

# PRDM1 rs2185379, unlike BRCA1, is not a prognostic marker in patients with advanced ovarian cancer

Klara Horackova<sup>a</sup>, Michal Vocka<sup>b</sup>, Sarka Lopatova<sup>a</sup>, Petra Zemankova<sup>a,c</sup>, Zdenek Kleibl<sup>a,c</sup> and Jana Soukupova<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>First Faculty of Medicine, Institute of Medical Biochemistry and Laboratory Diagnostics, Charles University and General University Hospital in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic

<sup>b</sup>Department of Oncology, First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University and General University Hospital in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic

<sup>c</sup>Institute of Pathological Physiology, First Faculty of Medicine and General University Hospital in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic

Received 15 August 2023

Accepted 23 March 2024

## Abstract.

**BACKGROUND:** Ovarian cancer (OC) is mostly diagnosed in advanced stages with high incidence-to-mortality rate. Nevertheless, some patients achieve long-term disease-free survival. However, the prognostic markers have not been well established.

**OBJECTIVE:** The primary objective of this study was to analyse the association of the suggested prognostic marker rs2185379 in *PRDM1* with long-term survival in a large independent cohort of advanced OC patients.

**METHODS:** We genotyped 545 well-characterized advanced OC patients. All patients were tested for OC predisposition. The effect of *PRDM1* rs2185379 and other monitored clinicopathological and genetic variables on survival were analysed.

**RESULTS:** The univariate analysis revealed no significant effect of *PRDM1* rs2185379 on survival whereas significantly worse prognosis was observed in postmenopausal patients (HR = 2.49; 95%CI 1.90–3.26;  $p = 4.14 \times 10^{-11}$ ) with mortality linearly increasing with age (HR = 1.05 per year; 95%CI 1.04–1.07;  $p = 2 \times 10^{-6}$ ), in patients diagnosed with non-high-grade serous OC (HR = 0.44; 95%CI 0.32–0.60;  $p = 1.95 \times 10^{-7}$ ) and in patients carrying a *gBRCA1* pathogenic variant (HR = 0.65; 95%CI 0.48–0.87;  $p = 4.53 \times 10^{-3}$ ). The multivariate analysis interrogating the effect of *PRDM1* rs2185379 with other significant prognostic factors revealed marginal association of *PRDM1* rs2185379 with worse survival in postmenopausal women (HR = 1.54; 95%CI 1.01–2.38;  $p = 0.046$ ).

**CONCLUSIONS:** Unlike age at diagnosis, OC histology or *gBRCA1* status, rs2185379 in *PRDM1* is unlikely a marker of long-term survival in patients with advanced OC.

Keywords: Ovarian cancer, long-term survival, *PRDM1*, *BRCA1*, biomarker

## 1. Introduction

Ovarian cancer (OC) is mostly diagnosed in advanced stages (70%), leading to a high incidence-to-mortality rate. Although patients with advanced OC achieve remission with maximum debulking surgery and chemotherapy, recurrence, usually incurable, often occurs within 3 years and the 5-year survival of

---

\*Corresponding author: Soukupova Jana, Institute of Medical Biochemistry and Laboratory Diagnostics, First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University and General University Hospital in Prague, Na Bojišti 3, 12800 Prague, Czech Republic. Tel.: +420 224964501; E-mail: jana.soukupova@lf1.uni.cz.

late-stage OC is below 30% [1]. Nevertheless, some OC patients achieve long-term disease-free survival despite the diagnosis at advanced stage. Thus, attempts are being made to identify further prognostic markers of long-term survival. Recently, the polymorphism rs2185379 in *PRDM1* has been associated with long-term recurrence-free survival in Japanese advanced OC patients and, based on the mouse model, heterozygous rs2185379 was suggested to induce initial differentiation of T lymphocytes in antitumor immune response [2]. However, the precise impact of rs2185379 on protein function or possible linkage to another variant with prognostic significance is unknown. The minor allele frequency of rs2185379 in GnomAD varies between populations from 2% in Latino America to 3.1% in European non-Finnish, 5.9% in East Asian and 7% in African American.

The *PRDM1* (positive regulatory domain zinc finger protein 1; OMIM\*603423) codes for the BLIMP1 (B lymphocyte-induced maturation protein 1) transcription factor that is involved in the regulation of antitumor immunity. It was recently shown that BLIMP1 enhances transcription of USP22 deubiquitinating enzyme leading to decreased degradation of SPI1 transcription factor and subsequent enhanced expression of programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1), which leads to infiltrated CD8+ T cell exhaustion and memory responses [3]. BLIMP1 was shown to play a role in the development of malignant lymphoma, leukemia, and some non-haematopoietic cancers, including breast and colorectal cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, or glioma as reviewed in [4]. In particular, decreased expression of *PRDM1* correlates with a poor prognosis in lung cancer [5]. However, little is known about the role of *PRDM1* in ovarian cancer. Zhang et al. suggested that tumour-infiltrating T lymphocytes can improve the long-term outcome of patients with advanced OC [6].

