Systematic Review

A Systematic Literature Review of the Natural History of Respiratory, Swallowing, Feeding, and Speech Functions in Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA)

Yasmina Martí^{a,*}, Valerie Aponte Ribero^{a,1}, Sarah Batson^b, Stephen Mitchell^b, Ksenija Gorni^a, Nicole Gusset^{c,d}, Maryam Oskoui^e, Laurent Servais^{f,g}, Nicolas Deconinck^{h,i}, Katlyn Elizabeth McGrattan^{j,k}, Eugenio Mercuri^{l,m} and C. Simone Sutherland^a ^aF. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Basel, Switzerland ^bMtech Access Limited, Bicester, UK ^cSMA Europe, Freiburg, Germany ^dSMA Schweiz, Heimberg, Switzerland ^eDepartments of Pediatrics and Neurology Neurosurgery, McGill University, Montreal, Canada ^fMDUK Oxford Neuromuscular Centre & NIHR Oxford Biomedical Research Centre, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK ^gDepartment of Pediatrics, Division of Child Neurology, Centre de Références des Maladies Neuromusculaires, University Hospital Liège & University of Liège, Liège, Belgium ^hNeuromuscular Reference Center, UZ Gent, Ghent, Belgium ¹Department Paediatric Neurology, Centre de Références des Maladies Neuromusculaires, Hôpital Universitaire des Enfants Reine Fabiola (HUDERF), Hôpital Universitaire de Bruxelles, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium ^jDepartment of Speech-Language-Hearing Science, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA ^kDepartment of Rehabilitation, Masonic Children's Hospital, Minneapolis, MN, USA ¹Pediatric Neurology Institute, Catholic University and Nemo Pediatrico, Fondazione Policlinico Gemelli IRCCS, Rome, Italy ^mCentro Clinico Nemo, Fondazione Policlinico Gemelli, IRCCS, Rome, Italy

Accepted 24 May 2024 Pre-press 26 June 2024 Published 3 September 2024

Abstract.

Background: Respiratory and bulbar dysfunctions (including swallowing, feeding, and speech functions) are key symptoms of spinal muscular atrophy (SMA), especially in its most severe forms. Demonstrating the long-term efficacy of disease-modifying therapies (DMTs) necessitates an understanding of SMA natural history.

Objective: This study summarizes published natural history data on respiratory, swallowing, feeding, and speech functions in patients with SMA not receiving DMTs.

*Correspondence to: Yasmina Martí, PhD, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Grenzacherstrasse 124, 4070 Basel, Switzerland. E-mail: yasmina.marti_gil@roche.com.

ISSN 2214-3599 © 2024 – The authors. Published by IOS Press. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0).

¹The current affiliation of Valerie Aponte Ribero is Institute of Primary Health Care (BIHAM), University of Bern, 3012, Bern, Switzerland.

Methods: Electronic databases (Embase, MEDLINE, and Evidence-Based Medicine Reviews) were searched from database inception to June 27, 2022, for studies reporting data on respiratory and/or bulbar function outcomes in Types 1–3 SMA. Data were extracted into a predefined template and a descriptive summary of these data was provided.

Results: Ninety-one publications were included: 43 reported data on respiratory, swallowing, feeding, and/or speech function outcomes. Data highlighted early loss of respiratory function for patients with Type 1 SMA, with ventilatory support typically required by 12 months of age. Patients with Type 2 or 3 SMA were at risk of losing respiratory function over time, with ventilatory support initiated between the first and fifth decades of life. Swallowing and feeding difficulties, including choking, chewing problems, and aspiration, were reported in patients across the SMA spectrum. Swallowing and feeding difficulties, and a need for non-oral nutritional support, were reported before 1 year of age in Type 1 SMA, and before 10 years of age in Type 2 SMA. Limited data relating to other bulbar functions were collated.

Conclusions: Natural history data demonstrate that untreated patients with SMA experience respiratory and bulbar function deterioration, with a more rapid decline associated with greater disease severity. This study provides a comprehensive repository of natural history data on bulbar function in SMA, and it highlights that consistent assessment of outcomes in this area is necessary to benefit understanding and approval of new treatments.

Keywords: Spinal muscular atrophy, neuromuscular diseases, rare diseases, natural history, respiratory function tests, speech, deglutition, review

INTRODUCTION

Spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) is an autosomal recessive, progressive neuromuscular disease characterized by a deficiency in the survival of motor neuron (SMN) protein [1, 2], caused by loss-of-function mutations of or deletions within the SMN1 gene. A paralogous gene, SMN2, produces low levels of SMN protein; however, these are insufficient to compensate for the lack of SMN1 [1]. Although a rare disease, with an estimated incidence of 1 in 6,000 to 1 in 10,000 live births, SMA is the leading genetic cause of infant mortality in the absence of treatment [1]. SMA exists on a spectrum and has historically been classified into five types (Type 0-4), based on age at symptom onset and motor milestone achievement [3]. Patients with Type 1 SMA have disease onset at < 6 months of age, are never able to sit independently, and without treatment have a life expectancy of < 2 years. In Type 2 SMA, patients have disease onset at 6-18 months of age, can sit independently, but are never able to walk and have a life expectancy of 20-40 years. Patients with Type 3 SMA have symptom onset at > 18 months of age and have a normal life expectancy [1]. They are able to walk, but may lose this ability over time [3]. Type 1 SMA is the most common form of the disease, accounting for approximately 50-80% of SMA diagnoses [2, 4]. The severity of SMA is generally inversely correlated to SMN2 copy number [2]; however, this is not absolute due to additional genetic and epigenetic disease modifiers [2, 5, 6].

If untreated, patients with SMA experience progressive muscle denervation, skeletal muscular

atrophy, overall weakness, and motor function loss [2]. Degeneration of the motor neurons controlling breathing leads to respiratory muscle weakness, predominantly affecting the intercostal muscles [7]. Respiratory complications arising from this include breathing difficulties and loss of effective cough that lead to the need for ventilatory intervention and assistance with secretion clearance, which can negatively affect the prognosis [8, 9]. Degeneration of the motor neurons that control bulbar function contributes to bulbar muscle weakness, which manifests as swallowing difficulties (i.e., abnormalities in the swallowing mechanism) and feeding difficulties (i.e., problems with eating activities, such as eating with a spoon or chewing) [10]. These can be exacerbated by abnormal head postures caused by scoliosis or scoliosis surgery [11]. Feeding support (e.g., nasogastric tube [NGT] or gastrostomy) may be required to enable adequate nutrition and prevent choking, aspiration, and respiratory infections [12-14]. Bulbar muscle weakness also affects the muscles involved in airway protection and causes intelligibility problems [9, 15–17]. Therefore, the impact of SMA on respiratory and bulbar functions can affect patient morbidity, quality of life, and healthcare resource utilization [8, 9, 13-15, 18].

