Remote Associations Between Tau and Cortical Amyloid-β Are Stage-Dependent

Seyed Hani Hojjati^{a,*}, Gloria C. Chiang^a, Tracy A. Butler^a, Mony de Leon^a, Ajay Gupta^b, Yi Li^a, Mert R. Sabuncu^{b,c}, Farnia Feiz^a, Siddharth Nayak^a, Jacob Shteingart^a, Sindy Ozoria^a, Saman Gholipour Picha^{a,d}, Yaakov Stern^e, José A. Luchsinger^f, Davangere P. Devanand^{g,h,i} and Qolamreza R. Razlighi^a

^aDepartment of Radiology, Brain Health Imaging Institute, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY, USA ^bDepartment of Radiology, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY, USA

^cDepartment of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

^dDepartment of Biomedical Engineering, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, IL, USA

^eDepartments of Neurology, Psychiatry, GH Sergievsky Center, The Taub Institute for the Research on Alzheimer's Disease and the Aging Brain, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, NY, USA

^fDepartments of Medicine and Epidemiology, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, NY, USA ^gDivision of Geriatric Psychiatry, New York State Psychiatric Institute, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, NY, USA

^hDepartment of Neurology, Taub Institute for Research on Alzheimer's Disease and the Aging Brain, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, NY, USA

ⁱDepartment of Psychiatry, New York State Psychiatric Institute, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, NY, USA

Handling Associate Editor: Babak Ardekani

Accepted 19 February 2024 Pre-press 29 March 2024

Abstract.

Background: Histopathologic studies of Alzheimer's disease (AD) suggest that extracellular amyloid- β (A β) plaques promote the spread of neurofibrillary tau tangles. However, these two proteinopathies initiate in spatially distinct brain regions, so how they interact during AD progression is unclear.

Objective: In this study, we utilized A β and tau positron emission tomography (PET) scans from 572 older subjects (476 healthy controls (HC), 14 with mild cognitive impairment (MCI), 82 with mild AD), at varying stages of the disease, to investigate to what degree tau is associated with cortical A β deposition.

Methods: Using multiple linear regression models and a pseudo-longitudinal ordering technique, we investigated remote tau-A β associations in four pathologic phases of AD progression based on tau spread: 1) no-tau, 2) pre-acceleration, 3) acceleration, and 4) post-acceleration.

Results: No significant tau-A β association was detected in the no-tau phase. In the pre-acceleration phase, the earliest stage of tau deposition, associations emerged between regional tau in medial temporal lobe (MTL) (i.e., entorhinal cortex, parahippocampal gyrus) and cortical A β in lateral temporal lobe regions. The strongest tau-A β associations were found in the acceleration phase, in which tau in MTL regions was strongly associated with cortical A β (i.e., temporal and frontal

*Correspondence to: Seyed Hani Hojjati, PhD, Department of Radiology, Brain Health Imaging Institute, Weill Cornell Medicine, 401 East 61st Street, Room RR-214, New York, NY 10065, USA. E-mail: shh4006@med.cornell.edu.

ISSN 1387-2877 © 2024 – The authors. Published by IOS Press. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (CC BY-NC 4.0).

lobes regions). Strikingly, in the post-acceleration phase, including 96% of symptomatic subjects, tau-Aβ associations were no longer significant.

Conclusions: The results indicate that associations between tau and $A\beta$ are stage-dependent, which could have important implications for understanding the interplay between these two proteinopathies during the progressive stages of AD.

Keywords: Alzheimer's disease, amyloid-B, PET, remote association, tau

INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is currently characterized by two key proteinopathies: intracellular neurofibrillary tau tangles and extracellular amyloid-B (Aβ) plaques [1, 2]. Under the new National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association (NIA-AA) guidelines [3], the presence of both neuropathological hallmarks of AD is necessary for the diagnosis of the disease, and unimpaired individuals with aberrant AB and tau pathologies should be characterized as preclinical AD. The traditional view of the AT(N) biomarker framework [4] is often interpreted as AB being the initiator of the disease, followed by tau accumulation and, subsequently, neurodegeneration. The new guidelines specifically emphasize that "The AT(N) biomarker system does not imply a specific order of events nor does it imply causality" [3, 5]. This is because recent postmortem and imaging studies show that both early Aβ-only and tau-only individuals are prevalent in the asymptomatic population [6], and both proteinopathies can be found in up to 30% of the asymptomatic but older population [7–9]. Furthermore, the early stages of tau deposition in the transentorhinal cortex are commonly observed in older healthy subjects (aged > 60), while the spread of tau to neocortical areas is usually complemented by the presence of A β plaques [10]. Previous studies also propose two stages of tau deposition: the age-related stage and the pathological stage. The accumulation of tau pathology as a result of aging is limited to the medial temporal lobe (MTL) and does not spread to the isocortical Braak stages [11]. On the other hand, the pathological stage of tau is not restricted to the MTL region and is accompanied by the presence of A β plaques [11]. Altogether, this evidence suggests that perhaps there is an early-stage association between these two pathologies. However, the main challenge of assessing this association between AB and tau pathologies lies in their spatially distinct initiation and to some extent progression [12, 13]. Tau accumulation initiates in the locus coeruleus and entorhinal/perirhinal cortex, where negligible AB

pathology is found [14], whereas A β accumulates throughout the cingulate, medial parietal, and prefrontal cortices, where negligible tau pathology is located in the early stages of tau deposition [15, 16]. Our aim is to understand the associations between these two AD proteinopathies in remote (spatially distinct) brain regions at different stages of the disease, especially in the early stages when no spatial overlap occurs.

We have previously shown that co-localized AD pathologies in default mode network regions are more reliable predictors of the conversion of healthy control (HC) or mild cognitive impairment (MCI) subject to MCI and AD patients, respectively [17]. However, overlapping AB and tau pathologies do not occur during the early stage and cannot explain the initial interaction between the two pathologies. In a separate study, we showed that sub-threshold accumulation of $A\beta$ was associated with the increased cortical thickness in many regions, including MTL, where the initial accumulation of tau occurs [18]. The increase in cortical thickness was associated with early A β deposition and up to some level with early tau accumulation. So, our novel hypothesis is that the mechanisms underpinning the AB-tau association are stage-dependent and might be different in the early stage of the disease versus the later stage where the decrease in cortical thickness has been reported [19–21]. To our knowledge, investigating the remote association between two pathologies during the early stage of the disease and, in comparison, to the later stage of the disease has not been done at the regionspecific level by statistical model-based analysis. In addition, early accumulation of AB and tau in the brain is also considered a normal aging biomarker, which makes their disentanglement from preclinical AD a real challenge in the field. The differential degree of association between AB and tau in preclinical AD versus normal aging population may also help discriminate the two pathologies.

In this study, we use tau and $A\beta$ positron emission tomography (PET) to accurately quantify the regional tau and $A\beta$ uptakes and consequently can

Subject demographics in this study						
	Young Tau- PET Participants (N=47)	Young Aβ- PET Participants (N=97)	Old participants $(N=572)$			
Age (y)	29.36 ± 4.73	27.64 ± 3.23	67.11 ± 6.08			
Sex (M/F)	20/27	42/55	230/342			
HC (Aβ+%)	47 (0%)	97 (0%)	476 (22%)			
MCI/mild AD (Aβ+%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	96 (77%)			

Table 1

HC, healthy control; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; M, male, F, female; PET, positron emission tomography; AB, amyloid-beta; AB+, amyloid-beta positive; AB-, amyloid-beta negative.

assess their inter-regional and remote association, particularly between tau in MTL and cortical AB. We use multiple linear regression to model a region's tau uptake in terms of remote regions $A\beta$ when age, gender, intracranial volume (ICV), and co-localized (local) A β are controlled. Using 144 young, healthy samples (aged 20-39), we expanded the frequencybased method developed by Lee et al. [22] to sort our large cohort of old participants (572 subjects aged 67.11 ± 6.08 years, including 476 HC, 14 with MCI, and 82 with mild AD) from early to later stages of the disease. Our large sample size made it possible for us to investigate the tau-AB associations in four different phases of the tau progression. Our findings will help to provide a comprehensive understanding of the remote associations between AB and tau pathologies from the early to later stages of the disease.

