How Does Exercise Reduce the Rate of Age-Associated Cognitive Decline? A Review of Potential Mechanisms

Greg Kennedy a,*, Roy J. Hardman a, Helen Macpherson a, b, Andrew B. Scholey a and Andrew Pipingas a

a Centre for Human Psychopharmacology, Swinburne University of Technology, Melbourne, VIC, Australia
b Centre for Physical Activity and Nutrition Research, Deakin University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

Accepted 2 August 2016

Abstract. The rate of age-associated cognitive decline varies considerably between individuals. It is important, both on a societal and individual level, to investigate factors that underlie these differences in order to identify those which might realistically slow cognitive decline. Physical activity is one such factor with substantial support in the literature. Regular exercise can positively influence cognitive ability, reduce the rate of cognitive aging, and even reduce the risk of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and other dementias. However, while there is substantial evidence in the extant literature for the effect of exercise on cognition, the processes that mediate this relationship are less clear. This review examines cardiovascular health, production of brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), insulin sensitivity, stress, and inflammation as potential pathways, via which exercise may maintain or improve cognitive functioning, and may be particularly pertinent in the context of the aging brain. A greater understanding of these mechanisms and their potential relationships with exercise and cognition will be invaluable in providing biomarkers for investigating the efficacy of differing exercise regimes on cognitive outcomes.
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INTRODUCTION

Throughout the vast majority of human history, a physically active lifestyle has been integral to our existence. However, the progressively industrialized and technological nature of modern societies has led to an ever-increasing degree of physical inactivity [1]. While reduced physical activity is endemic, across almost all countries, it is particularly prevalent in middle aged and older adults [2]. Numerous studies have found that inactivity and lower physical fitness are associated with poorer health outcomes, such as an increase in the risk of many chronic diseases, lowered functional capacity, and reduced longevity [3–6]. Conversely, greater levels of regular exercise has been found to ameliorate these negative consequences associated with a more sedentary lifestyle [4, 7]. In particular, exercise appears not only to protect the brain against the structural and functional effects of aging, but also to assist in repairing or restoring the aged brain [8–10]. This neuro-protective effect translates all the way to the pathological endpoints commonly associated with cognitive decline, with regular aerobic exercise linked to a drastic reduction in the lifetime risk of clinical Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and other dementias [11].

While there is considerable support in the extant literature for the beneficial effect of exercise on
There is substantial evidence that exercise can promote the health and functionality of the central nervous system (CNS), reduce the cognitive decline associated with aging [31–33], and reduce the risk of cognitive impairment and dementia [34–36]. A recent systematic and meta-analytic review of 37 longitudinal studies, found that there was a negative relationship between physical activity and both dementia and cognitive decline [37]. Specifically, those with higher levels of physical activity showed less cognitive decline in comparison with those who engaged in lower levels of physical activity. Additionally, the risk of dementia was found to be 14% lower in those with higher levels of physical activity when compared to less physically active cohorts. Importantly, all but one of these studies accounted for age, which is particularly important as older age is often associated with reduced regular exercise. This supports the findings of an earlier systematic review of nearly 34,000 cognitively healthy participants across 15 longitudinal studies, which found that even a low to moderate level of activity was associated with a 35% lower risk of cognitive decline when compared to the sedentary cohort [38]. A specific study by Weuve et al. [39] clearly highlighted the effect that that exercise has on cognitive aging. In this study of older women aged 71 to 80 years, regular physical activity, such as walking, was associated with reduced cognitive decline and better overall cognitive performance. Specifically, women who were in the highest quintile of overall physical activity demonstrated a mean difference in cognitive performance equivalent to being 2 to 3 years younger in age than those in the lowest quintile, while also having a 20% lower chance of global cognitive impairment [39].

Data from clinical trials is also predominantly supportive of the beneficial effect of exercise on cognition. Van Uffelen et al. [13] conducted a meta-analysis of 23 randomized controlled trials that involved older adults with healthy and/or impaired cognition. They found cognitive benefits from aerobic and strength exercise intervention for participants in one third of the studies involving cognitively healthy participants, and two thirds of studies focused on cognitively impaired participants; while no study found any negative cognitive effects associated with exercise intervention. While these findings suggest a benefit of exercise on cognition, regardless of whether participants were cognitively impaired or not [13], the authors reported that many of these studies were of poor quality and hampered by small sample sizes, limiting the ability to demonstrate significant between-group differences. A more recent meta-analysis [40] was able to address these issues, particularly via the inclusion of a number of larger, higher quality trials that had recently been completed. This review found that aerobic exercise interventions were associated with modest cognitive improvements in older people in almost all areas except, surprisingly, working memory, which tends to show a strong association with cognitive aging [41]. However, a combination of aerobic and strength training produced greater improvements than aerobic exercise alone in a number of areas including attention, processing speed, and working memory. The authors also reported preliminary evidence that exercise may, in fact, be associated with greater improvements in memory for people with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) than for cognitively healthy individuals [40]. Overall, while there is debate as to the effect size and degree of efficacy in subjects of differing cognitive statuses, these analyses broadly agree with the conclusions drawn from other reviews of controlled trials in this area [31] [42–47], that exercise interventions are associated with better cognitive outcomes in older cohorts.