Deficits in the respiratory and bulbar functions have been previously reported in patients with SMA [8, 9, 13–15, 19–21]. However, while diseasemodifying therapies (DMTs) have been proven to have beneficial effects on survival and motor function [22], the lack of consistency in the assessment of respiratory and bulbar function has restricted the systematic evaluation of DMT effects on these outcomes [12, 15, 19, 20]. As adoption of DMTs becomes more widespread, the ability to prospectively gather SMA natural history data, which are often the appropriate comparator in the evaluation of treatment responses [23–25], is becoming increasingly difficult. Therefore, existing patient-level data that thoroughly describe SMA natural history across relevant clinical outcomes and the clinical spectrum of disease are needed.

The objective of this systematic literature review (SLR) was to summarize published data on respiratory and bulbar functions, including swallowing, feeding, and speech, in patients with SMA who were not receiving DMTs.

METHODS

Standard protocol approvals and registrations

The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses for Protocols 2020 guidelines [26] were used to report this SLR. The predefined protocol for the SLR was not registered. Ethical approval was not required as data used in our analyses were extracted from published studies.

Search methodology

Searches were conducted on May 29, 2021, and updated on June 27, 2022. The electronic databases used for the systematic search were Embase, MEDLINE (including MEDLINE Epub Ahead of Print, MEDLINE In-Process and Other Non-Indexed Citations, and MEDLINE Daily), and Evidence-Based Medicine Reviews (incorporating: the Health Technology Assessment Database, National Health Service Economic Evaluation Database, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects, and the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews), accessed via the Ovid platform. A full list of search terms is available in Supplementary Tables 1, 2, and 3. Additional searches were also performed to identify relevant publications published as conference proceedings (2018–2022), as citations in included publications, and on health technology assessment body websites.

The search strategy for the systematic search was previously published in Aponte Ribero et al. [27].

Eligibility criteria were determined based on the Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcomes, and Study (PICOS) framework [28]. The aim of these analyses was to summarize the data available on respiratory and bulbar outcomes in SMA. Therefore, we framed our eligibility criteria to identify studies reporting data on respiratory function, the need for ventilatory support or tracheostomy, and bulbar function (in particular, swallowing, feeding, and speech) in patients with Types 1–3 SMA, with a primary interest in time-to-event data (Supplementary Table 4). Eligible studies included observational studies, case–control studies, cross-sectional studies, and case series.

Study selection and data extraction

Screening of citations by title and abstract (all citations) and full publication (for citations deemed potentially relevant based on title and abstract screening) was conducted by two independent analysts (SB and SM). Data on study design, baseline characteristics, and treatment outcomes were extracted into summary tables by an analyst (SB). All data were independently checked against the source document by a second analyst (SM), and any disputes resolved by consensus.

Assessment of bias and quality of evidence

Risk of bias assessments based on the study design of the individual studies were conducted by an analyst (SB) using the analytical cross-sectional study checklist from the Joanna Briggs Institute [29].

Data synthesis and inclusion of studies for analysis

Although the search covered several functional outcomes, for the purpose of this analysis, only studies reporting outcomes on respiratory and bulbar functions (including terms relating to swallowing, feeding, and speech) were considered. Natural history data on motor function, scoliosis, and contracture outcomes were previously published by Aponte Ribero et al. [27].

Numerical time-to-event data (i.e., mean or median time-to-event) and non-time-to-event data (i.e., rates, mean baseline, follow-up, or change from baseline score) for the relevant outcomes were extracted and summarized.

RESULTS

In the original search (May 29, 2021), 6,475 articles were identified (Supplementary Figure 1). Out

of these, 5,027 titles and abstracts were screened, of which 91 articles were selected as potentially relevant. Of these, 26 articles were excluded based on the Population, Interventions, Comparators, and Outcomes (PICO) framework criteria. Sixty-eight articles were selected for inclusion in the SLR (including three articles identified via handsearching). In the updated search (June 27, 2022), an additional 23 records were deemed eligible for inclusion. In total, 91 publications were finally included in the SLR (Fig. 1); 43 of these reported data on respiratory, swallowing, feeding, and speech functions, which are considered in the present publication (Supplementary Table 5). The studies excluded from the SLR are indicated in Supplementary Table 6.

The reviewed studies covered registries across Europe, Asia, the USA, and Canada. Publication dates ranged from 1989–2022, with approximately 90% of studies published in 2012 or later. Studies included patients with Types 0–4 SMA. Only one study reported by *SMN2* copy number rather than SMA type [30]. There was limited reporting of patient characteristics and variability in the definitions of SMA types, SMA phenotypes, and patient characteristics. In addition, variability in the definitions for swallowing and feeding was noted across the reviewed studies, with some of these referring to swallowing difficulties as feeding difficulties.

A total of 23 publications reported data relating to respiratory function, including numerical time-toevent data for respiratory failure/support (Table 1) [8, 13, 14, 31], as well as Kaplan–Meier (KM) [8, 9, 14, 32, 33] data, and additional cross-sectional and longitudinal data for forced vital capacity (FVC) (Supplementary Table 7), peak cough flow (PCF) (Table 2), sniff nasal inspiratory pressure (SNIP) (Table 3), and polysomnography data (Table 4) [7, 8, 13, 14, 31–48]. The SLR identified 27 publications that reported data relating to swallowing, feeding, and/or speech functions in patients with SMA (Supplementary Data Extraction Table).

Respiratory function outcomes

Requirement for ventilatory support or time to respiratory failure

Details of the development of respiratory failure or the requirement for ventilatory support, in the form of tracheostomy positive pressure ventilation (TPPV) or non-invasive ventilation (NIV), were extracted in the form of KM curve data [8, 9, 14, 32, 33] or numerical time-to-event data (Table 1) [8, 13, 14, 31]. Overall, the reviewed studies demonstrated that patients with Type 1 SMA required ventilatory support by 12 months of age [8, 9, 14, 32]. Kaneko et al. 2017 [14] reported that in patients with Type 1 SMA, a lack of head control and earlier age at disease onset were associated with a more rapid decline in respiratory function.

