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Intravesical Therapy – BCG and Beyond
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Abstract. Non-muscle invasive bladder cancer is marked by frequent recurrences and a risk for progression to life threatening disease. Intravesical Bacillus Calmette–Guérin (BCG), one of the earliest effective immunotherapies for cancer, remains the current standard for treating high-risk non-muscle invasive bladder cancer. Optimal treatment with BCG includes periodic 3 weekly maintenance instillations, often with dose reduction to minimize toxicity. However, despite its efficacy, treatment failure is common. Efforts to provide alternate and potentially more effective therapy for this disease include increased understanding of bladder cancer through molecular profiling, multi-agent intravesical chemotherapy, and novel forms of immunotherapy.
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Over the past few decades, there have been multiple significant and clinically important advances both in knowledge and treatment of urologic diseases in general and more specifically bladder cancer. However, if we narrow the focus to non-muscle invasive bladder cancer, skeptics point out that intravesical BCG and perioperative intravesical chemotherapy were first reported in 1976 [1, 2], and the identification of the variable risks of non-muscle invasive bladder cancer [3], optimization of intravesical BCG [4] and chemotherapy [5], and improvement in the detection of the bladder cancer [6] were all reported more than a decade ago. The critical questions are where are we now and where are we going. A good place to start is discussing the strengths and limitations of intravesical BCG.

INTRAVESICAL BCG

Urologists can boast that the modern age of cancer immunotherapy began with the remarkable success of direct topical immune stimulation using the potent tuberculosis vaccine, BCG that reduced tumor recurrence compared with surgery alone and surgery plus chemotherapy [7–9]. Further experience and the development of 3-week maintenance BCG demonstrated that BCG also reduced disease progression, metastasis and mortality [4, 10, 11]. In carcinoma in situ (CIS), complete response (CR) with BCG is approximately 70% compared with the 50% reported with intravesical chemotherapy, and with the addition of 3 weekly maintenance BCG instillations at
3 months CR increased from 69% to 84% \((p=0.01)\) in the SWOG 8507 study. In high-risk, non-muscle invasive bladder cancer (NMIBC) 20% or less of patients treated with chemotherapy remain recurrence free for 5 years, compared with nearly 50% of those treated with induction BCG and nearly 80% of those treated with the 3 week maintenance BCG regimen [4]. Despite this demonstrated efficacy, many patients eventually fail BCG treatment and are at risk of disease progression.

The mechanism of action of BCG is broad, complex and variable. BCG stimulates both humoral and cellular immunity, activates macrophages and is associated with production of multiple beneficial cytokines [12]. Failure to respond to BCG may be due to patient immunological factors, tumor resistance, suboptimal administration, or simply failure to recognize the limitations of BCG immunotherapy. The detection and treatment of immunologic defects and tumor resistance factors are largely unknown so that in the absence of known immunosuppression or other contra-indications such as active tuberculosis, patients who do not have low risk bladder cancer are given BCG and those who fail receive an alternative treatment. With a response rate of approximately 70% in CIS [13], and proven reduction in recurrence, progression, and mortality the “try and see” approach makes good sense. How then can we improve BCG treatment? Significant improvement can be made by eliminating common causes of treatment failure.

Despite clear, confirmed level one evidence that 3-week maintenance is superior to BCG induction many patients still receive only suboptimal 6-week induction. When such patients fail, they are commonly given a second 6-week induction course despite the absence of level one evidence. On the contrary, a randomized controlled trial of repeated 6 week induction in patients with CR of CIS to induction BCG showed no significant reduction in recurrence of malignancy [14]. Urinary Th1 cytokines peak in BCG naïve patients after the 5th instillation, but with a second or third course beneficial cytokines peak early, suggesting that efficacy, cost and safety can be improved with 3 rather than 6 instillations in previously BCG-treated patients [15]. When a patient fails a second 6-week course one does not know if it is due to tumor resistance or iatrogenic immunosuppression, and those who suffer a serious side effect may be permanently denied the future benefit of maintenance BCG.