**POTENTIAL MECHANISMS**

While it is well established that exercise generally improves cognitive health and reduces the rate of cognitive decline, very few studies have directly explored
the specific mechanisms associated with these cognitive changes. This section represents the main body of this review, covering in turn each of the main potential mechanisms that may mediate this relationship.

**Cardiovascular**

It is evident that the neural and vascular systems are inextricably intertwined. The “vascular hypothesis” [48–51] proposes that vascular dysfunction, and associated risk factors, affect not only the heart but the brain as well; and that this effect on the brain has a consequential impact on cognitive functionality. It has been proposed that the risk of cognitive decline and dementia are reduced as a result of the protective influence that physical activity has on reducing vascular dysfunction and risk factors [52]. However, there is still contention as to whether cognitive decline (particularly in dementias such as AD) is a disorder of the vascular system, with consequential degeneration of the neurological system; or if neurodegeneration results in cerebral vascular dysfunction [53].

**Central arterial stiffness**

Increasing age is accompanied by a stiffening of the central arteries due to structural and functional changes [54]. These vessels lose their elasticity over time due to the repeated cycles of distension and elastic recoil of the arterial walls that occurs with each pulse, approximately 30 million times per year [55]. The billions of cardiac cycles over a lifetime take their toll eventually, resulting in a slow continuous process of rupture and fragmentation of the elastin fibers and deposit of more inflexible tissue such as collagen and calcium [56], causing the arteries to progressively dilate and stiffen [57, 58].

The large, elastic central cardiothoracic arteries—principally the aorta—buffer the pulsatile output from the heart, reducing peak pressure, and providing a continuous flow to the smaller peripheral blood vessels [20, 59, 60]. The brain and the kidneys are unique in their requirement for continual high flow perfusion throughout the cardiac cycle, and as such have lower resistance than other vascular beds [61]. This leaves the microvascular structures within these organs much more vulnerable to increases in pulsatile energy than other tissues, which are protected by more robust upstream vasoconstriction [60, 62]. A recent review of potential mechanical causes of age-related dementias [55] concluded that the destructive effects of pulse pressure, which increases with age, is likely to be a significant contributor to the development of dementia. They suggest that microbleeds occur in the small vessels of the brain because of prolonged exposure to the stress of increased pulse intensity that results from the stiffening of the larger vessels; and that the loss of neurons is a secondary result of damage to the microvasculature.

Recent systematic and meta-analytic reviews have examined the proposed three-way relationship between arterial stiffness, cerebral small vessel damage, and cognitive performance [63, 64]. Both of these reviews found a strong positive association between greater arterial stiffness and cerebral vascular disease as assessed by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) detection of white matter hyperintensities, cerebral infarcts, lacunar infarcts, and silent brain infarcts. Both reviews also found inverse relationships between arterial stiffness and cognitive performance in cross-sectional studies, and that arterial stiffness also predicted the rate of cognitive decline in longitudinal studies. However, these cognitive relationships still require further research as they were considered statistically weak [63] and overly reliant on the Mini-Mental State Examination as the measure of cognition [64].

The degree to which progressively reduced elasticity in the central arteries can be considered “biological” as opposed to “pathological” is still a subject for debate and further investigation [57]. However regular exercise appears to be one of the best methods for reducing the rate at which such decline occurs. During exercise the heart rate is increased; however as a result of longer term exercise the heart rate remains lower at rest, thus reducing the overall number of cardiac cycles and the degree of pulsatile stress on these arteries [65]. A broad range of research has found that, in middle-aged and older people, those who engaged in regular aerobic exercise had generally lower central arterial stiffness [66–71]. Conversely, there is some evidence that resistance type training, particularly at high intensities, actually has the opposite effect and increases central arterial stiffness [72], although it is currently unclear as to whether this is a long-term detrimental effect or a necessary short-term functional/mechanical adaptation [68, 73]. Finally, although not well researched, one recent small study has demonstrated that when compared to a sedentary cohort, middle-aged adults who regularly engaged in moderate-to- vigorous aerobic exercise not only had lower stiffness of the central arteries but also correspondingly better performance across a range of memory and attention-executive function assessments [20].
**Endothelial dysfunction**

Due to its high energy demand and relative lack of glycogen fuel reserves, the brain is highly dependent on a continuous and adequate supply of glucose from the cardiovascular system for the survival and efficient functioning of neural tissue [74, 75]. The brain employs a number of mechanisms to ensure adequate perfusion is maintained. One mechanism, autoregulation, attempts to maintain stable cerebral perfusion despite the fluctuations in arterial pressure that occur during the cardiac cycle. In this case the cerebral arteries relax when arterial blood pressure falls and constrict when arterial pressure rises [76]. Another mechanism is the regulation of the distribution of cerebral blood flow (CBF) according to the functional requirements of different areas of the brain. This mechanism—functional hyperemia—increases blood flow to regions of the brain when activity in those areas increases. As functional hyperemia controls the delivery of substrates (such as glucose and oxygen) and the removal of the by-products of metabolism (such as carbon dioxide), it is essential for the maintenance of homeostasis in the cerebral cellular environment [74].

