, $\chi_{\psi}\left(\widetilde{C_m} \times \widetilde{C_n}\right) \le 8$. Hence $\chi_{\psi}\left(\widetilde{C_4} \times \widetilde{C_3}\right) = 7 \le 8$.

Theorem 3.4. If $\widetilde{P_m} \times \widetilde{K_n}$ is a cartesian product of FIP and Fuzzy Incidence complete graph, then the FICN is $m + n \le \chi_{\psi} \left(\widetilde{P_m} \times \widetilde{K_n}\right) \le m + n + 2$.

Proof. Let $\widetilde{P_m}(\sigma_1, \mu_1, \psi_1)$ be a FIP with $m \ge 2$ vertices having degree $\Delta'_m = 2$ and $\widetilde{K_n}(\sigma_2, \mu_2, \psi_2)$ be a Fuzzy Incidence complete graph with $n \ge 3$ vertices having degree $\Delta'_n = n - 1$.

Now by the Definition 3.1 and Proposition 3.1, the cartesian product on FIP $\widetilde{P_m}$ and Fuzzy Incidence complete graph $\widetilde{K_n}$ we obtain $\widetilde{P_m} \times \widetilde{K_n}$ as a FIG with *mn* vertices having a degree $\Delta' = n$.

By Yamuna et al. [27], w.k.t the FICN for a Fuzzy Incidence complete graph is $\Delta' + 1$. In the cartesian product $\widetilde{P_m} \times \widetilde{K_n}$, $\sigma = \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2$ is the vertex set of degree Δ' , $\mu = \mu_1 \times \mu_2$ is the set of edges incidence with σ and $\psi = \psi_1 \times \psi_2$ is the set of FIs in which the adjacent FIs to be colored by distinct colors.

By Definition 2.7, color the first FI of ψ by color 1. No two FIs can be colored with the same color. Using distinct colors color all the remaining adjacent FIs of $\widetilde{P_m} \times \widetilde{K_n}$. In such a way color all the adjacent FIs using minimum colors.

We conclude that the FICN of a cartesian product of a FIP with $m \ge 2$ vertices and a Fuzzy Incidence complete graph with $n \ge 3$ vertices ranges between $m + n \le \chi_{\psi} \left(\widetilde{P_m} \times \widetilde{K_n}\right) \le m + n + 2.$

Theorem 3.5. The FICN for the cartesian product of Fuzzy Incidence cycle and Fuzzy Incidence complete graph is $\chi_{\psi}\left(\widetilde{C_m} \times \widetilde{K_n}\right) \ge m + n - 1$.

Proof. Let $\widetilde{C}_m(\sigma_1, \mu_1, \psi_1)$ be a Fuzzy Incidence cycle consisting of m number of vertices and each vertex in \widetilde{C}_m is adjacent to m - 1 vertices. We know that Fuzzy Incidence cycle denotes a closed path with non-repeated vertices having a maximum degree $\Delta'_m = 2$.

Let $K_n(\sigma_2, \mu_2, \psi_2)$ be a Fuzzy Incidence complete graph consisting of n vertices and each vertex of K_n is adjacent to n - 1 vertices. Since in Fuzzy Incidence complete graph every vertex has an n - 1 degree such that its maximum vertex degree is $\Delta'_n = n - 1$.

Now by Definition 3.1, the cartesian product of $(\widetilde{C}_m \times \widetilde{K}_n)(\sigma, \mu, \psi)$ is a Fuzzy Incidence Graph with *mn* vertices having a maximum degree $\Delta' = n + 1$.

Apply the coloring for the set of each Fuzzy Incidence pair of $\widetilde{C_m} \times \widetilde{K_n}$ using minimum colors such that none of the adjacent incidences are colored by the same color.

By Definition 2.7, color the first Fuzzy Incidence of ψ in $\widetilde{C_m} \times \widetilde{K_n}$ by color 1 and the next incidence by color 2, similarly color all the remaining adjacent Fuzzy Incidences of ψ in $\widetilde{C_m} \times \widetilde{K_n}$ by using minimum distinct colors.

Hence, we conclude that the FICN for the cartesian product of $\widetilde{C_m} \times \widetilde{K_n}$ with mn vertices having a maximum degree $\Delta' = n + 1$ is $\chi_{\psi}\left(\widetilde{C_m} \times \widetilde{K_n}\right) \ge m + n - 1$.

Theorem 3.6. The FICN for a cartesian product of two Fuzzy Incidence complete graphs is $\chi_{\psi}\left(\widetilde{K_m} \times \widetilde{K_n}\right) \leq m + n + 2.$

Proof. As every Fuzzy Incidence pair of the cartesian product of two Fuzzy Incidence complete graphs $\widetilde{K}_m \times \widetilde{K}_n$ with *mn* vertices will result in a Fuzzy Incidence complete graph.

