RETRACTED: Vertex metric resolvability of COVID antiviral drug structures

Yahya Alqahtani^a, Muhammad Kamran Jamil^b, Hamdan Alshehri^a, Ali Ahmad^a and Muhammad Azeem^{b,*}

^aCollege of Computer Science and Information Technology, Jazan University, Jazan, Saudi Arabia ^bDepartment of Mathematics, Riphah Institute of Computing and Applied Sciences, Riphah International University Lahore, Pakistan

This article has been retracted. A retraction notice can be found at https://doi.org/10.3233/JIFS-219433.

1. Introduction

Cholera, flu, and plague were the most terrifying pandemics in the past few centuries, these disease caused millions of inhabitants of this world to death. In November of 2019 year, there was the first case of COVID-19 (Coronavirus) recorded, and up to 3^{rd} of April, 1,116,643 confirmed positive cases, and around 59,158 dying were recorded. These statistics are given by the world health organizations. Not only the human's health infected by this pandemic but also the economy of the world was disrupted because it spread over the world after emerging from the seafood market of Wuhan city in China [18]. The viral structure and genetic sequence of betacoron-

avirus also known as novel corona or 2019-nCoV shares with the MERS-CoV which is middle eastern respiratory syndrome coronavirus. As there is no specific drug is available for this pandemic virus currently. To tackle this pathogen there is an urgent need for antiviral agents. Researchers experimented with some existing antiviral operatives [30, 38, 53, 56, 59] and obtained some productive outcomes to tackle the transmission and infection of COVID-19. Theaflavin, hydroxychloroquine, chloroquine, thalidomide, and arbidol are some antiviral compounds.

Remdesivir (GS5734) were helped to prevent the infection of the Ebola virus, having a broad spectrum activity a nucleotide analog drug [54]. It is reported in [47, 57], chloroquine is considered an antiviral drug that is also broad-spectrum. This antiviral helps to prevent autoimmune disease and malaria. This antiviral tested for the treatment of corona-virus to lower the impact of infections of fever and later

^{*}Corresponding author. Muhammad Azeem, Department of Mathematics, Riphah Institute of Computing and Applied Sciences, Riphah International University Lahore, Pakistan. E-mail: azeemali7009@gmail.com.

Terminologies	Notations
Structure	G _{Structure}
Vertex set	$V(G_{Structure})$
Edge set	$E(G_{Structure})$
locating set	ls
locating number	ln
locating set with different cardinality	ls'
location of a vertex with respect to locating	l(v ls)
set v	
fault-tolerant locating set	ls _f
fault-tolerant locating number	ln_f
fault-tolerant locating set with different	ls'_f
cardinality	, ,

Ta	ble	1
Basic	no	tions

on this was found helpful. By inhibiting T cell activation, hydroxy-chloroquine supposed by cytokine storm conclusively reduces the acute evolution of COVID-19. Hydroxy-chloroquine and chloroquine approved by FDA as an emergency corona-virus treatment on 30^{rd} march of 2020, reported by Forbes. For the inhibitor production of corona-virus by using theaflavin as a lead compound, it is researched and suggested by [30]. For hepatitis C, B, A viruses, and influenza as well, theaflavin shows a vast span of antiviral activity [14, 58]. For the medical benefit of black tea, a polyphenol chemical is found liable.

By a molecular graph in this draft, we consider a transformation from a chemical structure to a molecular graph by assuming atoms and chemical bonds between them are nodes and edges respectively, and this theory is already established, for more detail, one can view some recent literature [9, 16, 21, 23, 40, 42, 49]. Graph theory is also used in different applied sciences and even in the construction of different mathematical theories, for example, fuzzy theory studied in terms of graphs in the literature available by [7, 8, 31–36].

Definition 1.1. [26] "Suppose \aleph ($V(\aleph)$, $E(\aleph)$) is an undirected graph of a chemical structure (network) with $V(\aleph)$ is called as set of principal nodes (vertex set) and $E(\aleph)$ is the set of branches (edge set). The distance between two principal nodes $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in V(\aleph)$, denoted as $d(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)$ is the minimum count of edges between $\zeta_1 - \zeta_2$ path."

Definition 1.2. [26] "Suppose $R \subset V(\aleph)$ is the subset of principal nodes set and defined as $R = \{\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \ldots, \zeta_s\}$, and let a principal node $\zeta \in V(\aleph)$. The identification or locations $r(\zeta|R)$ of a principal node ζ with respect to R is actually a

s-ordered distances $(d(\zeta, \zeta_1), d(\zeta, \zeta_2), \ldots, d(\zeta, \zeta_s))$. If each principal node from $V(\aleph)$ have unique identification according to the ordered subset *R*, then this subset renamed as a resolving set of network \aleph . The minimum numbers of the elements in the subset *R* is actually the metric dimension of \aleph and it is denoted by the term $dim(\aleph)$."

Definition 1.3. [26] "A particular chosen ordered subset which were actually resolving set symbolize by R of a network \aleph is considered to be a fault-tolerant denoted by (R_f) , now if for each member of $\zeta \in R$, with the condition $R \setminus \zeta$ is also remain a resolving set for the network \aleph . The minimum number of elements in the fault-tolerant resolving set is known as the fault-tolerant metric dimension and described as $dim_f(\aleph)$."

In the above definitions a graph or a chemical structure is shown with symbol \aleph , notation $r(\zeta|R)$ shows the position of a vertex ζ with respect to the resolving set or locating set R, and for the fault-tolerant set they used the symbol R_f , $\dim(\aleph)$ is used for the metric dimension of a graph \aleph , fault-tolerant metric is notated by the symbol $\dim_f(\aleph)$.

Very few and recent literature on the topic of metrics and their generalization are given here. In [10], polycyclic aromatic compounds are discussed on the topic of metric and its generalization. A chemical structure is discussed in [19], they mentioned two-dimensional lattice is discussed with the idea of metric and of that structure. Cellulose network is considered in [20], by the same concept of distance-based theory of graph. Generalized concepts are given by [25, 28, 29, 46]. A computer network is discussed in [39] with the concept of distance graph theory. Generalized families of and structures of the graph are detailed in [1–3, 6, 52].



Fig. 1. Example of a locating number.



Fig. 2. Arbidol COVID antiviral drug structure

Fault-tolerant concept of generalized structures are measured in [5, 11, 22, 43, 44]. Some interconnection computer networks are studied by [45] in the form of the fault-tolerant concept of metric of a graph. A chemical oxide-related structure is discussed in [51] and crystal structures are done by [27], a hollow coronoid chemical structure is detailed in [26].

The very first use of metric dimension in 1975 by [50] and he named this concept as locating set. Later in 1976, two independent researchers from the computer science field named this concept as resolving set found in [15]. This idea is also named as the metric basis in the pure mathematical study of graphs and structures, available in [12, 13]. There are many generalized versions of metric dimension or locating set and fault-tolerant locating set is one of them introduced by [17]. Given below are mathematical terminologies of our main research work and also methodologies are presented as well.

