

Guest Editorial

Rehabilitation for temporomandibular disorders

Alessandro de Sire^{a,b,*} and Martina Ferrillo^c

^aDepartment of Medical and Surgical Sciences, University of Catanzaro “Magna Graecia”, Catanzaro, Italy

^bResearch Center on Musculoskeletal Health, MusculoSkeletalHealth@UMG, University of Catanzaro “Magna Graecia”, Catanzaro, Italy

^cDepartment of Health Sciences, University of Catanzaro “Magna Graecia”, Catanzaro, Italy

Dear colleagues,

Temporomandibular disorders (TMD) are a heterogeneous group of pathological conditions that involve the temporomandibular joint (TMJ), the masticatory muscles, and the associated anatomical structures [1]. TMD are the second most common musculoskeletal chronic pain disorders after the low back pain, with an estimated prevalence ranging from 10.6% to 68.1% in males and from 21.2% to 72.4% in females [2]. TMD clinical manifestations include joint noises, jaw movement dysfunction, and pain, that can start from TMJ diffusing to ears, temple, shoulders, and cervical region [3,4]. Patients may experience some non-specific symptoms such as tinnitus and dizziness, headaches, and neck and shoulder pain [3,4]. Furthermore, TMD are responsible of limitations in basic activities of daily living, as chewing, talking, swallowing, yawning, and negatively influence the oral health related quality of life [5].

To better define these disorders, the International Research DC/TMD Consortium Network and the Orofacial Pain Special Interest Group developed the Di-

agnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders (DC/TMD) Axis I to classify the TMD into: myofascial pain, disc displacement, and TMJ arthralgia, arthritis, and arthrosis [1,6]. Moreover, the DC/TMD Axis II allows assessing the pain related disability by evaluating the psychological status and the psychosocial functioning, considering that the risk of anxiety and depression was shown to be increased whether during the acute or the chronic TMD phase [1,7].

In this context, a wide range of literature has been provided on TMD in the last years, as reported by a recent bibliometric analysis published in the *Journal of Back and Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation* (JBMR) that showed an increasing number of citations for articles on TMD [4]. More in detail, the paper with the highest number of citations ($n = 3020$) was on TMD research diagnostic criteria [6], testifying the importance of the classification of TMD to have an adequate diagnosis. Moreover, it should be noted that the diagnosis is clinical and can be supported by magnetic resonance imaging exams for inflammatory conditions and soft tissue areas, including muscles, ligaments, and TMJ disc, and by cone beam computer tomography for skeletal and dental tissues [8,9].

As reported by recent systematic reviews with meta-analyses [10,11,12], rehabilitation might play a key role in the management of TMD; physical therapy, laser therapy, extracorporeal shockwave therapy (ESWT), transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS),

*Corresponding author: Alessandro de Sire, Physical and Rehabilitative Medicine, Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, University of Catanzaro “Magna Graecia”, Catanzaro 88100, Italy. Tel.: +39 961712819; E-mail: alessandro.desire@unicz.it. ORCID: 0000-0002-5541-8346.

temporomandibular joint injections, and occlusal splints are considered as the first-line treatments. These rehabilitative approaches were shown to be effective in improving articular range of motion, reducing pain, and preventing further degenerative damage [10,11,12]. A double-blind randomized controlled trial (RCT) by Dıraçoğlu et al. [7] assessed the efficacy of dry needling in patients affected by myofascial pain. The authors showed a significant decrease in pain VAS in the study group after treatment (p -value < 0.001) and significant higher mean algometric values in the study group. Furthermore, a recent systematic review and network meta-analysis by Yao et al. [13] including 153 RCTs assessed the efficacy of the available therapies for TMD chronic pain concluding that rehabilitation is effective for pain relief in these subjects. More in detail, cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT), therapist-assisted jaw mobilization, supervised jaw exercise and stretching, and manual trigger point therapy were reported as the most effective therapies for pain relief in patients with TMD.

