Editorial

Approaching digital interventions for musculoskeletal rehabilitation

Sebastian Fudickar

Institute of Medical Informatics, University of Luebeck, Luebeck, Germany E-mail: sebastian.fudickar@uni-luebeck.de

Dear colleagues,

Aiming at enhancing musculoskeletal rehabilitation for patients with back and musculoskeletal pain complaints, the current issue of the *Journal of Back and Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation* (JBMR) combines articles that a) enhance the knowledge on the assessment and management of specific problems [1–3], b) evaluate treatment effects [4–6], and c) add new information evaluating the benefits of novel applications of biomedical technologies in therapeutic treatment [7–9]. In particular, the paper by Lee et al. shows great potential and has thus been selected as the Editor's Choice for this issue and has been made freely available. In presenting these issues, this issue of JBMR represents the current awareness and focus of research in this medical field.

However, as a computer scientist by education, I'll use this editorial to turn your attention towards the recent advancements in the field of health informatics and data analytics and highlight some of the potential implications these might have for your field.

Considering the increase in accuracy of data analytics in recent fields and the usability and omni-presence of apps, the availability of medical applications that address the musculoskeletal rehabilitation field was inevitable. With apps such as Vivira (https://www. vivira.com/) or "HelloBetter ratiopharm chronischer Schmerz" (https://ratiopharm.hellobetter.de/) having received medical device regulation certification, and having confirmed a treatment benefit for patients, in Germany we now have digital treatments available on a broad scale that can be subscribed to and are billing with health insurance companies on a daily basis. While the treatment benefits are so far shown for a specific disease, we might expect also benefits for similar disease patterns, an aspect which holds wide research potential.

We can expect a further increase of the available digital interventions and the rate of subscriptions. While the current available digital interventions mainly act as a supplement to classical treatments, we can foresee an increasing degree of personalization of such interventions. Consequently, they might even gain relevance in substituting classical in-person treatments; a tendency which might benefit especially residents of rural regions.

However, this envisioned development opens up substantial research needs in the field of musculoskeletal rehabilitation, including the evaluation of the treatment effects for specific diseases and differentiated (e.g., gender- and age-specific) patient groups – a task that I would invite you to consider in your future research.

References

- Neumann A, Hampel P. Long-term effects of rehabilitation and prevention of further chronification of pain among patients with non-specific low back pain. Journal of Back and Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation. 35(6).
- [2] Ansuategui Echeita J, et al. Central sensitization and functioning in patients with chronic low back pain: A cross-sectional and longitudinal study. Journal of Back and Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation. 35(6).
- [3] Yazici A, Yerlikaya T. Investigation of the relationship between

the clinical evaluation results of lumbar region muscles with cross-sectional area and fat infiltration. Journal of Back and Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation. 35(6).

- [4] Kim K-H, Kim D-H. Effects of ankle joint proprioceptive training and thermal approach on stroke patients' trunk, balance stability and gait parameter. Journal of Back and Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation. 35(6).
- [5] Yan Z-W, et al. Tai chi for spatiotemporal gait features and dynamic balancing capacity in elderly female patients with nonspecific low back pain: A six-week randomized controlled trial. Journal of Back and Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation. 35(6).
- [6] Misir A, et al. The effect of postoperative sling immobilization and early mobilization on clinical and functional outcomes after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair: A propensity score-matched analysis. Journal of Back and Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation. 35(6).
- [7] Lee Y, Kim K. The influence of gait training combined with portable functional electrical stimulation on motor function, balance and gait ability in stroke patients. Journal of Back and Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation. 35(6).
- [8] Zhong X, et al. Effectiveness and safety of ultrasound-guided thoracic paravertebral block versus local anesthesia for percutaneous kyphoplasty in patients with osteoporotic compression fracture. Journal of Back and Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation. 35(6).
- [9] Jo N-G, et al. The efficacy of low-intensity pulsed ultrasound on articular cartilage and clinical evaluations in patients with knee osteoarthritis. Journal of Back and Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation. 35(6).