Image Quality in Computed Tomography Coronary Angiography and Radiation Dose Reduction
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Abstract. Computed tomography coronary angiography (CTCA) is a non-invasive, powerful image processing technique for assessing coronary artery disease. The aim of the paper is to evaluate the diagnostic role of CTCA using optimal scanning parameters and to investigate the effect of low kilovoltage CTCA on the qualitative and quantitative image parameters and radiation dose in overweight and obese patients. Consolidation of knowledge in medicine and image processing was used to achieve the aim, and performance was evaluated in a clinical setting. Elevated body mass index is one of the factors causing increased radiation dose to patients. This study examined the feasibility of 80-kV and 100-kV CTCA in overweight and obese adult patients, comparing radiation doses and image quality versus standardized 100-kV protocols in the group of overweight patients and 120-kV CTCA in the group of obese patients. Qualitative and quantitative image parameters were determined in proximal and distal segments of the coronary arteries. Quantitative assessment was determined by the contrast-to-noise ratio and signal-to-noise ratio. The results of the study showed that in overweight and obese patients, the low dose protocol affords radiation dose reduction of 35% and 41%, respectively. Image quality was found to be diagnostically acceptable in all cases.
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1. Introduction

In recent years, there has been a rapid development of data analysis, computer science, and medicine. New research areas and new trends are emerging, such as data mining, knowl-
Data science is a multidisciplinary subject that includes data mining, big data, data analytics, machine learning, and data knowledge discovery. Data science combines three highly iterative research areas: mathematics/statistics/operational research, computer science, and digital technologies used to study and perceive data (Dzemyda, 2018). Data science is finding new applications: biotechnology, materials microscopy, geographic research, learning analytics, radiology, and many others. The multidisciplinary efforts of data scientists, medical doctors, regulators and health insurance organizations are increasingly required. Computational methods need to move forward to bring direct benefit to clinical practice.

Digital image processing provides automatic interpretation, manipulation, and analysis of visual information and includes image collection, processing, and analysis. Image processing technologies have many different applications, such as space image processing, medical or biological image processing, remote sensing and extracting details from microscopic images. Recently, an increasing number of diagnostic and treatment decisions in medicine are being made on the basis of image analysis (Budginaitė et al., 2021; Kielaite-Gulla et al., 2021). Images are obtained using clinical diagnostic techniques such as radiology, magnetic resonance, thermal imaging, tomography, etc. Image analysis is becoming an advanced technology to help make important diagnostic decisions in medicine (Pocė et al., 2021). Many diseases can be diagnosed and treated using computed tomography (CT), a technology that allows changes inside the human body to be observed without an incision using computer-processed X-rays (Bilinskas et al., 2017, 2018). A CT scan is a three-dimensional image, i.e. a set of two-dimensional images representing a cross-section of the human body in the transverse plane. Such a set of images requires specific techniques and tools for image data processing, for example, segmentation of images, medical modelling, image registration (Bilinskas et al., 2017). A CT scan should be performed before and after treatment to assess the efficacy of treatment or progress in the treatment of the disease.