By Yamuna et al. [27], the FICN of a Fuzzy Incidence complete graph with *n* vertices and maximum degree $\Delta' = n - 1$ is $\Delta' + 1$.

Here are the two Fuzzy Incidence complete graphs with *m* and *n* vertices having maximum degree $\Delta'_m = m - 1$ and $\Delta'_n = n - 1$ such that the cartesian product graph $\widetilde{K}_m \times \widetilde{K}_n$ with *mn* vertices will exist with maximum degree $\Delta' = m + n + 2$.

Now coloring all the adjacent FIs of the cartesian product graph $\widetilde{K_m} \times \widetilde{K_n}$ using minimum distinct colors will result in an FICN $\chi_{\psi} \left(\widetilde{K_m} \times \widetilde{K_n}\right) \le m + n + 2$.

Example 3.4. Let us consider two Fuzzy Incidence complete graphs with 4 vertices whose degree is 4 - 1 namely \widetilde{K}_4 and \widetilde{K}_4 as represented in Figs. 3.12 and 3.13.

Now taking the cartesian product of K_4 and K_4 we obtain the membership values of the vertex set V, edge set E and the Fuzzy Incidence pair sets FI satisfying the following conditions

- (i) $(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(v_1, t_1) \leq \sigma_1(v_1) \wedge \sigma_2(t_1)$
- (ii) $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)[(v_1, t_1)(v_2, t_2)] \le (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(v_1, t_1) \land (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(v_2, t_2)$
- (iii) $(\psi_1 \times \psi_2)[(v_1, t_1), (v_1, t_1)(v_2, t_2) \le (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)(v_1, t_1) \land$
 - $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2) [(v_1, t_1) (v_2, t_2)]$

V	(t_{11}, t_{21})	(t_{11}, t_{22})	(t_{11}, t_{23})	(t_{11}, t_{24})
σ	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.5
V	(t_{12}, t_{21})	(t_{12}, t_{22})	(t_{12}, t_{23})	(t_{12}, t_{24})
σ	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.5
V	(t_{13}, t_{21})	(t_{13}, t_{22})	(t_{13}, t_{23})	(t_{13}, t_{24})
σ	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.5
V	(t_{14}, t_{21})	(t_{14}, t_{22})	(t_{14}, t_{23})	(t_{14}, t_{24})
σ	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.5

The cartesian product graph $\widetilde{K}_4 \times \widetilde{K}_4$ is represented in Fig. 3.14 with membership values of vertex sets and edge sets.

Table 3.3 represents the membership functions of the FIs as $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4, \varphi_5, \varphi_6, \varphi_7, \varphi_8, \varphi_9$ and φ_{10} .



Fig. 3.12. Fuzzy Incidence complete graph \widetilde{K}_4 .



Fig. 3.13. Fuzzy Incidence complete graph K_4 .

