If an electronic copy is developed, would you still want to receive a printed issue?
Yes (82%) or No (14%) 4% undecided

Are you willing to pay an additional US $5 or the equivalent in pounds or Dutch guilders per year to have access to a complete electronic issue via password?
Yes (40%) or No (56%) 4% undecided

Is your main interest in the ICCA Journal (responses not mutually exclusive)
Research (67%)
Leisure (41%)
Other (0%)

End of survey

A large amount of comments and ideas were received. We provide three of them:

"Sometimes hardcopies of the Journal (in its red colour) help to convince sponsors."

"In principle, I am all in favour. However, I would urge a degree of caution. For example, one advantage of a paper copy is that I can point to a publication and say to colleagues "look, that is my paper and it is in a proper, respectable academic publication". I think that currently they would be rather sceptical of a publication which appeared only or primarily in electronic form."

"Electronic publishing is just around the corner. Some journals already do it. Let us be leaders rather than followers."

So far we conclude that our members highly appreciate a printed issue of the ICCA Journal. It seems that an electronic copy will not replace the ICCA Journal in its current form in the near future.

If you were not among the members reached for this survey, your opinion is still important to us. We invite you to send your comments on this issue to Professor H.J. van den Herik, Editor-in-Chief ICCA Journal, Universtiteit Maastricht, Department of Computer Science, P.O. Box 616, 6200 MD Maastricht, e-mail icca@csl.unimaas.nl

In the June issue we will inform you further on this topic.

CORRESPONDENCE

COMPUTER CHESS: A UNIFYING THEME

M. Levene

London, England

In a recent issue the Editors of the ICCA Journal solicited opinions on the future of the Journal. As an avid reader of the Journal and a contributor, I would like to voice some of my thoughts.

I think the ICCA is an excellent journal of high quality and I am always eagerly looking forward to receiving the next issue. Personally, I do not think that the game of Chess will be 'solved', even when a chess machine is able to beat the World Champion, an eventuality that now seems to be unquestionable. There are still many avenues to explore in heuristics of game playing that will improve the quality of decision making of current algorithms. I feel that in this respect the ICCA Journal needs to define clearly its aims and scope. Looking through the current issue, I did not see any such statement, although it is clearly stated that the ICCA’s purpose is to promote and further all aspects of computer chess.

---

1 Department of Computer Science, University College London, Gower Street, London, WC1E 6BT, England
Email: M. Levene@cs.ucl.ac.uk
I find it interesting to note that the *ICCA Journal* has published many articles whose main theme is not computer chess, but relate to general aspects of game playing, especially heuristic searching methods. I think the *ICCA Journal* should acknowledge in its aims and scope that there are various problems relating to heuristic game playing that can be addressed through strategic games other than Chess. I will briefly list some such games and problems.

The game of Go is an example where the ‘brute-force’ method, which has been so successful in Chess, does not seem to work. Making progress in artificial Go demands heuristic searching techniques that are much more selective than current methods and a much better understanding of how to encode knowledge, which may be local to independent parts of the game tree. The game of Bridge is an example of a game with imperfect information, as opposed to Chess in which the information is perfect. Again, new heuristic search methods need to be developed and explored to take into account the numerous eventualities. The game of Backgammon is an example of a game with chance moves, as opposed to Chess which has no chance element. Although strong programs have recently been developed using temporal differencing techniques, there is still the open problem of developing novel search methods for such games. Finally, Diplomacy is an example of a game with multiple players, where N is greater than two, as opposed to Chess where there are only two players. Such games pose a variety of new challenges such as making the negotiation between players and searching N-person game trees with large branching factors whose evaluation function is not, in general, zero-sum. Here mathematical game theory may prove to have a considerable impact on the direction taken by researchers.

I still think that computer chess is a unifying theme for a large number of problems in this area and as such, I would not like to see the *ICCA Journal* change its name. In addition, Chess provides a central example of what we should aim at with respect to other games. I strongly feel that in order to keep abreast with new developments and challenges, and also encourage new research in the area of heuristic game playing, the ICCA should broaden its aims and scope to include other strategic games apart from Chess.

**ICCA Tournament Rules Revisited**

*Ernst A. Heinz*

Karlsruhe, Germany

Dear ICCA Board and Fellow ICCA Members,

Since my first participation in an ICCA tournament (as member of the DARKTHOUGHT team during the 8th WCCC in Hong Kong, 1995) I have had the same mixed feelings about the definitions of “amateur vs professional” as anybody else. Therefore, I sent an initial proposal to David Levy on July 31, 1995, introducing “pure” and “semi” subcategories for both amateurs and professionals. Unfortunately, nothing ever came thereof although the proposal surely had some merits.

Right now, however, times have changed and so has my opinion as for the dreaded “amateur vs professional” case. Moreover, another important issue has emerged – namely “killer book lines busting commercial programs.” Because any live tournament will be very limited in its possible number of rounds, I personally feel this issue to be of great importance in order to ensure future participation of commercial programs in ICCA events.

In the following, I propose new solutions to both of the above while hoping for your comments and fruitful discussions.

---
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