In this work, we explored the association of heterozygous rs2185379 *PRDM1* variant with long-term survival of advanced OC and compared the effect of rs2185379 with selected clinicopathological and genetic factors influencing the prognosis of OC patients.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1. Patients

Five-hundred-and-fifty-five patients diagnosed with advanced staged OC (FIGO stages III/IV) with available DNA were enrolled regardless of familial cancer history

or OC histology (Table 1). All the patients were previously tested for OC cancer predisposition [7]. Genotyping of *PRDM1* rs2185379 was successfully performed in 545 of them. Clinicopathological data were obtained during genetic counselling or retrieved from patients' records. Vital status for the estimation of survival function using the Kaplan-Meier curve was available for 541 patients. All patients were Caucasians of Czech origin. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the General University Hospital in Prague (approval number 92/14) and performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

### 2.2. Genotyping

We performed genotyping of rs2185379 (NM\_001198: c.220G>A; p.Gly74Ser) from DNA derived from peripheral blood using the high resolution melting analyses (primers: 5'-GTGGACAGAGGCTGAGTTTGA-3'; 5'-TCACTGTTGGTGGCATACTTGA-3') on Light-Cycler 480 System (Roche). Each run included negative and positive control with genotype previously confirmed by whole exome sequencing. All positive samples were confirmed by Sanger sequencing.

### 2.3. Statistical analysis

The effect of monitored variables on survival was analysed using Kaplan-Meier analysis and Cox regression in R studio (libraries survival, ranger, ggplot2, ggfortify). *P*-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

## 3. Results

We performed genotyping of 545 well-characterized advanced-stage OC patients that were previously tested for OC cancer predisposition [7]. We identified 37 (6.8%) OC patients heterozygous for rs2185379 and no homozygote of the alternative allele (consistent with Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium).

Subsequently, we performed univariate survival analysis of *PRDM1* rs2185379 status as well as of the individual clinicopathological characteristics and presence of pathogenic/likely pathogenic variants in OC predisposition genes. Despite the numerically higher frequency of *PRDM1* rs2185379 heterozygotes among long-term survivors (6.4%) compared to short-term survivors (5.1%; *p* = 0.3), the heterozygosity of the

Table 1  
Clinicopathological characteristics and identified genotypes

		<i>N</i> = 555	<i>N</i> = 182	<i>N</i> = 237	<i>N</i> = 508	<i>N</i> = 37
		All OC pts	Survival < 5y*	Survival > 5y*	rs2185379 –	rs2185379 +
	Mean age at dg	57.5	58.3	54.2	55	55.5
Menoactivity	Pre	165	51	92	149	12
	Post	389	131	145	358	25
	NA	1	0	0	1	0
Histology	Clear cell	3	0	0	3	0
	Endometrioid	15	3	8	13	1
	Mucinous	5	1	3	5	0
	Other	25	8	14	22	2
	Serous	497	165	208	456	34
	– HG	447	154	178	408	33
	– LG	45	9	25	43	1
	– NA	5	2	5	5	0
	Undifferentiated	6	4	2	5	0
	NA/unclassified	4	1	2	4	0
Grade	Poorly differentiated (Grade3, High)	489	170	197	446	34
	Well differentiated (Grade1, Low)	52	10	31	48	3
	NA	14	2	9	14	0
Stage	IIIA	52	10	26	50	1
	IIIB	72	17	36	69	2
	IIIC	349	123	152	313	30
	IV	82	32	23	76	4
Surgery	Primary surgery	343	87	176	316	22
	Interval Debulking	202	89	60	183	15
	No	9	6	1	8	0
Residual disease	NA	1	0	0	1	0
	Not reported	371	86	176	341	26
	Tumour < 1 cm	80	45	22	70	7
	Tumour > 1 cm	70	34	20	65	3
Vital status	NA	34	17	19	32	1
	Alive in complete remission	249	x	145	223	22
	Alive with disease	53	x	26	52	1
	Dead	248	182	66	229	14
Chemo	Missing	5	0	0	4	0
	Adjuvant only	331	85	167	305	21
	Chemo yes, no operation performed	7	6	0	6	0
	NA	7	0	5	7	0
Mutation	Neoadjuvant	203	89	61	184	15
	No	7	2	4	6	1
	neg	369	125	138	342	24
	BRCA1	112	33	63	100	7
	BRCA2	48	17	22	42	5
	RAD51C/D/BRIP1	14	2	9	13	1
PRDM1	MMR	1	1	0	1	0
	Other (PALB2, ATM, CHEK2, TP53)	11	4	5	10	0
	MINAS	4	3	1	4	0
	rs2185379 +	37	9	15		
	rs2185379 –	508	168	219		
	rs2185379_NA	10	5	3		

\* Alive patients diagnosed in 2018 or later (e.g. < 5y since the OC diagnosis) were excluded.