7	7	6	9

_								
Ε	$(t_{11},t_{21})(t_{11},t_{22})$	$(t_{11}, t_{21})(t_{11}, t_{23})$	$(t_{11},t_{21})(t_{11},t_{24})$	$(t_{11}, t_{21})(t_{12}, t_{21})$				
μ	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.5				
E	$(t_{11}, t_{21})(t_{13}, t_{21})$	$(t_{11}, t_{21})(t_{14}, t_{21})$	$(t_{11}, t_{22})(t_{11}, t_{23})$	$(t_{11}, t_{22})(t_{11}, t_{24})$				
μ	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.4				
E	$(t_{11}, t_{22})(t_{12}, t_{22})$	$(t_{11}, t_{22})(t_{13}, t_{22})$	$(t_{11}, t_{22})(t_{14}, t_{22})$	$(t_{11}, t_{23})(t_{11}, t_{24})$				
μ	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5				
E	$(t_{11}, t_{23})(t_{12}, t_{23})$	$(t_{11}, t_{23})(t_{13}, t_{23})$	$(t_{11}, t_{23})(t_{14}, t_{23})$	$(t_{11}, t_{24})(t_{12}, t_{24})$				
μ	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5				
E	$(t_{11}, t_{24})(t_{13}, t_{24})$	$(t_{11}, t_{24})(t_{14}, t_{24})$	$(t_{12}, t_{21})(t_{12}, t_{22})$	$(t_{12}, t_{21})(t_{12}, t_{23})$				
μ	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.5				
E	$(t_{12}, t_{21})(t_{12}, t_{23})$	$(t_{12}, t_{21})(t_{13}, t_{21})$	$(t_{12}, t_{21})(t_{14}, t_{21})$	$(t_{12}, t_{22})(t_{12}, t_{23})$				
μ	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4				
E	$(t_{12}, t_{22})(t_{12}, t_{24})$	$(t_{12}, t_{22})(t_{13}, t_{22})$	$(t_{12}, t_{22})(t_{14}, t_{22})$	$(t_{12}, t_{23})(t_{12}, t_{24})$				
μ	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5				
E	$(t_{12}, t_{23})(t_{13}, t_{23})$	$(t_{12}, t_{23})(t_{14}, t_{23})$	$(t_{12}, t_{24})(t_{13}, t_{24})$	$(t_{12}, t_{24})(t_{14}, t_{24})$				
μ	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5				
E	$(t_{13}, t_{21})(t_{13}, t_{22})$	$(t_{13}, t_{21})(t_{14}, t_{21})$	$(t_{13}, t_{21})(t_{13}, t_{23})$	$(t_{13}, t_{21})(t_{13}, t_{24})$				
μ	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.5				
E	$(t_{13},t_{22})(t_{13},t_{23})$	$(t_{13},t_{22})(t_{13},t_{24})$	$(t_{13},t_{22})(t_{14},t_{22})$	$(t_{13}, t_{23})(t_{13}, t_{24})$				
μ	0.4	0.4s	0.4	0.5				
E	$(t_{13}, t_{23})(t_{14}, t_{23})$	$(t_{13}, t_{24})(t_{14}, t_{24})$	$(t_{14}, t_{21})(t_{14}, t_{22})$	$(t_{14}, t_{21})(t_{14}, t_{23})$				
μ	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.5				
E	$(t_{14}, t_{21})(t_{14}, t_{24})$	$(t_{14}, t_{22})(t_{14}, t_{23})$	$(t_{14}, t_{22})(t_{14}, t_{24})$	$(t_{14}, t_{23})(t_{14}, t_{24})$				
μ	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.5				

Thus

$$\varphi_1\left(\psi_i\right) = \begin{cases} 0.4, i = 1, 14, 32, 57, 83\\ 0.5, i = 22, 29, 40, 66, 68, 75, 96 \end{cases};$$

$$\varphi_2(\psi_i) = \begin{cases} 0.6, i = 2, 61\\ 0.5, i = 15, 20, 38, 53, 74, 87\\ 0.4, i = 45, 55, 80 \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi_3\left(\psi_i\right) = \begin{cases} 0.5, i = 3, 43, 72, 78, 90\\ 0.4, i = 10, 26, 39, 56, 84 ;\\ 0.6, i = 64 \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi_{4}(\psi_{i}) = \begin{cases} 0.5, i = 4, 21, 37, 47, 50, 65, 93\\ 0.4, i = 8, 31, 60, 76, 86 \end{cases}$$
$$\varphi_{5}(\psi_{i}) = \begin{cases} 0.6, i = 5\\ 0.5, i = 41, 67, 92 \end{cases};$$
$$\varphi_{6}(\psi_{i}) = \begin{cases} 0.5, i = 6, 24, 41, 44, 71, 89\\ 0.4, i = 10, 26, 39, 56, 84\\ 0.6, i = 64 \end{cases}$$
$$\varphi_{7}(\psi_{i}) = \begin{cases} 0.4, i = 7, 33, 59, 82\\ 0.5, i = 41, 67, 92 \end{cases};$$
$$\varphi_{8}(\psi_{i}) = \begin{cases} 0.4, i = 9, 11, 35, 51, 63, 70, 81\\ 0.5, i = 18, 23, 28, 73, 91 \end{cases}$$
$$\varphi_{9}(\psi_{i}) = \begin{cases} 0.5, i = 30, 48, 88\\ 0.4, i = 36\\ 0.6, i = 49 \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi_{10}\left(\psi_{i}\right) = \begin{cases} 0.4, i = 12, 34, 95\\ 0.5, i = 27, 69, 77\\ 0.6, i = 13 \end{cases}$$

The above set of partitions of cartesian product $\widetilde{K}_4 \times \widetilde{K}_4$ satisfies the definition of FIC by [27]. In Fig. 3.15, the FIs in φ_1 colored pink, φ_2 colored brown, φ_3 colored yellow, φ_4 colored blue, φ_5 colored dark green, φ_6 colored orange, φ_7 colored violet, φ_8 colored light green, φ_9 colored navy blue and φ_{10} colored red.