Endothelial reactivity, the ability of the vasculature to adjust flow in response to both internal and external stimuli, is another measure of vascular function that is distinct from central arterial stiffness. The endothelium is the layer of cells that lines the inner surface of all blood vessels. Its main purpose is to maintain adequate blood circulation, vascular tone, and the integrity of the blood vessels. These roles are achieved by the release of vasoactive compounds in response to metabolic conditions (e.g., hypercapnia or hypocapnia), chemical agonists (e.g., glutamate or acetylcholine), or the shear stress that results from a change of blood flow in the vessel [74, 77].

While the endothelium produces a number of vasoactive factors (for a full review, see [78]), nitric oxide (NO) is regarded as the most important endothelium-associated vasodilator. Synthesized and released by the endothelium, NO causes cerebral vasodilation, resulting in a decrease in cerebrovascular resistance and therefore an increase in CBF [79]. Reductions in NO have been associated with impaired endothelial reactivity, resulting in the impairment of autoregulation and functional hyperemia [80]. The endothelium also releases factors that reduce the accumulation and adhesion of platelets and macrophages, and the build-up of smooth muscle cells. Therefore, impaired endothelial function can result in narrowing of the arteries and a reduction of capillary density, which can chronically impede CBF [77], known as atherosclerosis.

The cerebral endothelium can therefore be seen as central to CBF autoregulation and functional hyperemia on both a structural and functional level. A reduction in endothelial functionality, particularly in its ability to adequately produce NO, can result in a reduced capability to respond efficiently to changes in the blood flow demands of the brain as well as reduced overall blood flow. As with aortic stiffening, dysfunction of the vascular endothelium is regarded as a primary aspect of normal human aging and is considered the most likely underlying reason for the increased risk of cardiovascular disease associated with age [81]. Specifically, it is the capacity of the vascular endothelium to produce and react to vasodilators, particularly NO, that declines most with increasing age; thereby reducing overall CBF as well as the capacity of the endothelium to respond efficiently to changing conditions and demands [82]. Interestingly, general arterial function has been found to peak at around 30 years of age and then progressively decline [65], which directly parallels the age profile of cognitive decline. Additionally, even in the absence of cerebrovascular disease, recent systematic analysis concluded that impairment of the vascular endothelium was associated with poorer cognitive performance, particularly in the areas of executive control and spatial working memory [83]. There is also evidence that loss of NO causes the increased expression of the amyloid-β precursor protein (AβPP) as well as the β-site AβPP-cleaving enzyme 1 (BACE1), which results in the increased production of cytotoxic amyloid-β (Aβ) peptides, both in the cerebral microvessels and in the brain itself [84], a process highly associated with AD.

Regular exercise has been found to both prevent decline of, and restore function to, the vascular endothelium in middle aged and older healthy males [85]. Recent meta-analytic analysis of 51 randomized controlled trials, primarily involving middle-aged or older participants, found that aerobic and resistance exercise, both individually and in combination, significantly improved endothelial function [86]. Across these studies, higher intensity of aerobic exercise resulted in the most improvement, with a significant dose-response relationship. However, with resistance training, it was greater frequency, rather than intensity, which was associated with enhanced endothelial function [86]. These conclusions mirror those of an earlier systematic review of intervention trials [87] and are also supported by findings that
overall cardiorespiratory fitness is positively associated with better functioning of the vascular endothelium [88]. There are a number of proposed mechanisms for this exercise resilience to age-related endothelial dysfunction, including stimulation of the endothelial cells through temporarily increased shear stress and increased localized blood flow, increased levels of circulating catecholamines during exercise and lower resting heart rate reducing mechanical stress (for review see [89] and [19]). Additionally, it has recently been proposed that exercise may also maintain or increase the number and function of endothelial progenitor cells. These bone marrow derived endothelial precursors, which decline in circulating concentration and efficacy with age, have been found in both animal and human studies, to repair endothelial damage [90].

**BDNF: Neural plasticity and neurogenesis**

Neurogenesis, the production of new neurons, occurs throughout life in a number of brain areas, particularly the hippocampus and cortex [91, 92], regions critically associated with memory, learning and overall cognitive performance [93–96]. Therefore, another focus of the extant literature surrounding the effects of physical activity on cognition is the capacity for exercise to promote neurogenesis and plasticity [97, 98]. Physical activity is believed to upregulate neurotrophins, a family of essential proteins that influence the development, differentiation and maintenance of neurons across the lifespan [99], and these are likely mediators of this beneficial effect of exercise on cognition [91].

