

Effect of robotic exoskeleton gait training during acute stroke on functional ambulation

Kiran K. Karunakaran^{a,b,c}, Sharon Gute^a, Gregory R. Ames^a, Kathleen Chervin^a,
Christina M. Dandola^d and Karen J. Nolan^{a,b,c,*}

^aCenter for Mobility and Rehabilitation Engineering Research, Kessler Foundation, West Orange, New Jersey, USA

^bDepartment of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Rutgers – New Jersey Medical School, Newark, New Jersey, USA

^cResearch Staff, Children's Specialized Hospital, USA

^dKessler Institute for Rehabilitation, West Orange, New Jersey, USA

Received 11 January 2021

Accepted 4 March 2021

Abstract.

BACKGROUND: Stroke is a leading cause of disability resulting in long-term functional ambulation deficits. Conventional therapy can improve ambulation, but may not be able to provide consistent, high dose repetition of movement, resulting in variable recovery with residual gait deviations.

OBJECTIVE: The objective of this preliminary prospective investigation is to evaluate the ability of a robotic exoskeleton (RE) to provide high dose gait training, and measure the resulting therapeutic effect on functional ambulation in adults with acute stroke.

METHODS: Participants ($n = 14$) received standard of care (SOC) and RE overground gait training during their scheduled physical therapy (PT) sessions at the same inpatient rehabilitation facility. The outcome measures included distance walked during their PT training sessions (RE and SOC), and functional ambulation measures (10-meter walk test (10MWT), 6-minute walk test (6 MWT), and timed up and go (TUG)).

RESULTS: The average total distance walked during RE and the average distance per RE session was significantly higher than SOC sessions. Total walking distance during PT (RE+SOC) showed a strong positive correlation to the total number of steps during RE sessions and number of RE sessions. All functional ambulation measures showed significant improvement at follow-up compared to baseline. The improvement in functional ambulation measures showed a positive correlation with the increase in number of RE gait training sessions.

CONCLUSION: The RE can be utilized for inpatient rehabilitation in conjunction with SOC gait training sessions and may result in improved functional ambulation in adults with acute stroke. This preliminary research provides information on the ability of the robotic exoskeleton to provide high dose therapy and its therapeutic effect on functional ambulation in adults with acute stroke during inpatient rehabilitation.

Keywords: Wearable robotics, stroke, gait, functional measures, rehabilitation robotics, rehabilitation

1. Introduction

Stroke affects approximately 800,000 people in the United States every year and is a leading cause of long term disability (CDC Stroke Statistics, 2020). Sixty-five percent of individuals with acute stroke have

*Address for correspondence: Karen J. Nolan, Ph.D., 1199 Pleasant Valley Way, West Orange, NJ 07052, USA. Tel.: +1 973 324 3544; E-mail: knolan@kesslerfoundation.org.

39 mobility deficits and despite rehabilitation, over half
40 of them present with residual functional ambulation
41 deficits after one year, thus limiting their community
42 ambulation, independence and activities of daily liv-
43 ing (ADL) (Friedman, 1990; Wade & Hewer, 1987).
44 Regaining community ambulation is a priority among
45 individuals post stroke to improve their ADLs, par-
46 ticipation, and quality of life (QOL).

47 Research in gait rehabilitation has shown that high
48 dose quality gait training can promote recovery of
49 walking function (Cooke, Mares, Clark, Tallis, &
50 Pomeroy, 2010; Lohse, Lang, & Boyd, 2014). Recov-
51 ery of functional ambulation is dependent on the
52 interrelationship between dosing, intensity (Hornby,
53 Moore, Lovell, & Roth, 2016), and task-specific
54 practice (Krishnan et al., 2019) applied during reha-
55 bilitation (Partridge et al., 2000). Recent research
56 has shown that the dosage in a specific task is more
57 critical than the difficulty and variations of task prac-
58 tice when learning new gait patterns (Krishnan et
59 al., 2019). In particular, the same therapy with an
60 increased number of repetitions (dosing) results in
61 improved function (Byl, Pitsch, & Abrams, 2008;
62 Schneider, Lannin, Ada, & Schmidt, 2016). Multiple
63 research have demonstrated that there is a moder-
64 ate relationship between dosing and improvements
65 in gait (Lang, Lohse, & Birkenmeier, 2015; Nugent,
66 Schurr, & Adams, 1994).

67 During the acute stages of recovery post-stroke, the
68 brain is in the critical period of plasticity (a period of
69 enhanced plasticity). During this period, providing
70 repetitive, high dose, task-specific training has been
71 found to enhance beneficial cortical re-organization
72 that accelerates functional recovery by restoring
73 healthy gait after stroke (Gillen, 2015; Kwakkel,
74 Kollen, & Lindeman, 2004; Kwakkel, Van Peppen, et
75 al., 2004; Langhorne, Wagenaar, & Partridge, 1996).
76 Recovery post-stroke plateaus during chronic stages
77 of stroke recovery with only marginal functional
78 changes observed during this period. Research has
79 shown that improvements during the critical window
80 resulted in improved recovery with higher plateaus
81 at six months compared to those that had delayed
82 rehabilitation (Kwakkel, Kollen, et al., 2004). This
83 suggests that better outcomes may be expected dur-
84 ing the chronic phase of recovery if recovery takes
85 place early during the acute phase.