Therefore, by Theorem 3.6 the FICN of the cartesian product $\chi_{\psi}\left(\widetilde{K_m} \times \widetilde{K_n}\right) \le m + n + 2.$

i.e $\chi_{\psi}\left(\widetilde{K_4} \times \widetilde{K_4}\right) \le 4 + 4 + 2$

Thus, the minimum colors required to color the graph $\widetilde{K}_4 \times \widetilde{K}_4$ is 10.

4. Comparative study

Rosyida et al. [20] constructed the formula for the FCN for the cartesian product between two fuzzy graphs provided with algorithm. Using the cartesian product of a fuzzy path and a fuzzy cycle, Jethruth Emelda Mary et al. [7] determined the boundaries for



Fig. 3.14. Cartesian product of $\widetilde{K}_4 \times \widetilde{K}_4$.



Fig. 3.15. FICN on cartesian product of $\widetilde{K}_4 \times \widetilde{K}_4$.

the FCN of a fuzzy graph. Because of this, the FCN for the cartesian product of fuzzy path with vertices and fuzzy cycle with vertices will either be three or

two. Three if m is even, n is odd, two if m is odd, n is even, two if m and n are even, three if m and n are odd. Therefore, when the model is taken into account in

Table 3.3 Membership functions of FIs on $\widetilde{K_4}\times\widetilde{K_4}$

Fuzzy Incidences	φ_1	φ_2	φ_3	φ_4	φ_5	φ_6	$arphi_7$	φ_8	φ_9	φ_{10}	Max
$\frac{1}{\psi\left[\left(t_{11}, t_{21}\right), \left(t_{11}, t_{21}\right) \left(t_{11}, t_{22}\right)\right]}$	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4
ψ [(t_{11}, t_{21}), (t_{11}, t_{21}) (t_{11}, t_{23})]	0	0.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.6
$\psi \left[(t_{11}, t_{21}), (t_{11}, t_{21}) (t_{11}, t_{24}) \right]$	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi \left[(t_{11}, t_{21}), (t_{11}, t_{21}) (t_{12}, t_{21}) \right]$	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi \left[(t_{11}, t_{21}), (t_{11}, t_{21}) (t_{13}, t_{21}) \right]$	0	0	0	0	0.6	0	0	0	0	0	0.6
$\psi \left[(t_{11}, t_{21}), (t_{11}, t_{21}) (t_{14}, t_{21}) \right]$	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi \left[(t_{11}, t_{22}), (t_{11}, t_{21})(t_{11}, t_{22}) \right]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi \left[(t_{11}, t_{22}), (t_{11}, t_{22}) (t_{11}, t_{23}) \right]$	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi \left[(t_{11}, t_{22}), (t_{11}, t_{22}) (t_{11}, t_{24}) \right]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	0.4
$\psi \left[(t_{11}, t_{22}), (t_{11}, t_{22}) (t_{12}, t_{22}) \right]$	0	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi [(t_{11}, t_{22}), (t_{11}, t_{22})(t_{13}, t_{22})]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	0.4
$\psi[(t_{11}, t_{22}), (t_{11}, t_{22})(t_{14}, t_{22})]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0.4
$\psi[(t_{11}, t_{23}), (t_{11}, t_{21})(t_{11}, t_{23})]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.6	0.6
$\psi[(t_{11}, t_{23}), (t_{11}, t_{22})(t_{11}, t_{23})].$	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi[(t_{11}, t_{23}), (t_{11}, t_{23})(t_{11}, t_{24})]$	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi[(t_{11}, t_{23}), (t_{11}, t_{23})(t_{12}, t_{23})]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi[(t_{11}, t_{23}), (t_{11}, t_{23})(t_{13}, t_{23})]$	0	0	0	0	0	0.6	0	0	0	0	0.6
$\psi[(t_{11}, t_{23}), (t_{11}, t_{23})(t_{14}, t_{23})]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0.5
$\psi[(t_{11}, t_{24}), (t_{11}, t_{21})(t_{11}, t_{24})]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi[(t_{11}, t_{24}), (t_{11}, t_{22})(t_{11}, t_{24})]$	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi[(I_{11}, I_{24}), (I_{11}, I_{23})(I_{11}, I_{24})]$	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi[(t_{11}, t_{24}), (t_{11}, t_{24})(t_{12}, t_{24})]$	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0.5
