

## Erratum

---

# Erratum to: Getting it Right: Advances of Human Rights and the Environment from Stockholm 1972 to Stockholm 2022

Jonas Ebbesson

[Environmental Policy and Law, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 79-92, 2022, 10.3233/EPL-219022]

<https://content.iospress.com/articles/environmental-policy-and-law/epl219022>

When this article was printed, on page 90 it says:

Formally, the resolution is not legally binding, just as the Stockholm and Rio Declarations are not legally binding. Still, not being legally binding does imply not being legally relevant. So, when can the right can be claimed, i.e., in which situations, and how “robust” is it when confronted with other legal claims and arguments in hard cases?

This should be:

Formally, the resolution is not legally binding, just as the Stockholm and Rio Declarations are not legally binding. Still, not being legally binding does not imply not being legally relevant. So, when can the right be claimed, i.e., in which situations, and how “robust” is it when confronted with other legal claims and arguments in hard cases?

This has been corrected in the online version of the article (<https://content.iospress.com/articles/environmental-policy-and-law/epl219022>).