The Effects of Confinement on Neuropsychiatric Symptoms in Alzheimer’s Disease During the COVID-19 Crisis


Abstract

Background: Neuropsychiatric symptoms, such as depression, anxiety, apathy, agitation, and hallucinations, are frequent in Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and their prevalence tends to increase with external stressors.

Objective: We offer the first investigation of the effects of confinement during the COVID-19 crisis on neuropsychiatric symptoms in patients with AD.

Methods: We contacted caregivers of 38 patients with AD who were confined to their homes for nearly two months and asked them to report whether patients experienced any change in neuropsychiatric symptoms during, compared to before, the confinement and rate its severity and impact on themselves using the Neuropsychiatric Inventory-Questionnaire.

Results: Among the 38 patients, only 10 demonstrated neuropsychiatric changes during the confinement. Cognitive function of these 10 patients, assessed with the Mini-Mental State Examination, was worse than that of patients who did not demonstrate neuropsychiatric changes. Interestingly, among the 10 patients with neuropsychiatric changes, the duration of confinement significantly correlated with the severity of symptoms as well as with their caregivers’ distress.

Discussion: The confinement seems to impact neuropsychiatric symptomatology in AD patients with low baseline cognitive function.
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Because of their cognitive and functional impairments, patients with Alzheimer’s disease (AD) are vulnerable during crises, and this has been especially true during the corona virus (COVID-19) pandemic. To cope with the pandemic and to limit the spread of infections, governments in North America and Europe (including France) have been obliged to impose a variety of “lockdown” measures. In France, beginning on March 17, 2020, people were confined to their homes and were only permitted to leave to buy essential goods, briefly exercise, or seek medical
help. The lockdown was partially lifted on May 11, 2020. While this lockdown has dramatically changed most people’s daily lives, its impact may have been particularly pronounced in patients with AD. The social distancing measures and diminished physical contact with family and the outside world might have increased loneliness and impacted mental health among patients with AD who remained confined to their homes for nearly two months. Moreover, even low-risk outdoors activities, such as enjoying a walk with their spouses, were limited. Social activities (e.g., attending neighborhood meetings), religious activities (e.g., participating in mass), or even well-being and grooming activities (e.g., having a haircut) were canceled. To investigate whether these social and physical restrictions impacted the mental health of patients with AD, we evaluated neuropsychiatric symptomatology of patients with AD who were confined to their homes during the COVID-19 crisis in France.

To better understand the effects of the COVID-19-related confinement on neuropsychiatric symptomatology of AD, we should be mindful of the rich neuropsychiatric symptomatology of AD. While AD is mainly associated with memory decline [1, 2], the disease is also associated with a wide range of neuropsychiatric symptoms [3–5] and more than 80% of patients with AD exhibit at least one neuropsychiatric symptom over the course of the disease [6]. Neuropsychiatric symptoms typically fluctuate in intensity over the course of AD [7]. While neuropsychiatric symptoms are thought to emerge in patients with more advanced AD, these symptoms can also manifest in early AD or even during its prodromal phase [3, 8–11]. Research has demonstrated that early neuropsychiatric symptoms predict a faster progression from mild cognitive impairment to AD [12–14]. Even among cognitively normal older adults, the emergence of neuropsychiatric symptoms predict AD [15–18] and may be a better predictor of cognitive impairment than even hippocampal atrophy Geda et al. [19].

Neuropsychiatric symptoms in AD include depression, anxiety, apathy, verbal and physical agitation, and hallucinations [3, 10]. The categorization of neuropsychiatric symptoms is, however, complicated [20], probably because their nosology does not always reflect pathophysiology [11]. Although the classification system of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual remains a point of reference, the neuropsychiatric symptoms in AD overlap substantially among differently classified disease entities. For instance, agitation in AD may accompany anxiety, depression, or hallucinations. A different way of classifying neuropsychiatric symptoms can be based on their temporal order of occurrence. According to Masters et al. [21], neuropsychiatric symptoms in AD typically occur in three phases. The first phase includes depression, irritability, and nighttime behavior changes. The second phase includes anxiety, agitation, apathy, and appetite changes. The third phase includes delusions, hallucinations, disinhibition, and motor disturbances. Another classification for neuropsychiatric symptoms in AD was proposed by Ismail et al. [22] who classified these symptoms into five categories: drive and motivation, emotional dysregulation, impulsivity, social inappropriateness, and abnormal perceptions or thoughts. To overcome the classification limitation of neuropsychiatric symptoms in AD, Fischer et al. [23] suggested that any classification system should address their overlap with related conditions (especially, regarding agitation), recognize that symptoms may emerge in the preclinical and prodromal phases, and add a more specific differentiation between delusions and hallucinations. Regardless of their classification, neuropsychiatric symptoms in AD have been captured by the Neuropsychiatric Inventory-Questionnaire (NPIQ) [24] for decades. This inventory is widely used to assess frequency and severity of the main neuropsychiatric symptoms in AD, namely, delusions, hallucinations, agitation, depression, anxiety, euphoria, apathy, disinhibition, irritability, aberrant motor activity, night-time behavior disturbance, and appetite disorders.