$\psi[(I_{11}, I_{24}), (I_{11}, I_{24})(I_{13}, I_{24})]$	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0.5	0	0	0.5
$\psi [(l_{11}, l_{24}), (l_{11}, l_{24})(l_{14}, l_{24})]$	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0.5	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi \left[(l_{12}, l_{21}), (l_{11}, l_{21}) (l_{12}, l_{21}) \right]$	0	0	0.4	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0.3
$\psi [(l_{12}, l_{21}), (l_{12}, l_{21})(l_{12}, l_{22})]$	0	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0.4
$\psi [(l_{12}, l_{21}), (l_{12}, l_{21})(l_{12}, l_{23})]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0.5	0.5
$\psi [(l_{12}, l_{21}), (l_{12}, l_{21})(l_{12}, l_{24})]$	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0.5
$\psi [(l_{12}, l_{21}), (l_{12}, l_{21})(l_{13}, l_{21})]$	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0.5
$\psi [(i_{12}, i_{21}), (i_{12}, i_{21}), (i_{14}, i_{21})]$	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0.5
$\psi [(t_{12}, t_{22}), (t_{12}, t_{21})(t_{12}, t_{22})]$	0.4	0	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi [(t_{12}, t_{22}), (t_{11}, t_{22})(t_{12}, t_{22})]$	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi [(t_{12}, t_{22}), (t_{12}, t_{22}), (t_{12}, t_{23})]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	04	0.4
$\psi [(t_{12}, t_{22}), (t_{12}, t_{22}), (t_{12}, t_{24})]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	04	0	0.4	0.4
ψ [(t_{12}, t_{22}), (t_{12}, t_{22}) (t_{13}, t_{22})] ψ [(t_{12}, t_{22}), (t_{12}, t_{22}) (t_{13}, t_{22})]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	04	0	0.4
ψ [(12, 122), (12, 122) (14, 122)] ψ [(12, 122) (11, 122) (12, 122)]	Ő	0	0	0.5	Ő	Ő	Ő	Ő	0	Ő	0.5
ψ [(t ₁₂ , t ₂₃), (t ₁₁ , t ₂₃) (t ₁₂ , t ₂₃)] ψ [(t ₁₂ , t ₂₃), (t ₁₁ , t ₂₁) (t ₁₂ , t ₂₃)]	Ő	0.5	Ő	0	Ő	Ő	Ő	0	0	Ő	0.5
ψ [(t ₁₂ , t ₂₃), (t ₁₁ , t ₂₁), (t ₁₂ , t ₂₃)] ψ [(t ₁₂ , t ₂₃), (t ₁₂ , t ₂₃)]	Ő	0	0.4	0	Ő	Ő	Ő	Ő	0	Ő	0.4
ψ [(t12, t23), (t12, t22) (t12, t23)] ψ [(t12, t23), (t12, t23) (t12, t24)]	0.5	Ő	0	0	Ő	Ő	Ő	0	0	Ő	0.5
$\psi[(t_{12}, t_{23}), (t_{12}, t_{23}), (t_{12}, t_{23})]$	0	Ő	Ő	Ő	0	0.5	0	0	Ő	0	0.5
$\psi [(t_{12}, t_{23}), (t_{12}, t_{23}), (t_{14}, t_{23})]$	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
ψ [(t12, t24), (t11, t24) (t12, t24)]	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi[(t_{12}, t_{24}), (t_{11}, t_{24}), (t_{12}, t_{24})]$	0	Õ	0	Õ	0	0.5	0	0	Õ	0	0.5
ψ [(t ₁₂ , t ₂₄), (t ₁₂ , t ₂₂) (t ₁₂ , t ₂₄)]	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi[(t_{12}, t_{24}), (t_{12}, t_{23})(t_{12}, t_{24})]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi[(t_{12}, t_{24}), (t_{12}, t_{24}), (t_{13}, t_{24})]$	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
ψ [(t ₁₂ , t ₂₄), (t ₁₂ , t ₂₄) (t ₁₃ , t ₂₄)] ψ [(t ₁₂ , t ₂₄), (t ₁₂ , t ₂₄) (t ₁₄ , t ₂₃)]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0.5
$\psi[(t_{13}, t_{21}), (t_{11}, t_{21})(t_{13}, t_{21})]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.6	0	0.6
$\psi[(t_{13}, t_{21}), (t_{12}, t_{21}), (t_{13}, t_{21})]$	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi[(t_{13}, t_{21}), (t_{13}, t_{21})(t_{13}, t_{22})]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	0.4
$\psi[(t_{13}, t_{21}), (t_{13}, t_{21}), (t_{13}, t_{23})]$	0	0	0	0	0	0.6	0	0	0	0	0.6
$\psi [(t_{13}, t_{21}), (t_{13}, t_{21}), (t_{13}, t_{24})]$	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi[(t_{13}, t_{21}), (t_{13}, t_{21})(t_{14}, t_{21})]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi[(t_{13}, t_{22}), (t_{11}, t_{22})(t_{13}, t_{22})]$	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4
ψ [(t_{13}, t_{22}), (t_{12}, t_{22})(t_{13}, t_{22})]	0	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi [(t_{13}, t_{22}), (t_{13}, t_{21})(t_{13}, t_{22})]$	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4