Example 1.4. Figure 1 shows an example of locating numbers. The structure shown in the Figure 1 is a polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon series first structure. It has three locating numbers, locating set or the vertices in the locating set highlighted with red color, and the representation of each node (vertex) is attached in the figure.

Metric dimension has many applied ways in which combinatorial optimization, robot roving, in complex games, in image processing, pharmaceutical chemistry, polymer industry, and in the electric field as well. All these applications are found in [4, 24, 41, 48, 50].

2. Main Results

In this section, we will include our main results of metric and fault-tolerant metric locating set of some structures, for example, arbidol, chloroquine, hydroxy-chloroquine, thalidomide, and theaflavin.

	Locations of the nodes of G_{Arbidol} .				
$\overline{l(v ls)}$	v_{10}	v_{23}	v_{27}	<i>i</i> -range	
v_i	10 - i	10 - i	6	<i>i</i> = 1, 3	
v_i	10 - i	10 - i	5	i = 2, 4	
v_i	10 - i	i + 1	6	i = 5	
v_i	10 - i	i-1	i	i = 6,, 10	
v_i	i - 10	i-1	i	i = 11, 12	
v_i	10 - i	23 - i	24 - i	i = 13	
v_i	i - 12	23 - i	24 - i	i = 14	
v_i	10 - i	i-1	i	i = 15, 16, 17	
v_i	24 - i	23 - i	i - 14	i = 18, 19	
v_i	i - 14	23 - i	i - 14	i = 20, 21, 22, 23	
v_i	i - 16	<i>i</i> – 17	2	i = 24, 26	
v_i	i - 16	i - 17	1	i = 25	
v_i	10	9	0	i = 27	
v_i	35 - i	4	7	i = 28	
v_i	35 - i	7	7	i = 29	

Table 2	
Locations of the nodes of G_{Arbidol}	•

Given below are the node and bond set of arbidol COVID antiviral drug structure. The order (total count of nodes) and size (total count of edges) of this arbidol structure is $|V(G_{\text{Arbidol}})| = 29$, $|E(G_{\text{Arbidol}})| = 31$, respectively. Moreover, the molecular graph of Arbidol and labeling used in our main results are shown in the Figure 2. Some of the topological properties of this structure are available in the reference [37, 55]. $V(G_{\text{Arbidol}}) = \{v_i : i = 1, 2, ..., 29\}$ $E(G_{\text{Arbidol}}) = \{v_i v_{i+1} : i = 1, 2, ..., 13, i = 15, 16, ..., 22, i = 24, 25, 26\} \cup \{v_2 v_6, v_4 v_{18}, v_{18}\}$

 $v_5v_{29}, v_6v_{19}, v_9v_{14}, v_{20}v_{28}, v_{17}v_{24}, v_{25}v_{27}$.

Theorem 2.1. Let G_{Arbidol} be a graph of arbidol COVID antiviral drug structure. Then the locating number of G_{Arbidol} is three.

Proof. The locating number or metric dimension of graph of arbidol COVID antiviral drug structure is three. To prove this statement we have chosen a locating set with cardinality three and stated as $ls(G_{\text{Arbidol}}) = \{v_{10}, v_{23}, v_{27}\}$. Now to make this statement valid we have provided the representations of each node of the arbidol COVID antiviral drug structure which are given in the Table 2.

Given locations l(v|ls) of each node of graph of arbidol COVID antiviral drug structure is distinct and fulfill the definitions of locating set. This proved that the locating number $ln(G_{\text{Arbidol}}) \leq 3$ of graph of arbidol COVID antiviral drug structure. To make this assertion exact we need to prove that $ln(G_{\text{Arbidol}}) \geq 3$ and following by contradiction we will have $ln(G_{\text{Arbidol}}) = 2$. Now, to make this assertion false, we have developed some following cases.

Let $ls' = \{v_i, v_j : i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2, ..., 29\}$, is a chosen subset with cardinality two, candidate for the locating set. Then same position $l(v_{\alpha}|ls') =$ $l(v_{\beta}|ls') = (d(v_{\alpha}, v_i), d(v_{\beta}, v_i) + 1)$. This prevails for the each possibility of taking locating set with two cardinality, so there is no single subset eligible for the locating set.

Hence proved that $ln(G_{\text{Arbidol}}) = 3$.

Theorem 2.2. Let G_{Arbidol} be a graph of arbidol COVID antiviral drug structure. Then the fault-tolerant locating number of G_{Arbidol} is five.

Proof. The fault-tolerant locating number or faulttolerant metric dimension of graph of arbidol COVID antiviral drug structure is five. To prove this statement we have chosen a fault-tolerant locating set with cardinality five and stated as $ls_f(G_{\text{Arbidol}}) =$ $\{v_{10}, v_{11}, v_{21}, v_{24}, v_{27}\}$. Now to make this statement valid we have provided the representations of each node of the arbidol COVID antiviral drug structure which are given below.

$$l(v_i|ls_f) = (10 - i, 11 - i, 8 - i, 4, 6),$$

if $i = 1, 3;$
 $(10 - i, 11 - i, 8 - i, 3, 5),$
if $i = 2, 4;$
 $(10 - i, 11 - i, i - 1, 4, 6),$
if $i = 5;$
 $(10 - i, 11 - i, i - 3, i - 2, i),$
if $i = 6, \dots, 10;$
 $(i - 10, |i - 11|, i - 3, i - 2, i),$
if $i = 11, 12;$
 $(10 - i, i - 1, 21 - i, 22 - i, 24 - i),$
if $i = 13;$
 $(i - 12, i - 11, 21 - i, 22 - i, 24 - i),$
if $i = 14;$
 $(10 - i, 25 - i, 21 - i, 18 - i, i),$
if $i = 15, 16, 17;$
 $(24 - i, 25 - i, 21 - i, i - 16, i - 14),$
if $i = 18, 19;$
 $(i - 14, i - 13, |21 - i|, i - 16, i - 14),$
if $i = 20, 21, 22, 23;$
 $(i - 16, i - 15, i - 19, i - 24, 2),$
if $i = 25;$
 $(10, 12, 7, 2, 0),$
if $i = 27;$
 $(35 - i, 8, 2, 5, 7),$
if $i = 28;$
 $(35 - i, 7, 5, 5, 7),$
if $i = 29.$

Given locations $l(v|ls_f)$ of each node of graph of arbidol COVID antiviral drug structure is distinct and fulfill the definitions of fault-tolerant locating set. This proved that the fault-tolerant locating number $ln_f(G_{\text{Arbidol}}) \leq 5$ of graph of arbidol COVID antiviral drug structure. To make this assertion exact, we need to prove that $ln_f(G_{\text{Arbidol}}) \geq 5$ and following by contradiction we will have $ln_f(G_{\text{Arbidol}}) = 4$. Now, to make this assertion false, we have developed some following cases.