KM analyses of the timing of the introduction of TPPV illustrated that $\sim 80\%$ of participants with Type 1a SMA (no head control, age at diagnosis ≤ 2 weeks) required TPPV by 12 months of age compared with $\sim 10\%$ of those with Type 1b SMA (head control, age at diagnosis by < 3 months) [14]. The median age at the initiation of TPPV was 6 months for patients with Type 1a SMA and 12 months for those with Type 1b SMA [14]. In patients with Type 1 SMA in the Pediatric Neuromuscular Clinical Research Network study dataset, the median age at which participants were reported to reach the composite endpoint of death or requiring permanent ventilation (>16 hours/day of NIV) was 13.5 months (interquartile range [IQR] 8.1-22.0) [9]. No significant difference was reported between the age at which the composite endpoint was met for patients with Type 1b versus 1c SMA (11.9 months [IQR 7.0–22.0] vs 13.6 months [IQR 8.8–20.1]; p = 0.58) [9]. However, differences were observed by SMN2 copy number; patients with two SMN2 copies reached the composite endpoint at a younger age (median age 10.5 months [IQR 8.1-13.6]) compared with those with three SMN2 copies (median age not reached; 25th percentile: 22.0 months) [9].

Where compared, patients with Type 1 SMA typically required NIV at an earlier age than those with Type 2 or 3 SMA; the ages at which NIV was initiated for patients with Type 2 or 3 SMA were reported to span the first to fifth decades of life [8, 13, 14, 31]. In an analysis of patients with Type 2 or 3 SMA [8], patients with Type 2a SMA (patients who were able to sit independently, but never stood or walked) had the greatest risk of losing respiratory function over time. Based on KM analyses, the percentages of patients who were predicted to require nocturnal ventilatory support at 20 years of age were $\sim 45\%$ for Type 2a SMA, ~8% for Type 2b (patients who were able to sit independently and were able to stand or walk with support), $\sim 5\%$ for Type 3a (symptom onset < 3 years), and none for Type 3b SMA (symptom onset > 3 years). At 25 years of age, $\sim 20\%$ of patients with Type 2b SMA were predicted to need ventilatory support. At 50 years of age, ~20% of patients with Type 3a SMA, and $\sim 10\%$ of patients



Fig. 1. PRISMA flow diagram. Figure modified from Aponte Ribero et al. 2023 [27]. *A PRISMA flow diagram of the original search is provided in Supplementary Figure 1. [†]Studies that only reported on motor function, scoliosis, and/or contracture outcomes were not considered further in this manuscript; data from these studies were described separately in Aponte Ribero et al. 2023. [‡]Some of the 43 studies reported on both respiratory and bulbar function outcomes. Abbreviations: HTA = health technology assessment; PRISMA = Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses.

with Types 3b SMA (symptom onset > 3 years), were predicted to require ventilatory support [8].

A single study exploring breathing difficulties in patients with Type 2 SMA in the UK and Italy reported a lower median age at the start of NIV in participants in Italy versus the UK (3 vs 6 years), highlighting differences in clinical practice based on geographical location [13].

FVC

Data relating to FVC were reported in 16 studies (Supplementary Table 7) [33–48]. Where details of lung function tests were reported, assessments generally included spirometry, conducted with the patient in a sitting position, and the best results of three measurements were selected for analysis [33, 34, 39, 42, 44, 46]. The format of reporting of FVC data across the studies included baseline data (or data from crosssectional studies) and, most commonly, longitudinal data which were generally reported as annual declines in predicted FVC across the studies (Supplementary Table 7). All the studies included patients with Type 2 and/or 3 SMA [33–48], while five studies additionally included patients with Type 1 and/or 4 SMA [35, 36, 39, 42, 48]. In general, increased disease severity corresponded with a lower FVC [35, 42, 44–46, 48].

Three studies reported longitudinal changes in FVC graphically and demonstrated that FVC is

Author year	Data source, territory, population	Ν	Age	Outcomes
Aguerre 2020 [31]	A third-level pediatric hospital in Argentina Type 1 SMA	59	Children	Mean age at developing respiratory failure (SD), months • Type 1b SMA: 5.9 (2.32) • Type 1c SMA: 13.8 (5.6)
Kaneko 2017 [14]	Japanese individuals enrolled by questionnaire who completed the questionnaire Types 1–3 SMA	112 • Type 1 : 47 • Type 2 : 42 • Type 3 : 23	Adults and children	Median age at start of non-invasive positive pressure ventilation (range), months: • Type 1a SMA $(n=3)$: 14 (5–51) • Type 1b SMA $(n=2)$: 23 (15–29) • Type 2a SMA $(n=6)$: 105.5 (27–379) • Type 2b SMA $(n=6)$: 37 (24–160) • Type 3a SMA $(n=2)$: 336 and 468 (two patients) • Type 3b SMA $(n=1)$: 600 (single patient) Median age at start of TPPV (range), months: • Type 1a SMA $(n=35)$, 6 (2–51) • Type 1b SMA $(n=3)$, 12 (11–122)
Wadman 2021 [13]	Data from a single-center retrospective study in the UK and a prospective multicenter study in Italy Type 2 SMA	146	Children and adolescents	Median age at start of NIV (range), years • Total cohort (<i>n</i> = 146): 4 (1–17) • UK (<i>n</i> = 72): 6 (2–17) • Italy (<i>n</i> = 74): 3 (1–11)
Wadman 2017 [8]	Dutch patient organization for neuromuscular diseases The Netherlands Types 0–4 SMA	200 • Type 0/1a: 3 • Type 1b: 16 • Type 1c: 23 • Type 2a: 49 • Type 2b: 38 • Type 3a: 33 • Type 3b: 33 • Type 4 : 5	Children and adolescents	Mean age at start of NIV (range), years: • Type 0/1a SMA $(n = 3)$: 0 • Type 1b SMA $(n = 16)$: 0.8 $(0.6-1.1)$ • Type 1c SMA $(n = 23)$: 11 $(1.3-36)$ Mean age at start of ventilatory support at night (range), years: • Type 2a SMA $(n = 49)$: 14 $(2-38)$ • Type 2b SMA $(n = 38)$: 19 $(2-66)$ • Type 3a SMA $(n = 33)$: 46 $(15-61.5)$ • Type 3b SMA $(n = 33)$: 40 (39.6) Mean age at start of ventilatory support > 16 hours/day (range), years: • Type 2b SMA $(n = 49)$: 20 $(16-24)$ • Type 2b SMA $(n = 38)$: 31 (31) • Type 3a SMA $(n = 33)$: 34 $(20-47)$ • Type 3b SMA $(n = 33)$: 0

