AI Communications 29 (2016) 1–11 DOI 10.3233/AIC-150686 IOS Press

Supplement materials

1. Characteristics of networks used in the experiments

Below characteristics of all used in the experiments datasets are presented in a form of boxplots. For each class within a given network such metrics as: indegree centrality, outdegree centrality, betweeness centrality, page rank, clustering coefficient, hub centrality, and authority are considered.

Each boxplots shows: on each box, the central mark is the median, the edges of the box are the 25th and 75th percentiles, the whiskers extend to the most extreme data points not considered outliers, and outliers are plotted individually.



Fig. 14. Characteristics of CSPhd network.

0921-7126/16/\$35.00 © 2016 - IOS Press and the authors. All rights reserved



Fig. 15. Characteristics of AMD network.



Fig. 16. Characteristics of Net Science network.



Fig. 17. Characteristics of Pairs FSG network.



Fig. 18. Characteristics of Pairs FSG small network.



Fig. 19. Characteristics of yeast network.

2. Distribution of classes in analysed networks

Below distribution of classes within each analysed network is presented.



Fig. 20. Histograms of classes for all evaluated networks. (a) CSPhD network; (b) AMD network; (c) NetScience network; (d) PAIRS_FSG network; (e) PAIRS_FSG_small network; (f) YEAST network.



Fig. 20. (Continued.)

3. Representativeness of sampled data

The representativeness of a data sample is assessed using Kullback–Leibler divergence (a.k.a. relative entropy) which is a measure of the difference between two probability distributions. It measures how much information is lost when one probability distribution (in our case it is a distribution ofclasses in a given sample -10%, ..., 90% of the whole dataset) is used to approximate another one (in this paper it is the probability distribution of classes in the whole dataset). The smaller the divergence the smaller loss; 0 means that no information is lost.

Below the Kullback–Leibler divergence for each analysed network is presented.

		% of the whole dataset sampled									
Network measure used	Method of ranking										
for ranking nodes	creation	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	
indegree		0.172	0.096	0.092	0.074	0.047	0.028	0.014	0.009	0.005	
outdegree] (0.057	0.033	0.020	0.016	0.015	0.017	0.013	0.004	0.002	
betweenness] [0.036	0.019	0.016	0.013	0.009	0.007	0.007	0.006	0.005	
clustering coefficient	Descending	0.040	0.022	0.026	0.039	0.025	0.021	0.018	0.011	0.006	
hub		0.054	0.037	0.020	0.013	0.016	0.008	0.006	0.008	0.005	
authority		0.039	0.023	0.015	0.013	0.009	0.008	0.008	0.006	0.004	
PageRank		0.514	0.354	0.140	0.105	0.071	0.045	0.027	0.012	0.007	
indegree	-	0.039	0.040	0.025	0.012	0.008	0.009	0.008	0.012	0.007	
outdegree		0.053	0.020	0.019	0.010	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.004	0.003	
betweenness		0.071	0.063	0.071	0.077	0.048	0.030	0.023	0.011	0.007	
clustering coefficient	Ascending	0.072	0.063	0.070	0.077	0.048	0.030	0.023	0.011	0.007	
hub		0.056	0.028	0.020	0.017	0.010	0.007	0.008	0.006	0.006	
authority		0.071	0.064	0.070	0.077	0.048	0.030	0.023	0.011	0.007	
PageRank		0.071	0.061	0.069	0.076	0.047	0.029	0.022	0.011	0.007	
indegree		0.056	0.023	0.027	0.021	0.011	0.008	0.006	0.005	0.004	
outdegree		0.056	0.034	0.041	0.058	0.043	0.031	0.022	0.011	0.007	
betweenness		0.072	0.065	0.069	0.073	0.048	0.033	0.021	0.013	0.007	
clustering coefficient	Random	0.065	0.020	0.011	0.010	0.008	0.007	0.006	0.005	0.003	
hub		0.114	0.067	0.059	0.043	0.036	0.031	0.027	0.017	0.007	
authority		0.584	0.286	0.161	0.072	0.045	0.027	0.020	0.010	0.005	
PageRank		0.041	0.026	0.013	0.015	0.012	0.012	0.007	0.005	0.004	

Fig. 21. Kullback–Leibler divergence for CSPhd network.