86 Currently, physical therapists provide gait therapy
87 for individuals with stroke to relearn gait and balance
88 required for independent ambulation. Individuals
89 with moderate to severe gait and balance deficits
90 may require moderate to maximum assistance from

91 the therapist. It is critical in rehabilitation to reduce
92 the physical effort/exertion of the therapist while
93 increasing the number of repetitive steps adminis-
94 tered during a session to individuals with stroke.
95 Although conventional therapy produces improve-
96 ments in ambulation and motor function, current
97 practices result in variable recovery of functional
98 ambulation with residual gait deviations. As a result,
99 of these residual ambulatory deficits, individuals with
100 stroke often develop compensation mechanisms such
101 as hip hiking (Kerrigan, Frates, Rogan, & Riley,
102 2000), circumduction (Kerrigan et al., 2000), and pro-
103 longed weight transfer in order to achieve ambulation.

104 Research focuses on using lower extremities
105 exoskeletons for rehabilitation (Molteni et al., 2017;
106 Swank, Sikka, Driver, Bennett, & Callender, 2020).
107 Lower extremities exoskeletons are electromechan-
108 ical devices that can provide complete or partial
109 assistance to the user to walk while providing sta-
110 bility and balance. It has the potential to provide
111 consistent, high dose repetition of movement for indi-
112 viduals requiring maximum assistance post-stroke.
113 This reduces the physical effort of the therapist while
114 allowing them to focus on providing feedback to drive
115 quality gait.

116 Robotic exoskeletons (REs) have been used for
117 rehabilitation during the chronic and subacute stages
118 of stroke, where they assist the user to perform gait
119 (Høyer, Opheim, & Jørgensen, 2020; Louie & Eng,
120 2016; Molteni et al., 2021; Tefertiller et al., 2018). But
121 limited quantitative information is available regard-
122 ing the feasibility of using RE to provide acute stroke
123 rehabilitation, the ability of RE to provide high dose
124 therapy and its effects on functional ambulation dur-
125 ing acute stages of recovery. The ability to perform
126 safe, independent gait in the community requires
127 the restoration of function (i.e., endurance, balance,
128 gait speed, and quality of gait) (Fulk, He, Boyne, &
129 Dunning, 2017). Therefore, the objective of this pre-
130 liminary investigation is to understand the utilization
131 of RE for inpatient rehabilitation for acute stroke. The
132 preliminary study further analyzes the ability of RE
133 to provide high dose therapy and evaluates its ther-
134 apeutic effect on the functional ambulation in adults
135 with acute stroke during inpatient rehabilitation.

2. Methodology 136

2.1. Participants 137

138 Twenty-one participants diagnosed with moder-
139 ate to severe hemiplegic stroke (<3 months) were

consented to participate from the same inpatient rehabilitation facility (IRF) in the prospective investigation and 14 participants completed the study. Seven participants did not complete the study due to early discharge from the IRF, transfer to another sub-acute facility or transfer out of the IRF for a medical procedure. No participants were withdrawn due to study procedures. All data were collected as a part of a larger ongoing investigation. All participants were current inpatients at the same IRF and received RE gait training during their scheduled physical therapy sessions. Demographic data (Table 1) including age, gender, length of stay at the IRF, time since stroke, and number of PT and RE sessions were collected and verified with medical records. The inclusion criteria were 1) be between the ages 18 and 82 years, 2) had to fit into the RE device physically (height 152.4–177.8 cm; weight < 99.7 kg; hip width 35–46”), 3) no history of injury or pathology (unrelated to their stroke) within the last 90 days; 2) joint range of motion (ROM) within normal functional limits for ambulation; 4) be able to tolerate upright standing for up to 30 minutes with assistance. Exclusion criteria were 1) contracture of joints (hip, knee, and ankle) that would prohibit a healthy ROM without pain as well as fitting the RE, 2) cardiopulmonary or other medical conditions that prevent intensive gait training. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Review Board and all participants were consented prior to participation in the study.