Let $ls'_f = \{v_i, v_j : i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2, ..., 29\}$, is a chosen subset with cardinality four, candidate for the fault-tolerant locating set. Then same position $l\left(v_{\alpha}|ls'_f\right) = l\left(v_{\beta}|ls'_f\right) =$

 $(d(v_{\alpha}, v_i), d(v_{\beta}, v_i) + 1, d(v_{\beta}, v_i) + 2)$. This prevails for the each possibility of taking fault-tolerant locating set with four cardinality, so there is no single subset eligible for the fault-tolerant locating set.

Hence proved that $ln_f(G_{\text{Arbidol}}) = 5$.

Given below are the node and bond set of chloroquine COVID antiviral drug structure. The order (total count of nodes) and size (total count of edges) of this chloroquine structure is $|V(G_{Chloroquine})| = 22$, $|E(G_{Chloroquine})| = 23$, respectively. Moreover, the molecular graph of Chloroquine and labeling used in our main results are shown in the Figure 3. Some of the topological properties of this structure are available in the reference [37, 55].

$$V(G_{\text{Chloroquine}}) = \{v_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, 22\}$$

$$E(G_{\text{Chloroquine}}) = \{v_i v_{i+1} : i = 1, 2, \dots, 13, i = 15, i = 17, \dots, 20\} \cup \{v_2 v_{21}, v_5 v_{20}, v_6 v_{17}, v_8 v_{22}, v_{12} v_{15}, v_{15} v_{16}\}.$$

Theorem 2.3. Let $G_{\text{Chloroquine}}$ be a graph of chloroquine COVID antiviral drug structure. Then the locating number of $G_{\text{Chloroquine}}$ is two.

Proof. The locating number or metric dimension of graph of chloroquine COVID antiviral drug structure is two. To prove this statement we have chosen a locating set with cardinality two and stated as $ls(G_{\text{Chloroquine}}) = \{v_3, v_{14}\}$. Now to make this statement valid we have provided the representations of each node of the chloroquine COVID antiviral drug structure which are given in the Table 3.





Table 3Locations of the nodes of $G_{\text{Chloroquine}}$

l(v ls)	<i>v</i> ₃	v_{14}	<i>i</i> -range
v _i	i - 3	14 <i>- i</i>	$i = 1, 2, \dots, 14$
v_i	i – 5	<i>i</i> – 12	i = 15, 16
v_i	<i>i</i> – 13	i-8	i = 17
v_i	23 - i	i-8	i = 18, 19
v_i	23 - i	i - 10	i = 20, 21
vi	<i>i</i> – 18	<i>i</i> – 15	i = 22

Given locations l(v|ls) of each node of graph of chloroquine COVID antiviral drug structure is distinct and fulfill the definitions of locating set. This proved that the locating number $ln(G_{Chloroquine}) \leq 2$ of graph of chloroquine COVID antiviral drug structure. To make this assertion exact we need to prove that $ln(G_{Chloroquine}) \geq 2$ and following by contradiction we will have $ln(G_{Chloroquine}) = 1$. Now, this is not true because this statement is reserved for path graph.

Hence proved that $ln(G_{\text{Chloroquine}}) = 2.$

Theorem 2.4. Let $G_{\text{Chloroquine}}$ be a graph of chloroquine COVID antiviral drug structure. Then the fault-tolerant locating number of $G_{\text{Chloroquine}}$ is five.

Proof. The fault-tolerant locating number or faulttolerant metric dimension of graph of chloroquine COVID antiviral drug structure is five. To prove this statement we have chosen a fault-tolerant locating set with cardinality five and stated as $ls_f (G_{Chloroquine}) = \{v_{13}, v_{14}, v_{18}, v_{19}, v_{20}\}$. Now to make this statement valid we have provided the representations of each node of the chloroquine COVID antiviral drug structure which are given below.

$$l\left(v_{i}|ls_{f}\right) = \begin{cases} (13 - i, 14 - i, 6 - i, 5 - i, 4 - i), & \text{if } i = 1, 2; \\ (13 - i, 14 - i, 8 - i, 7 - i, 6 - i), & \text{if } i = 3, 4; \\ (13 - i, 14 - i, 8 - i, i - 3, i - 4), & \text{if } i = 5, 6; \\ (|13 - i|, 14 - i, i - 4, i - 3, i - 4), & \text{if } i = 7, 8, \dots, 14; \\ (i - 13, i - 12, i - 6, i - 5, i - 6), & \text{if } i = 15, 16; \\ (i - 9, i - 8, 18 - i, 19 - i, 20 - i), & \text{if } i = 17, 18; \\ (i - 11, i - 10, i - 18, i - 19, 20 - i), & \text{if } i = 20; \\ (i - 11, i - 10, i - 18, i - 19, i - 20), & \text{if } i = 21; \\ (i - 16, i - 15, i - 18, i - 16, i - 17), & \text{if } i = 22. \end{cases}$$

Given locations $l(v|ls_f)$ of each node of graph of chloroquine COVID antiviral drug structure is distinct and fulfill the definitions of fault-tolerant locating set. This proved that the fault-tolerant locating number $ln_f(G_{\text{Chloroquine}}) \leq 5$ of graph of chloroquine COVID antiviral drug structure. To make this assertion exact, we need to prove that $ln_f(G_{\text{Chloroquine}}) \geq 5$ and following by contradiction we will have $ln_f(G_{\text{Chloroquine}}) = 4$. Now, to make this assertion false, we have developed some following cases.

Let $ls'_f = \{v_i, v_j : i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2, ..., 22\}$, is a chosen subset with cardinality four, candidate for the fault-tolerant locating set. Then same position $l(v_{\alpha}|ls') = l(v_{\beta}|ls') = (d(v_{\alpha}, v_i), d(v_{\beta}, v_i) + 1, d(v_{\beta}, v_i) + 2)$. This prevails for the each possibility of taking faulttolerant locating set with four cardinality, so there is no single subset eligible for the locating set.

Hence proved that $ln_f(G_{\text{Chloroquine}}) = 5.$

Given below are the node and bond set of hydroxy-chloroquine COVID antiviral drug structure. The order (total count of nodes) and size (total count of edges) of this hydroxy-chloroquine structure is $|V(G_{Hydroxy})| = 23$, $|E(G_{Hydroxy})| = 24$, respectively. Moreover, the molecular graph of hydroxy-chloroquine and labeling used in our main results are shown in the Figure 4. Some of the topological properties of this structure are available in the reference [37, 55].