Table 1 Summary of time-to-event data for respiratory failure/support

Abbreviations: NIV = non-invasive ventilation; SD = standard deviation; SMA = spinal muscular atrophy; SMN = survival of motor neuron; TPPV = tracheostomy positive pressure ventilation.

predicted to decline in a non-linear fashion over time in all SMA types [33, 39, 46]. These data indicated that decline in FVC is most pronounced at younger ages followed by a slower rate of decline (or even stable course) during adulthood in patients with Types 1–3 SMA. For example, Trucco et al. 2021 [33] reported that FVC % was predicted to decline by 4.2% per year between 5 and 13 years of age, followed by a decline of 1% per year after the age of 13 years in patients with Type 2 SMA. Similarly, in patients with Type 3 SMA, FVC was predicted to decline by 6.3% per year from 8–13 years of age, followed by a slower decline of 0.9% per year. A single study [33] reported the age at which clinically meaningful thresholds of predicted FVC (60, 40, and 20%) were achieved in patients with Types 2 and 3 SMA. The median age at which predicted FVC < 60% was met was 12.8 years for patients with Type 2 SMA. The median age was not reached across analyses of 40% and 20% predicted FVC for patients with Type 2 SMA or for any of the analyses in patients with Type 3 SMA.

PCF

PCF data were reported in three studies (Table 2) [7, 42, 44]. Chabanon et al. 2018 [44] reported in the baseline data of a prospective and longitudinal natural history study, that median PCF % predicted values were lowest (indicating more impaired lung

Author year	Data source, territory, population	Ν	Age	PCF outcome data reported
Chabanon 2018 [44]	NatHis-SMA Belgium, France, and Germany Types 2 and 3 SMA	81	Adults and children	 PCF % predicted values, median (IQR): Type 2 SMA, non-sitter (n = 15): 43 (34-62) Type 2 SMA, sitter (n = 9): 68 (51-79) Type 3 SMA, non-ambulant (n = 9): 88 (67-112) Type 3 SMA, ambulant (n = 10): 79 (70-88) Overall (n = 43): 69 (45-84) Patients > 6 years of age performed PCF assessments in the sitting position which were captured with the Vitalograph spirometer; the best results of three measurements were selected for analysis
Kapur 2019 [42]	Children attending the Children's Health Queensland, Brisbane, Australia Types 1–3 SMA	25	Children	PCF, median L/min (IQR) from a cross-sectional study: • Type 2 SMA: 178.8 (246.0) • Type 3 SMA: 277.8 (124.8) • All patients: 231.9 (181.8) Median Z scores lower in patients who needed NIV (results displayed graphically); $p = 0.75$ Children who refused to perform a respiratory test were not forced. Standard testing methods were used
Veldhoen 2022 [7]	Patients enrolled in this study were participating in a prospective, population-based prevalence cohort study on SMA in the Netherlands (Wadman 2017, 2018, 2020) Types 1–3 SMA	80	Adults and children	Longitudinal patterns of PCF reported graphically • PCF was lowest in Type 1c SMA:<160 L/min throughout life • Patients with Type 2 SMA reached 160–270 L/min, with clear differences between Types 2a and 2b SMA • Patients with Type 3 SMA had higher PCF values from earlier ages onwards in comparison with Type 2b SMA, but median values were still below normal

Table 2 Summary of studies reporting PCF outcomes

Abbreviations: IQR = interquartile range; NatHis = natural history; NIV = non-invasive ventilation; PCF = peak cough flow; SMA = spinal muscular atrophy.

function) in patients classified as Type 2 SMA nonsitters (43%) compared with Type 2 SMA sitters (68%) and those with Type 3 SMA (non-ambulant: 88%; ambulant: 79%). Similarly, in a cross-sectional study of childhood SMA, median PCF values (L/min) were lower in patients with Type 2 SMA than in patients with Type 3 SMA (178.8 vs 277.8 L/min; p=0.23) [42]. In a natural history study that analyzed the longitudinal patterns of PCF in patients with Types 1–3b SMA [7], all but those with Type 3b SMA had a lowered PCF compared with the therapeutic thresholds of 270 L/min, indicating vulnerability to respiratory failure during otherwise trivial respiratory tract infections. PCF values were lowest in patients with Type 1c SMA (<160 L/min throughout life, the boundary below which secretion clearance becomes ineffective). Patients with Type 2 SMA reached values between 160 and 270 L/min after early childhood. For those with Type 2a SMA, median PCF remained around 160 L/min during adolescence and early adulthood, whereas in patients with Type 2b SMA, median PCF steadily increased until (early) adulthood. PCF values in patients with Type 3a SMA were higher from earlier ages onwards in comparison with Type 2b; however, median values were still well below therapeutic thresholds.

Author year	Data source, territory, population	N	Age	SNIP outcome data reported
Chabanon 2018 [44]	NatHis-SMA Belgium, France, and Germany Types 2 and 3 SMA	81	Adults and children	SNIP % predicted values, median (IQR) • Type 2 SMA, non-sitter $(n = 15)$: 35 (22–64) • Type 2 SMA, sitter $(n = 9)$: 33 (26–56) • Type 3 SMA, non-ambulant $(n = 9)$: 56 (27–99) • Type 3 SMA, ambulant $(n = 10)$: 45 (35–76) • Overall $(n = 43)$: 39 (28–60) Patients > 6 years of age performed SNIP assessments in the sitting position which were captured with the MicroRPM device; the best results of three measurements were selected for analysis
Kapur 2019 [42]	Children attending the Children's Health Queensland, Brisbane, Australia Types 1–3 SMA	25	Children	SNIP median Z score (IQR) $(n = 14)$ from a cross-sectional study• Type 2 SMA: -2.53 (2.61)• Type 3 SMA: -1.32 (2.47)• All patients: -2.06 (2.87)Median Z scores were lower in patientswho needed NIV (results displayedgraphically); $p = 0.25$ Children who refused to perform arespiratory test were not forced. Standardtesting methods were used
Veldhoen 2022 [7]	Patients enrolled in this study were participating in a prospective, population-based prevalence cohort study on SMA in the Netherlands (Wadman 2017, 2018, 2020) Types 1–3 SMA	57	Adults and children	 SNIP, median (cmH₂O): Type 1c SMA: 33 Type 2a SMA: 44 Type 2b SMA: 59 Type 3a SMA: 58 Type 3b SMA: 55 Results also reported graphically in box plots There was a significant trend of increasing SNIP with less severe SMA types (<i>p</i> = 0.0053) All SNIP outcomes were below 75 cmH₂O, which is considered the lower limit of normal

Table 3 Summary of studies reporting SNIP outcomes

Abbreviations: IQR=interquartile range; NatHis=natural history; NIV=non-invasive ventilation; SMA=spinal muscular atrophy; SNIP=sniff nasal inspiratory pressure.

