

5
6
7
8
9
10
Does respiratory muscle training improve
respiratory function compared to sham
training, no training, standard treatment or
breathing exercises in children and adults
with neuromuscular disease? A Cochrane
review summary with commentary

11
12
13
14
15
Mary P. Galea^{a,b,c}

^a*Department of Medicine, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC, Australia*

^b*Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Royal Melbourne Hospital, Parkville, VIC, Australia*

^c*Australian Rehabilitation Research Centre, Royal Melbourne Hospital, Parkville, VIC, Australia*

E-mail: m.galea@unimelb.edu.au.

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
Abstract.

BACKGROUND: Progressive muscle weakness is a feature of neuromuscular diseases (NMDs), a heterogeneous group of conditions with variable onset, presentation and prognosis that affect both children and adults. Respiratory muscle weakness compromises respiratory function and may lead to respiratory failure.

OBJECTIVE: To assess the effects of respiratory muscle training (RMT) in adults and children with NMD.

METHODS: A Cochrane Review by Silva et al. was summarized with comments.

RESULTS: Eleven studies involving 250 randomized participants with NMD were included. While the studies showed that RMT may lead to improvements in lung function and respiratory muscle strength in people with ALS and DMD, this was not a consistent finding. The evidence from all the included trials was of low or very low certainty.

CONCLUSIONS: There may be some improvement in lung capacity and respiratory muscle strength following RMT in some NMD. There appears to be no clinically meaningful effect of RMT on physical functioning and quality of life in ALS. The low certainty of the evidence means that the results need to be interpreted with caution.

Keywords: Neuromuscular disease, respiratory muscle weakness

The aim of this commentary is to discuss in a rehabilitation perspective the published Cochrane Review “Respiratory muscle training in children and adults with neuromuscular disease” by Silva et al.¹, under the direct supervision of the Cochrane Neuro-muscular Group. This Cochrane Corner is produced in agreement with *Neurorehabilitation* by Cochrane Rehabilitation.

1. Background

Neuromuscular diseases (NMD) are a broad group of disorders that may affect any level of the neuromuscular system, including muscle, neuromuscular junction, upper and lower motor neurons or any combination of these (Morrison et al., 2016). They may be hereditary (usually affecting children) or acquired (mainly in adults), and slowly or rapidly progressing, with a prevalence of the majority of NMD of 1–10 per 100,000 population (Deenen et al., 2015). These diseases are characterized by progressive muscle weakness, with respiratory muscle weakness as a major cause of morbidity and mortality, manifesting as impaired ventilatory function as a result of compromised breathing, airway patency or cough (Voulgaris et al., 2019). Respiratory muscle training (RMT) may improve strength and endurance of inspiratory or expiratory muscles and may improve respiratory function (Pfeffer & Pvitz, 2016).

Respiratory muscle training in children and adults with neuromuscular disease

(Silva et al., 2019)

1.1. What were the aims of this Cochrane review?

The aim of this Cochrane review was to assess the effects of respiratory muscle training (RMT) in adults and children with neuromuscular disease (NMD).

¹This summary is based on a Cochrane Review previously published in the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2019, Issue 9. Art. No.: CD011711. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD011711.pub2 (see www.cochranelibrary.com for information). Cochrane Reviews are regularly updated as new evidence emerges and in response to feedback, and Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews should be consulted for the most recent version of the review.

The views expressed in the summary with commentary are those of the Cochrane Corner author and do not represent the Cochrane Library or Wiley.

1.2. What was studied in the Cochrane review?

The population addressed in this review included adults (>18 years) and children of both sexes with a diagnosis of neuromuscular disease (NMD) of any degree of severity. Participants were community-dwelling and not requiring mechanical ventilation, and included those with myopathies, disorders of the neuromuscular junction and neuropathies. Those with acute respiratory failure and cognitive impairment were excluded. The intervention studied was RMT compared to sham training, no training, standard treatment, breathing exercises or other intensities or types of RMT. The primary outcomes were measures of lung capacity; secondary outcomes were inspiratory and expiratory muscle strength, physical function, quality of life, unscheduled hospitalizations for chest infection or acute exacerbations of chronic respiratory disease, and adverse events.

1.3. Search methodology and up-to-dateness of the Cochrane review?

The review authors searched the Cochrane Neuro-muscular Specialised Register, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, MEDLINE, Embase on 19 November 2018, and the US National Institutes of Health Clinical Trials Registry and the World Health Organization International Clinical Trials Registry Platform on 23 December 2018 to identify RCTs and quasi-RCTs, including crossover trials of RMT. They also searched reference lists and relevant manufacturers’ websites for trial information.