Concerning the role of physical agent modalities in these subjects, two systematic reviews [10,11] reported that low-level laser therapy (LLL) was among the most investigated TMD treatments, and the wavelength of 910–1100 nm was shown to be the most effective in reducing pain [10,11]. However, there is still a lack of consensus on the effective duration and frequency of LLL sessions in the treatment of TMD [11]. Moreover, Marotta et al. [14] assessed the safety and efficacy of physical exercise combined with radial ESWT in patients with myofascial pain related TMD, and showed a statistically significant pain reduction (p -value = 0.021) in the study group compared to placebo after treatment.

A strong association between TMD and pain in the cervical region has been reported, probably due to neurological and biomechanical communications that result in a close interaction between the mandibular and cervical systems [15,16,17].

Furthermore, it is well recognized that physical therapy (including manual therapy and kinesiotaping) might be effective in reducing pain in patients with concomitant TMD and neck pain, considering that TMD patients often report neck pain at the cervical muscles, especially sternocleidomastoid and upper trapezius [18,19,20]. Indeed, Serrano-Hernanz et al. conducted a RCT to determine whether pressure release technique (PRT) was effective for reducing pain in subjects with chronic myofascial TMD [19]. Their results showed a significant reduction in VAS pain in the PRT group (p -value < 0.001).

This issue of JBMR deals with these aspects, and it is with great pleasure that we award the Editor's Choice article to Miçooğulları et al. [20], who highlighted that postural stability, TMJ, and cervical joint position sense appear to be affected in individuals with cranio-cervico-mandibular malalignment who need specific rehabilitative treatment. Their paper has been made freely available for you to read, download, and share.

In conclusion, we could affirm that the rehabilitative conservative therapies can be considered effective in reducing pain in TMD and we welcome further high-quality studies in JBMR to obtain a scientific consensus regarding the best rehabilitative approach for pain relief in these patients.

On behalf of the editorial team of JBMR, we hope you enjoy reading this issue!

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest, funding sources or consultant relationships with any organizations involved in this research to declare.

Author contributions

Study conceptualization: AdS; Methodology: AdS; Investigation: MF; Writing-Original Draft Preparation: MF; Writing-Review and Editing: AdS; Study supervision: AdS. Both authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

References

- [1] Schiffman E, Ohrbach R, Truelove E, et al. Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders (DC/TMD) for Clinical and Research Applications: Recommendations of the International RDC/TMD Consortium Network* and Orofacial Pain Special Interest Group†. *J Oral Facial Pain Headache*. 2014; 28(1): 6-27. doi: 10.11607/jop.1151.
- [2] Alrizqi AH, Aleissa BM. Prevalence of temporomandibular disorders between 2015–2021: A literature review. *Cureus*. 2023; 15(4): e37028. doi: 10.7759/cureus.37028.
- [3] Tavares LF, Gadotti IC, Ferreira LM, et al. Pain, deep neck flexors performance, disability, and head posture in individuals with temporomandibular disorder with and without otological complaints. *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil*. 2023; 36(2): 465-475. doi: 10.3233/BMR-220079.
- [4] Ferrillo M, Gallo V, Lippi L, et al. The 50 most-cited articles on temporomandibular disorders: A bibliometric analysis. *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil*. 2023; 36(2): 279-297. doi: 10.3233/BMR-220152.

