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Abstract.
BACKGROUND: Numerous studies have investigated factors for non-specific chronic low back pain (NSCLBP) in nurses, and have reached different conclusions. Evidence-based recommendations are required for the prevention and treatment of NSCLBP in nurses.

OBJECTIVE: This meta-analysis aimed to systematically review and quantify the factors of NSCLBP in nurses.

METHODS: Eleven databases were searched. The odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were pooled using meta-analysis, and either a fixed-effect or random-effect model was used based on heterogeneity across included studies.

RESULTS: Eighteen publications including 11,752 nursing staff were included. Being female (pooled estimate [95% CI], 1.56 [1.24–1.96]; P < 0.001), married (1.89 [1.38–2.60]; P < 0.001), overweight (0.63 [0.43–0.93]; P = 0.02), working at least 10 years (0.65 [0.48–0.89]; P = 0.007), and working night shifts (2.19 [1.16–4.21]; P = 0.02) were positively related to NSCLBP. Junior college education (0.60 [0.47–0.77]; P < 0.001) and job satisfaction (0.58 [0.47–0.73]; P < 0.001) were negatively related. Age (0.80 [0.50–1.27]; P = 0.34) and physical exercise (0.99 [0.39–2.49]; P = 0.98) were not related.

CONCLUSIONS: This is the first meta-analysis to quantify the risk factors for NSCLBP in nurses. Being female, married, working night shifts, overweight, working at least 10 years and dissatisfied with work are risk factors. High-quality prospective studies are required to validate the findings of this study.
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1. Introduction

Non-specific chronic low back pain (NSCLBP) is characterized by muscle stiffness, tension, or pain localized between the gluteal folds and the costal margin, with or without referred leg pain, without a specific somatic origin, and with the course of the disease lasting more than 12 weeks [1]. With the development and application of diagnostic and therapeutic technologies and the aging population in some countries, the number of critically ill patients being treated by nurses has increased, creating a nursing environment where many physical, chemical, ergonomic, and other harmful factors coexist [2,3]. These occupational hazards have caused acute and chronic damage to the health of nurses. NSCLBP in nurses in particular is a major health problem, with an increasing occurrence worldwide. The incidence of NSCLBP in nurses is reported to be 61–72% [4–6], and has resulted in frequent nursing staff resignations and absences due to ill health [7].

Nurses not only play a professional role in public health, such as in hospital communities, but they also play a key role in responding to various health
challenges, including the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. The occurrence of NSCLBP among nurses differs somewhat from that among workers in other occupations. First, the working environment of nurses involves a heavy workload and high technical requirements [8]. Second, NSCLBP in nurses is often caused by common nursing procedures such as assisting patients to turn over, administering intravenous treatment, or inserting indwelling urinary catheters [9].

NSCLBP in nurses affects their physical and mental health, and is detrimental to the development of the nursing team and the safety of patients.

From a physical point of view, some nursing operations, including catheterization or introducing a central venous catheter (CVC), require the nurse to maintain the same posture, such as sitting, leaning forward, or lifting weights, for a long time. This may overload the spine, and place the core muscles that maintain the stability of the spine (including the rectus abdominis muscle, abdominal obliques muscle, back muscles muscle and other muscle groups) in a state of tension for a prolonged period. The back muscles in particular are prone to fatigue. Over time, the body develops symptoms of NSCLBP [10,11]. The development of NSCLBP in nurses may also be related to a biomechanical imbalance [12]. For example, the process of turning a patient or assisting a patient to turn may require excessive and simultaneous bending and turning, subjecting the lumbar spine to shearing forces. A study by Kim et al. [13] found that a continuous shearing force acting on rat L5-6 intervertebral discs for 1 to 2 weeks could induce intervertebral disc degeneration, which in turn leads to NSCLBP. Finally, from a medical point of view, NSCLBP may be caused by the gradual degeneration of joints and soft tissues over time due to repeated microtraumas, resulting from poor control of the spinal structure [14]. Excessive spine activity can also lead to potential spine deformation and nerve tissue compression damage, which can lead to NSCLBP. Biomechanical imbalance is the basis of spinal deformation and nerve tissue compression, and the NSCLBP that occurs after nerve tissue compression is a reflection of the former [15].

