Venous Thromboembolism and Risk of Cancer in Patients with Dementia: A Danish Population-Based Cohort Study
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Abstract.
Background: Venous thromboembolism (VTE) may be the first manifestation of occult cancer. Dementia has been linked to reduced cancer risk.
Objective: We examined the risk of cancer following VTE in people with dementia in comparison to the risk in the general population.
Methods: We conducted a population-based Danish registry-based cohort study following patients with a first-time VTE and a previous or concurrent diagnosis of dementia during the period 1 April 1996 – 31 December 2017. We followed the study participants from date of VTE until diagnosis of cancer, death, emigration, or end of study period, whichever came first. The absolute risk of cancer within one year after VTE was computed, treating death as a competing risk. We calculated gender, age, and calendar-period standardized incidence ratios (SIRs) of cancer based on national cancer rates.
Results: We followed 3,552 people with dementia and VTE for a median of 1.3 years. Within the first year after VTE, they had a 90% increased risk of cancer in comparison with the general population [SIR: 1.9 (95% confidence interval: 1.6–2.4)]. During subsequent follow-up years, the SIR fell to 0.7 (95% confidence interval: 0.5–0.8). Findings for Alzheimer’s disease and VTE were similar.
Conclusion: People with dementia have an increased risk of a cancer diagnosis during the first year following VTE, perhaps related to increased surveillance, and a lower risk thereafter. Overall risk is similar to that of the general population.
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INTRODUCTION

The association between cancer and the clotting system has been recognized for more than 150 years, since Armand Trousseau reported a high rate of venous thrombosis in patients with a visceral malignancy [1]. This association is bi-directional. Twenty percent of Danish venous thrombosis patients have a
diagnosed cancer at time of their venous thromboembolism (VTE) diagnosis [2]. At the same time, cancer patients have a 5- to 10-fold increased risk of VTE, with a particularly high risk in the period just after the cancer diagnosis [3]. There is strong evidence that VTE may be the first manifestation of occult cancer [4, 5]. In their 2008 systematic review, Carrier et al. [6] reported a 6.1% rate of undiagnosed cancer at the time of a first thromboembolic event.

One Swedish study examined the association between VTE and subsequent cancer risk in people with dementia. In 2017, Sandén et al. [7] reported predictors of cancer among VTE patients. Among 100 people with dementia and a first-time VTE, they found a one-year hazard ratio of cancer of 0.30 [95% confidence interval (CI) 0.10–0.95] compared to patients with VTE alone. A number of studies have reported a reduced risk of cancer among dementia patients in general [8–10], but it is not clear whether people with dementia face the same cancer risk after VTE. For this reason, we assessed the risk of cancer after a first-time diagnosis of VTE, including both deep venous thrombosis (DVT) or pulmonary embolism (PE), in Danish dementia patients using nationwide, population-based healthcare data.

**METHODS**

**Setting**

The entire Danish population is covered by a tax-funded healthcare system [11]. Residents’ contacts with the health care system are recorded in national registries together with their unique personal identification number [Civil Personal Register number (CPR number)] [12]. Data in medical and administrative registries can be linked by means of the CPR number [11]. Using these registries, we conducted a nationwide population-based cohort study over the period 1 April 1996 - 31 December 2017. Our study population consisted of all Danish residents (cumulatively numbering approximately 6.9 million individuals during the nearly 22-year period) [12].

**Data sources and variables**

Since 1977, admissions to non-psychiatric hospitals in Denmark have been registered in the Danish National Patient Registry (DNPR). As of 1978, coverage has been complete [13]. The Danish Central Psychiatric Registry [14], containing data on all psychiatric hospital admissions, was integrated into the DNPR in 1995. That same year, data on all outpatient and emergency room contacts at non-psychiatric and psychiatric hospitals began to be collected. At each hospital contact, administrative and personal data are collected, including the patient’s CPR number, dates of admission and discharge, type of contact (outpatient clinic, emergency room, or inpatient), contact reason, one primary diagnosis, and up to 19 secondary diagnoses. Since 1994, diagnoses have been coded according to the World Health Organization’s International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision (ICD-10). Previous to this, diagnoses were coded using ICD-8. Since 1996, surgeries have been coded according to a Danish version of the Nordic Medico-Statistical Committee (NOMESCO) Classification of Surgical Procedures [13].