Computed Tomography Coronary Angiography (CTCA) is a non-invasive worldwide approved imaging method and diagnostic tool for evaluating patients with suspected coronary artery disease (CAD). It’s particularly useful for verification or exclusion of CAD because of established high sensitivity and negative predictive value (Budoff et al., 2008; Nasis et al., 2010). The basic principle of CTCA is to obtain volumetric data set through the heart during peak coronary artery enhancement. The final image quality and associated radiation exposure are determined by both technical and patient-dependent factors (Ghekiere et al., 2017). Data analysis often requires long time training and extreme proficiency. Up-to-date diagnosis of coronary artery disease is important for the prevention of cardiovascular disease through pharmacological treatment. However, coronary angiography is usually restricted to patients with a high probability of significant CAD, due to its relatively high costs and invasive nature with a small, but not negligible, risk of procedure-related, potentially life-threatening complications (Meijboom, 2009). Also, CT angiography-based diagnostic may provide clinically significant information to determine stenosis of coronary arteries, stents or bypass grafts. In case of positive CTCA scan result (moderate or significant CAD), these patients should be referred for coronary angiography or surgical revascularization.
When performing a CTCA procedure, it is important to select patients who require certain conditions. The factors which can be important for the data interpretations are associated with patient heart rate variability, image windowing parameters, and surely overweight, which can significantly lower specificity and sensitivity of the procedure and CT artifacts. Therefore, radiation exposure remains a serious limitation of radiological techniques based on X-rays, including CTCA, and it is very important to perform procedures in obese patients when higher radiation power and exposure than it is required for a natural procedure. Given the fact that X-ray diagnostic tests are used in many other fields of medical investigations, the overall size of the patient irradiance becomes sufficiently high. Many different methods of reducing radiation of different origins have been reported. The radiation dose required for CTCA in clinical practice has decreased significantly in recent years (Stocker et al., 2018). To minimize radiation exposure, recent advances include the advent use of wide detector scanners such as the 320-detector row scanner which has a 16-cm cranial-caudal coverage and allows for acquisition of the whole coronary artery tree in one gantry rotation over less than one heartbeat (Nasis et al., 2010), therefore prospective triggered scanning protocol is applicable to achieve enough good diagnostic image quality with low but effective dose. Advances in scanner technology and better protocols permit lower radiation dose cardiac imaging with doses below 1 mSv (Achenbach et al., 2011, 2009; Heilbron and Leipsic, 2010; Wang et al., 2012). In individuals with the suitable body size, a lower tube voltage setting in combination with iterative reconstruction algorithm may allow a reduction in radiation dose while maintaining the quality of the diagnostic image (Dalager et al., 2011; Feuchtner et al., 2010; Gagarina et al., 2011; Kalender et al., 2009; Oda et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2012), since the radiation exposure generally varies with the square of the tube potential (Huda et al., 2000). The use of 80-kV has been proven feasible in adult patients with a body mass index (BMI) below 22.5 kg/m² (Oda et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2012). However, limited data is available to recommend size or weight thresholds for 80-kV or 100-kV in routine cardiac CT examinations. In addition, further research is needed to assess the accuracy of low (80-kV) protocols to evaluate coronary artery disease, especially in small vessels, before they can be reliably implemented into widespread clinical practice for cardiac CT angiography (Engel et al., 2012). To date, we have not found any CTCA low dose studies, particularly involving overweight or really obese patients.

The aim of the paper is to evaluate the diagnostic role of CTCA-based solution using optimal scanning parameters and to investigate the effect of low kilovoltage CTCA on qualitative and quantitative image parameters and radiation dose in overweight and obese patients. The research was conducted to examine the feasibility of 80-kV CTCA in overweight adult patients group (BMI 25–30 kg/m²) and 100-kV CTCA in obese patients by comparing radiation doses, image quality and diagnostic opportunities versus common standardized 100-kV protocols in overweight and 120-kV CTCA in obese patient groups.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Scan Protocol

Generally, all patients were noticed to avoid caffeine and smoking 12 hours, and eating solid food alike to increase fluid intake 4 hours before the exam procedure. The breath-hold of 10 up to 20 seconds was manageable for most of the tested patients. Standard precautions with regard to contrast allergy history and renal function were routinely taken. On arrival, an 18-gauge intravenous line was inserted in the right antecubital vein for administration of contrast. Patients with heart rates of more than 70 bpm received (unless with ECG atrioventricular conduction abnormalities) a single peroral dose of 50 mg metoprolol 60 min before the scanning procedure. Sublingual nitroglycerine (0.4 mg) was administered unless contraindicated. Patients with heart rates of more than 80 bpm received an additional peroral dose of metoprolol (if not contraindicated). CTCA procedures were clinically indicated, performed as standard of care.