 $V(G_{\text{Hydroxy}}) = \{v_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, 23\}$ $E(G_{\text{Hydroxy}}) = \{v_i v_{i+1} : i = 1, 2, \dots, 13, i = 15, 16, i = 18, \dots, 21\} \cup \{v_2 v_{22}, v_5 v_{21}, v_6 v_{18}, v_8 v_{23}, v_{12} v_{15}\}.$



Fig. 4. Hydroxy-Chloroquine COVID antiviral drug structure

Table 4 Locations of the nodes of G_{Hydroxy} .

l(v ls)	<i>v</i> ₃	v_{14}	<i>i</i> -range
vi	i - 3	14 – <i>i</i>	$i = 1, 2, \dots, 14$
v_i	i – 5	<i>i</i> – 12	i = 15, 16, 17
v_i	i - 14	i – 9	i = 18
v_i	24 - i	i – 9	i = 19, 20
v_i	24 - i	<i>i</i> – 11	i = 21, 22
v_i	<i>i</i> – 17	i — 16	<i>i</i> = 23

Theorem 2.5. Let G_{Hydroxy} be a graph of hydroxychloroquine COVID antiviral drug structure. Then the locating number of G_{Hydroxy} is two.

Proof. The locating number or metric dimension of graph of hydroxy-chloroquine COVID antiviral drug structure is two. To prove this statement we have chosen a locating set with cardinality two and stated as $ls (G_{Hydroxy}) = \{v_3, v_{14}\}$. Now to make this statement valid we have provided the representations of each node of the hydroxy-chloroquine COVID antiviral drug structure which are given in the Table 4.

Given locations l(v|ls) of each node of graph of hydroxy-chloroquine COVID antiviral drug structure is distinct and fulfill the definitions of locating set. This proved that the locating number $ln(G_{Hydroxy}) \leq$ 2 of graph of hydroxy-chloroquine COVID antiviral drug structure. To make this assertion exact we need to prove that $ln(G_{Hydroxy}) \geq 2$ and following by contradiction we will have $ln(G_{Hydroxy}) = 1$. Now, this is not true because this statement is reserved for path graph.

Hence proved that $ln(G_{\text{Hydroxy}}) = 2$.

Theorem 2.6. Let G_{Hydroxy} be a graph of hydroxychloroquine COVID antiviral drug structure. Then the fault-tolerant locating number of G_{Hydroxy} is five. **Proof.** The fault-tolerant locating number or faulttolerant metric dimension of graph of hydroxychloroquine COVID antiviral drug structure is five. To prove this statement we have chosen a faulttolerant locating set with cardinality five and stated as $ls_f (G_{Hydroxy}) = \{v_{13}, v_{14}, v_{19}, v_{20}, v_{21}\}$. Now to make this statement valid we have provided the representations of each node of the hydroxy-chloroquine COVID antiviral drug structure which are given below.

$$l\left(v_{i}|ls_{f}\right) = \left\{\begin{array}{l} (13-i, 14-i, 6-i, 5-i, 4-i), \\ \text{if } i = 1, 2; \\ (13-i, 14-i, 8-i, 7-i, 6-i), \\ \text{if } i = 3, 4, 5; \\ (13-i, 14-i, 8-i, i-3, i-4), \\ \text{if } i = 6; \\ (|13-i|, 14-i, i-4, i-3, i-4), \\ \text{if } i = 7, 8, \dots, 14; \\ (i-13, i-12, i-6, i-3, i-6), \\ \text{if } i = 15, 16, 17; \\ (i-9, i-9, 19-i, 20-i, 21-i), \\ \text{if } i = 18, 19; \\ (i-9, i-9, i-19, 20-i, 21-i), \\ \text{if } i = 20; \\ (i-11, i-11, i-19, i-20, 21-i), \\ \text{if } i = 21; \\ (i-11, i-11, i-19, i-20, i-21), \\ \text{if } i = 22; \\ (i-16, i-16, i-18, i-17, i-17), \\ \text{if } i = 23. \end{array}\right\}$$

Given locations $l(v|ls_f)$ of each node of graph of hydroxy-chloroquine COVID antiviral drug structure is distinct and fulfill the definitions of fault-tolerant locating set. This proved that the fault-tolerant locating number $ln_f(G_{\text{Hydroxy}}) \leq 5$ of graph of hydroxy-chloroquine COVID antiviral drug structure. To make this assertion exact, we need to prove that $ln_f(G_{\text{Hydroxy}}) \geq 5$ and following by contradiction we will have $ln_f(G_{\text{Hydroxy}}) = 4$. Now, to make this assertion false, we have developed some following cases.

Let $ls'_{f} = \{v_i, v_j : i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2, ..., 23\}$, is a chosen subset with cardinality four, candidate for the fault-tolerant locating set. Then same



Fig. 5. Thalidomide COVID antiviral drug structure

position $l\left(v_{\alpha}|ls'_{f}\right) = l\left(v_{\beta}|ls'_{f}\right) = (d\left(v_{\alpha}, v_{i}\right), d\left(v_{\beta}, v_{i}\right) + 1, d\left(v_{\beta}, v_{i}\right) + 2\right)$. This prevails for the each possibility of taking fault-tolerant locating set with four cardinality, so there is no single subset eligible for the fault-tolerant locating set.

Hence proved that $ln_f(G_{\text{Hydroxy}}) = 5$.

Given below are the node and bond set of thalidomide COVID antiviral drug structure. The order (total count of nodes) and size (total count of edges) of this thalidomide structure is $|V(G_{\text{Thalidomide}})| = 19$, $|E(G_{\text{Thalidomide}})| = 21$, respectively. Moreover, the molecular graph of Thalidomide and labeling used in our main results are shown in the Figure 5. Some of the topological properties of this structure are available in the reference [37, 55].

 $V(G_{\text{Thalidomide}}) = \{v_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, 19\}$ $E(G_{\text{Thalidomide}}) = \{v_i v_{i+1} : i = 1, 2, \dots, 14, i = 16\} \cup \{v_2 v_{17}, v_4 v_{19}, v_7 v_{18}, v_5 v_{16}, v_6 v_{14}, v_8 v_{13}\}.$

Theorem 2.7. Let $G_{\text{Thalidomide}}$ be a graph of Thalidomide COVID antiviral drug structure. Then the locating number of $G_{\text{Thalidomide}}$ is two.

Proof. The locating number or metric dimension of graph of Thalidomide COVID antiviral drug structure is two. To prove this statement we have chosen a locating set with cardinality two and stated as $ls(G_{\text{Thalidomide}}) = \{v_6, v_{18}\}$. Now to make this statement valid we have provided the representations of each node of the Thalidomide COVID antiviral drug structure which are given in the Table 5.

Given locations l(v|ls) of each node of graph of Thalidomide COVID antiviral drug structure is distinct and fulfill the definitions of locating set. This proved that the locating number $ln (G_{\text{Thalidomide}}) \leq 2$ of graph of Thalidomide COVID antiviral drug structure. To make this assertion exact we need to prove that $ln (G_{\text{Thalidomide}}) \geq 2$ and following by contradiction we will have $ln (G_{\text{Thalidomide}}) = 1$. Now, this is not true because this statement is reserved for path graph.