2.3. Experimental procedures

2.3.1. A. Gait training

Gait training (RE or standard of care) was provided to participants for a duration of 45 minutes to 90 minutes per session. Each standard of care (SOC) session included pre-gait activities, upright activities, and gait training to promote sensory and motor recovery. Participants walked known distances during SOC training, and this information was recorded in the medical chart by the physical therapist during each session. The RE gait training was administered by a trained physical therapist using a commercially available, FDA class 2 approved exoskeleton (Ekso GT™, Ekso Bionics, Inc. Richmond, CA, USA). The device is programmed to bilaterally assist as needed to the user’s hip and knee joints in a repetitive predefined gait trajectory computed by the RE software in the sagittal plane based on the user’s anthropometrics (Fig. 1). The start and stop of the walking activity were triggered by the physical therapist using

Table 1
Participant Demographics

Demographics (N = 14)	Mean ± Standard Error
Age (years)	61.24 ± 1.98
Height (m)	168.18 ± 1.99
Weight (kg)	69.78 ± 2.28
LOS (days)	39.71 ± 4.55
Gender(number)	10 Male, 4 Female
Affected side(number)	10 Left, 4 Right
Time Since Injury (days)	18.92 ± 1.80
PT Sessions	21.29 ± 3.33
RE Sessions	8.43 ± 1.08
SOC Sessions	12.85 ± 2.34
Number of Steps During RE sessions	4881.86 ± 1114.04
Number of Steps/day During RE sessions	512.27 ± 44.64

Length of Stay (LOS) – Calculated as the number of calendar days from the date of admission to the IRF to date of discharge. Time Since Injury – Calculated as the number of calendar days from the date of injury to the baseline assessment. Physical Therapy (PT) Sessions – The average number of physical therapy sessions are reported between baseline and follow-up. This includes the RE and SOC sessions. SOC sessions – The average number of SOC sessions are reported between baseline and follow-up. One session per day. RE sessions – The average number of RE sessions are reported between baseline and follow-up. One session per day.



Fig. 1. Participant gait training with Robotic Exoskeleton.

a control pad. The user initialized each additional step during a walk by shifting their center of mass laterally and offloading the hind limb. RE sessions were exchanged with SOC time to avoid patients from receiving additional therapy time throughout their inpatient rehabilitation. All participants received the same amount of therapy time overall, and a licensed physical therapist individualized RE and SOC training based on patient recovery progression.

Table 2
Outcome Measures

Outcome Measure	Description
Total distance walked RE	The total distance walked during physical therapy in the RE only (not including SOC training) between baseline to follow-up during inpatient rehabilitation were calculated from the RE software ($[\text{RE steps} \times \text{step length from Ekso bionics settings}] / 12$ [divided by twelve to convert from inches to feet]).
Total distance walked SOC	The total distance walked during physical therapy in the SOC only (not including RE training) between baseline to follow-up during inpatient rehabilitation was recorded by the physical therapist and extracted from the medical chart.
Total distance walked during PT (RE+SOC)	The total distance walked during physical therapy including SOC+RE training between baseline to follow-up during inpatient rehabilitation.
Distance per session RE	The distance walked during RE sessions divided by number of RE sessions (total distance walked RE/number of RE sessions) between baseline to follow-up during inpatient rehabilitation for each subject was computed as distance per session RE. Average distance per session RE was computed as sum of distance per session RE for all subjects/ number of subjects.
Distance per session SOC	The distance walked during SOC sessions divided by number of SOC sessions (total distance walked SOC/number of SOC sessions) between baseline to follow-up during inpatient rehabilitation for each subject was computed as distance per session SOC. Average distance per session SOC was computed as sum of distance per session PT for all subjects/ number of subjects.
Distance per PT session (RE+SOC)	The distance walked during physical therapy including RE+SOC (total distance walked PT Sessions/number of PT sessions sessions) during each PT session between baseline to follow-up during inpatient rehabilitation was computed as distance per session PT for each subject. Average distance per session PT was computed as sum of distance per session PT for all subjects/ number of subjects.
Total number of steps RE	The total number of steps taken during all over ground walking sessions in the RE between baseline and follow-up during inpatient rehabilitation was recorded for participants. Average number of steps RE was computed as sum of all the steps by all participants/ number of participants.
Steps per session RE	The number of steps taken during all over ground walking sessions in the RE/number of RE sessions between baseline and follow-up during inpatient rehabilitation was computed as steps per sessions RE for each participants. Average number of steps RE was computed as steps per sessions RE by all participants/ number of participants.

2.3.2. B. Data collection

Total distance walked during physical therapy (distance walked during RE sessions and distance walked during SOC sessions) was collected during each session of IRF gait training between baseline and follow-up data collection sessions for all participants. The total number of steps during the RE sessions was also recorded. The dosing outcome measures are described in Table 2.

All participants completed two data collection sessions while walking without the robotic exoskeleton: baseline (before training sessions) and follow-up (within one week after completing the last training session). During walking assessments, participants were allowed to stop and rest if necessary. The following functional ambulation metrics were collected during these sessions:

Ten Meter Walk Test (10MWT): The participants performed two 10 meter walks at a fast, safe walking speed. The time to walk ten meters was recorded using a stopwatch. One meter was added at the beginning and at the end of the walk to provide sufficient

ramp up and ramp down for speed (total 12 meters) and walk time during this period was not recorded (Kay & Huijbregts, 2003).