The scan was acquired during bolus injection of nonionic iodinated contrast material (Iopromide 370 mg I/ml) 66 at a flow rate of 6 mL/s into the antecubital vein, followed by 40 mL of saline at 5 mL/s, during a single breathhold at slight inspiration. CTCA was performed on a 320-detector-row CT scanner (Aquilion ONE, Toshiba Medical Systems, Japan). The scanning parameters were as follows: detector collimation 320 mm \( \times \) 0.5 mm; tube current 580 mA; tube voltage 80 or 100-kV; gantry rotation time 350 ms; and temporal resolution 175 ms. Prospectively triggered scanning was used covering 70–80% of the R–R interval. The scan range covered the entire heart from the level of the carina to the diaphragm. Scanned images were reconstructed using AIDR 3D reconstruction, cardiac CTCA standard protocol (FC03 convolution filter).

2.2. Data Analysis

Radiation dose and data collection were carried out as follows: parameters including tube potential (kV), tube current-time product (mAs), volume-weighted CT dose index (CTD1vol), dose-length product (DLP) and dose-related parameters, including heart rate, rhythm, use of \( \beta \) blockers and nitroglycerine, the volume of contrast agent (cc) and flow rate (cc/sec), weight, height, BMI were recorded for each patient during the examination. Effective dose (ED, in units of mSv) was calculated using the formulate \( ED = DLP \times k \), where \( k \) is the conversion factor (\( k = 0.014 \) mSv mGy\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\)) (Abbara et al., 2016). Measurement of the chest wall as a substitute for body-mass-index: a full field-of-view volume data of each patient was analysed at a 3D-imaging workstation (Vitrea, Toshiba, Japan) and manual measurement of a chest wall thickness was performed by a radiologist to measure the patient’s chest wall thickness at the level of processus xiphoideus.

2.3. Image Evaluation

Qualitative assessment: CT data should be interpreted on a computer workstation capable of all post-processing methods (Vitrea, Toshiba, Japan). Qualitative image quality was determined retrospectively by use of a 5-point Likert scale for each coronary artery segment
(5: very good image quality, no artifacts, sharply delineated contours of the vessel lumen; 4: fully diagnostic image quality, no artifacts, very minor blurring of the vessel lumen contours; 3: diagnostic image quality, no artifacts, very minor blurring of the vessel lumen contours; 2: poor image quality; severe artifacts, prominent blurring of the contours; 1: non-diagnostic segment – segment not visible due to artifacts). 1 and 2 points were considered as non-diagnostic.

Segmentation of the coronary arteries was performed based on the American Heart Association 17-segment model. All 51 cases in the study were interpreted and overall qualitative image quality was determined. For assessment of the inter-observer agreement, four blinded readers with at least 3–10 years experience in cardiac CT imaging evaluated all coronary segments in 10 randomly selected patients. Prior to the subjective image quality readouts, the readers were informed on the criteria of image grading and assessed 5 test cases together.

**Quantitative assessment:** For quantitative image quality assessment, CT data sets were sent to the 3D-imaging workstation (Vitrea, Toshiba, Japan). To determine the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR), the largest possible circular regions of interest [ROI] (2–4 mm²) were placed in the coronary lumen and the adjacent connective tissue of the vessel. The measurements were performed in nine different coronary segments: proximal and distal right coronary artery [AHA segment #1 and #3], left main [AHA segment #5], proximal and distal left anterior descending [AHA segment #6 and #8], first diagonal branch [AHA segment #9], proximal and distal left circumflex artery [AHA segment #11 and #14]. Within these regions of interest, the mean CT contrast attenuation was recorded. Image noise was defined as the standard deviation of CT density in a region of interest (ROI) placed in the aortic root at a position cranial to the left main coronary artery. CNR was obtained by dividing the difference in CT attenuation between the coronary lumen and surrounding tissue by the image noise. SNR was determined by dividing the contrast of the coronary lumen by the background noise as described previously (Feuchtner *et al.*, 2010; Engel *et al.*, 2012; Bittencourt *et al.*, 2011; Pflederer *et al.*, 2009; Wang *et al.*, 2012; Leschka *et al.*, 2008).

