Does mobilisation of the thoracic spine using mechanical massage affect diaphragmatic excursion in individuals with thoracic hyperkyphosis?
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Abstract.

BACKGROUND: Thoracic mobilisation improves thoracic hyperkyphosis and respiratory function. Diaphragmatic excursion is associated with respiratory function; however, limited studies have assessed the effect of thoracic mobilisation on diaphragmatic excursion.

OBJECTIVE: This study aimed to investigate the effects of thoracic mobilisation on diaphragmatic excursion and respiratory function in individuals with thoracic hyperkyphosis.

METHODS: Participants were recruited through Internet advertising and participated voluntarily. Nineteen healthy participants (age: 33.37 ± 6.56 years; height: 170.32 ± 7.92 cm; weight: 69.77 ± 14.70 kg) with thoracic hyperkyphosis underwent thoracic mobilisation for 8 weeks. Diaphragmatic excursion, thoracic kyphosis, and respiratory function were measured. Thoracic mobilisation was provided using a mechanical massage device.

RESULTS: Thoracic mobilisation for 8 weeks significantly improved diaphragmatic excursion during deep breathing (p = 0.015), forced vital capacity (p < 0.01), and thoracic hyperkyphosis (p < 0.01).

CONCLUSIONS: Thoracic mobilisation can be recommended in respiratory rehabilitation programs to increase diaphragmatic excursion and respiratory function for the management and prevention of respiratory dysfunction in individuals with thoracic hyperkyphosis.
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1. Introduction

Thoracic kyphosis is the sagittal plane of the thoracic spine measured using the Cobb angle. When the thoracic kyphosis angle is > 40°, it is defined as thoracic hyperkyphosis [1–4]. Thoracic kyphosis is negatively correlated with thoracic expansion [5]. Restriction of thoracic expansion reduces rib cage excursion, which in turn reduces respiratory function [5–10]. Therefore, thoracic kyphosis is associated with respiratory function.

Mobilisation has been applied to improve respiratory function in the thoracic spine in the posteroanterior direction [11–16]. Applying posteroanterior mobilisation to the thoracic spine improves the angle of thoracic kyphosis and mobility of thoracic extension, leading to an upright posture [17,18]. Jung and Moon reported that thoracic mobilisation over 18 sessions for 6 weeks significantly improved chest expansion. The upright posture has a higher respiratory function than...
the slouched posture, suggesting reduced diaphragm tension and mobility [19,20]. Thus, a slouched posture eventually decreases the ability of the diaphragm, such as that observed in diaphragmatic excursion [20].

Diaphragmatic excursion increases the volume of the thoracic cavity in both the anteroposterior and mediolateral diameters [21,22]. As the volume of the thoracic cavity increases, the pressure in the thoracic cavity becomes lower than the atmospheric pressure, and the pressure difference causes inspiration [21]. Therefore, diaphragmatic excursion is correlated with respiratory function [23]. Cardenas et al. reported that there are significant correlations between diaphragmatic excursion and forced vital capacity ($r = 0.54$) and forced expiratory volume in $1\, s$ ($r = 0.53$). The diaphragm is attached to the internal surfaces of the rib cage and sternum, and diaphragmatic excursion during deep breathing is accompanied by a slight extension of the thoracic spine [22,24]. Individuals with thoracic hyperkyphosis may have reduced thoracic extension during diaphragmatic excursion [25,26].

Since there is a negative correlation between thoracic kyphosis and diaphragmatic excursion, diaphragmatic excursion may improve with improvement in hyperkyphosis [26]. Improvements in hyperkyphosis also increase respiratory function. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate how thoracic mobilisation, which improves hyperkyphosis, affects diaphragmatic excursion and respiratory function in patients with thoracic hyperkyphosis. We hypothesized that the thoracic mobilisation for 8 weeks improves diaphragmatic excursion and respiratory function in patients with thoracic hyperkyphosis.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

Participants were recruited through Internet advertising and participated voluntarily from July 2018 to August 2019. Healthy participants aged 18–50 years with thoracic kyphosis angle $>40^{\circ}$ [27], measured using a spinal mouse (Idiag AG, Fehraltorf, Switzerland), were included in the study. However, participants with the following characteristics were excluded: presence of scoliosis, a history of spinal column fracture, spinal tumours and related malignancies, congenital spinal anomalies, cancer, and rheumatoid arthritis [18].