Over the past 20 years there has been an accumulation of evidence, based primarily on animal investigations, that neurotrophins not only promote neuronal resilience and protect them from damage [100], but are also central mediating factors for structural and functional plasticity [98, 100, 101]. Of these neurotrophins, BDNF has emerged as a key mediator of cognition as it is highly expressed in the regions of the brain, such as the hippocampus and cortex, that exhibit the greatest degree of plasticity [99].

BDNF is the most pervasive of the neurotrophins in the developed adult brain, and affects its influence on neurons through binding with the tyrosine receptor kinase B (TrkB) receptor [102]. It is now commonly agreed that, in the adult brain, BDNF regulates structural and functional neuronal processes, in both the short and long term. Additionally, many of its effects are also regulated by neuronal activity, and it is this synergistic interplay between neurological activity and plasticity that underlie its essential role in the cognitive process [103]. BDNF has been demonstrated in numerous animal experiments to be intimately involved in memory and learning processes through the use of deletion paradigms. Focusing mainly on the hippocampus, multiple studies using BDNF and/or TrkB knockout mice have demonstrated that reduced BDNF, or BDNF binding, resulted in significant impairment of hippocampal long-term potentiation (LTP) [104–107], which results in consequential impairment of memory [108]. Conversely, introduction of exogenous BDNF facilitated LTP in BDNF-KO mice [105]. Importantly, heterozygous and homozygous BDNF-KO animal models both displayed near identical degrees of LTP impairment, indicating that a particular threshold of BDNF availability is required for effective hippocampal functioning [104].

Research has demonstrated that a brief period of exercise resulted in improved cognitive performance in terms of both learning and recalling platform location in the Morris water maze [109]. On the other hand, when the action of BDNF was blocked in the hippocampus, the cognitive performance in these animals following a period of exercise was found to be no different than sedentary controls. Additionally, animal model experimentation has consistently found that exercise, in the form of running, not only increases neurotrophin levels, but also neuronal spine density and the number of neurons produced in the hippocampus, which in turn correlates with increased spatial memory performance (for review see [110]).

Investigation of the impact of BDNF levels on humans is considerably more difficult, as fine morphological changes cannot be measured at the neuronal level [95]. However a reduction of both BDNF gene expression (mRNA) and BDNF protein is found in neurodegenerative disorders such as frontotemporal lobar degeneration, Huntington’s disease, and AD [111–114]. Other human studies have examined effects of the Val66Met polymorphism, which disrupts the secretion, transport, and processing of BDNF [115]. This polymorphism has been associated with increased susceptibility for white matter hyperintensities [116, 117], reduced hippocampal volume [118–120], reduced cortical volume [118, 121], reduced hippocampal response during both the encoding and retrieval stages of memory based tasks [122], and poorer performance in cognitive tasks [120, 123]. Additionally, levels of BDNF in
cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) have been found to decrease across the adult lifespan and lower CSF concentrations are significantly and strongly associated with reduced cognitive performance, particularly with regard to memory and, to a lesser degree, executive function [124].

BDNF can also bidirectionally cross the blood-brain barrier (BBB) [125]. The brain contributes around 75% of circulating BDNF [126], while the remainder is produced in the peripheral nervous system as well as in other tissues such as the immune cells, lungs, heart, vascular endothelium and smooth muscle cells. Contributing significantly to serum levels, platelets contain (but do not produce) large quantities of BDNF, which has been hypothesized to play a potential role in storage for later release in times of increased requirement [99, 127]. A recent cross-sectional study of 4,463 older people found that, while generally higher in women than men, reduced serum levels of BDNF were associated with poorer cognitive performance, once age, sex, education, and smoking status were adjusted for. Even more interestingly, levels 1.5 SD below the age- and sex-adjusted mean were associated with significantly higher risk of MCI [128].

While the brain produces the majority of resting state BDNF levels, a study of younger adult males found that there was a 200 to 300% increase in circulating BDNF levels during aerobic exercise, with an equivalent increase in the amount produced by the brain. However, this percentage contribution did decrease following 1 hour of recovery [126]. As a corollary to these finding, a review of previous studies concluded that peripheral BDNF may actually be transported back to, and used in, the brain after exercise [129].

Meta-analytic examination of 14 studies found that that a single aerobic exercise session increased circulating BDNF levels, and that the magnitude of this effect was greater in those who exercised regularly in comparison to those engaging in acute exercise only. However, sex moderated this effect, with men showing a significantly greater increase in BDNF levels than women [109]. This pattern of a short-lived increase in circulating BDNF following acute aerobic exercise has been found in numerous other studies, although similar effects have not been reliably found for strength/resistance based exercise [130]. An earlier review found that the increase in circulating levels of BDNF following exercise was transitory, and that levels returned to base line within a short period [129]. Conversely, more recent meta-analysis across 13 studies has demonstrated that regular exercise significantly increases resting BDNF levels, although this finding was not considered to be as robust as those regarding acute level increases [109]. Overall, there is reliable evidence, in humans, to advocate that each episode of aerobic exercise results in an acute increase in BDNF and that this response can be improved by regular physical exercise. However, the relative importance of the acute increase verses the smaller resting increase that results from regular exercise, as well as the difference in effect between males and females, is yet to be determined.