- 149 [5] Qamar Z, Alghamdi AMS, Haydarah NKB, et al. Impact of
150 temporomandibular disorders on oral health-related quality of
151 life: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Oral Rehabil.*
152 2023; 50(8): 706-714. doi: 10.1111/joor.13472.
- 153 [6] Dworkin SF, LeResche L. Research diagnostic criteria for
154 temporomandibular disorders: Review, criteria, examinations
155 and specifications, critique. *J Craniomandib Disord.* 1992;
156 6(4): 301-55.
- 157 [7] Dıraçoğlu D, Yıldırım NK, Saral İ, et al. Temporomandibular
158 dysfunction and risk factors for anxiety and depression.
159 *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil.* 2016; 29(3): 487-91. doi:
160 10.3233/BMR-150644.
- 161 [8] Steenks MH, de Wijer A, Bosman F. Orthopedic diagnostic
162 tests for temporomandibular and cervical spine disorders.
163 *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil.* 1996; 6(2): 135-53. doi:
164 10.3233/BMR-1996-6205.
- 165 [9] Al-Saleh MA, Alsufyani NA, Saltaji H, Jaremko JL, Major
166 PW. MRI and CBCT image registration of temporomandibular
167 joint: A systematic review. *J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.*
168 2016; 45(1): 30. doi: 10.1186/s40463-016-0144-4.
- 169 [10] Ferrillo M, Ammendolia A, Paduano S, et al. Efficacy of reha-
170 bilitation on reducing pain in muscle-related temporomandibular
171 disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis of random-
172 ized controlled trials. *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil.* 2022;
173 35(5): 921-936. doi: 10.3233/BMR-210236.
- 174 [11] Ferrillo M, Nucci L, Giudice A, et al. Efficacy of conser-
175 vative approaches on pain relief in patients with tempo-
176 romandibular joint disorders: a systematic review with network
177 meta-analysis. *Cranio.* 2022; 1-17. doi: 10.1080/08869634.
178 2022.2126079.
- 179 [12] Agostini F, Ferrillo M, Bernetti A, et al. Hyaluronic acid in-
180 jections for pain relief and functional improvement in patients
181 with temporomandibular disorders: An umbrella review of sys-
182 tematic reviews. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2023; 50(12): 1518-1534. doi:
183 10.1111/joor.13571.
- 184 [13] Yao L, Sadeghirad B, Li M, et al. Management of chronic
185 pain secondary to temporomandibular disorders: A systematic
186 review and network meta-analysis of randomised trials. *BMJ.*
187 2023; 383: e076226. doi: 10.1136/bmj-2023-076226.
- 188 [14] Marotta N, Ferrillo M, Demeco A, et al. Effects of radial extra-
189 corporeal shock wave therapy in reducing pain in patients with
190 temporomandibular disorders: A pilot randomized controlled
191 trial. *Appl Sci.* 2022; 12: 3821. doi: 10.3390/app12083821.
- 192 [15] Packer AC, Dibai-Filho AV, de Souza Costa AC, dos Santos
193 Berni KC, Rodrigues-Bigaton D. Relationship between
194 neck disability and mandibular range of motion. *J Back Mus-
195 culoskelet Rehabil.* 2014; 27(4): 493-8. doi: 10.3233/BMR-
196 140471.
- 197 [16] Raya CR, Plaza-Manzano G, Pecos-Martín D, Ferragut-
198 Garcías A, Martín-Casas P, Gallego-Izquierdo T, Romero-
199 Franco N. Role of upper cervical spine in temporomandibular
200 disorders. *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil.* 2017; 30(6): 1245-
201 1250. doi: 10.3233/BMR-169620.
- 202 [17] Ferrillo M, Migliario M, Marotta N, et al. Temporomandibular
203 disorders and neck pain in primary headache patients: A retro-
204 spective machine learning study. *Acta Odontol Scand.* 2023;
205 81(2): 151-157. doi: 10.1080/00016357.2022.2105945.
- 206 [18] Coskun Benlidayi I, Salimov F, Kurkcu M, Guzel R. Kine-
207 sio Taping for temporomandibular disorders: Single-blind,
208 randomized, controlled trial of effectiveness. *J Back Muscu-
209 loskelet Rehabil.* 2016; 29(2): 373-380. doi: 10.3233/BMR-
210 160683.
- 211 [19] Serrano-Hernanz G, Angulo-Carrere T, Ardizzone-García I,
212 Svensson P, Álvarez-Méndez AM. Pressure release technique
213 versus placebo applied to cervical and masticatory muscles in
214 patients with chronic painful myofascial temporomandibular
215 disorder: A randomised clinical trial. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2023;
216 50(9): 782-791. doi: 10.1111/joor.13490.
- 217 [20] Miçooğulları M, Yüksel İ, Angın S. Efficacy of scapulothoracic
218 exercises on proprioception and postural stability in cranio-
219 cervico-mandibular malalignment: A randomized, double-
220 blind, controlled trial. *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil.* 2024;
221 37(4). doi: 10.3233/BMR-230323.