Numerous studies have investigated risk factors for NSCLBP in nurses, including physical, mental, and occupational considerations. However, the various studies reached different conclusions regarding risk factors. For example, Zhang et al. [16] found that the age, educational level, and the professional title of nurses had no effect on NSCLBP, whereas Wang et al. [17] found that these factors influenced the development of NSCLBP. Therefore, it is difficult to find a scientific and clinical consensus on the risk factors for NSCLBP among nurses. Smith et al. [18] demonstrated a significant association between increased age and body mass and golf-related NSCLBP in her meta-analysis. A further meta-analysis by Griffith et al. [19] found that posture exposure and force exposure were associated with NSCLBP. However, to our knowledge, no meta-analyses have explored the risk factors that influence NSCLBP in nurses.

In view of this existing research gap, the objective of this study was to perform a meta-analysis of the risk factors for NSCLBP in nurses. Moreover, the study comprehensively and objectively evaluated the relationship between the identified risk factors and NSCLBP in nurses, using big data to provide appropriate evidence-based insights for the prevention and treatment of NSCLBP in nurses.

2. Methods

2.1. Search strategy

PubMed, ScienceDirect, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, EMBASE, CINAHL, MEDLINE, WANFANG, VIP Database, China Knowledge Resource Integrated Database, and Sinomed were searched. The retrieval time spanned from the establishment of the databases to March 2020. The search strategy combined medical subject headings (MeSH) and free words with “AND” and “OR” as the two logical operators. Key search terms included (nurse OR nurses OR nursing staff OR clinical nurse OR personnel, nursing OR nursing personnel OR registered nurses OR nurse, registered OR nurses, registered OR registered nurse) AND (low back pain OR lower back pain OR back pain OR non-specific low back pain OR Back Pain, Low OR Low Back Pains OR Lower Back Pains OR Pain, Lower Back OR Pains, Lower Back) AND (factors OR risk factors OR Prevalence). These search terms were used consistently across the different databases. Studies were retrieved by computer and manual retrieval methods, and the search languages were Chinese and English.

2.2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria: (1) subjects were registered nurses; (2) the literature reported the diagnostic criteria for NSCLBP (NSCLBP is characterized by muscle stiffness, tension, or pain localized between the gluteal folds...
Table 1
Methodological quality assessment of the 18 studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jingwen Sun, 2018</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiulan Wang, 2016</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limei Tang, 2018</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changjun Liu, 2006</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hongjing Liu, 2013</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tianci Wang, 2015</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiaqi Zeng, 2020</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junxiao Wu, 2019</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meikomen TH, 2019</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohammad Suliman, 2018</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shun-Huey Sheu, 2016</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohd Ismail Ibrahim, 2019</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junpei Yokota, 2019</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payman Asadi, 2016</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chuliporn Sopajareeya, 2009</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moath Bin Homaid, 2016</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vera Yin Bing Yip, 2004</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seyed Ehsan Samaei, 2017</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ("✓" = "Yes"; "×" = "No"; "?" = "Unclear").
1 Is the defined sampling framework representative of the target population? 2 Is the sampling method of the research objects appropriate? 3 Is the sample size sufficient? 4 Is the subject and the site of the study described in detail? 5 Do the subgroups in the sample exhibit similar response rates to ensure adequate coverage during data analysis? 6 Are there effective ways to identify health problems? 7 Are health problems assessed in all subjects using standard, validated methods? 8 Is the data analysis method appropriate? 9 Is the response rate adequate? Are the low response rates properly addressed?

and the costal margin, the pain is not caused by infection, trauma, or any other specific reasons, and with the course of the disease lasting more than 12 weeks; (3) samples of nursing staff and cases of NSCLBP were reported in the literature; (4) primary research reported the prevalence of NSCLBP among nurses working at different healthcare facilities using a 12-month recall period; and (5) the literature was published in peer-reviewed journals. Exclusion criteria: (1) unavailability of abstracts, full-text articles, and data; (2) incomplete, unclear, or incorrect information; and (3) duplicated evidence. The literature was independently screened by two trained researchers according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The extracted information included clinical information and the following data from the original literature: first author, year of publication, country, research type, research objective, and sample size. In case of disputes regarding the data, an agreement was reached through third-party discussion.

2.3. Quality evaluation

The predominant type of research included in this analysis was the status survey. Quality assessment of status surveys was conducted according to the Joanna Briggs Institute evidence-based healthcare center in Australia (Table 1) [20]. In this assessment, nine items were scored, with a maximum score of 9 points awarded. If the evaluation result was YES, the score was 1; otherwise, the score was 0. Scores of 0–3, 4–6, and 7–9 were classified as low, medium, and high quality, respectively. The risk of cross-sectional research bias (Joanna Briggs Institute) was used to evaluate publication bias in the included literature. Following independent evaluation, the two researchers discussed the results and reached a consensus. In case of disagreement, a third researcher participated in the discussion.