2. What are the main results of the Cochrane review?

Eleven studies involving 250 randomized participants with NMD were included, six of which involved participants with Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (DMD, $n = 112$), three involving people with Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS, $n = 88$), and one trial each in people with Becker or limb girdle muscular dystrophy and myasthenia gravis ($n = 23$ and $n = 27$ respectively). Thirteen participants were excluded from the analysis by the trialists. No trials provided long-term data (>1 year). Eight studies involved inspiratory muscle training, four using training loads between 15% to 60% of maximal inspiratory pressure, and four trials using resistive training. Two studies involved expiratory muscle training, and one

110 trial used a combined strength and endurance training
 111 protocol. Nine trials were considered at high risk of
 112 bias in at least one of the seven domains, and differ-
 113 ent outcome measures used precluded meta-analysis.
 114 Only one study reported on adverse events. While the
 115 studies showed that RMT may lead to improvements
 116 in lung function and respiratory muscle strength in
 117 people with ALS and DMD, this was not a consistent
 118 finding. In people with ALS, two studies examined
 119 the effect on physical function and one trial assessed
 120 quality of life and indicated that there was no clear
 121 effect. The evidence from all the included trials was
 122 of low or very low certainty.

123 2.1. What did the authors conclude?

124 The authors concluded that lung capacity and res-
 125 piratory muscle strength may be improved following
 126 RMT in ALS and DMD although this was inconsis-
 127 tent. There appears to be no clinically meaningful
 128 effect of RMT on physical functioning and quality of
 129 life in ALS. The low certainty of the evidence means
 130 that the results need to be interpreted with caution.

131 2.2. What are the implications of the Cochrane 132 evidence for practice in 133 neurorehabilitation?

134 The level of evidence is insufficient to determine
 135 whether RMT is effective in improving respiratory
 136 function compared to sham training, no training, or
 137 breathing exercises. If RMT were to be prescribed
 138 for individual patients with NMD, the effects on
 139 lung function and any adverse events would need
 140 to be carefully monitored. Development of clinical
 practice guidelines on RMT depends on further

well-conducted research. It is highly desirable that
 clinicians and researchers fully record all compo-
 nents of interventions, e.g. using the TIDieR checklist
 (Hoffmann et al., 2014), to improve reporting, and for
 future implementation in the clinical setting. Assess-
 ment of physical function (activity and participation)
 is an important outcome in rehabilitation in addition
 to measures of respiratory function (impairment), and
 careful follow-up of adverse events.

150 References

- 151 Deenen, J. C. W., Horlings, C. G. C., Verschuuren, J. J. G. M., &
 152 Verbeek, A. L. M. (2015). The epidemiology of neuromuscular
 153 disorders: a comprehensive overview of the literature. *Journal*
 154 *of Neuromuscular Diseases*, 2, 73-85.
- 155 Hoffmann, T. C., Glasziou, P. P., Boutron, I., Milne, R., Perera, R.,
 156 Moher, D., Altman, D., Barbour, V., MacDonald, H., Johnston,
 157 M., Lamb, S. E., Dixon-Woods, M., McCulloch, P., Wyatt, J.
 158 C., & Chan, A-W. (2014) Better reporting of interventions:
 159 template for intervention description and replication (TIDieR)
 160 checklist and guide. *British Medical Journal*, 346, g1687, doi:
 161 10.1136/bmj.g1687
- 162 Morrison, B. M. (2016) Neuromuscular diseases. *Seminars in Neu-*
 163 *rology*, 36, 409-418.
- 164 Pfeffer, G., & Povitz, M. (2016) Respiratory management of
 165 patients with neuromuscular disease: current perspectives.
 166 *Degenerative Neurological and Neuromuscular Disease*, 6,
 167 111-118.
- 168 Silva, I. S., Pedrosa, R., Azevedo, I. G., Forbes, A. M., Fregonezi,
 169 G. A. F., Dourado Junior, M. E. T., Lima, S. R. H., & Fer-
 170 reira, G. M. H. (2019) Respiratory muscle training in children
 171 and adults with neuromuscular disease. *Cochrane Database*
 172 *of Systematic Reviews*, Issue 9. Art. No.: CD011711. doi:
 173 10.1002/14651858.CD011711.pub2
- 174 Voulgaris, A., Antoniadou, M., Agraftotis, M., & Steiropoulos, P.
 175 (2019) Respiratory involvement in patients with neuromus-
 176 cular diseases: a narrative review. *Pulmonary Medicine*, 2019,
 177 2734054. doi: 10.1155/2019/2734054