Locations of the nodes of GThalidomide.			
$\overline{l(v ls)}$	v_6	v_{18}	<i>i</i> -range
$\overline{v_i}$	i - 6	7 - i + 1	$i = 1, 2, \dots, 10$
v_i	15 - i	16 - i	i = 11, 12, 13
v_i	15 - i	<i>i</i> – 13	i = 14
v_i	2	<i>i</i> – 13	i = 15
v_i	i - 14	i - 12	i = 16, 17
v_i	<i>i</i> – 16	i - 18	i = 18
v_i	<i>i</i> – 16	<i>i</i> – 14	<i>i</i> = 19

Table 5 Locations of the nodes of $G_{\text{Thalidomide}}$

Hence proved that $ln(G_{\text{Thalidomide}}) = 2$.

Theorem 2.8. Let $G_{\text{Thalidomide}}$ be a graph of Thalidomide COVID antiviral drug structure. Then the fault-tolerant locating number of $G_{\text{Thalidomide}}$ is four.

Proof. The fault-tolerant locating number or faulttolerant metric dimension of graph of Thalidomide COVID antiviral drug structure is five. To prove this statement we have chosen a fault-tolerant locating set with cardinality five and stated as ls_f ($G_{\text{Thalidomide}}$) = { v_3 , v_{14} , v_{15} , v_{19} }. Now to make this statement valid we have provided the representations of each node of the Thalidomide COVID antiviral drug structure which are given below.

$$l(v_i|ls_f) = \begin{cases} (|i-3|, 7-i, 8-i, |i-4|+1), \\ \text{if } i = 1, 2, \dots, 6; \\ (|i-3|, i-5, i-4, |i-4|+1), \\ \text{if } i = 7; \\ (|i-3|, i-6, i-5, |i-4|+1), \\ \text{if } i = 8, 9, 10; \\ (|i-3|, |i-14|, 15-i, |i-4|+1), \\ \text{if } i = 11; \\ (18-i, |i-14|, 15-i, 18-i), \\ \text{if } i = 12, 13, 14; \\ (i-10, |i-14|, 15-i, i-10), \\ \text{if } i = 15; \\ (19-i, i-13, i-12, i-13), \\ \text{if } i = 16, 17; \\ (i-13, i-15, i-14, i-13), \\ \text{if } i = 18 \\ (i-17, i-15, i-14, i-19), \\ \text{if } i = 19. \end{cases}$$

Given locations $l(v|ls_f)$ of each node of graph of Thalidomide COVID antiviral drug structure is distinct and fulfill the definitions of fault-tolerant locating set. This proved that the fault-tolerant locating number $ln_f(G_{\text{Thalidomide}}) \leq 4$ of graph of Thalidomide COVID antiviral drug structure. To make this assertion exact, we need to prove that $ln_f(G_{\text{Thalidomide}}) \geq 4$ and following by contradiction we will have $ln_f(G_{\text{Thalidomide}}) = 3$. Now, to make this assertion false, we have developed some following cases.

Let $ls'_f = \{v_i, v_j : i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2, ..., 19\}$, is a chosen subset with cardinality three, candidate for the fault-tolerant locating set. Then same position $l\left(v_{\alpha}|ls'_f\right) = l\left(v_{\beta}|ls'_f\right) = (d\left(v_{\alpha}, v_i\right), d\left(v_{\beta}, v_i\right) + 1\right)$. This prevails for the each possibility of taking fault-tolerant locating set with three cardinality, so there is no single subset eligible for the fault-tolerant locating set.

Hence proved that $ln_f (G_{\text{Thalidomide}}) = 4.$ Given below are the node and bond set of theaflavin COVID antiviral drug structure. The order (total count of nodes) and size (total count of edges) of this theaflavin structure is $|V(G_{\text{Theaflavin}})| = 41$, $|E(G_{\text{Theaflavin}})| = 46$, respectively. Moreover, the molecular graph of Theaflavin and labeling used in our main results are shown in the Figure 6. Some of the topological properties of this structure are available in the reference [37, 55].

 $V(G_{\text{Theaflavin}}) = \{v_i: i = 1, 2, \dots, 41\}$ $E(G_{\text{Theaflavin}}) = \{v_i v_{i+1}: i = 1, 2, \dots, 23, i = 25, 26, \dots, 30\} \cup \{v_1 v_{39}, v_1 v_{40}, v_3 v_8, v_4 v_{40}, v_6 v_{41}, v_1 v_{31}, v_{30} v_{38}, v_{29} v_{37}, v_{27} v_{36}, v_{26} v_{35}, v_{13} v_{28}, v_{16} v_{34}, v_{15} v_{24}, v_{18} v_{23}, v_{22} v_{33}, v_{20} v_{32}\}.$

Theorem 2.9. Let $G_{\text{Theaflavin}}$ be a graph of Theaflavin COVID antiviral drug structure. Then the locating number of $G_{\text{Theaflavin}}$ is two.

Proof. The locating number or metric dimension of graph of Theaflavin COVID antiviral drug structure is two. To prove this statement we have chosen a locating set with cardinality two and stated as $ls(G_{\text{Theaflavin}}) = \{v_{31}, v_{41}\}$. Now to make this statement valid we have provided the representations of each node of the Theaflavin COVID antiviral drug structure which are given in the Table 6.

Given locations l(v|ls) of each node of graph of Theaflavin COVID antiviral drug structure is distinct and fulfill the definitions of locating set. This proved that the locating number $ln(G_{\text{Theaflavin}}) \leq 2$



Fig. 6. Theaflavin COVID antiviral drug structure

		Table 6	
	Locations of t	the nodes of G_{The}	eaflavin•
l(v ls)	v ₃₃	v_{41}	<i>i</i> -range
v_i	i + 9	7-i	$i=1,2,\ldots,5$
v_i	19 — <i>i</i>	i – 5	$i = 6, 7, \ldots, 15$
v_i	21 - i	i — 5	i = 16, 17, 18
v_i	23 - i	35 - i	i = 19, 20
v_i	23 - i	35 - i	i = 21, 22
v_i	<i>i</i> – 21	35 - i	i = 23, 24
<i>v</i> _i	i — 19	37 - i	<i>i</i> = 25
v_i	i — 19	37 - i	i = 26, 27
^v i	i - 21	37 - i	i = 28
) _i	i - 21	38 - i	i = 29, 30
vi	i - 22	38 - i	i = 31
) _i	i - 28	<i>i</i> – 16	i = 32
v _i	i — 33	i - 19	<i>i</i> = 33
v_i	i – 28	i – 22	i = 34
vi	i – 27	47 — i	i = 35, 36
i	i - 28	47 — <i>i</i>	i = 37, 38
v_i	i - 28	i - 32	i = 39
) _i	i - 26	i – 36	i = 40
Vi	i - 27	i - 41	i = 41

of graph of Theaflavin COVID antiviral drug structure. To make this assertion exact we need to prove that $ln(G_{\text{Theaflavin}}) \ge 2$ and following by contradiction we will have $ln(G_{\text{Theaflavin}}) = 1$. Now, this is not true because this statement is reserved for path graph. Hence proved that $ln(G_{\text{Theaflavin}}) = 2$.