### 2.4. Artifacts Correction

In order to obtain phase-consistent images and simultaneously avoid motion artifacts, images were scanned and reconstructed during mid-end diastole (70–80 percent interval of the cardiac cycle) when cardiac motion is least. Fixed 75 percent phase and automatically by the vendor’s dedicated algorithm selected phase with least motion artifacts were routinely evaluated. If the quality of coronary CT images is not satisfactory, additional manual reconstructions were made to find the best quality phase in the scanned interval. Short time irregular heart rhythm can create artifacts and result in deterioration of image quality. Motion artifacts most frequently occurred in the mid-right coronary artery (segment 2) position because this segment usually moves most rapidly. In the case of local segmental artifacts, reconstruction was performed in the best image phase of the segment using ECG-edit software of AIDR 3D.

In the presence of marked and outspread all coronary vessels’ calcification, the correct stenosis interpretation is obscured in most cases. It is because scattered calcium in CT
images creates blooming and beam hardening artifacts and visualization of the underlying noncalcified plaque or often lumen is more complicated.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviations for normally distributed and median with interquartile range [IQR; 25th and 75th percentiles] for non-normally distributed variables. Categorical variables were expressed as frequencies or percentages. The differences in continuous variables between the groups were compared using two-tailed Student’s *t*-test (for normally distributed variables) or Wilcoxon test (for non-normally distributed variables). Categorical variables were compared using the chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test. To determine the inter-observer agreement for the qualitative image quality assessment, intra-class-correlation (ICC) and Pearson’s correlation coefficient were calculated. A *p*-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Baseline Data

Table 1 presents the baseline patient characteristics. All patients were divided into two groups according to the body weight (the first group was overweight and the second obese), and each group was divided into two subgroups according to minimized or common tube kilovoltage (80-kV or 100-kV in the first and 10-kV or 120-kV in the second). Body mass index limit (≤35 kg/m²) was chosen due to chest wall thickness and artifacts, CT scanner gantry diameter and moving table technical options. There were no statistically significant differences inside the selected two groups for age, gender, body weight, BMI, heart rate and scan length. However, the groups differed according to the thickness of the chest wall, which is a key factor in determining the quality of the scan. All patients had sinus heart rhythm, and only in a few cases we observe several supraventricular or, rarely, ventricular extra-systoles what were successfully compromised by beta-blockers and did not affect

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient group</th>
<th>Group 1 (overweight)</th>
<th>Group 2 (obese)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tube kilovoltage (BMI)</td>
<td>80 kV (25–30 kg/m²)</td>
<td>100 kV (25–30 kg/m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean ±SD, range</td>
<td>59.4 ± 12.4</td>
<td>62 ± 9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male gender, %</td>
<td>30.4 (7/23)</td>
<td>48.4 (15/31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (bpm), mean ±SD</td>
<td>59.2 ± 6.6</td>
<td>59.5 ± 4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synus rhythm, %</td>
<td>100 (107/107)</td>
<td>100 (107/107)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²), mean ±SD, range</td>
<td>26.8 ± 1.2</td>
<td>27.2 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest wall thikness (min), mean ±SD</td>
<td>18.3 ± 6.7</td>
<td>19.0 ± 8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25.1–29.1</td>
<td>25.0–29.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30.0–34.9</td>
<td>30.1–34.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20.7 ± 6.3</td>
<td>24.2 ± 6.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the results. Two patients have paroxysmal atrial fibrillation in anamnesis, but did not have it at the time of CTCA, i.e. they also had a stable sinus rhythm.