Based on a pilot study, the required sample size was calculated by $a\ priori$ power analysis using G*Power 3.1 (G*Power Software Inc., Kiel, Germany) using the effect size calculation of diaphragmatic excursion, the $\alpha$ level was set to 0.05. After conducting a pilot study with 5 participants in this study, a minimum sample size of 18 was required to obtain a power of 0.95.

A total of 94 participants were screened, and 47 participants who met the exclusion criteria were excluded. Among the participants who met the inclusion criteria, 20 were enrolled according to the calculation of the sample size in this study. During the intervention, 1 participant was excluded for personal reasons. Nineteen subjects (age: $33.37 \pm 6.56$ years; height: $170.32 \pm 7.92$ cm; weight: $69.77 \pm 14.70$ kg; body mass index: $23.83 \pm 3.66$ kg/m$^2$, male/female: 12/7) were finally included in this study.

The study was approved by the Yonsei University Mirae Institutional Review Board (1041849-201901BM-019-01), and was registered by the Korea Clinical Research Information Service (KCT0004527; Registered on 7 December 2019, https://cris.nih.go.kr/cris/en/search/search_result_st01.jsp?seq=15268). All participants provided written informed consent before inclusion.

2.2. Thoracic mobilisation

Thoracic mobilisation is externally imposed, with small-amplitude passive motion that is intended to produce gliding at the thoracic spine joint [28]. To apply thoracic mobilisation, a mechanical massage device (Pookjam Factorial Inc., Seoul, South Korea) with mobilisation effect was used [3]. This device was a mat-type mechanical mobilisation/massage device that had two 5-cm-diameter circular rollers located 7.8 cm apart. The rollers massaged the erector spinae muscles bilaterally while simultaneously mobilising the spine in the direction of extension. For the thoracic spine, the thoracic mode of the device was used with roller massage. This thoracic mode provided mobilisation from the head to the thoracic spine. The rollers moved in upward and downward motion with the same speed of $0.4$ cm/s, and in the thoracic mode, a total of 3 cycles were performed for 25 min. The device was applied at the participant’s home and applied for 3 sessions per week (a total of 24 sessions for 8 weeks) (Fig. 1). In order to supervise whether the participant performed all interventions steadily, the supervisor confirmed whether the participant was performing the intervention using a message. All participants faithfully participated in 24 interventions, and there were no reported adverse events.
2.3. Outcomes

2.3.1. Diaphragmatic excursion

Diaphragmatic excursions were recorded using a portable ultrasound system (A35; Samsung Medison, Seoul, South Korea) and a sector transducer (3.5 MHz). The experimental method has been reported elsewhere [23] and has a high intra-rater reliability [29].

To evaluate diaphragmatic excursion, the participants were placed in the supine position, and the probe was placed on the abdominal region between the midclavicular and anterior axillary lines. The transducer was directed medially, cephalad, and dorsally so that the ultrasound beam was perpendicular to the posterior third of the right hemidiaphragm. Diaphragmatic excursion was assessed using M-mode imaging between the end of expiration and the end of inspiration during tidal and deep breathing (Fig. 2). Before measurement, participant was instructed to perform deep breathing task and familiarisation was performed 1–2 times. We recorded the average of two consecutive measurements of tidal breathing and the average of four measurements of deep breathing.

2.3.2. Thoracic kyphosis

Digital lateral thoracic spine radiographs were obtained using a collimator (Medien International, Co. Ltd., Gyeonggi-do, South Korea) and MC-D computed radiographic systems (Medien International, Co., Ltd., Gyeonggi-do, South Korea) to measure thoracic kyphosis. The measurement of thoracic kyphosis has high inter- and intra-rater reliability [17,30]. Each participant stood at a distance of 100 cm so that the left side of the body faced the X-ray beam, and the beam was centred at T7 of the thoracic spine [31,32]. All image analyses were performed by one investigator who also performed a repeatability study of the images. The radiographic images were analysed on a personal computer using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA). For analysis of the radiographic images, the angles of the two lines between the superior end plate of T4 and the inferior end plate of T12 were analysed [33].

