

Author Index Volume 28 (2008)

The issue number is given in front of the page numbers.

- Akerman, R., Web tools for peer reviewers . . . and everyone (3,4) 205–207
- Bammel, J., APE 2008 Academic Publishing in Europe, Quality and Publishing (2) 129–132
- Bargheer, M. and B. Schmidt, Göttingen University Press: Publishing services in an Open Access environment (2) 133–139
- Bollen, J., Scholarly impact: From ranking to assessment (3,4) 225–228
- Brody, T., Institutions, repositories and research assessment (3,4) 233–237
- Brown, D.J., New Frontiers for Scientific and Technical Information (3,4) 171–192
- Chichester, C., Community peer review in Wiki environment: Semantic enrichment and the role of Wikis for professionals (3,4) 240–242
- Dekker, R., see Dürr, E. (3,4) 281–294
- Dercourt, J., Opening remarks (3,4) 194–195
- Dirks, L., Open access, data-driven science and the impact on research communication (3,4) 243–245
- Dumouchel, B., Welcome (3,4) 193–193
- Dürr, E., K. van der Meer, W. Luxemburg, M. Heijne and R. Dekker, Long-time preservation of data sets, results of the DareLux project (3,4) 281–294
- Duval, R., Summary and close (3,4) 246–246
- Economides, A.A., see Pallas, J. (1) 45– 57
- Geißler, S., New methods to access scientific content (2) 141–146
- Granier, B., Impact of research assessment on scientific publication in Earth sciences (3,4) 207–209
- Griepke, G., Publishing in the digital age: Challenges and requirements for authors and users of SpringerLink (2) 159–161
- Gupta, D.K., see Mahesh, G. (1) 59– 65
- Heijne, M., see Dürr, E. (3,4) 281–294
- Heuer, R.-D., A. Holtkamp and S. Mele, Innovation in scholarly communication: Vision and projects from High-Energy Physics (2) 83– 96
- Hiltz, S.R., see Turoff, M. (3,4) 269–280
- Holtkamp, A., see Heuer, R.-D. (2) 83– 96
- Hornbostel, S., From ad hoc evaluation to monitoring systems (3,4) 231–233
- Jérôme, D., Evaluation based on publications: Experiences in Physics (3,4) 217–219
- Kahlisch, T., DAISY: An opportunity to improve access to information for all (2) 151–158
- King, D., Alternative metrics from a publisher's and a librarian's perspective (3,4) 215–217
- Koop, T., see Pöschl, U. (2) 105–107

- Lewandowski, D., Search engine user behaviour: How can users be guided to quality content? (3,4) 261–268
 Luxemburg, W., see Dürr, E. (3,4) 281–294
- MacCallum, C., Ratings, reviews and repercussions: PLoS meets the web (3,4) 237–240
- Mahesh, G. and D.K. Gupta, Changing paradigm in journals based current awareness services in libraries (1) 59– 65
- Massie, R.J., CAS in the New Information Order (3,4) 253–260
- Mele, S., see Heuer, R.-D. (2) 83– 96
- Mulligan, A., Perceptions and misperceptions: Attitudes to peer review (3,4) 197–204
- Neubauer, W., About the future of libraries (2) 121–122
- Pallas, J. and A.A. Economides, Evaluation of art museums' web sites worldwide (1) 45– 57
- Pianos, T., A comparison of academic information portals (2) 123–125
- Pöschl, U., Interactive Open Access publishing and collaborative peer review for improved scientific communication and quality assurance (3,4) 211–214
- Pöschl, U. and T. Koop, Interactive open access publishing and collaborative peer review for improved scientific communication and quality assurance (2) 105–107
- Rahm, E., Comparing the scientific impact of conference and journal publications in computer science (2) 127–128
- Richter, A.K., Open access – A never ending story? (2) 97–103
- Schild, R., APE 2008 Academic Publishing in Europe, Quality and Publishing (2) 147–149
- Schmidt, B., see Bargheer, M. (2) 133–139
- Sheehan, J., Research evaluation: Evolving policies and practices for assessing impact (3,4) 229–231
- Shepherd, P., COUNTER and Usage Factor: Providing new insights into the value of journals (3,4) 222–224
- Tamber, P.S., Faculty of 1000 Medicine: Post-publication peer selection (3,4) 209–211
- Turoff, M. and S.R. Hiltz, Assessing the health information needs of the emergency preparedness and management community (3,4) 269–280
- Van Allen, M., Bibliometric approaches to evaluation, with an overview of French scientific research (3,4) 219–222
- van Buijtenen, M., The need to dig deep: V-spaces, product of interdisciplinary co-operation (1) 39– 44
- van der Meer, K., see Dürr, E. (3,4) 281–294
- Walckiers, A., What means rich in publishing? Competition between for-profit and not-for-profit publishers from an economist's point of view (2) 113–119
- Ware, M., Peer review in scholarly journals: Perspective of the scholarly community – Results from an international study (2) 109–112
- Warr, W., Partnering in science information: Necessities of change (1) 1– 37
- Winters, K.-P., APE 2008 Academic Publishing in Europe, Quality and Publishing (2) 81– 82