Timed Up and Go (TUG): The participants walked a distance of 3 meters from a seated position, turned around, walked back to the chair, and sat back in the chair. The time (seconds) taken to perform the task was recorded using a stopwatch (Kay & Huijbregts, 2003).

Six Minute Walk (6MWT): Participants walked as far as possible for 6 minutes. They walked back and forth around the cones along an unobstructed pathway of 15 meters. They were permitted to slow down or stop as necessary. The distance covered by the participant for the 6 minutes was recorded at an interval of every 30 seconds using a measuring wheel and stopwatch (Kay & Huijbregts, 2003). Speed during the 6-minute walk test was calculated as the distance walked during the 6-minute walk test for each subject divided by 6 minutes to compute the speed required to complete 6 minutes.

198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219

220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240

2.3.3. C. Data analysis

Distance walked between RE and SOC sessions: Dosing differences: The total distance walked between RE and SOC and distance walked per session between RE and SOC was used to quantify dosing differences between RE and SOC gait training during PT sessions between baseline and follow-up (Table 2). The difference in dosing between the sessions was determined using Wilcoxon Signed Rank test on total distance walked SOC and total distance walked RE as the Shapiro-Wilk test showed that data was not normally distributed ($p < 0.05$).

The difference in dosing per session between the RE and SOC sessions was determined using Paired sample *t*-test on distance per session RE and distance per session SOC as the Shapiro-Wilk test showed that data was normally distributed ($p > 0.05$).

Effect of number of steps during RE sessions on distance walked during PT (Dosing): The effect of number of steps during RE sessions on the total distance walked during PT sessions was determined using spearman's rank correlation as the Shapiro-Wilk test showed that total distance walked and number of steps during RE training sessions were not normally distributed ($p < 0.05$). Total distance walked in PT is the sum of the total distance walked in RE+SOC sessions (i.e. all PT sessions between baseline and discharge).

Effect of steps per session RE on distance walked per PT session as determined using Pearson's rank correlation as the Shapiro-Wilk test showed data were normally distributed ($p > 0.05$).

Effect of the number of RE training sessions on distance walked (Dosing): Spearman's rank correlation was used to determine the relationship between the number of RE training sessions and total distance walked during physical therapy as the Shapiro-Wilk test showed that total distance walked and number of RE training sessions were not normally distributed ($p < 0.05$).

Spearman's rank correlation was used to determine the relationship between the number of RE training sessions and distance per physical therapy session as the Shapiro-Wilk test showed that number of RE training sessions was not normally distributed ($p < 0.05$).

Effect of number of RE training sessions on functional measures: A paired samples *t*-test was used to determine the difference in the 10MWT, TUG, and 6MWT, between baseline to follow up as the Shapiro-Wilk test showed that data was normally distributed ($p > 0.05$).

Spearman's rank correlation was used to determine the relationship between the number of RE sessions and functional measures (10 MWT, TUG, and 6MWT) as the Shapiro-Wilk test showed that total number of RE sessions was not normally distributed ($p < 0.05$).

Spearman's rank correlation was used to determine the relationship between the number of RE sessions and speed during the 6MWT.

3. Results

3.1. Distance walked between RE and SOC sessions: Dosing differences

All data is presented as mean \pm standard error. Total distance walked RE (Fig. 2b; 1549.69 ± 354.43 m) was significantly higher ($Z = -2.794$, $p < 0.01$) than total distance walked SOC (673.80 ± 130.02 m). Distance per session RE (Fig. 2a; 161.77 ± 14.89 m) was also significantly higher ($t = 6.67$, $p < 0.01$) than distance per session SOC (52.58 ± 3.77 m).

3.2. Effect of number of steps during RE training on distance walked (Dosing)

A strong positive correlation (ρ (rho) = 0.908, $p < 0.01$) between the number of steps during RE sessions and total distance walked during PT (Fig. 2c) was observed, which was also statistically significant. This suggests that increased number of steps during RE sessions may have resulted in increased distance walked during PT.

Pearson's rank correlation showed a strong positive correlation ($r = 0.82$, $p < 0.01$) between the number of steps per RE session and distance walked per PT session (Fig. 2d), which was also statistically significant. This suggests that increased number of steps per RE sessions may have resulted in increased distance walked per session during a rehabilitation therapy session.

3.3. Effect of the number of SOC and RE training on distance walked (Dosing)

A strong positive correlation ($\rho = 0.903$, $p < 0.01$) between the number of RE training sessions and total distance walked during PT (Fig. 2e) was observed, which was also statistically significant. This suggests that increased RE training sessions resulted in increased total distance walked during PT.