(Continued)

Table 3.3
Continued

(Continuea)											
Fuzzy Incidences	φ_1	φ_2	φ_3	φ_4	φ_5	φ_6	$arphi_7$	φ_8	φ_9	$arphi_{10}$	Max
$\psi \left[(t_{13}, t_{22}), (t_{13}, t_{22})(t_{13}, t_{23}) \right]$	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi \left[(t_{13}, t_{22}), (t_{13}, t_{22})(t_{13}, t_{24}) \right]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi \left[(t_{13}, t_{22}), (t_{13}, t_{22})(t_{14}, t_{22}) \right]$	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi \left[(t_{13}, t_{23}), (t_{11}, t_{23})(t_{13}, t_{23}) \right]$	0	0.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.6
$\psi \left[(t_{13}, t_{23}), (t_{12}, t_{23})(t_{13}, t_{23}) \right]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi \left[(t_{13}, t_{23}), (t_{13}, t_{22})(t_{13}, t_{23}) \right]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	0.4
$\psi \left[(t_{13}, t_{23}), (t_{13}, t_{21})(t_{13}, t_{23}) \right]$	0	0	0.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.6
$\psi \left[(t_{13}, t_{23}), (t_{13}, t_{23})(t_{13}, t_{24}) \right]$	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi \left[(t_{13}, t_{23}), (t_{13}, t_{23})(t_{14}, t_{23}) \right]$	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi \left[(t_{13}, t_{24}), (t_{11}, t_{24})(t_{13}, t_{24}) \right]$	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi \left[(t_{13}, t_{24}), (t_{12}, t_{24})(t_{13}, t_{24}) \right]$	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi \left[(t_{13}, t_{24}), (t_{13}, t_{21})(t_{13}, t_{24}) \right]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0.5
$\psi \left[(t_{13}, t_{24}), (t_{13}, t_{22})(t_{13}, t_{24}) \right]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	0.4
$\psi \left[(t_{13}, t_{24}), (t_{13}, t_{23})(t_{13}, t_{24}) \right]$	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi \left[(t_{13}, t_{24}), (t_{13}, t_{24})(t_{14}, t_{24}) \right]$	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi \left[(t_{14}, t_{21}), (t_{11}, t_{21})(t_{14}, t_{21}) \right]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0.5
$\psi \left[(t_{14}, t_{21}), (t_{12}, t_{21})(t_{14}, t_{21}) \right]$	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi \left[(t_{14}, t_{21}), (t_{13}, t_{21})(t_{14}, t_{21}) \right]$	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi \left[(t_{14}, t_{21}), (t_{14}, t_{21})(t_{14}, t_{22}) \right]$	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi \left[(t_{14}, t_{21}), (t_{14}, t_{21})(t_{14}, t_{23}) \right]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0.5
$\psi \left[(t_{14}, t_{21}), (t_{14}, t_{21})(t_{14}, t_{24}) \right]$	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi \left[(t_{14}, t_{22}), (t_{11}, t_{22})(t_{14}, t_{22}) \right]$	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi \left[(t_{14}, t_{22}), (t_{12}, t_{22})(t_{14}, t_{22}) \right]$	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi \left[(t_{14}, t_{22}), (t_{13}, t_{22})(t_{14}, t_{22}) \right]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	0.4
$\psi \left[(t_{14}, t_{22}), (t_{14}, t_{21})(t_{14}, t_{22}) \right]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi \left[(t_{14}, t_{22}), (t_{14}, t_{22})(t_{14}, t_{23}) \right]$	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi \left[(t_{14}, t_{22}), (t_{14}, t_{22})(t_{14}, t_{24}) \right]$	0	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi \left[(t_{14}, t_{23}), (t_{14}, t_{21})(t_{14}, t_{23}) \right]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi \left[(t_{14}, t_{23}), (t_{14}, t_{22})(t_{14}, t_{23}) \right]$	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4
$\psi \left[(t_{14}, t_{23}), (t_{11}, t_{23})(t_{14}, t_{23}) \right]$	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi \left[(t_{14}, t_{23}), (t_{12}, t_{23})(t_{14}, t_{23}) \right]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0.5
$\psi \left[(t_{14}, t_{23}), (t_{13}, t_{23})(t_{14}, t_{23}) \right]$	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi \left[\left(t_{14}, t_{23} \right), \left(t_{14}, t_{23} \right) \left(t_{14}, t_{23} \right) \right]$	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi \left[(t_{14}, t_{24}), (t_{11}, t_{24})(t_{14}, t_{24}) \right]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0.5
$\psi \left[(t_{14}, t_{24}), (t_{12}, t_{24}) (t_{14}, t_{24}) \right]$	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi \left[(t_{14}, t_{24}), (t_{13}, t_{24}) (t_{14}, t_{24}) \right]$	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi \left[(t_{14}, t_{24}), (t_{14}, t_{21})(t_{14}, t_{24}) \right]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0.5
$\psi \left[(t_{14}, t_{24}), (t_{14}, t_{22})(t_{14}, t_{24}) \right]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0.4
$\psi \left[(t_{14}, t_{24}), (t_{14}, t_{23})(t_{14}, t_{24}) \right]$	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5