SNIP

Three studies reported data relating to SNIP, a summary of which is provided in Table 3 [7, 42, 44]. Although the studies reported different outcomes (SNIP % predicted values [44]; SNIP median Z scores [42]; SNIP in cmH₂O [7]), there was a trend across studies of increasing SNIP with less severe SMA types. In the natural history study of patients with Types 1–3 SMA by Veldhoen et al. 2022 [7], the increase in SNIP values with less severe SMA was reported to be statistically significant (p = 0.0053). In addition, the SNIP results for all participants (Types

1c–3b) were below the lower limit of normal (75 cmH_2O).

Polysomnography

A single study reported full diagnostic polysomnography results in patients with Types 1–3 SMA in terms of total apnea–hypopnea index (AHI) scores and rapid eye movement AHI scores (Table 4). The authors also compared diagnostic and NIV titration studies for children treated with NIV regardless of reason for NIV; an improvement in total AHI (NIV 3.65 vs no NIV 0.08; p < 0.04) and

Author year	Data source, territory, population	Ν	Age	Polysomnography outcome data reported
Kapur 2019 [42]	Children attending the Children's Health Queensland, Brisbane, Australia Types 1–3 SMA	25	Children	Median total AHI (IQR) from a cross-sectional study • Type 1 SMA: 7.6 (4.3) • Type 2 SMA: 2.9 (2.4) • Type 3 SMA: 3.1 (3.5) • All patients: 3.1 (4.9) Median REM AHI (IQR) from a cross-sectional study • Type 1 SMA: 20.0 (45.7) • Type 2 SMA: 5.8 (9.9) • Type 3 SMA: 4.6 (6.4) • All patients: 5.8 (9.1) Full diagnostic polysomnography was performed by standard methods

 Table 4

 Summary of studies reporting polysomnography outcomes

Abbreviations: AHI = apnea-hypopnea index; IQR = interquartile range; REM = rapid eye movement; SMA = spinal muscular atrophy.

Author year	Data source, territory, population	Ν	Age	Outcomes
Choi 2020 [51]	Retrospective chart reviews between January 2012 and March 2017 in a tertiary hospital Korea Type 1 SMA	11	Children	 Median age months (IQR): Patients began tube feeding: 6 (3–7) Discontinuation of oral breaks/milk feeding: 6 (3–6.5) The main reasons for switching to tube feeding were poor oral intake, recurrent pneumonia, and aspiration
Kaneko 2017 [14]	Japanese individuals enrolled by questionnaire who completed the questionnaire Types 1–3 SMA	112 • Type 1 : 47 • Type 2 : 42 • Type 3 : 23	Adults and children	 Median age at the start of tube feeding support (range), months: Type 1a SMA (n = 36): 4 (1-40) Type 1b SMA (n = 2): 12.5 (12-13)
Wadman 2021 [13]	Data from a single-center retrospective study in the UK and a prospective multicenter study in Italy Type 2 SMA	146	Children and adolescents	Median age at starting of feeding difficulties (range), years: • Total cohort ($n = 146$): 6.5 (0–16.5) • UK ($n = 72$): 6.9 (0–17) • Italy ($n = 74$): 6.0 (2–10) Median age at starting nasogastric tube (range), years: • UK ($n = 72$): 4.1 (1–17) • Italy ($n = 74$): NA Median age at gastrostomy (range), years: • UK ($n = 72$): 7.3 (3–19) • Italy ($n = 74$): NA

Table 5 Summary of studies reporting time-to-event feeding support outcomes (n=3)

Abbreviations: IQR = interquartile range; NA = not applicable; SMA = spinal muscular atrophy.

AHI during rapid eye movement sleep (NIV 12.9 vs no NIV 1.5; p < 0.05) was reported in participants requiring NIV [42].

Swallowing, feeding, and speech function outcomes

Twenty six reviewed studies (i.e., all of the studies in Supplementary Data Extraction Table except Johnson et al. 2021) reported swallowing, feeding, and/or speech deficits that impeded the individuals' ability to obtain full oral nutrition or to do so without experiencing symptoms, and one study was identified that reported intelligibility problems experienced by patients with SMA [16]. The prevalence of these disorders was noted to be impacted by SMA type and the extent of disease progression.

Swallowing and feeding difficulties

Thirteen studies reported on functional and physiologic characteristics of oropharyngeal swallowing difficulties [11, 13, 16, 33, 41, 44, 49–55]. These studies revealed a greater prevalence of difficulties with increasing SMA phenotype severity [11, 16, 33, 41, 44, 50, 55] and age [11].

The ability of patients with Type 1 SMA to eat by mouth declined with age: De Amicis et al. 2021 [54] reported that at a median age of 0.6 years, 83/105 patients (79%) were able to eat by mouth, whereas Choi et al. 2020 [51] reported that, among participants with a median age of 5.1 years (range 3.3–11 years), none (0/11) were able to eat. In a natural history study in infants with Type 1 SMA aged 3-24 months (n=8), 75% obtained all nutrition by mouth [52]. However, 65% required suctioning to aid secretion management and 50% exhibited swallowing deficits (absent pharyngeal stripping wave, absent epiglottic inversion, and absent pharyngoesophageal segment opening) which limited bolus clearance from the pharynx (63%) and led to bolus airway entry (75%) [52].

In one assessment of bulbar function in 11 patients with Type 1 SMA (mean age 11.8 years [range 1–38]) [16], the majority were reported to have mastication problems (64%), fatigue associated with mastication (73%), swallowing difficulties (64%), choking more than once a day (82%), or coughing with solid foods (64%). Similarly, in an observational study of infants with Type 1 SMA receiving palliative care (n = 11, age at study inclusion 52 days [range 16–252]) [53]), the most frequently reported feeding difficulties included coughing when eating (91%); short nursing sessions without finishing feeds (72%); wet breathing during or after feeding (64%); frequent nursing sessions (55%); and sweating when feeding (55%).