In our study, we tested the feasibility of low doses protocols (80-kV and 100-kV) depending on BMI, and demonstrated a significant reduction of radiation dose 35% and 41% versus standard 100-kV and 120-kV protocols: (1.1 mSv [0.9–1.2] (80-kV) versus 1.7 mSv [1.0–2.0] (100-kV) and 1.6 mSv [1.4–1.9] (100-kV) versus 2.7 mSv [2.1–3.0] (120-kV)). Our data demonstrate that in an overweight patient group, an ultra-low dose protocol (radiation dose reduction of 35%) scanning results are feasible enough. It allows the identification of patients with significant and intermediate CAD, as well as the identification of patients with no-, single-, or multi-vessel disease (see Fig. 1). The segmental
Table 2
Results on qualitative image quality and radiation dose.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient groups</th>
<th>Group 1 (overweight)</th>
<th>Group 2 (obese)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kilovoltage (BMI group)</td>
<td>80-kV (25–30 kg/m²)</td>
<td>100-kV (25–30 kg/m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100-kV (30–35 kg/m²)</td>
<td>120-kV (30–35 kg/m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of segments</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjective IQ score, mean</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic image quality</td>
<td>100% (184/184)</td>
<td>100% (248/248)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLP (mGy), mean ±SD</td>
<td>74.3 ± 16.5</td>
<td>114.9 ± 43.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective dose (mSv) median, [25–75 percentile]</td>
<td>1.1 [0.9–1.2]</td>
<td>1.7 [1.0–2.0]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

analysis provides for the severity and range of CAD while showing the location of the disease. This complementary information becomes significant for clinical decision-making and, if necessary, for selecting the appropriate revascularization procedure (percutaneous intervention or bypass surgery) for patients.

In the obese group of patients, the low dose protocol was also feasible on the same result in radiation dose reduction around 41% (Table 2). Image quality was found to be diagnostically acceptable in all cases. To assess and compare the accuracy of interventional and non-interventional methods, interventional coronary arteries angiography (CAA) was performed for 27 patients after CTCA: in overweight patients 80-kV group – 8 patients; 100-kV – 7 patients; in obese patients 100-kV group – 6 patients, 120-kV – 6 patients.

A high correlation was found for obstructive coronary artery stenosis (>50%; kappa 0.9). In the interventional CAA group, the sensitivity and specificity of CTCA were 90% and 78%, respectively, comparing all groups in the diagnosis of significant stenosis.

3.2. Qualitative Image Quality Analysis

A total of 856 segments were qualitatively assessed: 184 segments [80-kV] versus 248 segments [100-kV] in the overweight patients group and 200 segments [100-kV] versus 224 segments [120-kV] in the obese patients group. There were no statistically significant differences in subjective image quality assessment between the two groups. As seen in data represented in Table 2, all segments were deemed as diagnostic, with an overall diagnostic image quality of 100% and no artifacts observed. We performed a comparison of qualitative image quality by two independent observers and the results showed perfect agreement with ICC of 0.8. As shown in Fig. 2, the image quality regarding noise and
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Fig. 2. Coronary arteries’ scanning images (Group 2 – obese patients).
A – Coronary arteries’ 3D reconstruction. Patient’s BMI 32.5 kg/m$^2$; 100-kV; Effective dose 1.8 mSv.
B – Coronary arteries’ 3D reconstruction. Patient’s BMI 31.2 kg/m$^2$; 100-kV; Effective dose 1.6 mSv; Calcified plaque in LAD S6 (labelled by white arrow).
C – Multiplanar curved reconstruction of LAD coronary artery. LAD S6 mixed plaque (labelled by white arrow), 30% stenosis. Patient’s BMI 32.5 kg/m$^2$, 100-kV.
D – Multiplanar curved reconstruction of LAD coronary artery. LAD S6 mixed plaque (labelled by white arrow), 75% stenosis. Patient’s BMI 32.5 kg/m$^2$, 100-kV.
E – Multiplanar curved reconstruction of LAD coronary artery. LAD S6, S7, S8 multiple calcified plaque (labelled by white arrow), stenosis 50–95%. Patient’s BMI 32.7 kg/m$^2$, 100-kV.
F – Multiplanar reconstruction of LAD coronary artery. LAD S7 non calcified plaque (labelled by white arrow), stenosis 50%. Patient’s BMI 30.5 kg/m$^2$, 120-kV.