2.4. Statistical analysis

Odds ratios (OR) were used as effect indicators for counting data. Mean differences or standardized mean differences and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were used as the effect indices of the data. The statistical software Review Manager 5.3 (Cochrane collaboration, Oxford, UK) was used in the meta-analysis to analyze the heterogeneity of the different studies. $P \geq 0.1$ and $I^2 \leq 50\%$ indicated homogeneity among studies, in which case, a fixed effects model was selected for the meta-analysis. $P < 0.1$ and $I^2 > 50\%$ indicated heterogeneity among studies, and a random effects model was selected for the meta-analysis. Finally, the OR and
## Table 2
Basic information used for the sample plots

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Prevalence of NSCLBP (%)</th>
<th>Mean age ± SD</th>
<th>Gender frequency (%)</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Tool</th>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Publication bias</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jingwen Sun</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>1854</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>32.2 ± 8.62</td>
<td>377 (4.1)</td>
<td>1777 (95.8)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>SRQ, NMQ</td>
<td>①④④④⑦</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiulan Wang et al.</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>92.4</td>
<td>31.93 ± 7.42</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>SRQ</td>
<td>②③</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limei Tang et al.</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>1279</td>
<td>91.01</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>80 (6.2)</td>
<td>1199 (93.8)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>SRQ, VAS</td>
<td>①④④⑦</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changjun Liu et al.</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>33.4 ± 7.3</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>486 (100)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>SRQ</td>
<td>⑥</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hongjing Lin</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>54.65</td>
<td>29.47 ± 6.35</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>SRQ, RMDQ, ODI</td>
<td>①②⑦</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tianci Wang et al.</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>33.64 ±</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>474 (100)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>SRQ, NRS</td>
<td>①②⑦</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiaqi Zeng et al.</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>79.6</td>
<td>28.93 ± 5.79</td>
<td>38 (6.1)</td>
<td>589 (93.9)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>SRQ</td>
<td>②③④</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junxiao Wu et al.</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>31.0 ± 9.0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>SRQ, VAS</td>
<td>②④</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tesfaye Hambisa Mekonnen et al.</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>31.39 ± 7.01</td>
<td>189 (44.8)</td>
<td>233 (55.2)</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>SRQ, NMQ</td>
<td>③④⑦</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohammad Suhman</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td>32.59 ± 7.48</td>
<td>127 (33.1)</td>
<td>257 (66.9)</td>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>SRQ, NRS</td>
<td>③④⑦</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shwn-Huey Shieh et al.</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>788</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>SRQ</td>
<td>①②⑥⑦⑨</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohd Ismail Ibrahim et al.</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>1292</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>49 (3.8)</td>
<td>1243 (96.2)</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>SRQ</td>
<td>①②③④⑤⑦⑧</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junpei Yokota et al.</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>412 (37.5)</td>
<td>688 (62.5)</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>SRQ, NRS</td>
<td>①②③</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payman Asadi et al.</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>32 ± 8.24</td>
<td>34 (9.7)</td>
<td>316 (90.3)</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>SRQ</td>
<td>①②④⑤⑦</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chuliporn Sopajareeya et al.</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>9 (3.4)</td>
<td>256 (96.6)</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>SRQ, NMQ</td>
<td>①②③④⑤⑦</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosa Bin Homaid et al.</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>33.9 ± 7.6</td>
<td>31 (25.8)</td>
<td>89 (74.2)</td>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>SRQ</td>
<td>②③④⑤⑦</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vera Yin Bing Yip et al.</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>21 (14.6)</td>
<td>123 (85.4)</td>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>SRQ</td>
<td>②③⑦</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seyed Ehsan Samaei et al.</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>33.6 ± 3.18</td>
<td>30 (12.3)</td>
<td>213 (87.7)</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>SRQ</td>
<td>②④</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ① age; ② years working as a nurse; ③ gender; ④ body mass index; ⑤ shift night work; ⑥ education background; ⑦ marital status; ⑧ job satisfaction; ⑨ physical exercise; SRQ: self-reported questionnaire; NMQ: Nordic Musculoskeletal questionnaire; VAS: Visual Analogue Scale; RMDQ: Roland-morris Disability Questionnaire; ODI: Oswestry Disability Index; NRS: numerical rating scale; –: Not reported.
corresponding 95% CI were calculated. Sensitivity analysis was used to evaluate the stability of the meta-analysis result, and the root of heterogeneity was evaluated by removing studies one by one, with changes in heterogeneity and combined effect size observed at the same time. If the heterogeneity changed after excluding a study, or the results changed, then that study was considered the possible source of the heterogeneity. In this case, the source of heterogeneity was further analyzed, in terms of experimental design, sample size, and evaluation criteria. If the results were not affected after exclusion a study, the results were considered relatively stable. \( P < 0.05 \) denoted a statistically significant difference.