PRDM1 rs2185379 was not associated with survival (Fig. 1A). Of the monitored clinicopathological characteristics, survival was significantly associated with menopause status at the age at diagnosis with hazard ratio (HR) 2.49 (95%CI 1.90–3.26;  $p = 4.14 \times 10^{-11}$  Fig. 1B) in postmenopausal patients. The risk of mortality increased linearly with increasing age at diagno-

sis (HR = 1.05 per year; 95%CI 1.04–1.07;  $p = 2 \times 10^{-6}$ ), without an apparent cut-off point. Furthermore, patients diagnosed with LG serous or overall non-HG serous OC had significantly better survival compared to HG serous OC (HR = 0.48; 95%CI 0.32–0.71;  $p = 4 \times 10^{-4}$ ; Fig. 1C) and (HR = 0.44; 95%CI 0.32–0.60;  $p = 1.95 \times 10^{-7}$ ), respectively. In addition, we observed




Fig. 1. The effect of monitored variables on survival of patients diagnosed with advanced OC. Figure 1 shows univariate survival analysis of the *PRDM1* rs2185379 status (Fig. 1A), menopause status (Fig. 1B), OC histology (Fig. 1C), germline *BRCA1* mutation status (Fig. 1D), and multivariate analysis interrogating the effect of *PRDM1* rs2185379 and the menopause status (Fig. 1E).

better survival in *BRCA1* mutation carriers compared to non-carriers (HR = 0.65; 95%CI 0.48–0.87;  $p = 4.53 \times 10^{-3}$  Fig. 1D) but this advantage gradually decreased, HRs levelled off around 11 years after diagnosis and then the trend reversed.

The multivariate analysis interrogating the effect of *PRDM1* rs2185379 with other analysed significant prognostic factors revealed that *PRDM1* rs2185379 was marginally associated with worse survival in postmenopausal women with hazard ratio 1.54 (95%CI 1.01–2.38;  $p = 0.046$  Fig. 1E). A similar, but nonsignificant, association of this polymorphism with worse survival was observed in *BRCA1* carriers (HR = 2.3;  $p = 0.07$ ).

#### 4. Discussion

Identification of the genetic and non-genetic factors modulating the prognosis of patients with advanced OC is an important prerequisite for improving the unsatisfactory outcomes of these patients. Here, we analyzed the rs2185379 in the *PRDM1* gene in

545 well-characterized advanced OC patients. Recently, Mitamura and colleagues described association of rs2185379 with an excellent OC prognosis and suggested that the *PRDM1* polymorphism is involved in the anticancer T-lymphocyte immunity [2]. Contrary to this report that analyzed only a small group of 24 advanced OC patients of the Japanese origin, we found lack of the association between rs2185379 and a long-term survival in our 545 Caucasian OC patients of the Czech origin.

To demonstrate the consistency of our patient population, we analyzed previously described associations of monitored clinicopathological and genetic factors with survival in advanced OC. We observed significant survival advantage in patients diagnosed with advanced OC premenopausally, as described by Chan et al. previously [8]. Accordingly, the increasing age at diagnosis directly correlated with worse survival. Similarly, HG serous OC was associated with significantly worse prognosis compared to LG serous or to non-HG serous OC, as described in previous studies [9]. Furthermore, we observed significantly improved survival in *BRCA1*-positive OC patients, with the most pronounced effect

in the first five years after diagnosis that disappeared after 11 years since diagnosis. Similar results were observed by McLaughlin et al. and Heemskerk-Gerritsen et al, who observed survival benefit for *BRCA1*- and *BRCA2*-positive OC patients that disappeared after 10 and 6 years after diagnosis, respectively [10,11].

Interrogation of significant clinicopathological and genetic factors revealed a worsened survival in a subset of rs2185379 carriers diagnosed with advanced OC postmenopausally, suggesting rather an opposite, if any, effect of this genetic marker on advanced OC prognosis. The *PRDM1* gene product BLIMP1 might improve survival and therapeutic response enhancing the transcription of PD-L1 [3]. Blocking PD-1/PD-1L signaling improves anticancer T-cell responses making *PRDM1* a promising survival biomarker. However, rs2185379 in *PRDM1* does not seem to influence the BLIMP1 function significantly, at least with its impact on survival in patients with advanced OC.