Fig. 2. a) Average distance per session walked during RE and SOC sessions; b) Average total distance walked during RE and SOC sessions; c) Total distance walked during PT sessions (RE + SOC) vs. number of steps during RE training sessions for all participants; d) Distance per session vs. number of steps per session during RE training for all participants; e) Total distance walked during PT sessions (RE + SOC) vs. total number of RE training sessions; f) Distance per session vs. number of RE training sessions.

A moderate positive correlation ($\rho = 0.64, p < 0.05$) between the number of RE sessions and distance walked per PT session (Fig. 2f), which was also statistically significant. This suggests that increased RE sessions resulted in increased distance walked per session during their PT.

3.4. Effect of number of RE training sessions on functional ambulation

Paired samples *t*-test showed a significant difference between baseline and follow-up in TUG ($t = 4.42, p < 0.01$; Fig. 3b), 10MWT ($t = -3.794,$

$p < 0.01$; Fig. 3a), 6 MWT- Distance ($t = -4.62, p < 0.01$; Fig. 3c), and 6 MWT- Speed ($t = -4.62, p < 0.01$; Fig. 3d).

At baseline functional ambulation assessments demonstrated that all subjects had speeds less than 0.4 m/s in the 10MWT, walked less than 150m in 6MWT, and took over 30s to complete the TUG. Follow-up assessments showed that all subjects improved in speed (10MWT; Fig. 4a), distance (6 MWT; Fig. 4b) and time (TUG; Fig. 4c) at follow-up compared to baseline except 3 and 5 who did not show improvement in speed (10MWT) and TUG and subject 3 who did not show improvement in 6MWT.

Spearman's rank correlation showed a moderate negative correlation ($\rho = -0.63, p < 0.05$) between the number of RE training sessions and the change in TUG (Fig. 5b) which was statistically significant. This suggests that time required to perform timed up and go may have reduced with increased number of RE training sessions. Spearman's rank correlation showed a moderate positive correlation between increased number of RE training sessions and change in 6MWT distance ($\rho = 0.35, p > 0.05$, Fig. 5c) and speed ($\rho = 0.35, p > 0.05$, Fig. 5d) and change in speed (Fig. 5a) during 10MWT ($\rho = 0.38, p > 0.05$).

4. Discussion

Stroke results in gait and balance deficits affecting functional ambulation and overall community ambulation and participation. Current research is focused on improving functional ambulation using REs to improve safe community ambulation (Høyer, Opheim, & Jørgensen, 2020; Louie & Eng, 2016; Molteni et al., 2021). This study focused on presenting utilization of an RE for inpatient gait rehabilitation and preliminary data demonstrating the effect of an RE on dosing and functional ambulation for acute stroke. Participants walked increased dis-

tances during their RE sessions compared to SOC sessions though the number of sessions were similar between RE and SOC. The number of steps walked during RE sessions showed a strong positive correlation to walking distance (dosing) during PT. Participants also increased their walking distance (dosing) during PT with increased use of RE.

Distance walked during physical therapy sessions was used as a measure of dosing during inpatient rehabilitation. The participants walked twice the distance during their RE sessions compared to their SOC sessions during inpatient rehabilitation as measured by the total distance walked as well as the distance per session metrics. The RE sessions were of the same duration of training (time spent during a session in inpatient rehabilitation) and were similar in number of sessions during their SOC session (Table 1). The results showed that dosing increased with increased RE gait training (distance per session, as well as total distance during physical therapy) for individuals requiring medium to maximum assistance to walk. This demonstrated that RE provided increased dosing during gait training without increasing the duration of training. In addition, the number of steps during RE session had a strong correlation to the distance walked during PT as well the steps per RE session showed a strong correlation to distance per session during




Fig. 4. Participant ($n = 14$) data is presented demonstrating the change from baseline to follow-up for functional ambulation a) Speed during 10MWT, b) Distance during 6 MWT and c) Time during TUG at baseline and follow-up sessions.




Fig. 5. a) Number of RE training sessions vs. change in 10MWT speed, b) Number of RE training sessions vs. change in time to complete the TUG (seconds); c) Number of RE training sessions vs. change in 6MWT distance, d) Number of RE training sessions vs. change in 6MWT speed.

PT. Walking in the RE could increase dosing during gait rehabilitation, even in individuals with acute stroke who require maximum assistance. Research has shown that increasing the number steps (distance due to increased number of steps) is a critical variable of rehabilitation interventions that can facilitate plasticity of neuromuscular system and result in improved locomotor function (Hornby et al., 2015; Hornby et al., 2011)

Community ambulators are defined as people who can complete 10 m at a speed of 1 m/s and walk at least 205 m in 6 minutes (Fulk, Reynolds, Mondal, & Deutsch, 2010; Perry, Garrett, Gronley, & Mulroy, 1995). The TUG assessment classifies anyone taking over 30 s to complete the task as requiring assistance during gait (Hafsteinsdóttir, Rensink, & Schuurmans, 2014). This suggests that all participants at baseline in this study were non-community ambulators requiring medium to maximum assistance to walk. At follow-up, all functional measures (10MWT, 6MWT, and TUG) showed improvement from baseline. There was a moderate positive correlation between change in distance and speed during 6MWT and speed during 10MWT, and number of RE sessions during PT, and there was a moderate negative correlation between change in time taken for TUG from baseline

to follow-up (i.e., reduced time in the TUG indicated improved functional ambulation), and number of RE sessions during PT.