METHODS

Participants

Five hundred and seventy-two older (age 67.11 ± 6.08 years, 342 females) from Weill Cornell Medicine and Columbia University's Irving Medical Center. This older cohort included 476 HC, 14 MCI, and 82 mild AD participants who underwent 3T T1weighted structural MRI, Aβ-PET (¹⁸F-Florbetaben for HC and ¹⁸F-Florbetapir for MCI or mild AD), and harmonized ¹⁸F-MK6240 tau-PET scans within 12 months (Table 1). All participants gave informed consent to participate in their respective studies, and the local institutional review boards approved all recruitment/enrollment procedures and imaging protocols. The subjects underwent medical and neuropsychological evaluations to confirm they had no neurological or psychiatric conditions, cognitive impairments, major medical illnesses, or any contraindications based on structural images. The patients with MCI or mild AD had Mini-Mental State Examination scores ranging between 18 and 28, a Clinical Dementia Rating of 0.5 (MCI) or 1.0 (mild AD), and the presence of a biomarker associated with AD (either a positive AB-PET scan or cerebrospinal fluid analysis showing positivity for $A\beta_{42}$, tau, and phospho-tau protein181).

To define cut-points most accurately, which region would be considered $A\beta$ - or tau-positive, in this study, we included data from a separate cohort of 144 younger HC subjects. As shown in Table 1, younger subjects had either 18F-MK6240 tau-PET (47 subjects with age 29.36 ± 4.73 , 27 females) or ¹⁸F-Florbetaben AB-PET (97 subjects with age $27.64 \pm 3.23, 55$ females) scan and a 3T T1-weighted structural MRI scan. These young healthy subjects were a normative reference group to generate the region-specific cut-points.

Image acquisition protocols

For tau-PET, all subjects were injected with 185 MBq $(5 \text{ mCi}) \pm 20\%$ (maximum volume 10 mL) of 18F-MK6240 before imaging that was administered as a slow single IV bolus at 60 s or less (6 s/mL max. Imaging was performed as six 5-min frames for a 30-min PET acquisition, 90-120 min post-injection).

For Aβ-PET, subjects underwent ¹⁸F-Florbetaben or ¹⁸F-Florbetapir PET scans. This scan consisted of four 5-min frames over 20 min of acquisition, starting 50 min for the ¹⁸F-Florbetapir and 90 min for ¹⁸F-Florbetaben tracers after injection of 8.1 mCi \pm 20% (300 MBq), which was administered as a slow single IV bolus at 60 s or less (6 s/mL max).

MRI scans at 3T with a 3D volumetric T1 magnetization-prepared rapid gradient-echo sequence were performed. Each subject first underwent a scout localizer to determine the position and set the field of view and orientation, followed by a high-resolution T1 image with TR/TE = 2300-3000/2.96-6.5 ms, flip angle = $8-9^{\circ}$; field of view = 25.4-26 cm, and 165-208 slices with 1 mm thickness.

Neuroimaging preprocessing

All MRI scans were processed with FreeSurfer 7.1.0 (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu) for automated segmentation and cortical parcellation (e.g., including segmentation and creation of an average gray matter mask) [23, 24] to derive regions of interest (ROIs) in each subject's native space using the Desikan–Killiany atlas [25]. These ROIs were utilized for calculating A β - and tau-PET regional measures. The A β and tau regional standardized uptake value ratio (SUVR) were calculated by normalization to cerebellum grey matter. ROIs of this study are based on 68 cortical regions of the Desikan-Killiany atlas.

As previously published, the fully automated inhouse pipelines were utilized to process the A β and tau PET images [26–30]. Both A β - and tau-PET dynamic frames (six frames in tau-PET and four in A β -PET) were aligned to the first frame using rigid-body registration and averaged to generate a static PET image. Next, the structural T1 image in FreeSurfer space was registered to the same subject's PET composite image using normalized mutual information and six degrees of freedom to obtain a rigid-body transformation matrix. Finally, the SUVR value for A β was converted to centiloid standard values due to different tracers in A β -PET data [31].

To detect the A β + subjects, we generated the global cortical AB (based on centiloid), including frontal, parietal, temporal, anterior cingulate, posterior cingulate, and precuneus ROIs [32-34]. Using our previous study method [18], we calculated the normal distribution of global AB values in the young comparison cohort. We chose to define cut-points using a young cohort rather than an older cohort, as our research question focused on determining whether individuals exhibited abnormalities irrespective of their preclinical or clinical stages [35]. Finally, using the 95th percentile of the fitted normal distribution, we calculated the cut-point for defining abnormal global $A\beta$. We defined the cut-point of centiloid equal to 28 for global abnormality to separate the subjects into positive and negative groups. By generating the same regions of interest for older subjects and utilizing the cut-point, we identified the $A\beta$ + subjects in the older population.

Regional cut-points for tau progression

Off-target binding of ¹⁸F-MK6240 tau tracer in meningeal has a significant impact on the cortical

uptake of tau-PET imaging [36]. In this study, we extended our previous study [18] and developed a technique to calculate regional cut-points for each cortical region of tau deposition relative to deposition in the normative young reference group. We used this regional cut-point to define the different stages of tau accumulation and categorize the subjects by considering the regional positivity and spatial distribution of tau protein. To determine the regional cut-points using the selected atlas (Desikan Killiany) with 68 cortical regions, we calculated the normal distributions of the normative reference group regional tau. Then for each region, we determined the 95th percentile of the fitted normal distribution as regional cut-points. The regional cut-points for tau based on the Desikan Killiany atlas and normative reference group vary between about 0.9 to 1.3. Thus, the regions closer to the meningeal off-target binding have higher cut-point values, and the regions far from the meningeal of target binding will have lower cut-point values (Supplementary Figure 1). Notably, we implement the same method to define the regional cut-point for A β uptake and use these cut-points only to visualize AB spatial distribution in different groups of subjects.

Pseudo-longitudinal categorization of the elderly subjects

We expanded upon the frequency-based method introduced by Lee et al. [22] to define four phases of tau progression (no-tau, pre-acceleration, acceleration, and post-acceleration) by utilizing regional cut-points derived from a normative young cohort. We used the regional cut-point to define the different stages of tau progression and categorize the subjects by considering the regional positivity and spatial distribution of tau deposition. We first determined the number of regions in each subject that exceeded the regional cut-point, which was defined compared to the normative young cohort. Then, we ordered the subjects based on the regions that exceeded the regional cut-points from 0 to 68 (x-axis in Fig. 1). Regions were also sorted by the frequency at which the regional cut-point was reached across all subjects to define a regional tau spreading order (y-axis in Fig. 1). In this way, we constructed a pseudolongitudinal order for tau progression in AD. For example, regions in which many subjects were tau positive in the cohort (including normal subjects), such as the entorhinal cortex, were considered early in the disease; on the other hand, regions in which only



Fig. 1. The sequence of tau deposition in 572 elderly subjects based on young subjects' regional cut-points. Subjects were sorted based on the number of tau-PET regions that exceeded the regional cut-points in the x-axis. Regions were also sorted by frequencies exceeding the regional cut-point across all subjects in the y-axis. The tau SUVR for the regions that exceed the regional cut-point is color-coded with a heat map; the blue color indicates the tau SUVR value equals 1, and the red color indicates the tau SUVR value higher than 2. Three thresholds were defined to separate the subjects into regions into four phases: no-tau, pre-acceleration, acceleration, and post-acceleration.

a few subjects had tau positivity were considered late in the disease (i.e., frontal lobe).

The "no-tau" phase included subjects with no regions that exceeded the regional cut-points. The subject was considered in the "pre-acceleration" phase if a single region was considered tau-positive based on the regional cut-points. We utilized the elbow method to define the start of the "acceleration" phase, at which the smoothed graph showed the highest change. Finally, the "post-acceleration" phase was defined, where the second derivative graph became zero (see Fig. 1) [22].