All malignant neoplasms in Denmark are recorded in the Danish Cancer Registry (DCR). Since 2004, cancer type has been registered according to ICD-10 coding. Earlier diagnoses, going back to 1978, have been converted to ICD-10. Since 2004, solid tumors have been categorized according to the Union for International Cancer Control Tumor, Nodes, and Metastases (TNM) classification system. Up to that time, staging was recorded using the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) classification, Dukes classification, or a registrar’s own classification system [15].

We used the DNPR to identify all patients 50 years or older with a first-time VTE diagnosis and a previous or concurrent diagnosis of dementia (both primary and secondary diagnoses). We excluded emergency room diagnoses, as they have low validity, i.e., VTE is confirmed in only 50% of patients for whom the diagnosis is suspected [16, 17]. VTE was defined as a diagnosis of either a DVT or a PE. The primary outcome was occurrence of any type of cancer (not including non-melanoma skin cancer), as registered in the DCR. Patients with a cancer diagnosis in the DCR before the date of VTE diagnosis were not included in the study. In this approach, only incident cases of cancer were included as outcome.

The DNPR was used to obtain information on factors that provoke VTE and on the burden of comorbidity at baseline. Pregnancy, surgery, trauma, or fractures up to three months prior to a VTE were identified as provoking factors. We assessed comorbidity using the Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) [18], including all CCI conditions occurring before and on the VTE date, except dementia and cancer. Cohort
Fig. 1. Risk of cancer following venous thromboembolism (VTE) among people with dementia. First year absolute risk (AR). First and subsequent years standardized incidence ratios (SIRs).

Due to Danish legislation, we report no numbers for groups with less than five observations. Accordingly, observed numbers in subsequent years were not reported for age group 85–89, as it thus would be possible to calculate observed numbers for the age group of 90 + years.

FU, Follow-up; OBS, observations. Charlson Comorbidity Index Score at VTE diagnosis; low = 0, medium: 1–2, high = 3 or more.

Cancers were categorized according to presence of metastases, in order to assess potential detection bias—as described in the study by Ording et al.
If patients or physicians underreport symptoms of cancer due to the patient’s dementia, it is likely that any cancer is diagnosed at a more advanced stage. We used the DCR to obtain information on tumor stage and categorized tumors as localized, non-localized, or unknown. Non-solid tumors were categorized together with tumors of unknown stage.

All relevant ICD-8, ICD-10, and surgery codes are provided in Supplementary Tables 1 and 2. Codes used to categorize tumor stage are presented in Supplementary Table 3.

Statistical analyses

Patients were described at baseline according to gender, age group (50–69, 70–74, 75–79, 80–84, 85–89 and +90 years), dementia diagnosis (Alzheimer’s disease or any other dementia), CCI score, presence of provoking factors within three months prior to VTE, and type of VTE (DVT or PE). If a patient had diagnoses of both PE and DVT on the same date, the patient was categorized as having a PE only. Patients were followed from the date of VTE occurrence until a cancer diagnosis (not including non-melanoma skin cancer), death, emigration, or administrative end of follow-up (31 December 2017), whichever came first. Sørensen et al. [5] reported that the risk of cancer following VTE in the general population became constant after approximately one year. We therefore divided the follow-up into two periods: the first year after VTE diagnosis and subsequent follow-up years. The risk for the overall period of follow-up was calculated as well.

We computed absolute risks using the Aalen-Johansen estimator of the cumulative incidence function during the first follow-up period, treating death as a competing risk. Thus, patients were censored at death in order to prevent underestimating absolute risk of cancer.

We used standardized incidence ratios (SIRs) as a measure of the relative risk of cancer following VTE in people with dementia, presenting the ratio between the observed and the expected number of cancer cases for all follow-up periods. The expected number was calculated based on Danish national incidence rates of first-time diagnoses of cancer by gender, age, and year of diagnosis in 5 year intervals [19].

We stratified both cumulative incidences and SIRs according to gender, age group, CCI score, type of VTE, presence of a provoking factor, and cancer stage. Assuming a Poisson distribution, we calculated 95% CIs for each stratified category. Byar’s approximation was used for categories with 10 or more observed cancers. For fewer than 10 observed cancers, exact 95% CIs were used [20].

As required by Danish legislation, we report no numbers for groups with fewer than five observations.