Individuals with stroke have balance deficits and are at increased risk of falling, with the prevalence of falls as high as 73% (Czernuszenko & Czlonkowska, 2009). The important goal of rehabilitation is safe ambulation at home and in the community. TUG score correlates with balance, gait speed, and functional capacity and is a widely used reliable measure of physical mobility (Alghadir, Al-Eisa, Anwer, & Sarkar, 2018; Hafsteinsdóttir et al., 2014; Richardson, 1991). Significantly improved TUG score and moderate negative correlation of change in TUG (i.e. time to perform the task reduced at follow-up) with the number of RE sessions suggests that RE gait training provided in conjunction with SOC could be contributing to improved independent, safe functional mobility among participants.

Walking endurance, balance, and motor function are the strongest predictors of community walking activity (Fulk et al., 2017). Walking endurance, as measured by the 6MWT, was the strongest individual predictor of community walking activity (Fulk et al., 2017; Mahendran, Kuys, & Brauer, 2020). Research shows that the 6MWT is a better predictor of com-




Fig. 3. Functional ambulation data is presented as mean \pm standard error at baseline and follow-up sessions a) speed during 10MWT; b) Time to complete the TUG; c) Distance during the 6 MWT; and d) Speed during 6 MWT.

community ambulation due to the distance requirements for community walking (Andrews et al., 2010). Fulk et al. also found that although both the 6MWT and comfortable gait speed were strongly related to community walking, only the 6MWT was a significant predictor of community walking activity (Fulk et al., 2010). In this study, the distance and the speed during 6 MWT and walking speed from 10MWT showed a moderate correlation with the number of RE sessions suggesting that they have improved endurance, balance, and motor function, and were progressing towards community ambulation.

Current lower extremity rehabilitation is based on cortical re-organization induced by repeated high dose task-specific practice that leads to the recovery of function (Cooke et al., 2010; Lennon, Baxter, & Ashburn, 2001). Current research shows that the amount of practice in the specific task is critical for gait recovery (Krishnan et al., 2019). Multiple studies demonstrate a moderate relationship between the frequency of repetitions and improvements in motor function (Nugent et al., 1994). In particular, the same therapy with increased number of repetitions (dosing)

results in improved function (Byl et al., 2008; Schneider et al., 2016). Our results are in accordance with these previous research, where increased walking distance (dose due to increased number of steps) due to RE training may have resulted in improved TUG, distance and speed during 6MWT, and speed in 10MWT. Improved functional ambulation is associated with increased community ambulation and participation, leading to improved quality of life (An, Lee, Shin, & Lee, 2015). The results indicate that increased dosing could improve the gait and balance recovery trajectory long term.

The results show the ability of RE utilization in acute stroke during inpatient rehabilitation with varying degrees of severity. Our sample of 14 participants did not have any secondary complications or falls due to the RE. A limitation of the study was not having a separate group for RE-only training and SOC-only training. Future studies should standardize the amount of training by having RE training matched to a control group.

Previous research showed that more significant improvements within the first weeks post-stroke resulted in higher plateaus at six months than improvements occurring to those that had delayed rehabilitation (Kwakkel, Kollen, et al., 2004). This suggests that better outcomes may be expected during the chronic phase of recovery if recovery takes place early during the acute phase. Our results indicate that an RE can provide the crucial increased dose training during acute inpatient rehabilitation and maybe aiding in early recovery onset.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the Kessler Foundation and Kessler Institute for Rehabilitation.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Funding

This work was supported by the Rehabilitation Engineering Research Center on Wearable Robots (NIDILRR grant 90RE5021).