Stress

Exposure to stressors results in the activation of two distinct systems, the sympathetic nervous system (SNS) and the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis. While the rapidly acting SNS primarily releases catecholamines (adrenaline and noradrenaline), the slower HPA axis releases glucocorticoids (primarily cortisol) [131]. The glucocorticoids can cross the BBB and enter the brain, where they bind with receptors, particularly in the frontal lobes, amygdala, and hippocampus, which have higher receptor density and are also central to cognition [132]. However, the catecholamines are unable to cross the BBB, and influence the brain via activation of intermediate signaling pathways, such as the vagus nerve, that stimulate noradrenergic pathways [133]. The interaction between these systems is responsible for facilitating a wide variety of stress mediated actions on cognition [134]. This process is generally positively adaptive in nature, promoting the cognitive processing and storage of salient information; however it can become maladaptive under certain circumstances and, conversely, interfere with memory and cognition [135].

While stress is a significant factor in cognitive performance, the defining factor in the influence of stress is its intensity and duration. Mild acute stress facilitates cognition, particularly when the cognitive load of the task is not too high. However, when the level of stress is high and/or chronically sustained, fluid cognitive performance is noticeably impaired, particularly in cognitive processes that are primarily dependent on the hippocampal or pre-frontal cortex (for comprehensive overview see [134]). When subjected to chronic physical, social, or pharmacological stressors, animal models, both rodent and primate, have demonstrated structural adult hippocampal changes including reduced neurogenesis, shortening
of dendrites, and a loss of synapses, even at what was considered mild stress levels [136, 137]. The glucocorticoid hypothesis proposes that prolonged stress results in reduced negative feedback of cortisol, causing elevated glucocorticoids and eventual damage from prolonged exposure, particularly in the hippocampus [138]. Conversely, longitudinal human studies have found that the use of medical interventions (such as SSRIs, lithium, and electro-convulsive therapy) in treating either stress or depression disorders, have been linked to an increase in hippocampal volume following the course of treatment [139–142]. These findings indicate that the stress-related neurodegenerative process is somewhat reversible. Additionally, animal studies in this area have demonstrated that the effect of increased neurogenesis associated with antidepressants only occurs when the subjects are stressed [137], suggesting that it is the amelioration of stress that improves hippocampal neurogenesis, rather than a direct effect of the treatments.

Recent studies have demonstrated a relationship between anxiety (which is strongly associated both psychologically and physiologically with stress [143, 144]) and reduced cognitive performance in areas such verbal fluency, executive functioning, and memory in older people without dementia [145–147]. Again, as with the findings related to neurogenesis, amelioration of anxiety in older individuals through pharmacological intervention has been shown to improve executive function and episodic memory [148]. However, sex differences have been found, with cognitive impairment associated with anxiety to a greater degree in men than women [146]. Additionally, in patients with MCI, higher levels of self-reported stress are associated with a more rapid decline in cognitive performance than their counterparts who reported low stress levels [149]. Furthermore, higher levels of stress have also been related to an increased risk of developing dementia [13, 52].

In both humans and animals, those who engage in regular aerobic exercise have a significantly lower likelihood of suffering from stress associated disorders [150, 151]. Specifically, earlier meta-analytic reviews found that regular, moderate-to-high intensity, aerobic exercise of 20 minutes or more in duration was anxiolytic, while shorter duration or non-aerobic exercise appeared to have little to no significant effect [152]. Additionally, duration appears to be important, with the greatest anxiety reduction occurring in exercise programs which last at least 15 weeks [153]. A more recent meta-analysis of 49 clinical trials also found that, across all age groups, exercise interventions were associated with a reduction in anxiety [154]. However, it also found that any duration or intensity of aerobic exercise reduced symptoms of anxiety, although there still appeared to be a dose-response relationship. Additionally, this review found that exercise was equally as effective in reducing anxiety as psychological intervention, and almost as effective as pharmacological interventions [154].

It has been proposed that voluntary exercise may ameliorate the impacts or effects of stress in a number of ways, both psychologically and physiologically [155]. Physiologically, exercise appears to reduce reaction to stress through mechanisms such as adaptations in the noradrenaline, serotonin and gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) neurotransmitter systems, although the majority of evidence in this area has been derived from rodent models [151, 156, 157]. Psychologically, effects such as improved self-esteem and sense of wellbeing related to improvement or maintenance of physical fitness and achievement of fitness goals, and the placebo effect of expected improvement in mental health, may also alleviate chronic stress and sensitivity to stressors (see [155] and [158] for reviews). However, while there is evidence that regular exercise does positively affect the pathophysiological effects of stress, no single mechanism appears to completely account for the observed effects. It is more probable that a combination of some or all of these proposed pathways are involved in stress attenuation, warranting further research in this area, particularly in humans.