The swallowing and feeding difficulties reported in patients with Type 2 or 3 SMA included problems bringing food to the mouth, chewing problems, jaw opening problems (affecting biting, laughing, and yawning), oral hypersensitivity, drooling, choking, aspiration, prolonged mealtime, weight loss, and dysphagia [11, 13, 16, 33, 41, 50]. Differences in outcome assessment across the reviewed studies limited the reporting of numerical trends in this SLR; however, it must be noted that swallowing difficulties and choking were the most frequent bulbar dysfunctions reported across the reviewed studies [13, 16, 33, 41, 50]. In children and adolescents with Type 2 SMA from the UK and Italy [13], the median age of onset of feeding difficulties was 6.5 years (range 0–16.5 years). Feeding difficulties were more frequent in Type 2a versus Type 2b SMA (p < 0.01), but the age at onset was not influenced by SMA subtype [13].

Requirement for non-oral feeding support

Data relating to the use of non-oral feeding support (NGT or gastrostomy tube) by patients with Type 1-3 SMA were collated from 18 publications [9, 13, 14, 17, 33, 35, 44, 51, 54, 56-64]. Findings from these investigations demonstrated that the proportion of patients with SMA who relied on non-oral feeding support increased with increasing phenotype severity [9, 14, 54, 57, 63]. Across these studies, it was reported that 0-100% [9, 14, 35, 51, 54, 56-58, 60], 0-50% [9, 14, 35, 54, 56, 57], and 0-4% [14, 35, 56, 57] of patients with Type 1, 2, and 3 SMA, respectively, relied on a feeding tube to meet nutritional needs. A sub-analysis of rates by SMA severity indicated that the proportion of patients with severe (corresponding to 1.1 on the Dubowitz's decimal classification [87.5%; 7/8] or typical (1.5 on the Dubowitz's decimal classification [75%; 6/8]) Type 1 SMA who required non-oral feeding support was greater than in those with a moderate phenotype (1.9 on the Dubowitz's decimal classification [50%; 2/4]) over the 48-month assessment period [58].

Three studies reported on the age that non-oral nutritional support was provided in patients with Type 1 and Type 2 SMA (Table 5) [9, 14, 51]. Patients with Type 1 SMA required non-oral nutritional support earlier than those with Type 2 SMA [9, 14, 51]. Age at the time of non-oral nutritional support placement ranged from 4–12.5 months for patients with Type 1 SMA [14, 51], and from 30–55 months in patients with Type 2 SMA [9].

Speech difficulties

One study reported details of speech difficulties in patients with SMA (Types 1–3a) [16]. Speech difficulties included shortness of breath (46% in Type 1 SMA, 24% in Type 2 SMA, and 15% in Type 3a SMA) or fatigue (36% in Type 1 SMA, 16% in Type 2 SMA, and 15% in Type 3a SMA) associated with talking or being asked to repeat a conversation (46% in Type 1 SMA, 26% in Type 2 SMA, and 19% in Type 3a SMA), suffering from a sore throat (18% in Type 1 SMA, 20% in Type 2 SMA, and 26% in Type 3a SMA), or weak voice (27% in Type 1 SMA, 35% in Type 2 SMA, and 23% in Type 3 SMA). As reflected by these data, frequency of all speech diffi-

899

culties increased with SMA severity except for sore throat and weak voice.

DISCUSSION

DMTs are being increasingly introduced into the treatment pathway for SMA worldwide, making natural history comparator data essential to demonstrate the impact of treatment on long-term outcomes [25]. In line with the known SMA phenotypes, data collated in this SLR provided evidence that patients with Type 1 SMA experience rapid respiratory deterioration in the first year of life, typically requiring therapies to support airway clearance and ventilation. Among patients with Type 1 SMA, the requirement for ventilatory support was associated with an earlier age of disease onset, lack of head control, and lower SMN2 copy number [9, 14]. Declines in respiratory function over time were also reported in patients with Type 2 or 3 SMA, with patients with Type 2a SMA being at a greater risk [8]. This review shows that patients with Type 2 or 3 SMA continue to be at risk of a decline in respiratory function even at older ages, with ventilatory support initiated between the first and fifth decades of life [8, 13, 14].

The progressive decline in motor function in SMA was reported to cause swallowing and feeding difficulties, and/or the requirement for nutritional support. Swallowing and feeding difficulties affected patients across the SMA spectrum (Types 1-3 SMA), and included chewing problems, choking, and aspiration [13, 14, 33, 41, 51]. A higher rate of feeding difficulties or the requirement for nutritional support, in the form of an NGT or gastrostomy placement, was associated with increasing SMA severity [14, 33, 41, 44, 51]. In fact, the requirement for an NGT or gastrostomy was consistently reported in patients with Type 1 SMA across studies, whereas few or no patients with Type 2 or 3 SMA were reported to receive this level of nutritional support [9, 35, 51, 54, 56-58, 60]. Speech and communication difficulties were additional aspects of bulbar function reported to be negatively affected by SMA [16].

This review highlights the limited data available in the literature on respiratory and bulbar function outcomes. Data for feeding difficulties, the need for nutritional support, and intelligibility problems were reported at baseline only, with no KM data or longterm follow-up data reported. Although information relating to a wide range of respiratory function outcomes was collated, except for predicted FVC, none or very limited data were identified for PCF, SNIP, polysomnography, oximetry, or blood gas analysis outcomes. In addition, few studies reporting KM, time-to-event, or long-term follow-up data on respiratory function in patients with SMA were identified.

Although the overall trend was that respiratory function declined over time in patients with SMA, where longitudinal predicted FVC data were reported, results should be interpreted with consideration of the impact of modeling choice, as FVC is predicted to decline in a non-linear fashion in all SMA types, with larger declines observed at younger ages, followed by stabilization at older ages. It is also important to note that spirometry requires patient cooperation to perform reliably. Spirometry may be difficult, especially in younger children who may not understand instructions, and in patients with severe muscle weakness who are unable to physically generate sufficient effort upon exhalation [65, 66], further highlighting the need to interpret these results with caution. FVC data were reported in children from 5 years of age; however, only one study [33] reported data from patients aged < 6 years (Supplementray Table 7). It is considered that reliable spirometry can generally be performed on children from 6 years of age; however, younger children are able to perform spirometry with training and normal reference ranges for spirometry are available from 4 years of age [68].