Statistical analyses

Pathologically, there is evidence that A β facilitates the spread of tau outside of MTL [13]. Using multivariate regressions, we investigated associations between tau burden by region and A β in brain cortical regions. We tested these associations in the four defined phases of tau progression, using the tau regions in each phase as the "target" region.

After categorizing the older subjects, a probabilistic atlas was obtained to visualize each category's $A\beta$ and tau deposition pattern. For visualization, we applied the regional cut-points of A β and tau and binarized each region as A β positive/negative and tau positive/negative. Lastly, we calculated the probability of observing abnormal A β /tau levels (as determined by regional cut-points) among individuals in each category.

Remote tau-A β associations were assessed in all 68 segmented regions. Notably, this association independently assesses each target region tau and remote region A β . We applied a multiple regression model for each target region of tau (*i*) to assess the association with A β deposition in all other brain regions (*j*) (67 separate multiple regression analyses, $i \neq j$), while age, gender, ICV, and target region A β were controlled as covariates:

$$Tau_i \sim \beta 0 + \beta \mathbf{1} \ A\beta_j + \beta 2 \ A\beta_i + \beta 3 \ Age + \beta 4 \ Gender$$
$$+ \beta 5 \ ICV + e, \quad i. \ j = 1, \dots, 68 \ and \ i \neq j \tag{1}$$

Finally, statistical maps (*t*-value) were generated for each group and target region based on 67 independent regression analyses to visualize regions with significant remote associations between tau uptake in the target region and the other regions' $A\beta$ depositions.

Demographic characteristics of categorized participants					
	No Tau (N=229)	Pre-Acceleration phase (N=155)	Acceleration phase (N=143)	Post-Acceleration phase (N=45)	Four group difference
Age (y)	65.52 ± 4.72	67.12 ± 5.78	69.27 ± 6.99	68.24 ± 7.60	<i>p</i> <0.0001, <i>F</i> = 13.25
Sex (M/F)	101/128	61/94	50/93	18/27	$p=0.37, \chi^2 = 3.12$
HC (Aβ+%)	220 (18%)	143 (18%)	111 (33%)	2 (50%)	* $p < 0.006$, $\chi^2 = 12.361$
MCI/mild AD (Aβ+%)	10 (25%)	11 (27%)	32 (84%)	43 (98%)	* $p < 0.0001$, $\chi^2 = 24.95$

Table 2

HC, healthy control; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; M, male, F, female; PET, positron emission tomography; AB, amyloid-beta; AB+, amyloid-beta positive; A β -, amyloid-beta negative. *Chi-square tests compare the number of A β +subjects with A β - subjects.

This study used Python for all statistical analyses and visualizations. The main numeric modules and visualization were utilized: NumPy and Matplotlib [37, 38]. Statistical tests, such as analysis of variance (ANOVA) and chi-square tests, were performed using the SciPy statistical package (v6.1.1) [39]. The potential ceiling effects have been addressed by checking the normality of distribution using the Shapiro-Wilk test [40]. A permutation test performed family-wise error correction of regional associations. A null distribution was determined by randomly shuffling the independent variable 10,000 times. Based on the 95th percentiles of the fitted normal distribution for positive t-values, we calculated the family-wise error rate-corrected *t*-value.

RESULTS

Characteristics of categorized subjects

The regional distributions of tau and AB in old subjects categorized by the four phases of tau progression (no-tau, pre-acceleration, acceleration, and post-acceleration) are depicted in Supplementary Figure 2. Furthermore, Supplementary Figures 3 and 4 illustrate the ranges of alterations in tau and A β uptakes, respectively, within each categorized group and region separately. In both figures, the numbers on the y-axis, ranging from 1 to 68, correspond to the sorted regions in the brain as depicted in Fig. 1. Table 2 depicts the number of subjects and their demographic characteristics by phase. As shown in Supplementary Figure 2 and Table 2, the no-tau phase comprised 220 HC (18% of these were A β +) and 10 MCI or mild AD subjects (25% of these MCI or mild AD subjects were A β +). In the pre-acceleration phase, 143 were HC (18% were A β +) and 11 MCI or mild AD subjects (27% were A β +). Subjects showed tau deposition from one to eight brain regions (Supplementary Figure 3), largely restricted to MTL

sub-regions (e.g., entorhinal cortex, parahippocampal gyrus). In the acceleration phase, 111 were HC (33% were A β +), and 32 had MCI or mild AD (84% were A β +). Subjects showed tau deposition from 9 to 58 brain regions across the cortex. In the postacceleration phase, 43 of the subjects had MCI or mild AD (98% were A β +), and only 2 subjects were HC (50% were A β +). In this phase, tau was observed in almost the entire cortex (in at least 75% of subjects), while $A\beta$ in MTL and occipital lobe regions showed presence in only 25% of subjects (Fig. 1).

In summary, across different phases of tau deposition, the number of A β + subjects (p < 0.0001, $\chi^2 = 128.50$) and the number of participants with MCI or mild AD (p < 0.0001 and $\chi^2 = 238.84$), increased significantly and monotonically from no-tau phase to post-acceleration phase.

Remote associations from early to late stages of tau progression

To investigate the potential association between tau deposition from early (MTL region) to late stages of disease and cortical areas of AB deposition, we examined all associations between tau in a target region and remote A β deposition, controlling for age, gender, ICV, and local A β deposition within the target region (see Equation 1); these associations were investigated separately for each tau target region at each phase of tau progression. Controlling for the A β within the target region was done to focus the investigation on tau-AB remote associations. As expected, no statistically significant association was identified between regional AB and tau deposition in the no-tau phase. This is not surprising due to the absence of detectable regional tau deposition on PET in this phase.

Subjects in the pre-acceleration phase showed significant tau deposition in one to eight brain regions, largely restricted to MTL sub-regions. As seen in Fig. 2, in this phase, remote association analysis iden-



Fig. 2. Region-wise statistical map (*t*-value) of remote association between tau deposition in three target regions and regional $A\beta$ depositions in 67 cortical regions obtained in the pre-acceleration phase of tau deposition. The family-wise corrected *t*-value at each region is color-coded with red or yellow colors representing increasing positive *t*-values and overlaid on the semi-inflated cortical surface of the MNI152 template. The red color indicates the *t*-value is equal to 3, and the yellow color indicates the *t*-value is higher than 5. The target region is indicated as light blue.

tified three regions (left and right of the entorhinal cortex and right parahippocampal gyrus) that showed a significant association with A β in several cortical regions. The right entorhinal cortex, for instance, is strongly associated (*p*-value<0.0001 and *t*-value>4) with several A β regions, including the bilateral middle temporal gyrus, inferior temporal gyrus, and fusiform gyrus. This result suggests a pathologic connection between tau in the entorhinal cortex and A β in these temporal lobe cortical regions since higher levels of A β in the temporal lobe cortex are associated with higher levels of tau in the entorhinal cortex.

AB and tau deposition and spatial distribution increased in the acceleration phase. Subjects in this phase have 9 to 58 (out of 68) brain regions showing a significant amount of tau in this phase (Supplementary Figure 2). Importantly, subjects in the acceleration phase displayed the strongest remote associations between regional tau and AB depositions (Fig. 3). Our analysis identified 28 regions (14 bilateral brain regions) where their tau uptakes were significantly associated (p-value<0.0015 and *t*-value>3) with at least one region's $A\beta$ uptake. However, MTL sub-regions (i.e., bilateral entorhinal cortex and parahippocampal gyrus) appear to have the strongest remote associations in the acceleration phase. Tau uptakes in these two bilateral regions illustrate the significant associations with more than 55 regions' Aβ deposition, particularly frontal and temporal lobe regions, including the bilateral middle temporal gyrus, inferior temporal gyrus, and rostral middle frontal $A\beta$ deposition, frequently showed the strong association with tau.