Patients who receive optimal BCG may have recurrent disease due to seeding from urothelial carcinoma in the ureters or prostatic ducts, sites that are inaccessible to intravesical instillation and need to be evaluated. Distal ureteral tumors or CIS, seen in as many as 20% of patients with diffuse CIS or muscle invasive disease undergoing cystectomy, can be treated with resection of the intramural ureter, inducing reflux, or antegrade instillation via ureteral catheter or percutaneous nephrostomy. Urthral carcinoma is seen in approximately 40% of men undergoing cystoprostatectomy [16] and is a frequently missed site of disease in patients with NMIBC. Urethral disease may respond to intravesical disease, but deep ductal or stromal invasive disease is beyond the reach of intravesical BCG and can grow undetected and progress despite negative cystoscopy and cytology.

Fear of BCG side effects is likely a significant factor in reducing the use of BCG. In a study of 24,000 patients BCG treatment significantly reduced mortality, but fewer than one fourth of patients who were eligible for BCG received it [11]. Simply withholding or logarithmically reducing the dose (by \(1/3\), not \(1/2\)) for patients experiencing increasing side effects will prevent most serious side effects, and prolonged isoniazid and rifampin can effectively treat the remainder. While this can improve treatment with BCG, additional therapies are needed.

**MOLECULAR PROFILING OF BLADDER CANCER**

Molecular profiling has transformed our understanding of muscle-invasive bladder cancer (MIBC) biology demonstrating that at least 5 mRNA expression subtypes exist [17, 18]. Briefly, these are divided into tumors expressing urothelial-differentiation genes, termed “Luminal-like” and tumors without urothelial-differentiation. The latter correspond to the Basal/Squamous-like subtype and the minor Neuroendocrine-like and Mesenchymal-like subtypes. Fewer studies exist for NMIBC, but the data suggest that almost all NMIBC tumors express urothelial-differentiation genes, thus being “Luminal-like” [19, 20]. We studied the available data on prior NMIBC diagnosis and molecular subtypes in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) cohort \((n = 296)\) of muscle-invasive bladder cancer. Patients with MIBC of the Genomically Unstable subset of Luminal-like tumors and the Small-cell/Neuroendocrine-like subtype were both enriched for prior NMIBC diagnoses (Lund classification, prior NMIBC: 16/49 \(p = 0.036\) and 6/13...
p = 0.037, respectively), whereas patients with the Urothelial-like and Basal/Squamous subtype showed statistically non-significant, lower frequency of prior NMIBC disease (prior NMIBC: 22/126 p = 0.19, and 11/72 p = 0.18, respectively). Preliminary retrospective data from the Lund group indicate that primary as well as recurrent NMIBCs are nearly exclusively Luminal-like, sub-classified as Urothelial-like or Genomically Unstable with the Lund classifier. Multiple non-muscle invasive (NMI) recurrences may arise independently but at the same time share molecular alterations owing to patient specific factors and mutations present in the pre-neoplastic urothelium [21]. Although still under debate, primary tumor, recurrences, and progression tumors are most likely not developing linearly, since most NMI recurrences are new tumor formations occurring at different sites in the bladder compared to prior resected tumors [22]. Instead, a clonal, or oligo-clonal pre-neoplastic urothelial field may develop NMI recurrences and progression with patient specific probabilities over time. This has implications for predicting progression by molecular analysis of NMI tumors, which is possible, and may reach approximately the same effect size as clinico-pathological risk models [23, 24]. Questions that remain include how frequently recurrences change subtypes. Is the patient’s risk that of the new subtype, or is the subtype changing process prognostic in itself? These considerations may be relevant for how molecular subtyping can predict progression, and potentially also intravesical treatment failure. It is not known if Urothelial-like, Genomically Unstable, or the rare Basal/Squamous or Neuroendocrine-like NMI tumors, are associated with differential response to BCG, which may be one of the most pressing clinical questions where molecular subtypes could matter. Until the available data and our understanding of molecular subtypes in NMIBC rises to the levels seen in MIBC, the potential clinical utility of molecular subtyping in NMIBC remains unproven.

MULTI-AGENT INTRA VESICAL CHEMOTHERAPY

Since the FDA approval of Bacillus Calmette-Guerin (BCG), adjuvant intravesical chemotherapy has been relegated primarily to treating those with either intermediate-risk disease or BCG failure. While many early intravesical agents (e.g. thiotepa) have fallen out of favor, several new chemotherapeutic agents (e.g. gemcitabine, docetaxel) have been developed and tested intravesically. While the advantages of multi-agent systemic chemotherapy over single agent treatment have been known for years, little investigation of combination intravesical therapies has been undertaken until recently (Table 1).