FIGs, these results are not efficient. In order to achieve FICN we have implemented the new notion, which is the extension of incidence coloring with ambiguity scenarios. The cartesian product between the FIP with $m \ge 2$ vertices and Fuzzy Incidence cycle with $n \ge 2$ vertices is ≤ 8 . Even though there are more colors than there are in FC, it takes less time. This idea is highly useful in situations where preserving lives is necessary. Because of this, the findings for the cartesian product between any two FIGs in the present article are significant for two-way communication in critical situations.

5. Applications

The FIC is crucial in sorting out risk variables that arise in many real-time applications, including traffic systems, immigration, network communications, defence, and cyber security. Approximately twice as many lives are saved by it as by the FC. It does so quickly and efficiently. Our defence systems wish to safeguard at the border in a in a twofold way, saving lives of people and the security systems of our country to prevent severe losses. This is true when safety measures are to be implemented to protect the public from other countries. The vertex and edge are the camps at the boundary line and the path between them, respectively. A FIG is created by connecting each camp to the other via the path. If all the camps are considered, it will be laborious and time-consuming. The cartesian product between any two neighbouring FIGs may produce a number of paths depending on the vertices and the graphs properties, allowing us to avoid the complexity. Along with the boundary lines that the soldiers in those two squads drew, this causes the paths already there in that network to condense. To protect our country and its security systems, it will be simple to stop any unauthorised access made by other nations in this situation with minimal time by the FIC on the cartesian product of two FIGs.

6. Advantages and limitations

In comparison to FC, the time required is relatively little, making it possible to safeguard security systems and save lives without incurring any damage.

For these processes, more labour and technology are needed when the graph is complex. With less time spent, this technique can save lives.

7. Conclusion

The FICN for the cartesian product between FIGs has been investigated in this study.

The goal of this research is

- To figure out the FICN bounds for the cartesian product of two FIGs.
- To provide FIC to the cartesian product with two FIPs, two Fuzzy Incidence cycles, two Fuzzy Incidence complete graphs, FIP and Fuzzy Incidence cycle, FIP and Fuzzy Incidence complete graph, Fuzzy Incidence cycle and Fuzzy Incidence complete graph.

The achievements and significance of this study offered bounds for several cartesian products of FIGs with FIC.

8. Future work

Although we have dealt with one of the operations, such as cartesian product on some FIGs, there are few scopes for further research which could be undertaken by researchers. More discoveries on FIC with bounds for different types of products such as tensor product, normal product, modular product, homomorphic product, box dot product, and star product on FIGs can be introduced. FIC can be applied to medical image diagnosis, wireless communication networks and also for allocating jobs on a website. Applications such as illicit migration, human trafficking can also be addressed. The algorithm and programme created by Yamuna et al. [27] for FIC on cycles with any vertices will be expanded in subsequent studies such that the cartesian product of any number of vertices of any two FIGs in order to meet the application problem indicated in this article.