Finally, in the post-acceleration phase, tau was present in nearly the whole cortex in at least 75% of subjects, and $A\beta$ was present in nearly the whole cortex (with at least 80% of subjects) except the MTL and occipital lobe (with limited 25% of subjects). Surprisingly, no significant remote association survived multiple comparisons in the post-acceleration phase, where the tau pathology was elevated (SUVR higher than two shown with red color in Fig. 1) and distributed through the whole brain (Supplementary Figure 2). This result suggests that the observed associations between regional tau and AB are strongest during earlier stages of the disease and vanish as the disease progresses and the participant becomes symptomatic since this phase included predominantly subjects with MCI/mild AD.

The lack of any significant finding in the postacceleration phase might be potentially due to the ceiling effects in these samples or the lower number of samples in this phase (45 participants compared to 111 participants in the acceleration phase). The potential ceiling effects have been effectively addressed through an examination of the normality of distribution in tau uptakes using the Shapiro-Wilk test. The results of the Shapiro-Wilk test of subjects in the post-acceleration phase indicated that the tau regional uptakes were normally distributed, with a *p*-



Fig. 3. Region-wise statistical map (*t*-value) of remote association between tau deposition in fourteen target regions and regional $A\beta$ depositions in 67 cortical regions obtained in the acceleration phase of tau deposition. The family-wise corrected *t*-value at each region is color-coded with red or yellow colors representing increasing positive *t*-values and overlaid on the semi-inflated cortical surface of the MNI152 template. The red color indicates the *t*-value is equal to 3, and the yellow color indicates the *t*-value is higher than 5. The target region is indicated as light blue.

value greater than 0.05 in 20 out of the 28 regions (i.e., bilateral entorhinal cortex and parahippocampal) where significant remote associations between AB and tau uptakes were observed during the acceleration phase (Fig. 3). This indicated that, while in the post-acceleration phase, abnormal tau distributed across almost the entire context (Supplementary Figure 2), the regional uptakes exhibited a symmetric distribution and were not skewed. This ensures the reliability of the results for regression analyses by confirming that the observed uptakes were not biased toward extreme values. To address the potential sample size issue in the next section, we performed two more analyses to show that the tau and AB association in the acceleration phase can be found even with the same or a smaller number of participants as we have in the post-acceleration phase.

$A\beta$ + HC subjects show the highest remote association

We conducted two analyses to investigate whether our method can identify the remote association in a subset of subjects in the acceleration phase with a sample size comparable to the post-acceleration group. Additionally, these two analyses were conducted to evaluate the remote tau-A β association in symptomatic versus asymptomatic states and A β +versus A β - conditions in the acceleration phase. We first reanalyzed the acceleration phase subjects with only MCI or mild AD (32 subjects, including 27 A β + patients). Figure 4 shows the result where tau in five regions illustrated weak but significant associations with a few remote A β brain regions. Notably, due to the sample size issue, we could not



Fig. 4. Region-wise statistical map (*t*-value) of remote association between tau deposition in five target regions and regional $A\beta$ depositions in 67 cortical regions obtained the MCI and mild AD subject in the acceleration phase of tau deposition. The family-wise corrected *t*-value at each region is color-coded with red or yellow colors representing increasing positive *t*-values and overlaid on the semi-inflated cortical surface of the MNI152 template. The red color indicates the *t*-value is equal to 3, and the yellow color indicates the *t*-value is higher than 5. The target region is indicated as light blue.

compare the remote associations in $A\beta$ + versus $A\beta$ – groups in MCI or mild AD patients. In summary, the results illustrate that the remote tau-A β association is weak but detectable (after multiple comparison corrections) in symptomatic subjects in the acceleration phase.

Next, we divided the 111 HC acceleration subjects into 37 A β + and 74 A β - groups and investigated the remote associations separately. In HC AB- subjects, despite the larger sample size, only two regions' tau uptake (left lingual and right entorhinal cortex) showed marginal associations with other regions AB (Fig. 5). Note that $A\beta$ - subjects can have elevated levels of $A\beta$ in some regions but, on average, fall below the threshold of global AB abnormality. On the other hand, tau in six regions showed a significant association with AB in several brain regions in HC A β + subjects. Interestingly, the right entorhinal cortex showed strong associations with remote AB in several regions. In Fig. 6, we illustrated the multiple regression analysis results between the right entorhinal cortex tau and three top-ranked associated remote regions AB: left inferior temporal, right fusiform, and right inferior temporal. As it is evident from this figure, even in 37 HC A β + subjects, the regional tau elevation in the right entorhinal cortex is significantly associated with all three remote regions AB (*p*-value < 0.0001, *t*-value > 4.81, and *r* > 0.51). These results confirmed a significant remote tau-AB association can be detected using our statistical methods even with fewer subjects than we have in the postacceleration phase. Altogether, our results suggest that the tau-A β association becomes considerably attenuated after the disease onset (impaired patients), whereas, at the preclinical stage, the existing abnormal A β demonstrates a strong remote association with tau.

DISCUSSION

Since the accumulation of AD pathologies is gradual and often starts decades before the onset of the disease, it is crucial to understand the progression of neuropathology during aging to prevent the development of AD and its clinical implications. In this study, we applied the pseudo-longitudinal technique, utilizing regional cut-points driven by the young normative cohort, to evaluate the remote tau-Aβ association within 68 cortical brain regions. The association was assessed throughout four phases of tau progression from early to late stages of disease using model-based statistical analysis: no-tau phase, pre-acceleration phase, acceleration phase, and postacceleration phase. The main findings in this study were: First, the tau-A β association strongly depends on the stage of the disease. Second, the remote tau-AB association started in the early stages of tau deposition in the MTL sub-regions. Also, MTL sub-regions



Fig. 5. Region-wise statistical map (*t*-value) of remote association between tau deposition in six target regions and regional A β depositions in 67 cortical regions obtained in the HC A β - and A β + subjects in the acceleration phase of tau deposition. The family-wise corrected *t*-value at each region is color-coded with red or yellow colors representing increasing positive *t*-values and overlaid on the semi-inflated cortical surface of the MNI152 template. The red color indicates the *t*-value is equal to 3, and the yellow color indicates the *t*-value is higher than 5. The target region is indicated as light blue.

tau have the strongest remote association with cortical A β . The third finding was that this association continued and accelerated in the next accumulation stage (acceleration phase) but then attenuated significantly in the symptomatic stage of the disease. Finally, the strongest remote associations happen in the HC subjects with abnormal levels of A β deposition in the acceleration phase of tau progression.

Previous studies indicated that tau pathology can be found within MTL during normal aging without significant neocortical A β , and A β pathology acts as a gatekeeper for tau pathology to spread out of the MTL to the neocortex [41]. Our results in the pre-acceleration phase suggest that the entorhinal cortex and parahippocampal gyrus tau have significantly strong remote associations even at the early deposition stage when tau is limited to MTL. This association would suggest that the interaction between these two pathologies happens earlier than the literature reported. The difference is that the tau association inside the MTL happens remotely with cortical A β [22], and A β may enhance the tau accumulation even inside the MTL via remote association. The remote association of the entorhinal cortex tau with other cortical regions A β has been supported by previous studies, particularly in later stages of accumulation through the neural connection of the brain [22, 42–46]. Considering the pivotal role of functional connectivity in the observed patterns of tau spread [42–44], we speculate that the



Fig. 6. The regional multiple regression analysis results of right entorhinal cortex tau and three top-ranked associated remote regions A β : left inferior temporal, right fusiform, and right inferior temporal in 37 HC A β + subjects in the acceleration phase. All these analyses survived family-wise error correction.

neural connection might be a facilitator of remote tau-A β association. This is particularly relevant given the observed relationship between the accumulation of A β in the neocortex among cognitively normal older individuals and functional alterations in the MTL [47]. Moreover, there is evidence that limbic structures (including the entorhinal cortex) as a superficial layer are significantly vulnerable to A β [48]. Therefore, given that the superficial layers are more involved in corticocortical connections [49], it is valid to suggest that during the initial phases of tau pathologies, variations in susceptibility to A β may arise from neural pathways, particularly brain functional connections.