In the earliest report of combination intravesical therapy, Fukui et al. reported the results of 30 patients with CIS alone (18 primary disease, 12 recurrent disease) treated with 40 mg doxorubicin,
followed by 20 mg mitomycin (MMC) the next day, weekly for 5 weeks [25]. If disease-free after induction, patients received maintenance MMC every 2-4 weeks for 1 year. Nineteen (63%) patients had a complete response but 20 patients (66%) had moderate to severe bladder irritation (possibly due to the vesicant nature of both drugs). Long-term follow-up revealed 19 of 43 (44%) patients remained disease free at a median follow-up of 45 months, however, 19% progressed to invasive and/or metastatic disease [26].

Chen et al. reported a retrospective study utilizing a triple-drug regimen of mitomycin, doxorubicin, and cisplatin (MDP) in 114 patients with newly diagnosed papillary NMIBC patients (CIS excluded) [27]. The MDP regimen involved weekly instillation of single-agent chemotherapy in a rotating fashion for six weeks (each drug instilled twice during induction), followed by 3-week rotating agent maintenance cycles (each drug instilled once during each maintenance cycle) at 3, 6, 12, 18, 24, 30, and 36 weeks. Drug dosing was 30 mg in 30 mL saline for all agents. The first dose (mitomycin) was typically given as a peri-operative instillation. Relapse Free Survival (RFS) at 36 months was similar to 62 patients treated with BCG (66.1%) vs. MDP (63.8%) despite the MDP cohort having patients with more high-risk features (i.e. multifocality, T1, etc.), yet clearly superior to 65 patients treated with doxorubicin alone (44.6%). MDP patients had less major adverse events than BCG (5.8% vs. 15%) but the total discontinuation rates were similar (16.8% vs. 22.5%).

Sequential gemcitabine and mitomycin has been used in patients with high-risk bladder cancer. Drugs were administered weekly for 6 weeks, followed by monthly maintenance for 12 months. Patients received 1000 mg gemcitabine /50 mL saline for 90 minutes, followed by 40 mg mitomycin/20 mL saline for 90 minutes. The instillation sequence is important as mitomycin will degrade in acidic conditions and reconstituted gemcitabine is acidic (pH = 2.4), and could theoretically inactivate mitomycin if administered concurrently. A multi-institutional pooled analysis of 47 patients (78% with prior BCG failure) noted a disease free survival of 48% at 1 year and 38% at 2 years with good tolerance (4 patients required discontinuation of mitomycin due to side effects) [28]. At a median follow up time of 26 months, 21% of the patients required cystectomy and there were 2 bladder cancer deaths (4%). Recently, Cockerill et al. validated these findings, noting a RFS of 37% at 22 months in 27 patients treated with induction therapy alone [29].

Steinberg et al. reported the results of 45 high-risk patients (37 with prior BCG failure, 4 BCG intolerant) treated with 1000 mg gemcitabine/50 mL saline for 1.5 hours, followed by 37.5 mg docetaxel, a non-vesicant, microtubule polymerization stabilizer, in 50 mL saline for 2 hours, weekly for 6 weeks [30]. Monthly maintenance was performed for 2 years if found to be disease free. Treatment was generally tolerated well with only 5 patients unable to complete full induction. Sixty six percent were disease free at 3-months, while RFS was 54% at 1 year and 34% at 2 years. Ten patients ultimately went on to cystectomy after failure and only a single patient had invasive disease. Milbar, et al. recently confirm these findings in a cohort of 33 patients (66% with prior BCG failure) [31]. Only 21% of patients received maintenance therapy. Patients were found to have a 42% 1-year and 24% 2-year RFS, while the high-grade RFS was 56% at 1 year and 42% at 2 years.

DeCastro et al. reported the results of a Phase I trial in a cohort of 9 patients with prior BCG failure and a median of 4 prior intravesical therapies [32]. Treatment included 2000 mg of gemcitabine and an escalating dose of cabazitaxel alone (first 4 patients), then gemcitabine, cabazitaxel (5 mg) and an escalating dose of cisplatin (next 5 patients). Four patients had grade 2 toxicity but all completed induction. Seven of 8 patients had a complete response.