Inflammation

Chronic inflammation is associated with increased risk of developing many chronic diseases such as cardiovascular disease [159], type 2 diabetes [160], and several types of cancer [161]. Infection or injury begins a cascade of local and systemic response events, including the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines. Interleukin-1 beta (IL-1β) plays a central role in these responses by increasing the production of lymphocytes and initiating the elimination of damaged cells or pathogens by natural killer cells. IL-1β also stimulates the production of additional cytokines such as interleukin-6 (IL-6) and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α), which in turn affect other cells [162]. There is extensive intercommunication between the peripheral immune system and the CNS, through both neural pathways and via
active transport across the BBB. As a result of this communication, challenge to the peripheral immune system stimulates immune responses within the brain, which is primarily mediated by microglial cells [163]. Conversely, neurological damage also induces cytokine production peripherally [164, 165].

Microglia, the primary mediators of the immune response in the CNS, are normally quiescent unless the immune system perceives a threat [166]. Once activated, they multiply, undergo morphological changes, and produce pro-inflammatory cytokines until the threat is resolved [167]; whereupon they produce anti-inflammatory cytokines and other factors to re-establish homeostasis [166]. Animal studies have shown that this neuroinflamatory response becomes sensitized in normal aging, with the hippocampus demonstrating a more exaggerated immune response to challenge, with this elevated production of pro-inflammatory cytokines occurring for a longer period of time once the challenge has passed [168, 169]. This is supported by human studies demonstrating that aging is associated with a two- to four-fold increase in circulating systemic levels of inflammatory mediators across the lifespan [170].

There is an increasing accumulation of evidence that supports the contention that activation of the peripheral immune system is associated with cognitive dysfunction [162, 171] and, more specifically, that neurogenesis is impaired by neuroinflammation, leading to poorer cognitive functioning [27]. In their seminal paper, Monje, Toda, and Palmer [172] found that inflammation alone, following cranial radiation therapy, inhibited neurogenesis, leading to progressive cognitive decline; and that preventing inflammation through the use of pharmacological anti-inflammatories restored neurogenesis and ameliorated further cognitive deterioration. Animal research has demonstrated that memory impairment resulting from orthopedic surgery was associated with increased levels of not only circulating, but also hippocampally-produced inflammatory factors [173]. Furthermore, inhibition of IL-1β ameliorated both the hippocampal inflammation and the cognitive dysfunction [174]. Additionally, on a structural level, circulating levels of IL-6 have been found to inversely co-vary with hippocampal grey matter volume [171].

These findings have been supported by analyses of data from several large prospective cohort studies [175–177], which have found that higher levels of systemic markers of inflammation (IL-6 and C-Reactive Protein; CRP) were associated with both lower initial cognitive function and greater risk of cognitive decline at follow-up. Interestingly, not all studies in this area have found consistent results, with a couple of similarly sized studies finding little to no evidence to support these contentions [178, 179]. However, one of these studies [178] did not assess cognition at baseline or inflammatory markers at follow-up; and the other [179] used a relatively low sensitivity assay for IL-6, which they acknowledge may have influenced the results.

Additionally, findings from dementia research also support the role of inflammation in cognitive dysfunction, with higher circulating CRP at midlife shown to be associated with significantly greater risk for dementia 25 years later in a large sample of 1,000 people [180]. This relationship was independent of potential confounding variables, except for a partially mediated relationship with subclinical atherosclerosis, and the temporal distance between assessments significantly reduces the likelihood that inflammation was symptomatic of pre-clinical AD, rather than a causative factor. Additionally, genetic polymorphisms that reduce circulating IL-6 have been associated with both delayed initial onset and reduced incidence of AD [181], indicating that inflammation may play a key role in the development of neurodegenerative disease. Interestingly, however, the use of pharmacological anti-inflammatories to slow or prevent neurodegenerative disease has produced varied results [182]. It has been suggested that neurodegeneration may also be slowed down by certain aspects of inflammation, particularly by increasing the recruitment of systemic immune cells, such as T-cells and monocytes, across the BBB, which may modify the potentially destructive effects of localized CNS inflammation [182].