All statistical analyses were performed using version 9.4 of the SAS statistical software package. Figures were created using statistical software R version 4.04 (2021-02-15). The study was reported to the Danish Data Protection Agency by Aarhus University (record number 2016-051-000001/811) and no further approvals were required according to Danish law.

RESULTS

Descriptive data

During the study period, we identified 3,552 patients with a first-time VTE and a previous or concurrent diagnosis of dementia. Construction of this cohort is illustrated in Supplementary Figure 1. The median age at VTE diagnosis was 82 years (interquartile range (IQR): 75–87 years) and 64% were female (Table 1). Thirty-two percent of patients had Alzheimer’s disease. The most common VTE type was DVT. In our study population, almost 60% had at least one of the comorbidities included in the CCI. In 22% of patients, we identified at least one VTE provoking factor.

We followed the cohort for a total of 8,096 person-years. The median follow-up time was 1.3 years (IQR: 0.2–3.3 years).

Cancer

First year of follow-up

During follow-up, 176 cancers were identified among the VTE patients with dementia. Of these, 97 cancers were diagnosed within the first year after VTE diagnosis (Fig. 1). The absolute risk of cancer within the first year was thus 2.8% (95% CI: 2.3–3.4%). This corresponded to an SIR of 1.9 (95% CI: 1.6–2.4). Patients with Alzheimer’s disease had an absolute risk of 2.6% (95% CI: 1.8–3.7%) and an SIR of 1.7 (95% CI:1.2–2.5).

Both the absolute risk of cancer and the SIR were higher for patients with a PE than for patients with a DVT.

Gender did not have an effect on the SIR. Presence of a VTE provoking factor, a low-medium burden of
Table 1
Characteristics of patients with first-time venous thromboembolism (VTE) and a previous or concurrent diagnosis of dementia during the period 1 April 1996 – 31 December 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dementia, all</td>
<td>3,552 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease</td>
<td>1,137 (32.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>2,274 (64.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1,278 (36.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at VTE diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age at VTE diagnosis in years (IQR*)</td>
<td>82.0 (75.3 – 87.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–69 y</td>
<td>461 (13.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70–74 y</td>
<td>391 (11.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75–79 y</td>
<td>603 (17.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80–84 y</td>
<td>814 (22.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85–89 y</td>
<td>811 (22.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+90 y</td>
<td>472 (13.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of VTE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep vein thrombosis</td>
<td>2,096 (59.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary embolism</td>
<td>1,456 (41.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlson Comorbidity Index burden†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1,450 (40.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>1,504 (42.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>598 (16.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VTE provoking factor ‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2,755 (77.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>797 (22.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VTE provoking factor by condition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>2,755 (77.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma/fracture only</td>
<td>328 (9.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery only</td>
<td>192 (5.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy only</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple factors</td>
<td>277 (7.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up, median years after VTE (IQR)*</td>
<td>1.3 (0.2 – 3.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*IQR = Interquartile range. †Charlson Comorbidity Index score; low = 0, medium = 1–2, high = 3 or more. ‡Pregnancy, surgery, trauma, or fracture up to three months prior to VTE.

The most common cancer diagnoses after the first year of follow-up were cancers of the colon, lung, breast, prostate, rectum, and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma as well as malignant melanoma (Fig. 2).

Overall follow-up

The absolute risk of cancer during the total 22-year follow-up period was 6.0% (95% CI: 5.2–7.06.8–9.2), representing an SIR of 1.0 (95% CI: 0.9–1.2). For Alzheimer’s disease, the SIR was 0.8 (95% CI: 0.6–1.1).

DISCUSSION

In this nationwide Danish cohort study of dementia patients, an incident VTE was associated with an approximately 3% absolute risk of a cancer diagnosis during the first year of follow-up. This corresponded to a 90% increased relative risk of cancer compared to members of the general population of same age, gender, and calendar period. In the subsequent years of follow-up, the risk of cancer among dementia patients with VTE was 30% lower than in the general population. For the entire follow-up period, the cancer risk in people with dementia was about the same as in the general population.

Previous studies have reported an association between VTE and cancer for the general population, albeit with risk estimates greater than for our dementia cohort [4, 21]. However, our study findings are consistent with those of Sandén et al. [7] who reported a 0.30 (95% CI: 0.10–0.95) hazard ratio of cancer within the first year after VTE for patients with dementia compared to the VTE in the population without dementia.