2.3.3. Respiratory function test

To investigate changes in respiratory function, we measured the forced vital capacity, forced expiratory volume in 1 s, and peak expiratory flow. These variables were measured using a digital spirometer (SP10; Contec Medical, China). All participants sat on a stool and looked straight. Participants were instructed how to test with a spirometer, and familiarisation was performed 1–2 times. For the test, the participant breathed into the mouthpiece of the spirometer after inhalation to the maximum when the participant’s nose was clamped with a nose clip [34]. We recorded the average of two consecutive measurements. The forced vital capacity and forced expiratory volume at one second %predicted value was determined according to Hankinson's study [35].

2.4. Statistical analysis

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to assess the homogeneity of variance of diaphragmatic excursion (p > 0.05). To compare the effects before and after thoracic mobilisation, a paired t-test was used. Intra-rater reliability for measurements of diaphragmatic excursion and respiratory function was calculated using the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) (3, 1) model. All statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 20.0. (IBM, Armonk, NY), and the level of statistical significance was set at p < 0.05.

3. Results

The results of the paired t-test for diaphragmatic excursion and respiratory function are shown in Table 1. Significant differences in diaphragmatic excursion during deep breathing and forced vital capacity were evident. The thoracic kyphosis angle improved significantly after device-assisted intervention combining mobilisation and massage (pre = 43.43° ± 6.76°, post = 39.11° ± 6.74°, t = 3.416, p < 0.01; Fig. 3). Intra-rater reliability for measurements was statistically significant (diaphragmatic excursion during tidal breathing: ICC = 0.939, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.877–0.974; diaphragmatic excursion during deep breathing: ICC = 0.961, 95% CI = 0.899–0.985; forced vital capacity: ICC = 0.970, 95% CI = 0.922–0.988; forced expiratory volume in 1 s: ICC = 0.975, 95% CI = 0.934–0.990; peak expiratory flow: ICC = 0.974, 95% CI = 0.933–0.990).
4. Discussion

Various studies have previously reported that respiratory function improves after thoracic mobilisation [12–14,36,37]. The purpose of this study was to investigate the improvement in diaphragmatic excursion after thoracic mobilisation for 8 weeks in individuals with thoracic hyperkyphosis. We observed an improvement in diaphragmatic excursion during deep breathing in individuals with hyperkyphosis after mobilisation for 8 weeks ($p = 0.015$). In addition, improvements in forced vital capacity and thoracic hyperkyphosis were observed ($p < 0.01$), consistent with the results of previous studies [13,14,18].

Diaphragmatic excursion is related to the length-tension relationship in the apposition zone of the diaphragm [25,26]. If the diaphragm does not have an ideal length-tension relationship, diaphragmatic excursion decreases according to the muscle length-tension relationship [38]. Thoracic hyperkyphosis restricts chest expansion and alters the ideal length-tension relationship of the diaphragm, thus reducing diaphragmatic excursion [5,12,26,39,40]. In our study, diaphragmatic excursion during deep breathing improved from 5.53 mm to 6.26 mm after 8 weeks of mobilisation in patients with thoracic hyperkyphosis ($p = 0.015$). In addition, mobilisation for 8 weeks improved thoracic hyper-
If the activation of the inter-neuronal pool at the spinal level is hyperexcitable owing to stimulation such as mobilisation, the activation adds to the already present central stimulus. Therefore, stimulation reaching the motor nerve fibres is greater than the original central stimulation [13]. Fourth, back massage improves respiratory rate [44]. Back massage can relax not only the erector spinae muscle, but also the levator costarum muscles. Therefore, the intervention combining mobilisation and massage would have an effect on respiratory function by improving the movement of the costospinal joint.