### Acknowledgments

This study was supported by Ministry of Health of the Czech Republic NU20-03-00016; Charles University: COOPERATIO; Charles University: SVV260516; Programme EXCELES, ID Project No. LX22NPO5102 –Funded by the European Union – Next Generation EU.

### Author contributions

Conception: SJ, KZ; Interpretation or analysis of data: HK, LS, ZP, VM; Preparation of the manuscript: SJ; Revision for important intellectual content: VM; Supervision: KZ.

### References

- [1] S. Lheureux, C. Gourley, I. Vergote and A.M. Oza, Epithelial ovarian cancer, *Lancet* **393** (2019), 1240–1253.
- [2] T. Mitamura, T. Zhai, K.C. Hatanaka, Y. Hatanaka, T. Amano, L. Wang, S. Tanaka and H. Watari, Germline PRDM1 Variant rs2185379 in Long-Term Recurrence-Free Survivors of Advanced Ovarian Cancer, *Pharmacogenomics Pers Med* **15** (2022), 977–984.
- [3] Q. Li, L. Zhang, W. You, J. Xu, J. Dai, D. Hua, R. Zhang, F. Yao, S. Zhou, W. Huang, Y. Dai, Y. Zhang, T. Baheti, X. Qian, L. Pu, J. Xu, Y. Xia, C. Zhang, J. Tang and X. Wang, PRDM1/BLIMP1 induces cancer immune evasion by modulating the USP22-SPI1-PD-L1 axis in hepatocellular carcinoma cells, *Nat Commun* **13** (2022), 7677.
- [4] A. Casamassimi, M. Rienzo, E. Di Zazzo, A. Sorrentino, D. Fiore, M.C. Proto, B. Moncharmont, P. Gazzero, M. Bifulco and C. Abbondanza, Multifaceted Role of PRDM Proteins in Human Cancer, *Int J Mol Sci* **21** (2020).
- [5] Z. Zhu, H. Wang, Y. Wei, F. Meng, Z. Liu and Z. Zhang, Down-regulation of PRDM1 promotes cellular invasion and lung cancer metastasis, *Tumour Biol* **39** (2017), 1010428317695929.
- [6] L. Zhang, J.R. Conejo-Garcia, D. Katsaros, P.A. Gimotty, M. Massobrio, G. Regnani, A. Makrigiannakis, H. Gray, K. Schlienger, M.N. Liebman, S.C. Rubin and G. Coukos, Intratumoral T cells, recurrence, and survival in epithelial ovarian cancer, *N Engl J Med* **348** (2003), 203–13.
- [7] K. Lhotova, L. Stolarova, P. Zemankova, M. Vocka, M. Janatova, M. Borecka, M. Cerna, S. Jelinkova, J. Kral, Z. Volkova, M. Urbanova, P. Kleiblova, E. Machackova, L. Foretova, J. Hazova, P. Vasickova, F. Lhota, M. Koudova, L. Cerna, S. Tavandzis, J. Indrakova, L. Hruskova, M. Kosarova, R. Vrtel, V. Stranecky, S. Kmoch, M. Zikan, L. Macurek, Z. Kleibl and J. Soukupova, Multigene Panel Germline Testing of 1333 Czech Patients with Ovarian Cancer, *Cancers (Basel)* **12** (2020).
- [8] J.K. Chan, R. Urban, M.K. Cheung, K. Osann, J.Y. Shin, A. Husain, N.N. Teng, D.S. Kapp, J.S. Berek and G.S. Leiserowitz, Ovarian cancer in younger vs older women: a population-based analysis, *Br J Cancer* **95** (2006), 1314–20.
- [9] A. Gockley, A. Melamed, A.J. Bregar, J.T. Clemmer, M. Birrer, J.O. Schorge, M.G. Del Carmen and J.A. Rauh-Hain, Outcomes of Women With High-Grade and Low-Grade Advanced-Stage Serous Epithelial Ovarian Cancer, *Obstet Gynecol* **129** (2017), 439–447.
- [10] J.R. McLaughlin, B. Rosen, J. Moody, T. Pal, I. Fan, P.A. Shaw, H.A. Risch, T.A. Sellers, P. Sun and S.A. Narod, Long-term ovarian cancer survival associated with mutation in BRCA1 or BRCA2, *J Natl Cancer Inst* **105** (2013), 141–8.
- [11] B.A.M. Heemskerk-Gerritsen, A. Hollestelle, C.J. van Asperen, I. van den Beek, W.J. van Driel, K. van Engelen, E.B. Gomez Garcia, J.A. de Hullu, M.J. Koudijs, M.J.E. Mourits, M.J. Hooning and I.A. Boere, Progression-free survival and overall survival after BRCA1/2-associated epithelial ovarian cancer: A matched cohort study, *PLoS One* **17** (2022), e0275015.