Theorem 2.10. Let $G_{\text{Theaflavin}}$ be a graph of Theaflavin COVID antiviral drug structure. Then the fault-tolerant locating number of $G_{\text{Theaflavin}}$ is four.

Proof. The fault-tolerant locating number or faulttolerant metric dimension of graph of Theaflavin COVID antiviral drug structure is five. To prove this statement we have chosen a fault-tolerant locating set with cardinality five and stated as ls_f ($G_{\text{Theaflavin}}$) = { v_6 , v_{33} , v_{34} , v_{41} }. Now to make this statement valid we have provided the representations of each node of the Theaflavin COVID antiviral drug structure which are given below.

 $l\left(v_i|ls_f\right) =$

(6-i , 9+i, 7+i, 7-i),	if $i = 1, 2,, 5;$
(6-i , 19-i, 17-i, i-5),	if $i = 6, 7,, 15;$
(6-i , 21-i, 17-i, i-5),	if $i = 16;$
(6-i , 21-i, i-15, i-5),	if $i = 17, 18;$
(6-i , 23-i, i-15, i-5),	if $i = 19, 20;$
(34-i, 23-i, i-15, 35-i),	if $i = 21;$
(34-i, 23-i, 27-i, 35-i),	if $i = 22;$
(34-i, i-21, 27-i, 35-i),	if $i = 23, 24$
(34-i, i-19, i-21, 37-i),	if $i = 25$
(36-i, i-19, i-21, 37-i),	if $i = 26, 27$
(36-i, i-21, i-23, 37-i),	if $i = 28$
(37 - i, i - 21, i - 23, 38 - i),	if $i = 29, 30$
(37-i, i-22, 38-i, 38-i),	if $i = 31$
(i-17, i-28, 38-i, i-16),	if $i = 32$
(i-20, i-33, i-27, i-19).	if $i = 33$
(i-23, i-28, i-34, i-22).	if $i = 34$
(46 - i, i - 27, i - 29, 47 - i)	if $i = 35, 36$
(46 - i, i - 28, i - 30, 47 - i)	if i = 37.38
(i = 33, i = 28, i = 30, i = 32)	if i = 30
(i 33, i 26, i 36, i = 32), (i 37, i 26, i 28, i 26)	if i = 40
(i - 5i), i - 20, i - 28, i - 50),	11 i = 40
(i - 40, i - 27, i - 29, i - 41),	it i = 41.

Given locations $l(v|ls_f)$ of each node of graph of Theaflavin COVID antiviral drug structure is distinct and fulfill the definitions of fault-tolerant locating set. This proved that the fault-tolerant locating number $ln_f(G_{\text{Theaflavin}}) \leq 4$ of graph of Theaflavin COVID antiviral drug structure. To make this asser-

Table 7 Summary of the results ln_f G ln GArbidol 3 5 2 5 GChloroquine 5 2 G_{Hydroxy} 2 4 G_{Thalidomide} 2 GTheaflavin 4

tion exact, we need to prove that $ln_f(G_{\text{Theaflavin}}) \ge 4$ and following by contradiction we will have $ln_f(G_{\text{Theaflavin}}) = 3$. Now, to make this assertion false, we have developed some following cases.

Let $ls'_f = \{v_i, v_j : i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2, ..., 41\}$, is a chosen subset with cardinality three, candidate for the fault-tolerant locating set. Then same position $l\left(v_{\alpha}|ls'_f\right) = l\left(v_{\beta}|ls'_f\right) = (d\left(v_{\alpha}, v_i\right), d\left(v_{\beta}, v_i\right) + 1\right)$. This prevails for the each possibility of taking fault-tolerant locating set with three cardinality, so there is no single subset eligible for the fault-tolerant locating set.

Hence proved that $ln_f (G_{\text{Theaflavin}}) = 4$.

3. Conclusion

As we can see from our main results section, the metric of arbidol is three, while chloroquine, hydroxy-chloroquine, thalidomide, theaflavin has two members in their locating sets. In the fault-tolerant subset of arbidol, chloroquine, hydroxychloroquine, and theaflavin five members and only thalidomide structure contain four members. In short, this article detailed a few COVID-19 antiviral structures in the form of molecular graph theory with the metric of vertices. By this concept of vertex-metric resolvability of COVID antiviral drug structures are uniquely identified and help to study the structural properties of the structure. Moreover, the summary of main results are given in the Table 7. Advantage and limitation of the proposed work: As far as the detail about the advantages of this work, we have already mentioned this work is not done on these structures and it is also the novelty of this work. This can help to understand the chemical structure of these medicines or formulas more deeply. The only limitation we found is that all of these structures are finite, there is no generalized structure of these medicinal structures.