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of included studies and publication bias

Following the search and selection procedure described, 18 articles were included, involving a total of 11,752 nursing staff (Fig. 1). The research designs of all included studies are cross-sectional studies. The characteristics of the included studies are summarized in Table 2.

3.2. The results of the quality evaluation

Results of the Joanna Briggs Institute evidence-based assessment of the quality of the literature are summarized in Table 1. Five articles scored 7 points [17,21–24], four articles scored 8 points [25–28], and nine articles scored 9 points [29–37]. Hence, all the included studies were regarded to be of sufficient quality to be included in the meta-analysis.

3.3. The results of the meta-analysis

This meta-analysis showed that being female (pooled estimate of 1.56, 95% CI 1.24–1.96, \( I^2 = 7\% \)), married (pooled estimate of 1.89, 95% CI 1.38–
2.60, \( I^2 = 83\% \), \( P < 0.001 \), overweight (pooled estimate of 0.63, 95% CI 0.43–0.93, \( I^2 = 80\% \), \( P = 0.02 \)), working 10 years or more (pooled estimate of 0.65, 95% CI 0.48–0.89, \( I^2 = 81\% \), \( P = 0.007 \)) and working night shifts (pooled estimate of 2.19, 95% CI 1.16–4.21, \( I^2 = 93\% \), \( P = 0.02 \)) were positively related to the NSCLBP. Junior college education (pooled estimate of 0.60, 95% CI 0.47–0.77, \( I^2 = 64\% \), \( P < 0.001 \)) and job satisfaction (pooled estimate of 0.58, 95% CI 0.47–0.73, \( I^2 = 0\% \), \( P < 0.001 \)) were negatively related. Age (pooled estimate of 0.80, 95% CI 0.50–1.27, \( I^2 = 80\% \), \( P = 0.34 \)) and physical exercise (pooled estimate of 0.99, 95% CI 0.39–2.49, \( I^2 = 93\% \), \( P = 0.98 \)) were not related. The results are shown in Figs 2–10.

Although the heterogeneity of the selected factors was greater than 50%, the sensitivity analysis revealed clear heterogeneity on the impact of job satisfaction on NSCLBP, arising from the study of Tesfaye et al. [33]. This may be because job satisfaction in this study was evaluated in the form of a scale, while other publications used subjective evaluation methods. After excluding this publication, it was found that no single study
affected the results. Further sensitivity analyses found that no single study affected the results of the remaining factors assessed, suggesting that the results are stable.

4. Discussion

NSCLBP develops in nurses due to numerous causes, through the interaction of work, lifestyle, and environmental factors. NSCLBP leads to many nurses leaving their jobs, with a high disability rate, and has low rates of diagnosis and treatment in nurses [38]. NSCLBP greatly affects the health of nurses, and brings a heavy burden for their families and the wider society. Therefore, fully understanding the risk factors for NSCLBP in nurses is of crucial importance for the effective prevention of this condition.

This study of the demographic characteristics of nursing staff with and without NSCLBP groups revealed that nurses with NSCLBP tended to be overweight ($P = 0.02$), married ($P < 0.001$), female ($P < 0.001$), and had a bachelor’s degree or above ($P < 0.001$) as compared with their counterparts without NSCLBP. Female nurses had a 1.56-fold higher risk of NSCLBP than male nurses, while married nurses had a 2.06-fold higher risk of developing NSCLBP compared with unmarried nurses. This may be attributed to the female hormone levels and the rapid degeneration of intervertebral disc [39], and to that fact that females may do more of the childcare and housework in the home.
This finding is consistent with the research results reported by Shieh et al. [28]. Overweight nurses had a 0.63-fold higher risk of NSCLBP compared with nurses with a normal or a low weight. This seems to be attributable to the increased load on the lumbar spine, which can cause NSCLBP in nurses. This finding is consistent with the research results reported by Smith et al. [18]. We also found that nurses with a bachelor’s degree or above had a 0.60-fold higher risk of developing NSCLBP than nurses with a specialist degree or less. This may be due to the increased academic pressure with increased educational level. For example, there are a considerable number of on-the-job graduate students who combine nursing with intensive academic work. These nurses have less time to rest, meaning that their fatigued muscles do not have time to recover, and the
risk of NSCLBP is increased. This finding is consistent with the research results reported by Trinkoff et al. [40]. The findings suggest that nurses should be vigilant and protect themselves as much as possible by maintaining a reasonable posture at work and in everyday life, and allowing rest time after working to relieve muscle fatigue. Eating a balanced diet and maintaining a healthy weight are important to decrease the risk of NSCLBP. In addition, managers should pay particular attention to female and married nurses. Nurses with families should be offered flexible work-family arrangements to decrease the pressure and the risk of NSCLBP.

