Supplementary Material

An Integrative Literature Review on the Nomenclature and Definition of Dementia at a Young Age

Supplementary Material 1. Empirical literature search strategy

PubMed

Number	Query				
1	"Dementia" [Majr] OR Dementia OR Dementias OR Alzheimer* OR Lewy				
	Body OR Frontotemporal OR cognitive decline OR Tauopathy OR alcohol				
	dementia (title or other term)				
2	"Age of onset" [Majr] OR Early onset OR Young onset OR Younger onset OR				
	Presenile OR Pre-senile OR Under 65 OR Age onset OR Age at onset OR Age				
	at diagnosis OR time of symptoms (title or other term)				
3	#1 AND #2				

Cinahl

Number	Query					
1	(MM "Dementia") OR TI (Dementia OR Dementias OR Alzheimer* OR Lewy					
	Body OR Frontotemporal OR cognitive decline OR Tauopathy OR alcohol					
	dementia) OR AF (Dementia OR Dementias OR Alzheimer* OR Lewy Body					
	OR Frontotemporal OR cognitive decline OR Tauopathy OR alcohol dementia)					
2	(MM "Age of onset") OR Early onset OR Young onset OR Younger onset OR					
	Presenile OR Pre-senile OR Under 65 OR Age onset OR Age at onset OR Age					
	at diagnosis OR time of symptoms (title or author affiliation)					
3	#1 AND #2					

Embase

Number	Query			
1	*dementia/or (Dementia or Dementias or Alzheimer* or Lewy body or			
	Frontotemporal or Cognitive decline or Tauopathy or Alcohol dementia).m_titl.			
2	*onset age/or (Early onset or Young onset or Younger onset or Presenile or Presenile or Under 65 or Age onset or Age at onset or Age at diagnosis or time of symptoms).m_titl.			
3	1 and 2			

PsycInfo PsycInfo

Number	Query			
1	*DEMENTIA/or (Dementia or Dementias or Alzheimer* or Lewy body or			
	Frontotemporal or Cognitive decline or Tauopathy or Alcohol dementia).m_titl.			
2	*"Onset (Disorders)"/or (Early onset or Young onset or Younger onset or			
	Presenile or Pre-senile or Under 65 or Age onset or Age at onset or Age at			
	diagnosis or time of symptoms).m_titl.			
3	1 and 2			

Theoretical literature search strategy

Google

("young onset") (dementia | Alzheimer) (policy | guideline | strategy) filetype:pdf

Supplementary Material 2. Quality checklist

		+	±	
Item	Operationalization	7	Yes, No,	N/A
AACODS item				
❖ CASP item				
Authenticity	- Identifying who is responsible for the intellectual of		•	
 Authority 	- In case of an individual author: associated with a r			
• Date	organization or professional qualifications/conside			
+ ±	± experience? Produced/published other work in the field?			
	Recognized expert, identified in other sources or c			
	- In case of an organization or group: is the organiza			
	(e.g., WHO)? Is the organization an authority in th			
	- Does the item have a detailed reference list or bibl	ography? Have -	•	
	key contemporary material been included?	10.10		
	- Does the item have a clearly stated date related to		•	
date is given but can be closely ascertained, it there a valid reason		a valid reason		
Mothodological	for its absence? Does the item have a clearly stated aim or brief? Is	sit met? -		
Methodological quality	 Does the item have a clearly stated aim or brief? Is Does it have a stated methodology? Is it adhered to 			
Accuracy	- Have confounding factors been identified and are t			
	account for in the design and/or analysis?	ncy taken into		
Coverage❖ 1	- Has it been peer-reviewed? Has it been edited by a	renutable -		
⋄ 1 ⋄ 2	authority?	Геришон		
* 2 * 3	- Is it representative of work in the field? If not, is it	a valid -		
* 4	counterbalance?	u vana		
* 5	- Was the cohort recruited in an acceptable way?	_		
* 6	- Is any data collection explicit and appropriate for t	he research?	•	
+ ±	Were exposure and outcome accurately measured			
	bias?			
	- Was the follow-up of subjects complete and long e			
	- If it is secondary material (e.g., policy brief), is app			
	referred to original? Is it an accurate, unbiased inte	rpretation or		
	analysis?	-	•	
Informative value	- Are any limits clearly stated?	4 1		
	- Is the author's standpoint clear? Does the work see		•	
• Objectivity	balances in presentation (with respect to opinion)?			
• Significance	- Does it add context? Does it enrich or add something research?	ng unique to the -	•	
⋄ 7 ⋄ 8	- What are the results; are they clear?			
⋄ 8 ⋄ 9	- Is it integral, representative, typical? How precise	are the results?	•	
+ ±	Does it have impact? Do you believe the results?	are the results:		
Representativity	- Is the item meaningful (feasibility, utility and relev	ance)?	•	
• Significance	- Can the results be applied to (local) population? D	/	•	
• 10 with other available evidence?				
* 11	- What are the implications for practice?	-		
* 12	1			
+ ±				