The occurrence of neuropsychiatric symptoms in AD accelerate the rate of disease progression and institutionalization and may affect treatment responses and prognosis [6]. Neuropsychiatric symptoms in AD also decrease the patients’ quality of life and increase their distress [11]. Critically, neuropsychiatric symptoms increase distress in caregiver who typically struggle to manage the behavioral consequences of these symptoms [25, 26]. Considering the consequences of neuropsychiatric symptoms of AD patients on their caregivers, we sought to assess, not only changes in neuropsychiatric symptoms of AD patients during the COVID-19 crisis, but also their consequences on caregivers.

In the present study, we investigated whether the occurrence and severity of neuropsychiatric symptoms increased during the confinement. We conducted telephone interviews with caregivers (e.g., spouses, children) of patients with AD who were
followed by the Memory Center of the Hospital of Nantes, France, and asked them to report on the patients’ neuropsychiatric symptoms during the confinement. More specifically, we invited caregivers to indicate whether patients had manifested any changes in the occurrence and/or severity of neuropsychiatric symptoms during the confinement. When caregivers indicated that changes had occurred, we invited them to answer the questions of the NPIQ, which assess specific neuropsychiatric symptoms and the care givers’ distress produced by these symptoms. We hypothesized that a positive correlation between the duration of confinement and scores on NPIQ items may be observed (i.e., the longer the confinement, the worse the symptoms), as well as a positive correlation with care givers’ distress (i.e., the longer the confinement, the higher the level of distress).

**METHODS**

**Participants**

The study included 38 participants (23 women; $M_{\text{age}} = 71.89$ years, $SD = 8.24$) with a clinical diagnosis of probable AD and had a recent visit (two to four months prior to the study) to the Memory Center of the Hospital of Nantes-France. A diagnosis of probable AD had been made prior to the study by neurologists at the Memory Center according to the clinical criteria of the National Institute on Aging and the Alzheimer’s Association criteria for probable AD [1]. During their last visit, the patients had been assessed with the Mini-Mental State Examination [27] ($M = 18.84/30$ points, $SD = 6.89$). The study also included the patients’ caregivers ($M_{\text{age}} = 68.43$ years, $SD = 12.16$). While most of the caregivers were the patients’ spouses ($n = 32$), five were their daughters and one was a sister of a patient. The patients were living with their caregivers, in other words, none was living alone. This study was designed and conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Procedures**

During the confinement, more precisely between March 26, 2020, and May 9, 2020, neurologists from the Memory Center of the Hospital of Nantes contacted the caregivers by phone to assess the neuropsychiatric function of the patients. Caregivers were asked to provide the exact date at which they were confined to their homes, since while the official lockdown in France started on March 17, 2020, some people followed confinement guidelines earlier or even later than this date. Caregivers were asked if they had noticed any behavioral or emotional changes in the patients during, compared with before, the confinement compared. If the answer was “yes”, caregivers were invited to answer the NPIQ questions.

We implemented the NPIQ, as this scale is widely used for the assessment of neuropsychiatric symptoms in AD. We also used the scale because it is based on interviewing caregivers and it was possible to administer it over the phone during the lockdown. During the course of administering the NPIQ, we asked caregivers to rate the severity of twelve symptoms: delusions, hallucinations, agitation, depression, anxiety, euphoria, apathy, disinhibition, irritability, aberrant motor activity, night-time behavior disturbance, and appetite disorders. Severity was rated on a three-point scale (one point = mild, i.e., noticeable, but not a significant change; two points = moderate, i.e., significant, but not a dramatic change; three points = severe, i.e., very marked or prominent, a dramatic change). The maximum score on the severity subscale was thus 12 symptoms x 3 maximum points per symptom = 36 points. Note that the mean score of patients on the delusions subscale was 0.31 ($SD = 0.14$), the mean score on the hallucinations subscale was 0.19 ($SD = 0.08$), the mean score on the agitation subscale was 0.50 ($SD = 0.21$), the mean score on the depression subscale was 0.45 ($SD = 0.18$), the mean score on the anxiety subscale was 0.20 ($SD = 0.09$), the mean score on the euphoria subscale was 0.00 ($SD = 0.00$), the mean score on the apathy subscale was 0.65 ($SD = 0.29$), the mean score on the disinhibition subscale was 0.14 ($SD = 0.06$), the mean score on the irritability subscale was 0.51 ($SD = 0.21$), the mean score on the aberrant motor activity subscale was 0.25 ($SD = 0.11$), the mean score on the night-time behavior disturbance subscale was 0.08 ($SD = 0.04$), and the mean score on the appetite disorders subscale was 0.04 ($SD = 0.01$).