Physical activity has become increasingly recognized as a potential intervention to reduce chronic inflammation [161, 183, 184]. Numerous large cohort studies have consistently found lower levels of systemic inflammatory biomarkers in those who either subjectively reported higher levels of physical activity or objectively demonstrated greater aerobic fitness [185–190]. Additionally, one small intervention trial found resistance training moderately reduced markers of inflammation in overweight women [191]. A similar result was also found for sedentary participants following a trial that used a combined aerobic and resistance training intervention [192]. However, intervention studies in general have produced inconsistent results, although this is likely to be related to a number of methodological reasons, such as being...
underpowered, lacking a control group and inconsistency of exercise interventions used (see [193] for review of both cohort and aerobic intervention studies). While the mechanisms underlying this anti-inflammatory effect are still far from clear, it is currently postulated that IL-6 production from skeletal muscles during exercise is a significant mediating factor. At rest, most of the circulating IL-6 is produced from adipose tissue and leukocytes, with very little produced from skeletal muscles, but during moderate (equivalent to vigorous walking) to intense exercise, circulating IL-6 can increase up to 100-fold [183].

However, while usually considered to be pro-inflammatory, the IL-6 produced by skeletal muscles during exercise appears unique in that it suppresses the production of other pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as TNF-α and IL-1β. Furthermore, exercise-induced IL-6 stimulates the release of anti-inflammatory cytokines, which may result in an overall lowering of chronic inflammation over time. Muscle-derived IL-6 is also proposed to be involved in stimulating the production of cortisol and adrenaline during exercise, which have strong, acute anti-inflammatory properties [194, 195]. Additional exercise-induced anti-inflammatory mediators have also been postulated, although they have not been as well studied to date (see [195] for review). Overall, it is this reduction in systemic inflammation that is proposed to reduce the associated neuro-inflammatory processes and the associated negative influence on cognitive function.

Insulin regulation

Insulin, produced by pancreatic beta cells, is the hormone central to regulating the level of blood glucose in the body. When bound to an insulin receptor (IR) it regulates the cellular uptake of glucose by the activation of glucose transporters, which is then used as either fuel for energy or stored. Insulin also plays a role in uptake of amino acids and their incorporation into proteins, as well as reducing the rate of catabolism [196]. Although it was initially believed that the brain was insensitive to insulin, animal experiments in the 1960s demonstrated that insulin also promoted the uptake of glucose in CNS tissue [197, 198]. Following these observations, subsequent researchers suggested that insulin in the brain may work solely as it does in peripheral tissue, by mediating the uptake of glucose into the cells and thus regulating their production of energy. Therefore, reduced IR sensitivity would lead to starving the neurons of glucose and subsequent neuronal dysfunction, effectively “diabetes of the brain” [199]. However, more recent research has demonstrated that only a small percentage of the glucose used by the brain is actually obtained via insulin-dependent transport mechanisms [200], with the insulin-insensitive glucose transporters, being the main ones expressed in the CNS [201]. Furthermore, there is an uneven distribution of IRs throughout the brain, indicating specific CNS functionality in addition to the overall supply of glucose [200].

Primarily based on animal studies, IRs in the brain have been found to be concentrated in a number of neural regions highly associated with memory, learning, and cognition: specifically the hippocampus, amygdala, and cerebral cortex [202–207]. While not as well investigated, these findings have also been supported by a few small postmortem human studies [200, 208]. Given the role of insulin in peripheral glucose regulation, and the distributions of the receptors, insulin may exert its effect on memory and cognition in a similar way in the CNS. However, it is also likely to affect cognitive functioning through a number of other non-metabolic mechanisms.

Insulin is also an important neuromodulator [209], affecting both synaptic and post-synaptic activity, particularly through its ability to modulate signaling by potentiating NMDA, AMPA, and GABA receptors [210]. These receptors are known to play important roles in synaptic plasticity, learning, and memory [211, 212]. Insulin and IRs have also been found to influence numerous other processes in the brain which are likely to affect cognitive performance, such as neuronal proliferation [213] and differentiation [214–216], protection from apoptosis [213, 217], and both inhibition and excitation of neuronal firing through effects on ion channels [212, 218] (see [196] for review of the functions of insulin in the brain).

While there is limited evidence for the idea of de novo synthesis of insulin in the brain [219–221], to date this has not been conclusively demonstrated in humans or higher order animals, and is particularly inconclusive in adult brains [222–224]. However, although lipid insoluble, insulin readily crosses the BBB from the peripheral circulatory system via an IR-mediated saturable transport system [223, 225, 226]. CSF insulin levels have been demonstrated to increase following acute elevation of circulating insulin [227], highlighting this interrelationship between peripheral and central insulin. Overall then, the brain appears to rely predominantly, if not
increased accumulation of Aβ and tau protein hyperphosphorylation (see [241] and [30] for reviews on insulin and AD). Conversely, intranasal administration (enabling the bypassing of the BBB) of a long lasting insulin analogue has actually been shown to improve cognitive functioning in participants with MCI and those with mild to moderate AD [242]. Additionally, this relationship between insulin resistance and cognitive decline is further highlighted by conditions commonly associated with dysfunctional insulin sensitivity, such as, hyperglycemia [241], dyslipidemia, hypertension, and obesity [29]. These conditions are all considered insulin resistance related disorders and, particularly when present in middle age, each represents an elevated risk for accelerated cognitive decline and dementia [29, 241].