		% of the whole dataset sampled									
Network measure used	Method of ranking										
for ranking nodes	creation	10%		20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%
indegree		0.338		0.135	0.073	0.046	0.026	0.019	0.011	0.005	0.001
outdegree]	0.172		0.103	0.065	0.046	0.027	0.022	0.016	0.010	0.003
betweenness]	0.254		0.153	0.044	0.039	0.017	0.017	0.006	0.003	0.003
clustering coefficient	Descending	0.338		0.135	0.073	0.046	0.026	0.019	0.011	0.005	0.001
hub		0.172		0.103	0.065	0.046	0.027	0.022	0.016	0.010	0.003
authority]	0.220		0.146	0.083	0.055	0.024	0.017	0.014	0.006	0.001
PageRank		0.305		0.201	0.072	0.039	0.021	0.017	0.011	0.005	0.002
indegree		0.223		0.100	0.065	0.036	0.023	0.019	0.013	0.012	0.003
outdegree		0.296		0.114	0.065	0.032	0.013	0.008	0.006	0.005	0.003
betweenness		0.298		0.152	0.103	0.050	0.022	0.024	0.016	0.013	0.003
clustering coefficient	Ascending	0.322		0.184	0.084	0.045	0.021	0.022	0.015	0.007	0.002
hub]	0.241		0.074	0.055	0.019	0.014	0.018	0.011	0.007	0.003
authority]	0.375		0.135	0.065	0.042	0.024	0.019	0.009	0.003	0.001
PageRank		0.172		0.097	0.058	0.051	0.026	0.018	0.013	0.009	0.005
indegree		0.180		0.070	0.072	0.054	0.026	0.025	0.012	0.012	0.004
outdegree]	0.375		0.135	0.065	0.042	0.024	0.019	0.009	0.003	0.001
betweenness]	0.172		0.097	0.058	0.051	0.026	0.018	0.013	0.009	0.005
clustering coefficient	Random	0.156		0.079	0.033	0.029	0.017	0.013	0.008	0.004	0.002
hub]	0.318		0.135	0.067	0.044	0.028	0.019	0.011	0.005	0.001
authority]	0.172		0.103	0.065	0.050	0.028	0.021	0.016	0.009	0.003
PageRank		0.262		0.037	0.041	0.023	0.008	0.010	0.008	0.002	0.001

Fig. 22. Kullback–Leibler divergence for AMD network.

		% of the whole dataset sampled										
Network measure used	Method of ranking											
for ranking nodes	creation	10%	20%	6 30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%		
indegree		0.056	0.04	0.023	0.011	0.012	0.009	0.005	0.003	0.002		
outdegree]	0.077	0.02	3 0.024	0.014	0.006	0.006	0.003	0.002	0.001		
betweenness]	0.072	0.02	0.016	0.009	0.007	0.006	0.005	0.002	0.001		
clustering coefficient	Descending	0.086	0.05	L 0.020	0.012	0.005	0.005	0.004	0.003	0.002		
hub]	0.083	0.03	5 0.019	0.018	0.008	0.004	0.003	0.004	0.002		
authority]	0.064	0.03	0.023	0.017	0.011	0.008	0.005	0.003	0.001		
PageRank		0.092	0.04	3 0.015	0.010	0.009	0.004	0.004	0.002	0.001		
indegree		0.083	0.01	9 0.015	0.011	0.007	0.005	0.004	0.002	0.002		
outdegree		0.080	0.04	5 0.017	0.015	0.011	0.007	0.005	0.003	0.002		
betweenness		0.062	0.02	L 0.016	0.012	0.008	0.007	0.005	0.003	0.001		
clustering coefficient	Ascending	0.043	0.02	3 0.021	0.013	0.006	0.005	0.004	0.002	0.001		
hub		0.105	0.05	0.030	0.017	0.016	0.013	0.006	0.004	0.001		
authority]	0.056	0.03	5 0.010	0.008	0.010	0.005	0.004	0.002	0.001		
PageRank		0.099	0.03	0.012	0.010	0.009	0.005	0.004	0.002	0.001		
indegree		0.104	0.04	1 0.020	0.017	0.009	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.001		
outdegree]	0.057	0.03	1 0.010	0.008	0.010	0.005	0.004	0.002	0.001		
betweenness		0.099	0.03	0.012	0.010	0.009	0.005	0.004	0.002	0.001		
clustering coefficient	Random	0.072	0.03	3 0.017	0.012	0.012	0.007	0.003	0.002	0.002		
hub]	0.088	0.02	0.012	0.009	0.007	0.004	0.003	0.002	0.001		
authority]	0.066	0.05	0.015	0.010	0.007	0.005	0.003	0.002	0.001		
PageRank]	0.081	0.03	0.029	0.017	0.015	0.009	0.006	0.004	0.002		

Fig. 23. Kullback–Leibler divergence for Net Science network.