Caregivers were also invited to rate the distress they experience due to each symptom on a five-points scale (zero = not distressing at all; one = minimal, i.e., slightly distressing, not a problem to cope with; two = mild, i.e., not very distressing, generally easy to cope with; three = moderate, i.e., fairly distressing, not always easy to cope with; four = severe, i.e., very distressing, difficult to cope with; five = very severe, i.e., extremely distressing, unable to cope with). The
maximum score on the severity subscale was thus 12 symptoms × 5 maximum points per symptom = 60 points.

**Statistical analysis**

To test our hypotheses, we first compared the number of patients who had changes in neuropsychiatric symptoms during the confinement (i.e., those whose caregivers reported that they had noticed behavioral or emotional changes, either new symptoms or worsening of pre-existing symptoms, during, compared with before, the confinement) versus those who did not. For patients who had changes in neuropsychiatric symptoms during the confinement, we computed Spearman’s rank correlations between scores on the severity/distress subscales of the NPIQ and the duration of confinement, i.e., number of days from the beginning of confinement to the date of the interview. Finally, we compared performance scores on the Mini-Mental State Examination between patients in the two groups from their last Memory Center visit. We used Welch-\(t\) test due to the unequal effect size. We provided effect size \(d=0.2\) can be considered a small effect size, \(d=0.5\) represents a medium effect size and \(d=0.8\) refers to a large effect size [28].

The effect size for Chi square tests was calculated for non-parametric tests following recommendations by Rosenthal and DiMatteo [29], and Ellis [30]. For all tests, level of significance was set as \(p \leq 0.05\).

**RESULTS**

Among the 38 AD patients, only 10 presented neuropsychiatric changes during the confinement \([\chi^2(1, N=38) = 8.54, p = 0.004, \text{Cohen’s } d = 1.08]\). The mean score on the severity subscale of the NPIQ for these 10 patients was 5.20 (\(SD = 4.92\)). The mean score on the caregiver distress subscale for these 10 patients was 5.11 (\(SD = 4.99\)). The mean duration of confinement of the 38 AD patients was 27.37 days (\(SD = 14.48\)). No significant differences were observed between the duration of confinement for the 28 AD patients without neuropsychiatric changes (\(M = 26.21, SD = 15.69\)) and the 10 AD patients with neuropsychiatric changes (\(M = 30.60, SD = 10.40\)), \(t(36) = 0.82, p = 0.42\), Cohen’s \(d = 0.30\). Critically, and as illustrated in Fig. 1, significant positive correlations were observed between the duration of confinement for these 10 AD patients with neuropsychiatric changes and 1) their scores on the severity subscale of the NPIQ and 2) scores on the caregiver distress subscale of the NPIQ.

Finally, we found that patients who demonstrated neuropsychiatric changes during the confinement had had lower general cognitive functioning at the time of their last Memory Clinic visit, as reflected on MMSE scores, than those who did not demonstrate such changes (\(M = 15.20, SD = 5.14\)) in (\(M = 20.39, SD = 6.04\)), \(t(18.56) = 5.84, p = 0.017\).