References

- Alghadir, A. H., Al-Eisa, E. S., Anwer, S., & Sarkar, B. (2018). Reliability, validity, and responsiveness of three scales for measuring balance in patients with chronic stroke. *BMC Neurology*, *18*(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12883-018-1146-9>
- An, S., Lee, Y., Shin, H., & Lee, G. (2015). Gait velocity and walking distance to predict community walking after stroke. *Nursing and Health Sciences*, *17*(4), 533-538. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nhs.12234>
- Andrews, A. W., Chinworth, S. A., Bourassa, M., Garvin, M., Benton, D., & Tanner, S. (2010). Update on distance and velocity requirements for community ambulation. *Journal of Geriatric Physical Therapy*, *33*(3), 128-134. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JPT.0b013e3181eda321>
- Byl, N. N., Pitsch, E. A., & Abrams, G. M. (2008). Functional outcomes can vary by dose: Learning-based sensorimotor training for patients stable poststroke. *Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair*, *22*(5), 494-504. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1545968308317431>
- CDC Stroke Statistics. (n.d.). Retrieved March 7, 2020, from <https://www.cdc.gov/stroke/facts.htm#:~:text=Stroke Statistics,minutes%2C someone dies of stroke.&text=Every year%2C more than 795%2C000,United States have a stroke.>
- Cooke, E. V., Mares, K., Clark, A., Tallis, R. C., & Pomeroy, V. M. (2010). The effects of increased dose of exercise-based therapies to enhance motor recovery after stroke: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Medicine*, *8*, 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7015-8-60>
- Czernuszenko, A., & Czlonkowska, A. (2009). Risk factors for falls in stroke patients during inpatient rehabilitation. *Clinical Rehabilitation*, *23*(2), 176-188. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0269215508098894>
- Friedman, P. J. (1990). Gait recovery after hemiplegic stroke. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, *12*(3), 119-122. <https://doi.org/10.3109/03790799009166265>
- Fulk, G. D., He, Y., Boyne, P., & Dunning, K. (2017). Predicting Home and Community Walking Activity Poststroke. *Stroke*, *48*(2), 406-411. <https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.116.015309>
- Fulk, G. D., Reynolds, C., Mondal, S., & Deutsch, J. E. (2010). Predicting home and community walking activity in people with stroke. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, *91*(10), 1582-1586. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2010.07.005>
- Gillen, G. (2015). Stroke rehabilitation. *Stroke Rehabilitation*, *37*(9778), 1-756. <https://doi.org/10.1016/C2012-0-02658-0>
- Hafsteinsdóttir, T. B., Rensink, M., & Schuurmans, M. (2014). Clinimetric properties of the timed up and go test for patients with stroke: A systematic review. *Topics in Stroke Rehabilitation*. <https://doi.org/10.1310/tsr2103-197>
- Hornby, T. G., Holleran, C. L., Leddy, A. L., Hennessy, P., Leech, K. A., Connolly, M., ... Roth, and E. (2015). Feasibility of Focused Stepping Practice During Inpatient Rehabilitation Poststroke and Potential Contributions to Mobility Outcomes. *Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair*, *29*(10), 923-932.
- Hornby, T. G., Moore, J. L., Lovell, L., & Roth, E. J. (2016). Influence of skill and exercise training parameters on locomotor recovery during stroke rehabilitation. *Current Opinion in Neurology*, *29*(6), 677-683. <https://doi.org/10.1097/WCO.0000000000000397>
- Hornby, T., Straube, D., Kinnaird, C., Holleran, C., Echauz, A., Rodriguez, K., ... Narducci, E. (2011). Importance of specificity, amount, and intensity of locomotor training to improve ambulatory function in patients poststroke. *Topics in Stroke Rehabilitation*, *18*(4), 293-307. <https://doi.org/10.1310/tsr1804-293>
- Høyer, E., Opheim, A., & Jørgensen, V. (2020). Implementing the exoskeleton Ekso GTM for gait rehabilitation in a stroke unit-feasibility, functional benefits and patient experiences. *Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17483107.2020.1800110>
- Kay, T. M., & Huijbregts, M. (2003). *Physical Rehabilitation Outcome Measures: A Guide to Enhanced Clinical Decision Making, Second Edition. Physiotherapy Canada* (2nd ed., Vol. 55). Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. <https://doi.org/10.2310/6640.2003.35271>
- Kerrigan, D. C., Frates, E. P., Rogan, S., & Riley, P. O. (2000). Hip hiking and circumduction: Quantitative definitions. *American Journal of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, *79*(3), 247-252. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00002060-200005000-00006>
- Krishnan, C., Dharia, A. K., Augenstein, T. E., Washabaugh, E. P., Reid, C. E., Brown, S. R., & Ranganathan, R. (2019). Learning new gait patterns is enhanced by specificity of training rather than progression of task difficulty. *Journal of Biomechanics*, *88*, 33-37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2019.03.014>
- Kwakkel, G., Kollen, B., & Lindeman, E. (2004). Understanding the pattern of functional recovery after stroke: Facts and theories. *Restorative Neurology and Neuroscience*, *22*(3-4), 281-299.
- Kwakkel, G., Van Peppen, R., Wagenaar, R. C., Dauphinee, S. W., Richards, C., Ashburn, A., ... Langhorne, P. (2004). Effects of augmented exercise therapy time after stroke: A meta-analysis. *Stroke*, *35*(11), 2529-2536. <https://doi.org/10.1161/01.STR.0000143153.76460.7d>
- Lang, C. E., Lohse, K. R., & Birkenmeier, R. L. (2015). Dose and timing in neurorehabilitation: Prescribing motor therapy after stroke. *Current Opinion in Neurology*, *28*(6), 549-555. <https://doi.org/10.1097/WCO.0000000000000256>
- Langhorne, P., Wagenaar, R., & Partridge, C. (1996). Physiotherapy after stroke: more is better? *Physiotherapy Research International: The Journal for Researchers and Clinicians in Physical Therapy*, *1*(2), 75-88. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pri.6120010204>
- Lennon, S., Baxter, D., & Ashburn, A. (2001). Physiotherapy based on the Bobath concept in stroke rehabilitation: A survey within the UK. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, *23*(6), 254-262. <https://doi.org/10.1080/096382801750110892>
- Lohse, K. R., Lang, C. E., & Boyd, L. A. (2014). Is more better? Using metadata to explore dose-response relationships in stroke rehabilitation. *Stroke*, *45*(7), 2053-2058. <https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.114.004695>
- Louie, D. R., & Eng, J. J. (2016). Powered robotic exoskeletons in post-stroke rehabilitation of gait: A scoping review. *Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation*, *13*(1), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-016-0162-5>
- Mahendran, N., Kuys, S. S., & Brauer, S. G. (2020). Which impairments, activity limitations and personal factors at hospital discharge predict walking activity across the first 6 months poststroke? *Disability and Rehabilitation*, *42*(6), 763-769. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2018.1508513>