Opacification in the obese group with ultra-low kV was not significantly altered, it maintained the possibility to identify the structure of plaque – calcified, non-calcified, multiple calcified, mixed, and defined stenosis grade.

Our study also demonstrates that in overweight and obese patients, low dose protocol affords radiation dose reduction of 35% and 41%, respectively. In all cases, image quality was found to be diagnostically acceptable.

3.3. Quantitative Image Quality Analysis

Table 3 illustrates the mean contrast-to-noise and signal-to-noise ratios in groups as determined in 8 different coronary segments. The noise level in overweight patients group (group 1) scanned with a tube 80-kV was higher compared to the patients scanned at 100-kV (60.3 ± 13.5 versus 40.4 ± 15.6 HU). In obese patients group (group 2) noise level was similar in low dose protocol, scanned with a tube 100-kV, compared to the patients scanned at 120-kV (34.6 ± 5.5 versus 34.4 ± 10.8 HU). The mean contrast-to-noise
Table 3
Mean contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR) and signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) in Group 1 and Group 2 patients, $p < 0.05$. RCA – right coronary artery; LAD – left anterior descending artery; LCX – left circumflex artery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1 (overweight)</th>
<th>Group 2 (obese)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80-kV</td>
<td>100-kV</td>
<td>100-kV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal RCA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR</td>
<td>11.0 ± 3.1</td>
<td>14.2 ± 5.6</td>
<td>13.5 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNR</td>
<td>9.8 ± 3.1</td>
<td>12.0 ± 5.1</td>
<td>11.1 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal RCA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR</td>
<td>7.9 ± 2.1</td>
<td>11.9 ± 5.6</td>
<td>10.2 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNR</td>
<td>8.3 ± 2.1</td>
<td>9.7 ± 5.3</td>
<td>7.8 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left main</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR</td>
<td>9.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>15.7 ± 6.1</td>
<td>15.4 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNR</td>
<td>9.5 ± 2.5</td>
<td>13.5 ± 5.5</td>
<td>13.0 ± 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal LAD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR</td>
<td>8.3 ± 2.9</td>
<td>10.9 ± 4.2</td>
<td>12.2 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNR</td>
<td>7.1 ± 2.9</td>
<td>8.7 ± 3.7</td>
<td>9.8 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal LAD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR</td>
<td>6.7 ± 1.8</td>
<td>8.4 ± 4.6</td>
<td>9.9 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNR</td>
<td>5.5 ± 1.8</td>
<td>5.9 ± 4.2</td>
<td>7.5 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First diagonal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR</td>
<td>9.9 ± 2.7</td>
<td>13.5 ± 5.4</td>
<td>12.8 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNR</td>
<td>8.8 ± 2.7</td>
<td>11.3 ± 4.8</td>
<td>10.4 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal LCX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR</td>
<td>7.7 ± 1.9</td>
<td>9.1 ± 3.4</td>
<td>10.3 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNR</td>
<td>6.5 ± 1.9</td>
<td>6.9 ± 2.9</td>
<td>8.0 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ratio (CNR) and signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) were higher at 100-kV versus 80-kV in overweight patients (CNR $8.14 ± 3.0$ [80-kV] versus $10.1 ± 5.4$ [100-kV], $p < 0.05$ and SNR $9.3 ± 3.0$ [80-kV] vs. $12.3 ± 5.8$ [100-kV], $p < 0.05$). Significantly higher CNR and SNR values were observed in all coronary segments. In obese patients group CNR and SNR were similar at 120-kV and 100-kV (CNR $10.0 ± 3.4$ [100-kV] vs. $9.9 ± 4.3$ [120-kV], $p < 0.05$ and SNR $12.4 ± 3.4$ [100-kV] versus $12.0 ± 4.4$ [100-kV], $p < 0.05$). In obese patients group, no significant CNR and SNR difference was found comparing low dose and standard scanning protocols.