Consistent with the pre-acceleration phase findings, strong remote associations appeared in several tau target regions in the acceleration phase. More importantly, we observed the strongest associations across the HC A β + subjects in the acceleration phase. Previous reports also observed that tau increased faster with higher A β deposition than with lower AB deposition in clinically normal adults [50], which might be related to this high level of remote association in A β + subjects. Another longitudinal study also found that changes in tau were strongly linked to the rate of change in A β deposition levels [51]. They also noted that tau changes occurred soon after AB positivity was detected. Additionally, earlier findings indicating that abnormal AB deposition in the neocortex is linked to alterations in task-evoke activity and resting state functional connectivity [47] provide support for the hypothesis that the remote connection between AB deposition in the neocortex and tau in the MTL could be facilitated by neural connection pathways.

Most surprisingly, we found no significant remote association between tau and AB for participants in the post-acceleration phase, which included more than 96% MCI or mild AD and A β + subjects. While the spatial distribution of tau and AB increased in this phase compared with the acceleration phase, no remote association survived after multiple comparison corrections. The MCI/mild AD subjects' tau in the acceleration phase also shows a weak remote association with A β , supported by previous reports [52]. Earlier studies have reported that the rate of $A\beta$ deposition seems to decelerate as it reaches higher levels [53, 54]. Additionally, neuropathological studies also demonstrated that deposition of tau and A β rate decrease with aging in AD patients [55] and there is a significant negative age association with tau deposition at the disease stage [56]. This negative association in patients with early dementia would explain the results of MCI or mild AD subjects in the acceleration and post-acceleration phases. The hypothesis we want to highlight is again based on the neural connection between different regions in the brain, especially in remote association. Tau in target regions, such as the entorhinal cortex, demonstrating remote associations with AB in other cortical regions (i.e., in temporal and frontal lobes) during the pre-acceleration and acceleration phases, are mostly functionally interconnected with one another [57]. On the other hand, late-stage tau and AB affect functional connectivity [58, 59], and these two pathologies might induce a disruption in the functional connectivity in the symptomatic stages of deposition and finally lead to an uncoupling with one another.

The results of this study emphasize the strong remote association between the two key proteinopathies of AD. While the cellular mechanism of this association is still elusive, several in vitro and in vivo studies have demonstrated that AB triggers tau deposition [13, 60, 61]. Therefore, the mechanism for explaining the remote tau-AB association is a compensation mechanism facilitated by neural connections. Recent research has provided growing evidence that early $A\beta$ deposition is linked to increased brain activity in individuals without cognitive impairment but with AB burden [62-65]. Our recent study [18] also reported compelling insights for this compensatory mechanism where the early AB deposition was associated with increased cortical thickness, particularly in the MTL region. On

the other hand, more recent studies indicated that tau accumulation in hub regions, regions with maximum level of functional connection to the rest of the brain, tends to spread more than tau accumulated in nonhub regions [42–44, 46]. This evidence suggests that the observed stage-dependent trajectories in remote association likely result from the combined influences of AB and tau on functional connectivity and how the brain compensates for the disruption effects of these two pathologies. Specifically, the initial AB deposition induces hyperactivity/hyperconnectivity, which may lead to elevated tau levels, triggering a synergic tau and AB accumulation cycle. However, the high levels of tau and AB accumulation (disease stage) eventually break down the network organization of functional connections, which results in the hypoactivity/hypoconnectivity observed in symptomatic individuals [66, 67]. This attenuation in brain connectivity may finally lead to a weak remote association between these two pathologies. We speculate that the mechanisms underpinning the AB-tau association may differ in the early stage of the disease compared to the later stage. The AB-tau association in the later stages most likely occurs locally rather than remotely. Since the utility of anti-amyloid drugs in the later stages of AD remains unclear, understanding the early associations of $A\beta$ on tau pathology in the brain is crucial.

The present study has limitations, which will serve as areas for further investigation. The first limitation of this study is that a different AB-PET tracer was used for healthy controls (18 F-Florbetaben) and individuals with MCI/mild AD (18 F-Florbetapir). To address this issue, all analyses in the study were done using centiloid standard values instead of SUVR [31]. Furthermore, we also implemented interclass statistical analysis to compare the centiloid and SUVR regional values. The strong average correlation of 0.98 and the standard deviation of 0.01 was calculated across 68 regions, and SUVR values changed none of the reported results. The next limitation that needs further investigation is the heterogeneity of AB or tau patterns in AD, which were not directly considered in our analyses due to the sample size limitation in each phase. Moreover, the relationship between AD pathologies is challenging to analyze crosssectionally and group-wise. Thus, considering the pathological changes individually in a longitudinal dataset is necessary. Finally, while 572 older and 144 younger samples were used in this study-greater than typically reported in human studies in the field, especially with the second generation of tau PET

tracer—despite our effort to address the sample size issue, it is possible that our sample size was not large enough to provide sufficient statistical power to detect associations, especially in symptomatic stages (postacceleration phase) of the pathologies.

Conclusion

The results of the current study illustrate the robust remote tau-AB association, not only in the acceleration phase but also strongly in the pre-acceleration phase (early stages of tau deposition), particularly in the entorhinal cortex and parahippocampal gyrus regions. Our study shows that the remote association begins with MTL tau during the early stage and is evident in the later stages of tau deposition in asymptomatic subjects. It is interesting to note that remote association was attenuated in symptomatic subjects with cognitive decline (MCI and mild AD subjects), whereas this association is strongly enhanced in AB+ asymptomatic subjects (HC). These results contribute valuable insights for researchers seeking to understand the remote tau-AB associations through disease progression.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Seyed Hani Hojjati (Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Validation; Visualization; Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing); Gloria C. Chiang (Conceptualization; Resources; Supervision; Writing original draft; Writing - review & editing); Tracy A. Butler (Conceptualization; Resources; Writing original draft; Writing - review & editing); Mony de Leon (Conceptualization; Resources; Writing review & editing); Ajay Gupta (Resources; Writing – review & editing); Yi Li (Resources; Writing - review & editing); Mert R. Sabuncu (Methodology; Validation); Farnia Feiz (Data curation; Formal analysis); Siddharth Nayak (Data curation; Formal analysis; Writing - original draft); Jacob Shteingart (Data curation; Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing); Sindy Ozoria (Data curation; Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing); Saman Gholipour Picha (Data curation; Writing - original draft); Yaakov Stern (Conceptualization; Project administration; Resources; Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing); José A Luchsinger (Conceptualization; Project administration; Resources; Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing); Davangere P. Devanand (Conceptualization; Data curation; Project administration; Resources; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing); Qolamreza R. Razlighi (Conceptualization; Data curation; Investigation; Methodology; Project administration; Resources; Supervision; Validation; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Our sincere appreciation goes out to all those who played a part in enabling this neuroimaging study to take place. We would first like to express our gratitude to the participants who voluntarily underwent the scanning process, without whom this study would not have been possible. We are grateful for their cooperation, patience, and time invested in the scanning procedures.

Additionally, we would like to extend our gratitude to Cynthia Fox, the scientific editor, for her valuable insights and suggestions, which significantly enhanced the quality of this research.

Finally, we would like to acknowledge all the staffs who provided support during the neuroimaging scans, as well as Xiuyuan Hugh Wang, whose contributions during the data processing stage were greatly appreciated. Their professionalism and expertise were invaluable in ensuring the accuracy and reliability of the data.

FUNDING

The authors have no funding to report.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflict of interest to report.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data for this project are confidential but may be obtained with Data Use Agreements with the Weill Cornell Medicine and Columbia University Irving Medical Center. Researchers interested in access to the data may contact Dr. Razlighi at E-mail: qrr4001@med.cornell.edu. It can take some weeks to negotiate data use agreements and gain access to the data. The author will assist with any reasonable replication attempts for the following publication.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The supplementary material is available in the electronic version of this article: https://dx.doi.org/ 10.3233/JAD-231362.