For follow-up periods beyond one year, previous studies reported SIRs of approximately one [4, 5]. In our dementia cohort, the SIR dropped to 0.7. This SIR is similar to the a priori risk of cancer among Danish dementia patients, as reported by Ording et al. [8] in 2019. Looking at the entire follow-up period, the SIR was one.

One interpretation of our findings is that overall cancer risk in people with dementia and a first-time VTE is not increased. Rather, it is similar to that of the general population. The association between VTE and cancer in the general population is well recognized, and heightened surveillance soon after VTE in people with dementia could facilitate the detection of occult cancer during the first year of follow-up that might not have become evident until later. Thus,
increased surveillance after VTE is offset by lower SIRs thereafter.

It is possible that observed SIRs in the Danish population underestimate cancer risk in people with dementia. Within the first year after VTE, the SIR was larger for a PE, a more serious condition, than for a DVT, where the need for less intensive management might reduce the incidental detection of cancer. Of note, lung cancer was the most common cancer detected during the first year after VTE. Also, during the first year after VTE, SIRs were somewhat lower for localized cancer than for metastatic cancer. This result could be due to fewer diagnostic procedures performed after VTE in people with dementia, allowing some localized cancers to remain undetected during this time. The inference is that cancer risk might indeed be increased after VTE, as reported in other populations [4, 5].

Overall, influence of VTE on risk of cancer diagnosis seems less pronounced among people with dementia than in other populations. Previous studies have proposed an inverse link between cancer and dementia [8–10]. Papers discussing this matter suggest this could be due to potential surveillance or survival bias. A biologic inverse association is, however, possible. Cancer arises through cell proliferation, contrary to dementia which involves cell death. A biologic underlying mechanism behind the relation may include immunological, genetic, or environmental factors [22, 23]. Nonetheless, it appears people with dementia have an increased risk of cancer diagnosis during the first year after VTE, and a lower risk thereafter. As VTE for some time has been regarded as a marker of malignancy, this finding seems reasonable.

If we consider VTE a potential first manifestation of an undiagnosed cancer among dementia patients, screening for occult cancer could decrease cancer-related mortality. However, results from randomized trials in the general population do not support the effectiveness of extensive screening on mortality or early cancer detection [24–26]. In our setting, 3,552 patients would have to be screened in order to detect the 46 excess cancers identified during the first year of follow-up. The cost-effectiveness of extensive screening of this predominantly older patient group would probably be low. At the same time, diagnostic procedures may have side-effects and cause both
physical and psychological distress, especially for those with severe dementia. However, a diagnosis can ensure treatment, if not only alleviating symptoms, which might improve quality of life. The more pragmatic approach of screening based on symptoms of cancer, expected remaining life-years and potential for increasing quality of life seems warranted.

Strengths and limitations

Strengths of our study are its design and the validity of the registry-based codes. This study had a population-based design with use of nationwide registries, which allowed for complete follow-up. The validity of the codes of dementia, VTE, and cancer in the Danish registries is generally high. After excluding emergency room diagnoses, as we did in our study, the positive predictive value (PPV) of the diagnosis of a first-time VTE in the DNPR is 88% [27]. Prior research has shown overall PPVs of 95%–98% in the DCR [28]. For dementia, a PPV of 86% has been reported [29].

Using SIRs as risk estimates implies that the exposed group is included in the comparison cohort [30]. As the exposure of both dementia and VTE is rare, any bias due to this method would likely be small. The main concern is, as mentioned above, the possibility of surveillance bias.

CONCLUSIONS

Our findings indicate that people with Alzheimer’s disease and other forms of dementia face increased risk of a cancer diagnosis during the first year after VTE. The magnitude of this risk may be underestimated due to limited work-up for cancer among dementia patients with VTE. During subsequent follow-up years, cancer risk was reduced compared to the general population, and overall risk was similar to that of the general population. We predicted increased cancer risk in people with dementia and VTE, and surveillance bias in people with dementia could account for lower than expected overall risk.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Lundbeck Foundation (grant number R248-2017-521). Funding sources had no involvement in study design, collection, analysis, or interpretation of data, writing the report, or in the decision to submit the article for publication.

Authors’ disclosures available online (https://www.j-alz.com/manuscript-disclosures/20-1530r2).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The supplementary material is available in the electronic version of this article: https://dx.doi.org/10.3233/JAD-201530.

REFERENCES