4. Discussion

Various meta-analyses and studies have been carried out on the diagnostic priorities of CTCA versus CAA for the detection or exclusion of CAD diagnosis. In most cases, the results show excellent sensitivity of CTCA but slightly lower specificity. In addition, it’s important to estimate no-, single- or multi-vessel disease, because a patient’s survival will not decrease by revascularization therapy and optimal medical therapy will result in a similar outcome. According to Meijboom et al. (2008), the diagnostic performance
of CTCA on a per-vessel level is not as good: sensitivity is 75–95% and specificity is 77–90% (Prakash et al., 2010). Other literature sources indicate a rather good sensitivity (86%–93%) and excellent specificity (96%–97%) to detect significant coronary lesions by CTCA (Abdulla et al., 2007; Mowatt et al., 2008; Stein et al., 2008). Our data on sensitivity and specificity of CTCA founded 90% and 78%, respectively, are consistent with other data.

One of the widely discussed limitations of radiological investigation methods is the increased risk of lung and breast cancer genesis (Einstein et al., 2007). Several strategies are being developed to reduce the radiation dose by tube current modulation, low-tube voltage imaging, modification of reconstruction algorithms (Hausleiter et al., 2010; Hosch et al., 2011; Prakash et al., 2010). In our study, we tested the feasibility of low dose protocols (80-kV and 100-kV) by decreasing tube kilovoltage, depending on BMI and demonstrated a significant reduction of radiation dose 35% and 41% versus standard 100-kV and 120-kV protocols: (1.1 mSv [0.9–1.2] (80-kV) versus 1.7 mSv [1.0–2.0] (100-kV) and 1.6 mSv [1.4–1.9] (100-kV) versus 2.7 mSv [2.1–3.0] (120-kV)). Quantitative measures of quality showed an increase in image noise and a decrease in CNR and SNR in the 80-kV group, but the diagnostic image quality was preserved in the 80-kV group. Similar results were obtained in the 100-kV obese patients group. This reduction in tube potential resulted in reduced radiation exposure while maintaining image quality.

The strategy to decrease radiation exposure is to employ noise-reducing iterative reconstruction algorithms while reducing tube potential. Iterative reconstructions (IR) can produce higher resolution images and reduced imaging artifacts and have been adopted by CTCA in recent years (Leipsic et al., 2012). Using IR with CTCA leads to a significant reduction of radiation dose compared to filtered back projection (FBP) (Abdulla et al., 2007). The use of adaptive iterative dose reduction in three-dimensions (AIDR3D) reconstruction is capable of achieving up to 50% radiation reduction while maintaining comparable image quality compared to FBP techniques (Chen et al., 2013). The combination of AIDR3D and automated exposure control markedly reduced radiation exposure by utilization of low tube potential while decreasing the associated noise problem (Wong et al., 2014). It is recommended that in the future more types of IR should be included to verify findings. The different parameters of using IR should be emphasized particularly on blending options and approaches, so that achievable dose reduction can be maximized. This may help to determine the most effective approach of using IR in CCTA to reduce patient radiation dose as well as maintain the diagnostic image quality (Abdullah et al., 2016). Our study perfectly demonstrates that in evaluated overweight and obese patients, the low dose protocol resulted in radiation dose reduction of 35% and 41%, while image quality was diagnostically acceptable in all cases.