Combination intravesical chemotherapy is an understudied area of NMIBC but has become attractive after the successful introduction of new, better-tolerated and active intravesical drugs such as gemcitabine and docetaxel. While only a handful of studies exist, most show an improvement in initial and durable response with cocktails of two or more agents vs. single agent therapy. This is particularly evident among BCG-unresponsive patients. (Fig. 1) [28–31, 33, 34]. Some treatment protocols (i.e. Gemcitabine/Mitomycin, Gemcitabine/Docetaxel, and Cabazitaxel/Gemcitabine/Cisplat in) show promising early results. Further follow up and comparative analysis to other treatments and in broader NMIBC risk groups are needed to define their ultimate clinical utility.

### NEW IMMUNOTHERAPEUTIC APPROACHES

Improved understanding of the many immunomodulatory mechanisms by which tumors evade anti-neoplastic immune surveillance has
enabled the investigation of novel intravesical immunotherapy approaches in NMIBC (Table 2). Ongoing intravesical immunotherapy studies are testing new BCG strains, viral vector gene therapies, intravesical antibody platforms, and combination intravesical BCG and systemic therapy combinations. S1602 will examine both the merits of subcutaneous BCG “priming” vaccination and the BCG strain (Tokyo vs TICE) administered in a randomized phase 3 trial of 969 patients with high grade BCG-naïve NMIBC (NCT03091660). Similarly, a phase 1/2 trial of 45 patients with BCG-relapsing NMIBC will test the safety and preliminary efficacy of the VPMBC1002 BCG strain (NCT02371447). For BCG-unresponsive NMIBC, several potential practice-changing trials exist. In a phase 2 trial of 40 patients with BCG-refractory or relapsing NMIBC, an intravesically administered recombinant adenovirus interferon-alpha and Syn3 vector (rAd-IFNα/Syn3) demonstrated a 35% 12-month RFS [35]. Based on these encouraging initial results, a phase 2 FDA-registration trial of rAd-IFNα/Syn3 in patients with BCG-unresponsive NMIBC is ongoing (NCT02773849). ALT-803, a novel mutated IL-15 ligand (N72D)/IL-15 receptor alpha antibody fusion agent, has safety demonstrated in a phase 1 trial.
of intravesical ALT-803 in combination with BCG in BCG-naïve patients, and a case report example of efficacy in BCG-unresponsive NMIBC patients has been reported [36, 37]. An FDA-registration trial of intravesical ALT-803 combined with BCG in 100 BCG-unresponsive patients is underway (NCT03022825). In parallel, a randomized phase 2 of ALT-803 plus BCG compared to BCG alone is ongoing in 81 patients with BCG-naïve NMIBC (NCT02138734). While the merits of systemically administered PD-1/PD-L1 immune checkpoint inhibitor (CPI) therapy are now established in metastatic urothelial carcinoma patients, their role in NMIBC is unknown. Two studies are studying the role of intravesical administration of the PD-1 CPI pembrolizumab either in combination with BCG or alone in 27 and 36 patients respectively (NCT02808143 and NCT03167151). Finally, three studies are examining the novel PANVAC tumor antigen vaccine (NCT02015104) (n = 54), the PD-L1 targeting CPI atezolizumab (NCT02792192) (n = 70), or the PD-L1 targeting CPI durvalumab (NCT03317158) (n = 186) in combination with traditional intravesical BCG therapy. Collectively, these studies illustrate a robust pipeline of novel intravesical immunotherapy approaches that should generate important read outs in the near future.

CONCLUSIONS

The treatment of non-muscle invasive bladder cancer continues to be challenging. BCG, one of the oldest effective immunotherapies for cancer, remains the current standard of adjuvant treatment. Despite its efficacy, initial failure and subsequent relapse are significant clinical problems. New approaches to address these issues that are currently being investigated involve multi-agent intravesical chemotherapy cocktails and novel immunotherapeutic modalities. It remains to be seen whether advances in our understanding of bladder cancer genomics will facilitate these treatments and guide us towards targeted individualized therapy thereby increasing efficacy and decreasing toxicity for the population of people with non-muscle invasive bladder cancer.
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