- 652 Molteni, F., Gasperini, G., Gaffuri, M., Colombo, M., Giovanzana,
653 C., Lorenzon, C., ... Guanziroli, E. (2017). Wearable robotic
654 exoskeleton for overground gait training in sub-acute and
655 chronic hemiparetic stroke patients: preliminary results. *European Journal of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine*, 53(5),
656 676-684. <https://doi.org/10.23736/S1973-9087.17.04591-9>
- 657 Molteni, F., Guanziroli, E., Goffredo, M., Calabrò, R. S., Pournajaf,
658 S., Gaffuri, M., ... Franceschini, M. (2021). Gait recovery with
659 an overground powered exoskeleton: A randomized controlled
660 trial on subacute stroke subjects. *Brain Sciences*, 11(1), 1-14.
661 <https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci11010104>
- 662 Nugent, J. A., Schurr, K. A., & Adams, R. D. (1994). A dose-
663 response relationship between amount of weight-bearing exer-
664 cise and walking outcome following cerebrovascular accident.
665 *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 75(4), 399-
666 402. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-9993\(94\)90162-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-9993(94)90162-7)
- 667 Partridge, C., Mackenzie, M., Edwards, S., Reid, A., Jayawardena,
668 S., Guck, N., & Potter, J. (2000). Is dosage of physiother-
669 apy a critical factor in deciding patterns of recovery from
670 stroke: A pragmatic randomized controlled trial. *Physiother-
671 apy Research International: The Journal for Researchers and
672 Clinicians in Physical Therapy*, 5(4), 230-240. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pri.203>
- 673 Perry, J., Garrett, M., Gronley, J. K., & Mulroy, S. J. (1995). Clas-
674 sification of walking handicap in the stroke population. *Stroke*,
675 26(6), 982-989. <https://doi.org/10.1161/01.STR.26.6.982>
- 676 Richardson, S. (1991). The Timed "Up & Go": A Test of
677 Basic Functional Mobility for Frail Elderly Persons. *Journal
678 of the American Geriatrics Society*, 39(2), 142-148.
679 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.1991.tb01616.x>
- 680 Schneider, E. J., Lannin, N. A., Ada, L., & Schmidt, J. (2016).
681 Increasing the amount of usual rehabilitation improves activity
682 after stroke: a systematic review. *Journal of Physiotherapy*,
683 62(4), 182-187. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphys.2016.08.006>
- 684 Swank, C., Sikka, S., Driver, S., Bennett, M., & Callender, L.
685 (2020). Feasibility of integrating robotic exoskeleton gait train-
686 ing in inpatient rehabilitation. *Disability and Rehabilitation:
687 Assistive Technology*, 15(4), 409-417. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17483107.2019.1587014>
- 688 Tefertiller, C., Hays, K., Jones, J., Jayaraman, A., Hartigan, C.,
689 Bushnik, T., & Forrest, G. F. (2018). Initial outcomes from a
690 multicenter study utilizing the indigo powered exoskeleton in
691 spinal cord injury. *Topics in Spinal Cord Injury Rehabilitation*,
692 24(1), 78-85. <https://doi.org/10.1310/sci17-00014>
- 693 Wade, D. T., & Hewer, R. L. (1987). Functional abilities after
694 stroke: Measurement, natural history and prognosis. *Journal
695 of Neurology Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, 50(2), 177-182.
696 <https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.50.2.177>
- 697
698
699