The image quality of CTCA depends on multiple key aspects of the imaging process. There are CT-related parameters, including temporal resolution, tube potential, tube current, and scan reconstruction methods. Our study was performed with the first generation 320 detectors row CT scanner, with the gantry rotation time of 350 ms in combination with up to 16 cm cranial-caudal volume coverage per rotation, which enables single heartbeat acquisitions. These features give the opportunity to get a homogeneous view of the entire
heart and avoid stepwise artifacts. A heart rate up to 65 beats per minute is optimal for diagnostic image quality for this type of scanner. On the other hand, patient-related factors such as heart rate during acquisition, patient compliance, and BMI also influence image quality. Good heart rate control with \( \beta \)-blockers remains an essential strategy in achieving good image quality and low radiation dose (Wong et al., 2014).

The use of a lower tube kilovoltage setting for imaging is associated with a significant increase in image signal and contrast. This increase is a benefit of imaging with a reduced tube voltage and may improve visualization of contrast-enhanced structures and may potentially permit the use of less contrast volume to obtain similar signal and contrast as imaging with a higher tube voltage. The increased signal and contrast can be attributed to the characteristics of iodinated contrast material, which provides increased signal attenuation and higher contrast to other structures in the setting of reduced tube voltage. This X-ray fundamental results from the fact that 80-kVp imaging is closer to the K-edge (absorption capability) of iodine. In addition to the differences in signal and contrast, image noise at 80-kVp compared with 100-kVp was increased because of the reduced penetration of photons at lower energy. This increase in noise is a potential disadvantage of 80-kVp imaging because the greater the noise the lower the overall image quality. It is also worth noting that the increase in the noise was proportionally larger than the increase in the signal and contrast, resulting in net decreases in the signal-to-noise and contrast-to-noise ratios (LaBounty et al., 2011).

After the iterative reconstruction algorithms have been applied, lowering the tube potential has become a well-recognized strategy to reduce the radiation dose of CTCA. Tube potential determines the energy of the X-ray beam, which affects its penetrability and noise (Meijboom et al., 2008). A subsequent multicentre trial conducted by Labounty and colleagues demonstrated that a radiation dose reduction of 47% can be achieved by reducing the tube potential from 100-kV to 80-kV (LaBounty et al., 2011), also similar results have been described in smaller, single-centre studies (Halliburton et al., 2011). A reduction in tube potential from 120-kV to 100-kV results in a 31% reduction in radiation dose, however, it also results in a 20% increase in the noise if all other parameters are unchanged (Wong et al., 2014). 100-kV protocols result in significantly lower radiation, while mean vascular attenuation is significantly higher (Takx et al., 2017).

Low tube settings can improve the contrast of the coronary artery; however, they also can increase blooming artifacts from coronary calcifications. In practice, to counter the negative effect of image noise by using lower tube potential, an increase in tube current may be necessary.

5. Limitations

This is a single centre experience with a limited number of patients. The true coronary stenosis could not be proved because not every patient underwent invasive CAA. The diagnostic accuracy of the new generation 320-detector row CT compared to CAA will need further evaluation but studies showed comparable results (Dewey et al., 2009; Nasis et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2012).
6. Conclusions

The healthcare sector benefits significantly from the application of data science in medical imaging. Computational and image processing techniques need to move forward to bring direct benefit to clinical practice. This paper presents a study of the diagnostic role of CTCA using optimal scanning parameters and the impact of low kilovoltage CTCA on qualitative and quantitative image parameters and radiation dose in overweight and obese patients. A consolidation of knowledge in the field of image processing and medicine has been used, and the assessment of the performance in clinical conditions has been performed.

In this paper, a low radiation dose analysis of the CTCA was carried out, especially involving overweight or really obese patients. According to the results, the CTCA images obtained using the 320-CT scanner were of appropriate quality and required a lower dose of radiation in overweight patients using 80-kV when compared with the 100-kV standard scanning protocol and in obese patients using 100-kV compared with the 120-kV standard scanning protocol. The results demonstrate low kV scanning protocol potential in significant dose reduction in evaluated overweight and obese patients accordingly 35% and 41% with diagnostically acceptable and high image quality in all cases. The results of this study lead us to optimize and individualize CT scanning protocols and reduce radiation dose.
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