The analysis of the occupational characteristics among nursing staff with and without NSCLBP revealed that nurses with NSCLBP tended to be night shift workers ($P = 0.02$) and to have worked for more than 10 years ($P = 0.007$). Nurses on night shift work had a 2.19-fold higher risk of developing NSCLBP than those not on night shift work. This is consistent with the research results published by Awosoga et al. [41]. An explanation for this observation may be that night shift nurses often face heavy nursing work alone, and the interaction with critically ill patients causes psychological tension and anxiety. These factors, combined with a shortage of staff and an increased frequency of shift rotation, result in insufficient rest for the nurses and an increased risk of developing NSCLBP. From the perspective of the biometabolism, night shift work affects nurses’ melatonin secretion [42]. Melatonin is an important element in bone physiology, and plays an important role in stimulating osteoblast differentiation and reducing osteoclast activity [43]. Therefore, it can be postulated that the decrease of melatonin secretion during the night shift may contribute to the development of osteoporosis, thereby increasing the risk of NSCLBP.

Nurses with more than 10 years of work experience had a 0.65-fold higher risk of low back pain compared with those with $\leq 10$ years of working experience. This may be attributed to the relatively good physical quality of nurses with a few years’ work experience, and the relatively limited exposure to work pressure and burden. In addition, nurses with more than 10 years of working experience are also responsible for clinical teaching work and management, which may further increase the risk of NSCLBP. We also found that job satisfaction is associated with NSCLBP among nurses, with the risk of NSCLBP among nurses who are not satisfied in their jobs being 0.58 times that of those who are satisfied. Many epidemiological studies have indicated that NSCLBP is related to psychological factors [44,45], and job satisfaction is one of the psychosocial risk factors, which may be a psychosocial stress based on physical work [46]. The work of nurses includes turning over patients, transporting patients, and placing patients in different positions, and female workers may be more susceptible. Factors related to job satisfaction also include lack of job security, low salary, poor working conditions, lack of support from nursing managers and colleagues, low sense of respect for work, and high work pressure [47–49]. This is consistent with the research results published by Thiese et al. [46].

It is suggested that in clinical work, scientific nursing teams should be equipped according to the specific work intensity. Moreover, nursing managers should make reasonable scheduling adjustments, and limit the total number of night shifts to no more than three times per week to avoid the occurrence of NSCLBP due to the high frequency of night shifts [50]. Relevant managers should equip nurses with the necessary auxiliary facilities and equipment, especially in the intensive care unit (ICU), emergency department and operating room nurses, to reduce the physical demands. At the same time, we also recommend further research on the factors that lead to low job satisfaction, and how these factors affect nurses.

The OR value for age or physical exercise in this study was not statistically significant. There are two possible reasons for this. First, there is a considerable imbalance between the number of nurses aged over and under 40 years included in this analysis; second, most of the original literature divided ages into four stages: 20–29, 30–39, 40–49, and $\geq$ 50 years old, which may be the result of inappropriate grouping in this study. When the age group in this study is $\geq$ 30 years old and $< 30$ years old, the results of the study may be different. In terms of physical exercise, different studies classified and defined physical exercise differently. For example, some studies specified the frequency of exercise, some studies defined physical exercise as lasting 30 minutes, and some studies directly referred to ‘physical exercise’ or ‘no physical exercise’. It is believed that this may lead to false negative results, and high-quality research and evidence are therefore needed to confirm this conclusion.

There are several limitations to this study. Only two languages, Chinese and English, were searched, and other languages were not included. Also, due to the different factors investigated in the included literature, a limited analysis of each factor only was possible. Finally, although the OR values were combined with the random-effect model, false negative results may also be obtained.
5. Conclusion

Current evidence shows that being female, married, working night shifts, and being dissatisfied with work are risk factors for NSCLBP in nurses. Current evidence is not clear on the effect of age or physical exercise. High-quality prospective studies are needed to validate the findings of this study. This study provides a scientific basis for nursing managers and nurses to protect against NSCLBP. Based on these results, any intervention program for preventing or reducing NSCLBP among nursing staff should focus on improving the shift system, increasing the nurse-to-bed ratio in hospitals, particularly on the night shift, and providing flexible work-family arrangements for married females.
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