Potential differences in standard of care between countries should be considered for the interpretation of data on respiratory and nutritional interventions in patients with SMA, particularly in less severe SMA phenotypes where guidelines are less stringent, and where interventions depend on the evaluation of the treating physician [13].

In the interpretation of our results, it must be noted that definitions and outcome assessments varied across the reviewed studies. Most studies assessed measures of feeding/nutrition with a self-designed questionnaire and/or through clinical observations; one study used the Oral and Swallowing Abilities Tool checklist [49], a second used videofluoroscopic swallow study (VFSS) [52], and a third used a combination of a VFSS and the Neuromuscular disease Swallowing Status Scale [51]. A VFSS is recommended in SMA standard of care if clinical signs of dysphagia are present [69]. In addition, although subjective symptoms relating to the consequences of weak swallowing function (e.g., NGT feeding, gastrostomy placement, aspiration) were captured, reporting on objective measures of swallowing function was inconsistent across the reviewed studies.

As a limitation of this study, the systematic search was restricted to selected respiratory, swallowing, feeding, and speech functions, and as a result did not capture data relating to other signs of bulbar dysfunction such as drooling. In addition, although eligible publications were restricted to Types 1–3 SMA, there was inter-study heterogeneity in the definition of SMA phenotype and patient characteristics. Therefore, statistical comparisons were not possible, and only descriptive results were reported. Nevertheless, as the clinical classification of SMA was established in the 1980s and the gene locus for 5q SMA was identified in the 1990s, the data and reviewed studies are related to 5q SMA and provide an overview of the natural history evidence that is available.

The effects of SMA treatment have been reported to vary depending on the clinical outcome assessed; for example, DMTs have been demonstrated to improve motor function in patients with SMA [23, 70–73]; however, effects on bulbar function are not as evident [74–76].

The heterogeneity of the results presented emphasizes that there are no standardized assessments used in clinical practice to record the bulbar symptoms of SMA. Therefore, generalizations of treatment effects across SMA symptoms cannot be made. This, in addition to the clinical consequences of bulbar function decline in most patients with SMA who do not receive DMT, highlights the importance of monitoring respiratory and bulbar function outcomes.

CONCLUSIONS

Natural history data remain an important comparator in the assessment and acceptance of new treatments. This SLR provides a comprehensive repository of natural history data on respiratory and bulbar function in patients with Types 1–3 SMA. Findings indicated that greater declines in respiratory function were observed in patients with more severe disease. Respiratory function rapidly declined in patients with Type 1 SMA, with patients requiring respiratory support by 12 months of age. Patients with Type 1 SMA typically required NIV at an earlier age than those with Type 2 or 3 SMA who initiated respiratory support between the first and fifth decades of life.

Difficulties associated with swallowing, feeding, and speech were reported in patients with Types 1–3 SMA; however, their frequency and the types of deficits reported are determined by SMA type and the extent of SMA progression. A greater proportion of individuals with Type 1 SMA reported fatigue or shortness of breath when speaking than patients with Type 2 or 3 SMA. Individuals with Type 1 SMA also had the highest need for nutritional and feeding support.

The heterogeneity of swallowing outcomes identified in this SLR highlights that there are no standardized assessments routinely reported that measure bulbar function in SMA. In addition, the assessments that are available are not consistently applied across patients, making it difficult to assess and compare how bulbar function changes over the long term. Standardized evaluation of swallowing, feeding, and speech are needed to further understand the natural history of SMA.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Medical writing and editorial assistance was provided by Rebecca Sullivan, PhD (Mtech Access, Bicester, UK), who helped to draft the manuscript, and Laura Pérez-Pachón, PhD (Nucleus Global, London, UK), who helped with manuscript revisions, copyediting, and word processing, in accordance with Good Publication Practice (GPP) 2022 guidelines (https://www.ismpp.org/gpp-2022). Medical writing support was funded by F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Basel, Switzerland.

FUNDING

This research was funded by F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Basel, Switzerland.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Y. Martí is employed by, and owns stock in, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. V. Aponte Ribero was an employee of F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd at the time of the study conduct. S. Batson was employed as a consultant to F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. S. Mitchell was employed as a consultant to F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. K. Gorni is employed by, and owns stock in, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. N. Gusset has conducted consultancy and lectures for Biogen, Novartis, and F. Hoffmann-La Roche. The institution of M. Oskoui has received research support from Biogen, Roche Genentech, Muscular Dystrophy Canada, and the Canadian Institutes of Health Research. L. Servais has conducted consultancy for Novartis, F. Hoffmann-La Roche, Biogen, Scholar Rock, and Bio-Haven, and he has received grants from Novartis, F. Hoffmann-La Roche and Biogen. N. Deconinck received consulting fees from F. Hoffmann-La Roche, Novartis, and Biogen, and his institution received grants from F. Hoffmann-La Roche and Novartis. KE. McGrattan has been employed as a consultant to F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Biogen, and Novartis and received grants from F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd and Biogen. E. Mercuri has conducted consultancy for Novartis, F. Hoffmann-La Roche, Biogen, and Scholar Rock, and his institution has received grants from Novartis, F. Hoffmann-La Roche, and Biogen. CS. Sutherland is employed by, and owns stock in, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors contributed to the study concept and design, data interpretation, and drafting and/or revision of the manuscript. S. Batson and S. Mitchell acquired and analyzed the data.

DATA AVAILABILITY

Data from this study are available within the manuscript and supplementary material.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The supplementary material is available in the electronic version of this article: https://dx.doi.org/ 10.3233/JPD-230248.

REFERENCES

- [1] Verhaart IEC, Robertson A, Wilson IJ, Aartsma-Rus A, Cameron S, Jones CC, et al. Prevalence, incidence and carrier frequency of 5q-linked spinal muscular atrophy - a literature review. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2017;12(1):124. doi: 10.1186/s13023-017-0671-8.
- [2] D'Amico A, Mercuri E, Tiziano FD, Bertini E. Spinal muscular atrophy. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2011;6:71. doi: 10.1186/1750-1172-6-71.
- [3] Kolb SJ, Kissel JT. Spinal muscular atrophy: A timely review. Arch Neurol. 2011;68(8):979-84. doi: 10.1001/archneurol.2011.74.
- [4] Emmady PD, Bodle J. Werdnig Hoffmann Disease. StatPearls. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing Copyright © 2023, StatPearls Publishing LLC.; 2023.
- [5] Lunn MR, Wang CH. Spinal muscular atrophy. Lancet. 2008;371(9630):2120-33. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60921-6.
- [6] Gubitz AK, Feng W, Dreyfuss G. The SMN complex. Exp Cell Res. 2004;296(1):51-6. doi: 10.1016/j.yexcr.2004.03.022.