Diaphragmatic excursion during deep breathing has a significant correlation with respiratory function, but diaphragmatic excursion during tidal breathing has no significant correlation [23]. In a study by Wang [40], diaphragm excursion during deep breathing was significantly correlated with chest wall expansion; however, diaphragm excursion during tidal breathing had no significant correlation. In our study, diaphragm excursion increased significantly during deep breathing after thoracic mobilisation, but there was no significant difference during tidal breathing, possibly because of the movement of the slight extension of the thoracic spine combined with movement of the ribs during deep breathing. Therefore, it is clinically meaningful to measure the change in diaphragmatic excursion during deep breathing rather than during tidal breathing when investigating the effects of interventions.

4.1. Limitation and future scope

This study has several limitations. First, follow-up data were not measured. Therefore, it is difficult to determine the extent to which thoracic mobilisation is maintained after 8 weeks. Second, the control group was not included in this study. Therefore, it is difficult to clearly identify the cause of improved respiration after thoracic mobilisation. In future studies, a control group is necessary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Diaphragm excursion and respiratory functions before and after thoracic mobilisation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-mobilisation (mean ± SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diaphragm excursion during tidal breathing (cm)</td>
<td>1.62 ± 0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diaphragm excursion during deep breathing (cm)</td>
<td>5.53 ± 1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forced vital capacity (L)</td>
<td>3.87 ± 0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forced vital capacity (%pred)</td>
<td>82.98 ± 10.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forced expiratory volume in one second (L)</td>
<td>3.42 ± 0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forced expiratory volume in one second (%pred)</td>
<td>89.97 ± 12.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak expiratory flow (L/s)</td>
<td>7.08 ± 1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiffeneau-Pinelli index* (%)</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD = standard deviation, *p < 0.05, * Forced vital capacity/Forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1%).

Fig. 3. Thoracic kyphosis before and after thoracic mobilisation.

kyphosis (p < 0.01), consistent with the results of previous studies [18,41]. This improvement in thoracic kyphosis may have helped optimise the length–tension relationship of the diaphragm and create a mechanical advantage for diaphragmatic excursion during deep breathing.

Babina et al. [13] reported that forced vital capacity improved more when thoracic mobilisation and breathing exercises were applied together than when only breathing exercises were applied. In our study, forced vital capacity improved after 8 weeks of mobilisation in patients with thoracic hyperkyphosis (p < 0.01).

Although no variables were measured directly in this study, there are four possible reasons why thoracic mobilisation improved forced vital capacity. First, diaphragmatic excursion improved after thoracic mobilisation. Improving diaphragmatic excursion increases inspiratory muscle strength, which further improves forced vital capacity [23]. Second, chest wall expansion improves after thoracic mobilisation [12]. Improvement in chest wall expansion provides the lung with relatively more space to expand, thus causing an overall improvement in forced vital capacity [42]. Third, the respiratory muscles can be stimulated by thoracic mobilisation. In general, when the muscle contracts, the central stimulus comes from the motor cortex to the spinal level [43].

In a study by Wang [40], diaphragm excursion during deep breathing was significantly correlated with chest wall expansion; however, diaphragm excursion during tidal breathing had no significant correlation. In our study, diaphragm excursion increased significantly during deep breathing after thoracic mobilisation, but there was no significant difference during tidal breathing, possibly because of the movement of the slight extension of the thoracic spine combined with movement of the ribs during deep breathing. Therefore, it is clinically meaningful to measure the change in diaphragmatic excursion during deep breathing rather than during tidal breathing when investigating the effects of interventions.

4.1. Limitation and future scope

This study has several limitations. First, follow-up data were not measured. Therefore, it is difficult to determine the extent to which thoracic mobilisation is maintained after 8 weeks. Second, the control group was not included in this study. Therefore, it is difficult to clearly identify the cause of improved respiration after thoracic mobilisation. In future studies, a control group is necessary.
5. Conclusions

We found that a device-assisted intervention combining mobilisation and massage for 8 weeks increased diaphragmatic excursion during deep breathing, forced vital capacity, and improved thoracic hyperkyphosis. Thoracic mobilisation can be recommended in respiratory rehabilitation programs to increase diaphragmatic excursion and respiratory function for the management and prevention of respiratory dysfunction in individuals with thoracic hyperkyphosis.
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