REFERENCES

- [1] Takahashi RH, Nagao T, Gouras GK (2017) Plaque formation and the intraneuronal accumulation of β -amyloid in Alzheimer's disease. *Pathol Int* **64**, 185-193.
- [2] Spillantini MG, Crowther RA, Goedert M (1996) Comparison of the neurofibrillary pathology in Alzheimer's disease and familial presenile dementia with tangles. *Acta Neuropathol* 92, 42-48.
- [3] Duara R, Barker W (2022) Heterogeneity in Alzheimer's disease diagnosis and progression rates: Implications for therapeutic trials. *Neurotherapeutics* 19, 8-25.
- [4] Hardy JA, Higgins GA (1992) Alzheimer's disease: The amyloid cascade hypothesis. *Science* 256, 184-185.
- [5] Ricciarelli R, Fedele E (2017) The amyloid cascade hypothesis in Alzheimer's disease: It's time to change our mind. *Curr Neuropharmacol* 15, 926-935.
- [6] Morris GP, Clark IA, Vissel B (2018) Questions concerning the role of amyloid-β in the definition, aetiology and diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. *Acta Neuropathol* 136, 663-689.
- [7] Lowe VJ, Bruinsma TJ, Min HK, Lundt ES, Fang P, Senjem ML, Boeve BF, Josephs KA, Pandey MK, Murray ME, Kantarci K, Jones DT, Schwarz CG, Knopman DS, Petersen RC, Jack CR (2018) Elevated medial temporal lobe and pervasive brain tau-PET signal in normal participants. *Alzheimers Dement (Amst)* 10, 210-216.
- [8] Perez-Nievas BG, Stein TD, Tai HC, Dols-Icardo O, Scotton TC, Barroeta-Espar I, Fernandez-Carballo L, De Munain EL, Perez J, Marquie M, Serrano-Pozo A, Frosch MP, Lowe V, Parisi JE, Petersen RC, Ikonomovic MD, López OL, Klunk W, Hyman BT, Gómez-Isla T (2013) Dissecting phenotypic traits linked to human resilience to Alzheimer's pathology. *Brain* 136, 2510-2526.
- [9] Iacono D, Resnick SM, O'Brien R, Zonderman AB, An Y, Pletnikova O, Rudow G, Crain B, Troncoso JC (2014) Mild cognitive impairment and asymptomatic alzheimer disease subjects: Equivalent β-amyloid and tau loads with divergent cognitive outcomes. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 73, 295-304.
- [10] Boche D, Nicoll JAR (2020) Invited Review Understanding cause and effect in Alzheimer's pathophysiology: Implications for clinical trials. *Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol* 46, 623-640.
- [11] Crary JF, Trojanowski JQ, Schneider JA, Abisambra JF, Abner EL, Alafuzoff I, Arnold SE, Attems J, Beach TG, Bigio EH, Cairns NJ, Dickson DW, Gearing M, Grinberg LT, Hof PR, Hyman BT, Jellinger K, Jicha GA, Kovacs GG, Knopman DS, Kofler J, Kukull WA, Mackenzie IR, Masliah E, McKee A, Montine TJ, Murray ME, Neltner JH, Santa-Maria I, Seeley WW, Serrano-Pozo A, Shelanski ML, Stein T, Takao M, Thal DR, Toledo JB, Troncoso JC, Vonsattel JP, White CL, Wisniewski T, Woltjer RL, Yamada M, Nelson PT (2014) Primary age-related tauopathy (PART): A common pathology associated with human aging. Acta Neuropathol 128, 755-766.

- [12] Thal DR, Rüb R, Orantes M, Braak H (2002) Phases of Aβ-deposition in the human brain and its relevance for the development of AD. *Neurology* 58, 1791-1800.
- [13] Jagust W (2018) Imaging the evolution and pathophysiology of Alzheimer disease. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 19, 687-700.
- [14] Kaufman SK, Del Tredici K, Thomas TL, Braak H, Diamond MI (2018) Tau seeding activity begins in the transentorhinal/entorhinal regions and anticipates phosphotau pathology in Alzheimer's disease and PART. Acta Neuropathol 136, 57-67.
- [15] Fantoni E, Collij L, Alves IL, Buckley C, Farrar G (2020) The spatial-temporal ordering of amyloid pathology and opportunities for PET imaging. *J Nucl Med* 61, 166-171.
- Collij LE, Heeman F, Salvadó G, Ingala S, Altomare D, [16] De Wilde A, Konijnenberg E, Van Buchem M, Yaqub M, Markiewicz P, Golla SSV, Wottschel V, Wink AM, Visser PJ, Teunissen CE, Lammertsma AA, Scheltens P, Van Der Flier WM, Boellaard R, Van Berckel BNM, Molinuevo JL, Gispert JD, Schmidt ME, Barkhof F, Lopes Alves I, Arenaza-Urquijo EM, Beteta A, Brugulat-Serrat A, Cacciaglia R, Boccagni AC, Bodien YG, Crous-Bou M, Deulofeu C, Dominguez R, Fauria K, Falcon C, Félez-Sánchez M, González De Echavarri JM, Grau-Rivera O, Hernández L, Huesa G, Huguet J, León M, Marne P, Menchón T, Milà-Alomà M, Operto G, Minguillon C, Pascual M, Polo A, Pradas S, Sala-Vila A, Sánchez-Benavides G, Shekari M, Soteras A, Suárez-Calvet M, Tenas L, Vilanova M, Vilor-Tejedor N (2020) Multitracer model for staging cortical amyloid deposition using PET imaging. Neurology 95, e1538-e1553.
- [17] Hojjati SH, Feiz F, Ozoria S, Razlighi QR (2021) Topographical overlapping of the amyloid-β and tau pathologies in the default mode network predicts Alzheimer's disease with higher specificity. *J Alzheimers Dis* 83, 407-421.
- [18] Hani Hojjati S, Butler TA, Chiang GC, Habeck C, Roy-Choudhury A, Feiz F, Shteingart J, Nayak S, Ozoria S, Fernández A, Stern Y, Luchsinger JA, Devanand DP, Razlighi QR (2023) Distinct and joint effects of low and high levels of Aβ and tau deposition on cortical thickness. *Neuroimage Clin* **38**, 103409.
- [19] Susanto TAK, Pua EPK, Zhou J (2015) Cognition, brain atrophy, and cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers changes from preclinical to dementia stage of alzheimer's disease and the influence of apolipoprotein E. J Alzheimers Dis 45, 253-268.
- [20] Doré V, Villemagne VL, Bourgeat P, Fripp J, Acosta O, Chetélat G, Zhou L, Martins R, Ellis KA, Masters CL, Ames D, Salvado O, Rowe CC (2013) Cross-sectional and longitudinal analysis of the relationship between aβ deposition, cortical thickness, and memory in cognitively unimpaired individuals and in alzheimer disease. *JAMA Neurol* **70**, 903-911.
- [21] Glodzik L, Mosconi L, Tsui W, de Santi S, Zinkowski R, Pirraglia E, Rich KE, McHugh P, Li Y, Williams S, Ali F, Zetterberg H, Blennow K, Mehta P, de Leon MJ (2012) Alzheimer's disease markers, hypertension, and gray matter damage in normal elderly. *Neurobiol Aging* 33, 1215-1227.
- [22] Lee WJ, Brown JA, Kim HR, La Joie R, Cho H, Lyoo CH, Rabinovici GD, Seong JK, Seeley WW (2022) Regional Aβ-tau interactions promote onset and acceleration of Alzheimer's disease tau spreading. *Neuron* **110**, 1932-1943.
- [23] Fischl B, Salat DH, Busa E, Albert M, Dieterich M, Haselgrove C, Van Der Kouwe A, Killiany R, Kennedy D, Klaveness S, Montillo A, Makris N, Rosen B, Dale AM

(2002) Whole brain segmentation: Automated labeling of neuroanatomical structures in the human brain. *Neuron* **33**, 341-355.

