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Argumentation technologies are currently enjoying a significant interest in news outlets, notably with
work run by the Centre for Argument Technology at the University of Dundee together with BBC, a
British public broadcaster, to support critical thinking in school pupils\(^1\) and to gather insights in public
debates;\(^2\) and by IBM, a multinational information technology company, with their project for debating
humans on complex topics.\(^3\) However, it is true that the vast majority of the research community is
currently struggling to deliver products and applications to end users. This has to change to fulfill the
promises of describing and enhancing human reasoning and decision making often made in research
papers.

We therefore believe that our community has the duty to support colleagues who actively try to ferry
theoretical investigations towards actual implementations. With this ethos, we organised the Special
Track on Applications of Argumentation that was held on June 28, 2017, in Arras, France, as part of
the Thirtieth International Conference on Industrial, Engineering and Other Applications of Applied
Intelligent Systems (IEA/AIE).

The quality of the submissions there inspired us to expand into an open call for the papers for this
special issue. Each submission was carefully reviewed by at least two, and in most cases three, reviewers.
The papers contained in this special issue are the result.

The application areas discussed in this special issue include law, international politics and reasoning
with clinical data, and they cover the application of argumentation to visualisation of opinion spaces,
critical thinking about international politics and the selection of the right statistical models to analyse
datasets, as well as providing general frameworks for applications. More precisely, the papers in this
volume are as follows:

In “Noise Induced Hearing Loss: Building an Application using the Angelic Methodology”, Lat-
ifa Al-Abdulkarim, Katie Atkinson, Trevor Bench-Capon, Stuart Whittle, Rob Williams and Catriona
Wolfenden describe the use of the ANGELIC methodology to build a full scale application to be used by
a large firm of legal practitioners in the UK in a specific legal domain, namely Noise Induced Hearing
Loss.

In “Applying Argumentation to Structure and Visualize Multi-dimensional Opinion Spaces”, Gregor
Betz, Michael Hamann, Tamara Mchedlidze and Sophie von Schmettow present OpMAP: a tool for
visualizing large scale, multi-dimensional opinion spaces as geographic maps.

In “Argument Schemes and Visualization Software for Critical Thinking about International Politics”,
Nancy L. Green, Michael Branon and Luke Roosje analyse arguments in interpretive reports about in-

\(^1\)https://www.bbc.co.uk/taster/pilots/evidence-toolkit-moral-maze (on 5 October 2018).
\(^2\)http://bbc.arg.tech/ (on 5 October 2018).
ternational politics, in order to develop AVIZE (Argument Visualization and Evaluation), a prototype argument diagramming tool for that domain.

The purpose of the contribution “GORGIAS: Applying Argumentation” by Antonis C. Kakas, Pavlos Moraitis and Nikolas I. Spanoudakis is to present the past and present efforts of developing real-life applications of argumentation with the Gorgias preference-based structured argumentation framework of Logic Programming with Priorities.

Finally, in “A formalisation and prototype implementation of argumentation for statistical model selection”, Isabel Sassoon, Sebastian Zillessen, Jeroen Keppens and Peter McBurney outline an application of argumentation to support the analysis of clinical data, that uses Extended Argumentation Frameworks in order to reason with the meta-level arguments derived from preference contexts relevant to the data and the analysis objective of the end user.

We thank the peer-reviewers who assessed the papers for this special issue and we thank the journal editors for their continued support. We also thank the IEA/AIE program chairs (Salem Benferhat and Karim Tabia) and special session chairs (Zied Bouraoui and Steven Schockaert) for allowing us to organise the special track there. Considered together, these papers show the diversity of applications in the field of argumentation, and reveal the intellectual challenges inherent in this exciting domain.
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