		% of the whole dataset sampled									
Network measure used	Method of ranking										
for ranking nodes	creation	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	
indegree		0.014	0.005	0.004	0.003	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	
outdegree		0.007	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	
betweenness		0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
clustering coefficient	Descending	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
hub		0.000	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	
authority		0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
PageRank		0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
indegree		0.017	0.006	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
outdegree		0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
betweenness		0.008	0.008	0.006	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	
clustering coefficient	Ascending	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	
hub		0.001	0.004	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
authority		0.003	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
PageRank		0.001	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
indegree		0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
outdegree		0.010	0.006	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	
betweenness		0.008	0.004	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.000	
clustering coefficient	Random	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
hub]	0.014	0.009	0.004	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	
authority]	0.005	0.004	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	
PageRank]	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	

Fig. 24. Kullback–Leibler divergence for Pairs FSG network.

10

		% of the whole dataset sampled										
Network measure used	Method of ranking											
for ranking nodes	creation	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%		
indegree		0.024	0.008	0.004	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
outdegree		0.002	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000		
betweenness		0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
clustering coefficient	Descending	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
hub		0.003	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000		
authority		0.002	0.004	0.004	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
PageRank	1	0.099	0.048	0.040	0.031	0.025	0.017	0.015	0.009	0.001		
indegree	Ascending	0.188	0.122	0.065	0.033	0.021	0.011	0.006	0.002	0.001		
outdegree		0.003	0.002	0.003	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
betweenness		0.049	0.031	0.029	0.012	0.014	0.016	0.014	0.013	0.003		
clustering coefficient		0.187	0.171	0.070	0.031	0.012	0.004	0.005	0.002	0.001		
hub		0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
authority		0.143	0.105	0.068	0.053	0.037	0.026	0.021	0.011	0.004		
PageRank		0.276	0.146	0.101	0.053	0.033	0.022	0.012	0.006	0.002		
indegree		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
outdegree		0.175	0.091	0.079	0.058	0.043	0.029	0.021	0.011	0.002		
betweenness		0.195	0.140	0.104	0.062	0.041	0.025	0.014	0.005	0.002		
clustering coefficient	Random	0.009	0.002	0.003	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000		
hub		0.059	0.043	0.029	0.023	0.020	0.015	0.011	0.007	0.002		
authority		0.176	0.088	0.051	0.030	0.018	0.009	0.005	0.002	0.000		
PageRank		0.002	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		

Fig. 25. Kullback–Leibler divergence for Pairs small FSG network.

		% of the whole dataset sampled									
Network measure used	Method of ranking										
for ranking nodes	creation	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	
indegree		0.127	0.088	0.065	0.040	0.018	0.013	0.014	0.007	0.001	
outdegree		0.085	0.040	0.014	0.016	0.018	0.009	0.007	0.003	0.001	
betweenness		0.019	0.009	0.004	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.000	
clustering coefficient	Descending	0.118	0.081	0.050	0.040	0.032	0.017	0.013	0.007	0.001	
hub		0.058	0.023	0.030	0.036	0.016	0.017	0.009	0.005	0.001	
authority		0.012	0.010	0.006	0.004	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.000	
PageRank		0.080	0.047	0.040	0.032	0.028	0.020	0.017	0.007	0.001	
indegree		0.073	0.033	0.015	0.021	0.023	0.014	0.007	0.003	0.001	
outdegree		0.008	0.007	0.003	0.002	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.000	
betweenness		0.054	0.073	0.056	0.037	0.039	0.029	0.012	0.005	0.001	
clustering coefficient	Ascending	0.075	0.036	0.017	0.008	0.007	0.008	0.010	0.004	0.001	
hub		0.027	0.014	0.008	0.005	0.005	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.000	
authority		0.067	0.064	0.057	0.039	0.027	0.020	0.016	0.006	0.001	
PageRank		0.073	0.024	0.024	0.030	0.028	0.019	0.012	0.005	0.001	
indegree		0.018	0.013	0.004	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.000	
outdegree		0.156	0.089	0.043	0.019	0.013	0.008	0.007	0.004	0.001	
betweenness		0.092	0.062	0.039	0.020	0.014	0.009	0.009	0.006	0.002	
clustering coefficient	Random	0.018	0.005	0.006	0.006	0.004	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.000	
hub		0.042	0.020	0.010	0.006	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.000	
authority]	0.035	0.015	0.009	0.005	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.001	0.001	
PageRank		0.054	0.017	0.010	0.005	0.004	0.003	0.001	0.000	0.000	

Fig. 26. Kullback-Leibler divergence for yeast network.