- [24] Fischl B, Van Der Kouwe A, Destrieux C, Halgren E, Ségonne F, Salat DH, Busa E, Seidman LJ, Goldstein J, Kennedy D, Caviness V, Makris N, Rosen B, Dale AM (2004) Automatically parcellating the human cerebral cortex. *Cereb Cortex* 14, 11-22.
- [25] Desikan RS, Ségonne F, Fischl B, Quinn BT, Dickerson BC, Blacker D, Buckner RL, Dale AM, Maguire RP, Hyman BT, Albert MS, Killiany RJ (2006) An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. *Neuroimage* 31, 968-980.
- [26] Tahmi M, Bou-Zeid W, Razlighi QR (2019) A fully automatic technique for precise localization and quantification of amyloid-b PET scans. J Nucl Med 60, 1711-1779.
- [27] Oh H, Steffener J, Razlighi QR, Habeck C, Stern Y (2016) β-amyloid deposition is associated with decreased right prefrontal activation during task switching among cognitively normal elderly. J Neurosci 36, 2275-2289.
- [28] Oh H, Steffener J, Razlighi QR, Habeck C, Liu D, Gazes Y, Janicki S, Stern Y (2015) Aβ-related hyperactivation in frontoparietal control regions in cognitively normal elderly. *Neurobiol Aging* **36**, 3247-3254.
- [29] Brickman AM, Guzman VA, Gonzalez-Castellon M, Razlighi Q, Gu Y, Narkhede A, Janicki S, Ichise M, Stern Y, Manly JJ, Schupf N, Marshall RS (2015) Cerebral autoregulation, beta amyloid, and white matter hyperintensities are interrelated. *Neurosci Lett* **592**, 54-58.
- [30] Gu Y, Razlighi QR, Zahodne LB, Janicki SC, Ichise M, Manly JJ, Devanand DP, Brickman AM, Schupf N, Mayeux R, Stern Y (2015) Brain amyloid deposition and longitudinal cognitive decline in nondemented older subjects: Results from a multi-ethnic population. *PLoS One* **10**, e0123743.
- [31] Klunk WE, Koeppe RA, Price JC, Benzinger TL, Devous MD, Jagust WJ, Johnson KA, Mathis CA, Minhas D, Pontecorvo MJ, Rowe CC, Skovronsky DM, Mintun MA (2015) The Centiloid project: Standardizing quantitative amyloid plaque estimation by PET. *Alzheimers Dement* 11, 1-15.e1-4.
- [32] Mormino EC, Smiljic A, Hayenga AO, H. Onami S, Greicius MD, Rabinovici GD, Janabi M, Baker SL, V. Yen I, Madison CM, Miller BL, Jagust WJ (2011) Relationships between beta-amyloid and functional connectivity in different components of the default mode network in aging. *Cereb Cortex* 21, 2399-2407.
- [33] Villeneuve S, Rabinovici GD, Cohn-Sheehy BI, Madison C, Ayakta N, Ghosh PM, La Joie R, Arthur-Bentil SK, Vogel JW, Marks SM, Lehmann M, Rosen HJ, Reed B, Olichney J, Boxer AL, Miller BL, Borys E, Jin LW, Huang EJ, Grinberg LT, Decarli C, Seeley WW, Jagust W (2015) Existing Pittsburgh Compound-B positron emission tomography thresholds are too high: Statistical and pathological evaluation. *Brain* 138, 2020-2033.
- [34] Huang KL, Lin KJ, Hsiao IT, Kuo HC, Hsu WC, Chuang WL, Kung MP, Wey SP, Hsieh CJ, Wai YY, Yen TC, Huang CC (2013) Regional amyloid deposition in amnestic mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease evaluated by [18F]AV-45 positron emission tomography in Chinese population. *PLoS One* 8, e58974.
- [35] Jack CR, Wiste HJ, Weigand SD, Therneau TM, Lowe VJ, Knopman DS, Gunter JL, Senjem ML, Jones DT, Kantarci K, Machulda MM, Mielke MM, Roberts RO, Vemuri P, Reyes DA, Petersen RC (2017) Defining imag-

ing biomarker cut points for brain aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* **13**, 205-216.

- [36] Jack CR, Wiste HJ, Therneau TM, Weigand SD, Knopman DS, Mielke MM, Lowe VJ, Vemuri P, Machulda MM, Schwarz CG, Gunter JL, Senjem ML, Graff-Radford J, Jones DT, Roberts RO, Rocca WA, Petersen RC (2019) Associations of amyloid, tau, and neurodegeneration biomarker profiles with rates of memory decline among individuals without dementia. JAMA 321, 2316-2325.
- [37] Harris CR, Millman KJ, van der Walt SJ, Gommers R, Virtanen P, Cournapeau D, Wieser E, Taylor J, Berg S, Smith NJ, Kern R, Picus M, Hoyer S, van Kerkwijk MH, Brett M, Haldane A, del Río JF, Wiebe M, Peterson P, Gérard-Marchant P, Sheppard K, Reddy T, Weckesser W, Abbasi H, Gohlke C, Oliphant TE (2020) Array programming with NumPy. *Nature* 585, 357-362.
- [38] Hunter JD (2007) Matplotlib: A 2D graphics environment. Comput Sci Eng 9, 90-95.
- [39] Peterson P (2009) F2PY: A tool for connecting Fortran and Python programs. *Int J Computat Sci Eng* 4, 296-305.
- [40] Shapiro SS, Wilk MB, Chen HJ (1968) A comparative study of various tests for normality. J Am Stat Assoc 63, 1343-1372.
- [41] Shimada H, Kitamura S, Shinotoh H, Endo H, Niwa F, Hirano S, Kimura Y, Zhang MR, Kuwabara S, Suhara T, Higuchi M (2017) Association between Aβ and tau accumulations and their influence on clinical features in aging and Alzheimer's disease spectrum brains: A [11C]PBB3-PET study. *Alzheimers Dement (Amst)* 6, 11-20.
- [42] Franzmeier N, Rubinski A, Neitzel J, Kim Y, Damm A, Na DL, Kim HJ, Lyoo CH, Cho H, Finsterwalder S, Duering M, Seo SW, Ewers M (2019) Functional connectivity associated with tau levels in ageing, Alzheimer's, and small vessel disease. *Brain* 142, 1903-1107.
- [43] Franzmeier N, Dewenter A, Frontzkowski L, Dichgans M, Rubinski A, Neitzel J, Smith R, Strandberg O, Ossenkoppele R, Buerger K, Duering M, Hansson O, Ewers M (2020) Patient-centered connectivity-based prediction of tau pathology spread in Alzheimer's disease. *Sci Adv* 6, eabd1327.
- [44] Franzmeier N, Brendel M, Beyer L, Slemann L, Kovacs GG, Arzberger T, Kurz C, Respondek G, Lukic MJ, Biel D, Rubinski A, Frontzkowski L, Hummel S, Müller A, Finze A, Palleis C, Joseph E, Weidinger E, Katzdobler S, Song M, Biechele G, Kern M, Scheifele M, Rauchmann BS, Perneczky R, Rullman M, Patt M, Schildan A, Barthel H, Sabri O, Rumpf JJ, Schroeter ML, Classen J, Villemagne V, Seibyl J, Stephens AW, Lee EB, Coughlin DG, Giese A, Grossman M, McMillan CT, Gelpi E, Molina-Porcel L, Compta Y, van Swieten JC, Laat LD, Troakes C, Al-Sarraj S, Robinson JL, Xie SX, Irwin DJ, Roeber S, Herms J, Simons M, Bartenstein P, Lee VM, Trojanowski JQ, Levin J, Höglinger G, Ewers M (2022) Tau deposition patterns are associated with functional connectivity in primary tauopathies. *Nat Commun* 13, 1362.
- [45] Franzmeier N, Ren J, Damm A, Monté-Rubio G, Boada M, Ruiz A, Ramirez A, Jessen F, Düzel E, Rodríguez Gómez O, Benzinger T, Goate A, Karch CM, Fagan AM, McDade E, Buerger K, Levin J, Duering M, Dichgans M, Suárez-Calvet M, Haass C, Gordon BA, Lim YY, Masters CL, Janowitz D, Catak C, Wolfsgruber S, Wagner M, Milz E, Moreno-Grau S, Teipel S, Grothe MJ, Kilimann I, Rossor M, Fox N, Laske C, Chhatwal J, Falkai P, Perneczky R, Lee JH, Spottke A, Boecker H, Brosseron F, Fliessbach K, Heneka MT, Nestor P, Peters O, Fuentes M, Menne F, Priller

J, Spruth EJ, Franke C, Schneider A, Westerteicher C, Speck O, Wiltfang J, Bartels C, Araque Caballero MÁ, Metzger C, Bittner D, Salloway S, Danek A, Hassenstab J, Yakushev I, Schofield PR, Morris JC, Bateman RJ, Ewers M (2021) The BDNFVal66Met SNP modulates the association between beta-amyloid and hippocampal disconnection in Alzheimer's disease. *Mol Psychiatry* **26**, 614-628.