References

- A. Ahmad, M. Baca and S. Sultan, Minimal doubly resolving sets of necklace graph, *Mathematical Report* 20(70)(2) (2018), 123–129.
- [2] A. Ahmad, M. Imran, O. Al-Mushayt and S.A.H. Bokhary, On the metric dimension of barcycentric subdivision of cayley graphs $cay(z_n \oplus z_m)$, *Miskolc Mathematical Notes* **16**(2) (2015), 637–646.
- [3] A. Ahmad and S. Sultan, On minimal doubly resolving sets of circulant graphs, *Acta Mechanica Slovaca* 20(1) (2017), 6–11.
- [4] Ali Ahmad, Ali N.A. Koam, M.H.F. Siddiqui and Muhammad Azeem, Resolvability of the starphene structure and applications in electronics, *Ain Shams Engineering Journal* (2021).
- [5] Zubair Ahmad, Maqbool Ahmad Chaudhary, Abdul Qudair Baig and Manzoor Ahmad Zahid, Fault-tolerant metric dimension of p(n, 2) graph, *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography* 24(2) (2021), 647–656.
- [6] M. Ahsan, Z. Zahid, S. Zafar, A. Rafiq, M. Sarwar Sindhu and M. Umar, Computing the edge metric dimension of convex polytopes related graphs, *Journal of Mathematics* and Computer Science 22(2) (2020), 174–188.
- [7] Muhammad Akram and Gulfam Shahzadi, Operations on single-valued neutrosophic graphs, *Journal of Uncertain Systems* 11(1) (2017), 26.
- [8] Muhammad Akram and Sundas Shahzadi, Neutrosophic soft graphs with application, *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems* 32(1) (2017), 841–858.
- [9] A. Ali, W. Nazeer, M. Munir and S.M. Kang, Mpolynomials and topological indices of zigzagand rhombic benzenoid systems, *Open Chemistry* 16(73–78) (2018), 122–135.
- [10] M. Azeem and M.F. Nadeem, Metric-based resolvability of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, *European Physical Journal Plus* 136(395), 2021.
- [11] M. Basak, L. Saha, G.K. Das and K. Tiwary, Fault-tolerant metric dimension of circulant graphs c_n(1; 2; 3), *Theoretical Computer Science* 817 (2020), 66–79.
- [12] G. Chartrand, L. Eroh, M.A.O. Johnson and R. Ortrud, Resolvability in graphs and the metric dimension of a graph, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* **105** (2000), 99–113.
- [13] G. Chartrand, E. Salehi and P. Zhang, The partition dimension of graph, *Aequationes Mathematicae* 59 (2000), 45–54.
- [14] Pritom Chowdhury, Marie-Emmanuelle Sahuc, Yves Rouillé, Céline Rivière, Natacha Bonneau, Alexandre Vandeputte, Priscille Brodin, Manoranjan Goswami, Tanoy Bandyopadhyay, Jean Dubuisson and Karin Séron, Theaflavins, polyphenols of black tea, inhibit entry of hepatitis c virus in cell culture, *PLOS ONE* 13(11) (2018), e0198226.
- [15] F. Harary and R.A. Melter, On the metric dimension of a graph, Ars Combinatoria 2 (1976), 191–195.
- [16] Sakander Hayat, Shaohui Wang and Jia-Bao Liu, Valencybased topological descriptors of chemical networks and their applications, *Applied Mathematical Modelling* 60 (2018), 164–178.
- [17] C. Hernando, M. Mora, P.J. Slater and D.R. Wood, Fault-tolerant metric dimension of graphs, *Proceedings International Conference on Convexity in Discrete Structures; Ramanujan Mathematical Society Lecture Notes; Ramanujan Mathematical Society: Tiruchirappalli* (2008), 81–85.

- [18] Chaolin Huang, Yeming Wang, Xingwang Li, Lili Ren, Jianping Zhao, Yi Hu, Li Zhang, Guohui Fan, Jiuyang Xu, Xiaoying Gu, Zhenshun Cheng, Ting Yu, Jiaan Xia, Yuan Wei, Wenjuan Wu, Xuelei Xie, Wen Yin, Hui Li, Min Liu, Yan Xiao, Hong Gao, Li Guo, Jungang Xie, Guangfa Wang, Rongmeng Jiang, Zhancheng Gao, Qi Jin, Jianwei Wang and Bin Cao, Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in wuhan, china, *The Lancet* **395**(10223) (2020), 497–506.
- [19] Z. Hussain, M. Munir, M. Choudhary and S.M. Kang, Computing metric dimension and metric basis of 2*d* lattice of alpha-boron nanotubes, *Symmetry* **10** (2018).
- [20] S. Imran, M.K. Siddiqui and M. Hussain, Computing the upper bounds for the metric dimension of cellulose network, *Applied Mathematics E-notes* 19 (2019), 585–605.
- [21] M.K. Jamil, M. Imran and K.A. Sattar, Novel face index for benzenoid hydrocarbons, *Mathematics* 8(312) (2020).
- [22] I. Javaid, M. Salman, M.A. Chaudhry and S. Shokat, Faulttolerance in resolvability, *Utilitas Mathematica* 80 (2009), 263–275.
- [23] S. Ruth Julie Kavitha, Jessie Abraham, Micheal Arockiaraj, Joseph Jency and Krishnan Balasubramanian, Topological characterization and graph entropies of tessellations of kekulene structures: Existence of isentropic structures and applications to thermochemistry, nuclear magnetic resonance and electron spin resonance, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A* 125(36) (2021), 8140–8158.
- [24] S. Khuller, B. Raghavachari and A. Rosenfeld, Landmarks in graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 70(3) (1996), 217– 229.
- [25] A.N.A. Koam and A. Ahmad, Barycentric subdivision of cayley graphs with constant edge metric dimension, *IEEE Access* 8 (2000), 80624–80628.
- [26] A.N.A. Koam, A. Ahmad, M.E. Abdelhag and M. Azeem, Metric and fault-tolerant metric dimension of hollow coronoid, *IEEE Access* 9 (2020), 81527–81534.
- [27] S. Krishnan and B. Rajan, Fault-tolerant resolvability of certain crystal structures, *Applied Mathematics* 7 (2016), 599–604.
- [28] J. B. Liu, Z. Zahid, R. Nasir and W. Nazeer, Edge version of metric dimension andoubly resolving sets of the necklace graph, *Mathematics* 6(43) (2018).
- [29] X. Liu, M. Ahsan, Z. Zahid and S. Ren, Fault-tolerant edge metric dimension of certain families of graphs, *AIMS mathematics* 6(2) (2021), 1140–1152.
- [30] Jrhau Lung, Yu-Shih Lin, Yao-Hsu Yang, Yu-Lun Chou, Li-Hsin Shu, Yu-Ching Cheng, Hung Te Liu and Ching-Yuan Wu, The potential chemical structure of anti-SARS-CoV-2 RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, *Journal of Medical Virology* 92(6) (2020), 693–697.
- [31] Rupkumar Mahapatra, Sovan Samanta, Tofigh Allahviranloo and Madhumangal Pal, Radio fuzzy graphs and assignment of frequency in radio stations, *Computational* and Applied Mathematics 38(3) (2019).
- [32] Rupkumar Mahapatra, Sovan Samanta and Madhumangal Pal, Applications of edge colouring of fuzzy graphs, *Informatica* (2020), 313–330.
- [33] Rupkumar Mahapatra, Sovan Samanta, Madhumangal Pal, Jeong-Gon Lee, Shah Khalid Khan, Usman Naseem and Robin Singh Bhadoria, Colouring of covid-19 affected region based on fuzzy directed graphs, *Computers, Materials and Continua* 68(1) (2021), 1219–1233.
- [34] Tanmoy Mahapatra, Ganesh Ghorai and Madhumangal Pal, Fuzzy fractional coloring of fuzzy graph with its application,

Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing **11**(11) (2020), 5771–5784.