**DISCUSSION**

This is the first study on the effects of confinement during the COVID-19 crisis on neuropsychiatric symptoms in patients with AD. Among our cohort of 38 patients with AD, only 10 had any neuropsychiatric changes during the confinement. For these 10 patients, the duration of confinement significantly correlated with both the severity of their neuropsychiatric symptoms, as well as with the distress experienced by their caregivers. Also, these 10 patients had demonstrated lower cognitive function, in the 2 to 4 months prior to confinement, compared with patients who did not demonstrate any neuropsychiatric changes.
As demonstrated by our study, confinement resulted in neuropsychiatric changes in a minority of patients with AD. These results are encouraging, as one may have expected that home confinement would have impacted the emotional and behavioral functioning in the majority of patients with AD. Interestingly, no significant differences were observed regarding the duration of confinement between the 10 patients who demonstrated neuropsychiatric changes and that of the 29 patients who did not demonstrate such changes. Thus, the differences between these two populations appear to be intrinsic and not have been influenced by the duration of confinement. However, the emergence of neuropsychiatric changes in a subset of patients with AD can be attributed to their degree of cognitive impairment. The 10 patients who demonstrated neuropsychiatric changes had already had lower cognitive functioning compared with patients who did not demonstrate neuropsychiatric changes. Due to greater cognitive decline, AD patients with low cognitive function may be more prone to experience confusion and disorientation, which may have resulted in a greater incidence of neuropsychiatric symptoms during the confinement. The reduced social contact and stimulation and/or reduced physical activity during the confinement might also have induced changes in neuropsychiatric symptoms in these 10 patients. This hypothesis can be supported by the significant positive correlations between the severity of neuropsychiatric symptoms and the duration of confinement. In other words, the longer the confinement, the more severe the symptoms.

For the 10 patients who demonstrated neuropsychiatric changes, the duration of confinement was not only significantly correlated with symptom severity, but also with their care givers’ distress. Generally speaking, family caregivers of patients with AD, sometimes called the “invisible second patients”[31], are impacted by the quality of life and neuropsychiatric functioning of the patients. Neuropsychiatric symptoms increase distress in caregiver who typically struggle to manage behavioral consequences of these symptoms [25, 26]. Although caring can be associated with some positive feelings and outcomes, such as reciprocal bonding and feelings of accomplishments and mastery [32], high psychological distress can be experienced by caregivers who are motivated by a sense of guilt, duty or social and cultural norms [33]. Regardless of their motivation, our findings suggest that support should be offered to caregivers by social service authorities during crises, such as the COVID-19 one, to help them cope with their own social isolation as well as the neuropsychiatric changes observed in the patients for whom they care. This support could include instrumental support (e.g., helping them with their daily living needs, housework, and managing neuropsychiatric changes in spouses), emotional support, informational support, and peer support from other caregivers who experience similar challenges.

By demonstrating that effects of confinement on neuropsychiatric function mainly seen among patients with low cognitive performance, our study contributes to the understanding of the underpinning of neuropsychiatric symptoms in AD. The neurological bases of these symptoms have been extensively studied. For instance, hallucinations in AD have been associated with reduced thickness in the lateral parietal cortex, an area of visuospatial integration, [34], increased occipital periventricular hyperintensities, suggesting disruption of visual network connections, [35], as well as with low regional perfusion of the right and left dorsolateral frontal, left ventral striatal, left anterior cingulate, left pulvinar, and dorsolateral parietal cortex regions [36]. Delusions in AD, especially persecutory ones, have been associated with cell loss in the dorsal raphe nucleus, implicating serotonin neurotransmission [37]. Agitation in AD has been associated with structural and functional abnormalities of brain regions associated with emotional regulation, such as the frontal, anterior cingulate, and posterior cingulate cortices and amygdala [38]. Apathy in AD has been associated with atrophy, hypometabolism, and hypoperfusion in the posterior cingulate, an area involved in motivation and goal-directed behavior, among other regions [39]. Depression in AD has been associated with more severe neuropathology (e.g., higher levels of tau, amyloid-β (Aβ), and vascular disease) [40]. Sleep disturbances in AD have been associated with increased accumulation of Aβ in the brain [41]. Taken together, the literature has mainly investigated the neural underpinning of specific neuropsychiatric symptoms in AD and far less attention has been paid to possible effects of external and social factors on these symptoms. For instance, a study has demonstrated a relationship between loneliness and hallucinations in AD [42]. More broadly, by demonstrating the effects of confinement on the neuropsychiatric function in patients with low cognitive performance in AD, our study provides evidence on the effects of social factors on neuropsychiatric function in AD.
Limitations of our study include the relatively small cohort and the lack of neuropsychiatric assessment before the confinement. While the confinement was unpredictable, assessment of neuropsychiatric symptoms before the COVID-19 crisis would have allowed for a better understanding of neuropsychiatric changes in our participants.

To summarize, by offering the first investigation of neuropsychiatric symptoms during the COVID-19 crisis, our study offers a helpful insight into the effects of home confinement on neuropsychiatric function in AD. Critically, our study demonstrates how the confinement exacerbated neuropsychiatric symptoms in patients with low cognitive function in AD, whereas no such symptoms were induced in patients with more preserved cognition.
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