- [46] Cope TE, Rittman T, Borchert RJ, Jones PS, Vatansever D, Allinson K, Passamonti L, Vazquez Rodriguez P, Bevan-Jones WR, O'Brien JT, Rowe JB (2018) Tau burden and the functional connectome in Alzheimer's disease and progressive supranuclear palsy. *Brain* 141, 550-567.
- [47] Huijbers W, Mormino EC, Wigman SE, Ward AM, Vannini P, McLaren DG, Becker JA, Schultz AP, Hedden T, Johnson KA, Sperling RA (2014) Amyloid deposition is linked to aberrant entorhinal activity among cognitively normal older adults. *J Neurosci* 34, 5200-5210.
- [48] Romito-DiGiacomo RR, Menegay H, Cicero SA, Herrup K (2007) Effects of Alzheimer's disease on different cortical layers: The role of intrinsic differences in Aβ susceptibility. *J Neurosci* 27, 8496-8504.
- [49] Yamawaki N, Radulovic J, Shepherd GMG (2016) A corticocortical circuit directly links retrosplenial cortex to M2 in the mouse. J Neurosci 36, 9365-9374.
- [50] Leal SL, Lockhart SN, Maass A, Bell RK, Jagust WJ (2018) Subthreshold amyloid predicts tau deposition in aging. J *Neurosci* 38, 4482-4489.
- [51] Hanseeuw BJ, Betensky RA, Jacobs HIL, Schultz AP, Sepulcre J, Becker JA, Cosio DMO, Farrell M, Quiroz YT, Mormino EC, Buckley RF, Papp K V., Amariglio RA, Dewachter I, Ivanoiu A, Huijbers W, Hedden T, Marshall GA, Chhatwal JP, Rentz DM, Sperling RA, Johnson K (2019) Association of amyloid and tau with cognition in preclinical Alzheimer disease: A longitudinal study. JAMA Neurol **76**, 915-924.
- [52] Iaccarino L, Tammewar G, Ayakta N, Baker SL, Bejanin A, Boxer AL, Gorno-Tempini ML, Janabi M, Kramer JH, Lazaris A, Lockhart SN, Miller BL, Miller ZA, O'Neil JP, Ossenkoppele R, Rosen HJ, Schonhaut DR, Jagust WJ, Rabinovici GD (2018) Local and distant relationships between amyloid, tau and neurodegeneration in Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroimage Clin* 17, 452-464.
- [53] Harrison TM, La Joie R, Maass A, Baker SL, Swinnerton K, Fenton L, Mellinger TJ, Edwards L, Pham J, Miller BL, Rabinovici GD, Jagust WJ (2019) Longitudinal tau accumulation and atrophy in aging and alzheimer disease. *Ann Neurol* 85, 229-240.
- [54] Jack CR, Wiste HJ, Lesnick TG, Weigand SD, Knopman DS, Vemuri P, Pankratz VS, Senjem ML, Gunter JL, Mielke MM, Lowe VJ, Boeve BF, Petersen RC (2013) Brain βamyloid load approaches a plateau. *Neurology* 80, 890-896.
- [55] Chen LW (2009) Age, neuropathology, and dementia. *N Engl J Med* 361, 1118-1118.
- [56] Lowe VJ, Wiste HJ, Senjem ML, Weigand SD, Therneau TM, Boeve BF, Josephs KA, Fang P, Pandey MK, Murray ME, Kantarci K, Jones DT, Vemuri P, Graff-Radford J, Schwarz CG, Machulda MM, Mielke MM, Roberts RO, Knopman DS, Petersen RC, Jack CR (2018) Widespread brain tau and its association with ageing, Braak stage and Alzheimer's dementia. *Brain* 141, 210-216.
- [57] Adams JN, Maass A, Harrison TM, Baker SL, Jagust WJ (2019) Cortical tau deposition follows patterns of entorhinal functional connectivity in aging. *Elife* 8, e49132.
- [58] Schultz AP, Chhatwal JP, Hedden T, Mormino EC, Hanseeuw BJ, Sepulcre J, Huijbers W, LaPoint M, Buckley

RF, Johnson KA, Sperling RA (2017) Phases of hyperconnectivity and hypoconnectivity in the default mode and salience networks track with amyloid and tau in clinically normal individuals. *J Neurosci* **37**, 4323-4331.

- [59] Sepulcre J, Sabuncu MR, Li Q, El Fakhri G, Sperling R, Johnson KA (2017) Tau and amyloid β proteins distinctively associate to functional network changes in the aging brain. *Alzheimers Dement* 13, 1261-1269.
- [60] Musiek ES, Holtzman DM (2012) Origins of Alzheimer's disease: Reconciling cerebrospinal fluid biomarker and neuropathology data regarding the temporal sequence of amyloid-beta and tau involvement. *Curr Opin Neurol* 25, 715.
- [61] Vasconcelos B, Stancu IC, Buist A, Bird M, Wang P, Vanoosthuyse A, Van Kolen K, Verheyen A, Kienlen-Campard P, Octave JN, Baatsen P, Moechars D, Dewachter I (2016) Heterotypic seeding of tau fibrillization by preaggregated Abeta provides potent seeds for prion-like seeding and propagation of tau-pathology *in vivo. Acta Neuropathol* 131, 549-569.
- [62] Mormino EC, Brandel MG, Madison CM, Marks S, Baker SL, Jagust WJ (2012) Aβ Deposition in aging is associated with increases in brain activation during successful memory encoding. *Cereb Cortex* 22, 1813-1823.
- [63] Oh H, Madison C, Baker S, Rabinovici G, Jagust W (2016) Dynamic relationships between age, amyloid-β deposition, and glucose metabolism link to the regional vulnerability to Alzheimer's disease. *Brain* 139, 2275-2289.

- [64] Johnson SC, Christian BT, Okonkwo OC, Oh JM, Harding S, Xu G, Hillmer AT, Wooten DW, Murali D, Barnhart TE, Hall LT, Racine AM, Klunk WE, Mathis CA, Bendlin BB, Gallagher CL, Carlsson CM, Rowley HA, Hermann BP, Dowling NM, Asthana S, Sager MA (2014) Amyloid burden and neural function in people at risk for Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* 35, 576-584.
- [65] Cohen AD, Price JC, Weissfeld LA, James J, Rosario BL, Bi W, Nebes RD, Saxton JA, Snitz BE, Aizenstein HA, Wolk DA, DeKosky ST, Mathis CA, Klunk WE (2009) Basal cerebral metabolism may modulate the cognitive effects of Aβ in mild cognitive impairment: An example of brain reserve. *J Neurosci* 29, 14770-14778.
- [66] Bass B, Upson S, Roy K, Montgomery EL, Jalonen TO, Murray IVJ (2015) Glycogen and amyloid-beta: Key players in the shift from neuronal hyperactivity to hypoactivity observed in Alzheimer's disease? *Neural Regen Res* 10, 1023.
- [67] Koelewijn L, Lancaster TM, Linden D, Dima DC, Routley BC, Magazzini L, Barawi K, Brindley L, Adams R, Tansey KE, Bompas A, Tales A, Bayer A, Singh K (2019) Oscillatory hyperactivity and hyperconnectivity in young APOE-ε4 carriers and hypoconnectivity in Alzheimer's disease. *Elife* 8, e36011.