- [35] Tanmoy Mahapatra and Madhumangal Pal, Fuzzy colouring of m-polar fuzzy graph and its application, *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems* 35(6) (2018), 6379–6391.
- [36] Tanmoy Mahapatra, Sankar Sahoo, Ganesh Ghorai and Madhumangal Pal, Interval valued m-polar fuzzy planar graph and its application, *Artificial Intelligence Review* 54(3) (2020), 1649–1675.
- [37] Sourav Mondal, Nilanjan De and Anita Pal, Topological indices of some chemical structures applied for the treatment of COVID-19 patients, *Polycyclic Aromatic Compounds* (2020), 1–15.
- [38] Jared S. Morse, Tyler Lalonde, Shiqing Xu and Wenshe Ray Liu, Learning from the past: Possible urgent prevention and treatment options for severe acute respiratory infections caused by 2019-nCoV, *ChemBioChem* 21(5) (2020), 730–738.
- [39] M.F. Nadeem, M. Azeem and A. Khalil, The locating number of hexagonal möbius ladder network, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing* (2020).
- [40] Muhammad Faisal Nadeem, Muhammad Azeem and Hafiz Muhammad Afzal Siddiqui, Comparative study of zagreb indices for capped, semi-capped and uncapped carbon nanotubes, *Polycyclic Aromatic Compounds* (2021), 1–18.
- [41] Muhammad Faisal Nadeem, Mohsan Hassan, Muhammad Azeem, Salah Ud-Din Khan, Mohammed Rafi Shaik, Mohammed A.F. Sharaf, Abdelatty Abdelgawad and Emad Mahrous Awwad, Application of resolvability technique to investigate the different polyphenyl structures for polymer industry, *Journal of Chemistry* 2021 (2021), I-8.
- [42] Muhammad Faisal Nadeem, Muhammad Imran, Hafiz Muhammad Afzal Siddiqui, Muhammad Azeem, Adnan Khalil and Yasir Ali, Topological aspects of metal-organic structure with the help of underlying networks, *Arabian Journal of Chemistry* 14(6) (2021), 103157.
- [43] H. Raza, S. Hayat, M. Imran and X.F. Pan, Fault-tolerant resolvability and extremal structures of graphs, *Mathematics* 7 (2019), 78–97.
- [44] H. Raza, S. Hayat and X.F. Pan, On the fault-tolerant metric dimension of convex polytopes, *Applied Mathematics and Computing* 339 (2018), 172–185.
- [45] H. Raza, S. Hayat and X.F. Pan, On the fault-tolerant metric dimension of certain interconnection networks, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing* **60** (2019), 517–535.
- [46] H. Raza and Y. Ji, Computing the mixed metric dimension of a generalized petersengraph p(n, 2), *Frontiers in Physics* **8** (2020), 211.
- [47] Andrea Savarino, Livia Di Trani, Isabella Donatelli, Roberto Cauda and Antonio Cassone, New insights into the antiviral effects of chloroquine, *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* 6(2) (2006), 67–69.
- [48] A. Sebö and E. Tannier, On metric generators of graphs, Mathematics and Operational Research 29 (2004), 383– 393.
- [49] M.K. Siddiqui, M. Naeem, N.A. Rahman and M. Imran, Computing topological indices of certain networks, *Journal* of Optoelectronics and Advanced Materials 18 (2016), 9– 10.
- [50] P.J. Slater, Leaves of trees, Proceeding of the 6th Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing, Congressus Numerantium 14 (1975), 549–559.
- [51] M. Somasundari and F.S. Raj, Fault-tolerant resolvability of oxide interconnections, *International Journal of Inno-*

vative Technology and Exploring Engineering 8 (2019), 2278–3075.

- [52] T. Vetrik and A. Ahmad, Computing the metric dimension of the categorial product of graphs, *International Journal of Computer Mathematics* 94(2) (2017), 363–371.
- [53] Manli Wang, Ruiyuan Cao, Leike Zhang, Xinglou Yang, Jia Liu, Mingyue Xu, Zhengli Shi, Zhihong Hu, Wu Zhong and Gengfu Xiao, Remdesivir and chloroquine effectively inhibit the recently emerged novel coronavirus (2019nCoV) in vitro, *Cell Research* **30**(3) (2020), 269–271.
- [54] Travis K. Warren, Robert Jordan, Michael K. Lo, Adrian S. Ray, Richard L. Mackman, Veronica Soloveva, Dustin Siegel, Michel Perron, Roy Bannister, Hon C. Hui, Nate Larson, Robert Strickley, Jay Wells, Kelly S. Stuthman, Sean A. Van Tongeren, Nicole L. Garza, Ginger Donnelly, Amy C. Shurtleff, Cary J. Retterer, Dima Gharaibeh, Rouzbeh Zamani, Tara Kenny, Brett P. Eaton, Elizabeth Grimes, Lisa S. Welch, Laura Gomba, Catherine L. Wilhelmsen, Donald K. Nichols, Jonathan E. Nuss, Elyse R. Nagle, Jeffrey R. Kugelman, Gustavo Palacios, Edward Doerffler, Sean Neville, Ernest Carra, Michael O. Clarke, Lijun Zhang, Willard Lew, Bruce Ross, Queenie Wang, Kwon Chun, Lydia Wolfe, Darius Babusis, Yeojin Park, Kirsten M. Stray, Iva Trancheva, Joy Y. Feng, Ona Barauskas, Yili Xu, Pamela Wong, Molly R. Braun, Mike Flint, Laura K. McMullan, Shan-Shan Chen, Rachel Fearns, Swami Swaminathan, Douglas L. Mayers, Christina F. Spiropoulou, William A. Lee, Stuart T. Nichol, Tomas Cihlar and Sina Bavari, Therapeutic efficacy of the small molecule GS-5734 against ebola virus in rhesus monkeys, Nature 531(7594) (2016), 381-385.
- [55] Jianxin Wei, Murat Cancan, Atiq Ur Rehman, Muhammad Kamran Siddiqui, Muhammad Nasir, Muhammad Tayyab Younas and Muhammad Farhan Hanif, On topological indices of remdesivir compound used in treatment of corona virus (COVID 19), *Polycyclic Aromatic Compounds* (2021), 1–19.
- [56] Xintian Xu, Ping Chen, Jingfang Wang, Jiannan Feng, Hui Zhou, Xuan Li, Wu Zhong and Pei Hao, Evolution of the novel coronavirus from the ongoing wuhan outbreak and modeling of its spike protein for risk of human transmission, *Science China Life Sciences* 63(3) (2020), 457–460.
- [57] Yiwu Yan, Zhen Zou, Yang Sun, Xiao Li, Kai-Feng Xu, Yuquan Wei, Ningyi Jin and Chengyu Jiang, Anti-malaria drug chloroquine is highly effective in treating avian influenza a h5n1 virus infection in an animal model, *Cell Research* 23(2) (2012), 300–302.
- [58] Zi-Feng Yang, Li-Ping Bai, Wen bo Huang, Xu-Zhao Li, Sui-Shan Zhao, Nan-Shan Zhong and Zhi-Hong Jiang, Comparison of in vitro antiviral activity of tea polyphenols against influenza a and b viruses and structure–activity relationship analysis, *Fitoterapia* 93 (2014), 47–53.
- [59] Dan Zhou, Sheng-Ming Dai and Qiang Tong, COVID-19: a recommendation to examine the effect of hydroxychloroquine in preventing infection and progression, *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **75**(7) (2020), 1667–1670.