A sedentary lifestyle is very likely to be a crucial aspect in the ever-increasing incidence of insulin resistance in the population [30]. A study of male endurance trained athletes, predominantly cyclists and runners, found that they had better insulin sensitivity than sedentary men, and, remarkably, there was no difference in insulin sensitivity between those in their 20s and those in their 60s. However, sedentary older men in this study had significantly lower insulin sensitivity than younger sedentary men [243]. This study provides evidence that exercise—at least long-term, regular, intensive exercise—can prevent the reduction in insulin sensitivity associated with increasing age. Additionally, when compared to a stretching-only control group, six months of regular aerobic exercise in a glucose-intolerant older cohort was found to improve multiple aspects of cognition, while concurrently improving insulin sensitivity and reducing circulating levels of Aβ [28]. A recent review covering 40 years of research examining exercise biomarkers in participants with MCI and dementia found only two studies had assessed insulin sensitivity [244]. Both of these studies found better cognitive outcomes for the exercise groups compared to control. However, while one study, which involved six months of regular high intensity exercise, found improvements in insulin sensitivity [245], the other study used a less vigorous intervention of tai-chi over only 12 weeks and found no such improvement [246]. Despite limited research in this area, it appears that exercise may improve insulin sensitivity and cognitive outcomes for those with MCI or early dementia, but that the exercise must be sufficiently aerobic and intensive to be effective. However, it is evident that more research is required to draw firm conclusions.

Overall, specific research examining insulin sensitivity as a mechanism involved in the interplay between exercise and cognition is quite limited, with relatively few studies and small cohorts. However, while not looking explicitly at cognition, physical activity in general appears to be an important, if not an essential, element in the reduction and prevention of insulin resistance and cognitive decline, but that the exercise must be sufficiently aerobic and intensive to be effective. However, it is evident that more research is required to draw firm conclusions.

A recent review covering 40 years of research examining exercise biomarkers in participants with MCI and dementia found only two studies had assessed insulin sensitivity [244]. Both of these studies found better cognitive outcomes for the exercise groups compared to control. However, while one study, which involved six months of regular high intensity exercise, found improvements in insulin sensitivity [245], the other study used a less vigorous intervention of tai-chi over only 12 weeks and found no such improvement [246]. Despite limited research in this area, it appears that exercise may improve insulin sensitivity and cognitive outcomes for those with MCI or early dementia, but that the exercise must be sufficiently aerobic and intensive to be effective. However, it is evident that more research is required to draw firm conclusions.

Overall, specific research examining insulin sensitivity as a mechanism involved in the interplay between exercise and cognition is quite limited, with relatively few studies and small cohorts. However, while not looking explicitly at cognition, physical activity in general appears to be an important, if not an essential, element in the reduction and prevention of insulin resistance and cognitive decline, but that the exercise must be sufficiently aerobic and intensive to be effective. However, it is evident that more research is required to draw firm conclusions.
CONCLUSION

There is a large body of evidence indicating that physical exercise has a beneficial effect on cognitive performance and the rate of cognitive aging. More specifically, the extant literature suggests that all of the reviewed mechanisms are likely to play a role in mediating the relationship between exercise and cognition. However, at present, the majority of research in these areas has focused on aerobic exercise. Therefore, while the weight of evidence suggests benefits from aerobic exercise, further research into the effect of resistance or combined exercise on these mechanisms and cognition is warranted.

The intensity and duration of exercise are also proposed to have a dose effect on both the mechanisms and positive cognitive outcomes. While increasing levels of physical exercise have been reported to exert greater impacts on cognition, the evidence for this dose-response is not comprehensive; as to date, no study has established a strong case for a precise relationship. Additionally, the mechanisms reviewed do not exist in isolation, with each one affecting the other to some degree (e.g., [160, 196, 249, 250]). Again, considerations of these relationships in future research are highly recommended in order to develop a more comprehensive understanding of the relationship between exercise and cognitive aging.

Overall, the current research supports the potential for exercise to improve cognitive outcomes via increased BDNF-facilitated neurogenesis and neuroplasticity, improvement in vascular function, reduced stress and inflammation, and improved insulin sensitivity. However, at present the relative contribution of exercise to these mechanisms has not been specified. Neither have the relative strengths of the influence of these mechanisms on cognition, as current research in the area typically isolates one specific potential mediator at a time. Exercise, as opposed to many other potential cognitive interventions, is extremely cost-effective and has no intrinsic negative side effects, which makes it a promising candidate for ameliorating the rate of cognitive decline in our aging population. Furthermore, as each of these potential mechanisms has established, quantifiable biomarkers, future research would benefit by establishing the relative strengths of these mechanisms, and the consistency of their effect on cognition. Research of this type is needed to help inform investigation into the efficacy of various exercise intervention programs and their potential to affect cognitive outcomes.
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