References

- Alexander Chane Shiau, Tzong-Huei Shiau and Yue-Li Wang, Incidence coloring of Cartesian product graphs, *Information Processing Letters* 115(10) (2015), 765–768. doi:10.1016/j.ipl.2015.05.002
- [2] Anjaly Kishore and M.S. Sunitha, Chromatic number of fuzzy graphs, *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics* 7(4) (2014), 543–551.
- [3] Arindam Dey and Anitha Pal, Vertex coloring of a fuzzy graph using alpa cut, *International Journal of Management*, *IT and Engineering* 2(8) (2012), 340–352.
- [4] I. Cheng-Huang, Yue-Li Wang and Sheng-Shiung, The incidence coloring numbers of meshes, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* 48(10) (2004), 1643–1649. doi.10.1016/j.camwa.2004.02.006
- [5] T. Dinesh, Ph. D. Thesis, A Study on Graph Structures, Incidence Algebras and Their Fuzzy Analogues, Kannur University, Kerala, India, (2012).
- [6] T. Dinesh, Fuzzy incidence graph an introduction, Advances in Fuzzy Sets and Systems 21 (2016), 33–48. doi: 10.17654/FS021010033
- [7] L. Jethruth Emelda Mary, K. Ameenal Bibi, Fuzzy Chromatic Number of Fuzzy Graph formed from the cartesian product of fuzzy path and fuzzy cycle, *Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research* 6(4) (2019), 694–709.
- [8] Liu Xikui and Li Yan, The incidence chromatic number of some graph, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences* 2005 (2005), 803–813. doi:10.1155/IJMMS.2005.803
- [9] Madhumangal Pal, Sovan Samanta and Ganesh Ghorai, Modern Trends in Fuzzy Graph Theory. Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd., (2020). doi:10.1007/978-981-15-8803-7
- [10] J.N. Mordeson and Chang-Shyh Peng, Operations on fuzzy graphs, *Information Sciences* **79** (1994), 159–170. doi:10.1016/0020-0255(94)90116-3
- [11] J.N. Mordeson, Fuzzy incidence graphs, Advances in Fuzzy Sets and Systems 21 (2016), 121–133. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-76454-2_3
- [12] S. Munoz, T. Ortuno, J. Ramirez and J. Yanez, Coloring fuzzy graphs, *Omega* 33(3) (2005), 211–221. doi: 10.1016/j.omega.2004.04.006

- [13] Petr Gregor, Borut Lužar and Roman Soták, On incidence coloring conjecture in Cartesian products of graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* **213** (2016), 93–100. doi:10.1016/j.dam.2016.04.030
- [14] S. Poulik and G. Ghorai, Certain indices of graphs under bipolar fuzzy environment with applications, *Soft Computing* (2019), 1–13. doi:10.1007/s00500-019-04265-z
- [15] S. Poulik and G. Ghorai, Detour g-interior nodes and detour g-boundary nodes in bipolar fuzzy graph with applications, *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics & Statistics* (2019), 1–14. doi: 10.15672/HJMS.2019.666
- [16] S. Poulik and G. Ghorai, Note on bipolar fuzzy graphs with applications, *Knowledge-Based Systems* (2019), 1–5. doi: 10.1016/j.knosys.2019.105315
- [17] A. Brualdi Richard and J. Quinn Massey Jennifer, Incidence and strong edge colorings of graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 122 (1993), 51–58. doi: 10.1016/0012-365X(93)90286-3
- [18] Rosyida, Widodo, Ch. R. Indrati and D. Indriati, On fuzzy chromatic number of cartesian product of some fuzzy graphs and its application, *Advances and Applications in Discrete Mathematics* **20**(2) (2019), 237–252.
- [19] Rosyida, Widodo, Ch. R. Indrati and D. Indriati, Algorithms to determine fuzzy chromatic number of cartesian product and join of fuzzy graphs, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 1489 (2020), 012005, 1–10.
- [20] Rosyida, Widodo, Ch. R. Indrati and D. Indriati, On construction of fuzzy chromatic number of cartesian product of path and other fuzzy graphs, *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems* 39(1) (2020), 1073–1080. doi: 10.3233/JIFS-191982

- [21] Rupkumar Mahapatra, Sovan Samanta and Madhumangal Pal, Applications of Edge Colouring of Fuzzy Graphs, *Informatica* **31**(2) (2020), 313–330. doi.org/10.15388/20-INFOR403
- [22] Sandi Klavar, Coloring graph products A survey, Discrete Mathematics 155 (1996), 135–145.
- [23] Shovan Dogra, Different types of products of fuzzy graphs, Progress in Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos 3 (2015), 41–56.
- [24] Sunil Mathew and J.N. Mordeson, Connectivity concepts in fuzzy incidence graphs, *Information Sciences* 382–383 (2017), 326–333. doi: 10.1016/j.ins.2016.12.020
- [25] Sunil Mathew and J.N. Mordeson, Fuzzy Incidence Blocks and their Applications in Illegal Migration Problems, *New Mathematics and Natural Computation* 13(3) (2017), 245–260. doi: 10.1142/S1793005717400099
- [26] Talal AL-Hawary, Complete fuzzy graphs, International Journal of Mathematical Combinatorics 4 (2011), 26–34.
- [27] V. Yamuna and K. Arun Prakash, A fuzzy incidence coloring to monitor traffic flow with minimal waiting time, *Expert Systems with Applications* 186 (2021), 115664. doi:10.1016/j.eswa.2021.115664