- [7] Veldhoen ES, Wijngaarde CA, Hulzebos EHJ, Wösten-van Asperen RM, Wadman RI, van Eijk RPA, et al. Natural history of respiratory muscle strength in spinal muscular atrophy: a prospective national cohort study. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2022;17(1):70. doi: 10.1186/s13023-022-02227-7.
- [8] Wadman RI, Stam M, Gijzen M, Lemmink HH, Snoeck IN, Wijngaarde CA, et al. Association of motor milestones, SMN2 copy and outcome in spinal muscular atrophy types 0-4. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2017;88(4):365-7. doi: 10.1136/jnnp-2016-314292.
- [9] Finkel RS, McDermott MP, Kaufmann P, Darras BT, Chung WK, Sproule DM, et al. Observational study of spinal muscular atrophy type I and implications for clinical trials. Neurology. 2014;83(9):810-7. doi: 10.1212/wnl.00000000000741.
- [10] van den Engel-Hoek L, de Groot IJ, de Swart BJ, Erasmus CE. Feeding and Swallowing Disorders in Pediatric Neuromuscular Diseases: An Overview. J Neuromuscul Dis. 2015;2(4):357-69. doi: 10.3233/jnd-150122.
- [11] Messina S, Pane M, De Rose P, Vasta I, Sorleti D, Aloysius A, et al. Feeding problems and malnutrition in spinal muscular atrophy type II. Neuromuscul Disord. 2008;18(5):389-93. doi: 10.1016/j.nmd.2008. 02.008.
- [12] Weststrate H, Stimpson G, Thomas L, Scoto M, Johnson E, Stewart A, et al. Evolution of bulbar function in spinal muscular atrophy type 1 treated with nusinersen. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2022;64(7):907-14. doi: 10.1111/dmcn.15171.
- [13] Wadman RI, De Amicis R, Brusa C, Battezzati A, Bertoli S, Davis T, et al. Feeding difficulties in children and adolescents with spinal muscular atrophy type 2. Neuromuscul Disord. 2021;31(2):101-12. doi: 10.1016/j.nmd.2020.12.007.
- [14] Kaneko K, Arakawa R, Urano M, Aoki R, Saiito K. Relationships between long-term observations of motor milestones and genotype analysis results in childhoodonset Japanese spinal muscular atrophy patients. Brain Dev. 2017;39(9):763-73. doi: 10.1016/j.braindev.2017.04.018.
- [15] Dunaway Young S, Pasternak A, Duong T, McGrattan KE, Stranberg S, Maczek E, et al. Assessing Bulbar Function in Spinal Muscular Atrophy Using Patient-Reported Outcomes. J Neuromuscul Dis. 2023;10(2):199-209. doi: 10.3233/jnd-221573.
- [16] van der Heul AMB, Wijngaarde CA, Wadman RI, Asselman F, van den Aardweg MTA, Bartels B, et al. Bulbar Problems Self-Reported by Children and Adults with Spinal Muscular Atrophy. J Neuromuscul Dis. 2019;6(3):361-8. doi: 10.3233/jnd-190379.
- [17] De Sanctis R, Coratti G, Pasternak A, Montes J, Pane M, Mazzone ES, et al. Developmental milestones in type I spinal muscular atrophy. Neuromuscul Disord. 2016;26:754-9. doi: 10.1016/j.nmd.2016.10.002.
- [18] Paracha N, Hudson P, Mitchell S, Sutherland CS. Systematic Literature Review to Assess Economic Evaluations in Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA). Pharmacoeconomics. 2022;40(Suppl 1):69-89. doi: 10.1007/s40273-021-01095-6.
- [19] Veldhoen ES, Wijngaarde CA, van Eijk RPA, Asselman FL, Seddiqi N, Otto LAM, et al. Lung function decline preceding chronic respiratory failure in spinal muscular atrophy: a national prospective cohort study. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2023;18(1):40. doi: 10.1186/s13023-023-02634-4.
- [20] van der Heul AMB, Nievelstein RAJ, van Eijk RPA, Asselman F, Erasmus CE, Cuppen I, et al. Swallowing Problems in

Spinal Muscular Atrophy Types 2 and 3: A Clinical, Videofluoroscopic and Ultrasound Study. J Neuromuscul Dis. 2023;10(3):427-38. doi: 10.3233/jnd-221640.

- [21] Kant-Smits K, Hulzebos EHJ, Habets LE, Asselman FL, Veldhoen ES, van Eijk RPA, et al. Respiratory muscle fatigability in patients with spinal muscular atrophy. Pediatr Pulmonol. 2022;57(12):3050-9. doi: 10.1002/ppul.26133.
- [22] Hjartarson HT, Nathorst-Boos K, Sejersen T. Disease modifying therapies for the management of children with spinal muscular atrophy (5q SMA): An update on the emerging evidence. Drug Des Devel Ther. 2022;16:1865-83. doi: 10.2147/DDDT.S214174.
- [23] Masson R, Mazurkiewicz-Bełdzińska M, Rose K, Servais L, Xiong H, Zanoteli E, et al. Safety and efficacy of risdiplam in patients with type 1 spinal muscular atrophy (FIRE-FISH part 2): secondary analyses from an open-label trial. Lancet Neurol. 2022;21(12):1110-9. doi: 10.1016/s1474-4422(22)00339-8.
- [24] Day JW, Finkel RS, Chiriboga CA, Connolly AM, Crawford TO, Darras BT, et al. Onasemnogene abeparvovec gene therapy for symptomatic infantile-onset spinal muscular atrophy in patients with two copies of SMN2 (STR1VE): an openlabel, single-arm, multicentre, phase 3 trial. Lancet Neurol. 2021;20(4):284-93. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(21)00001-6.
- [25] Wijngaarde CA, Stam M, Otto LAM, van Eijk RPA, Cuppen I, Veldhoen ES, et al. Population-based analysis of survival in spinal muscular atrophy. Neurology. 2020;94(15):e1634e44. doi: 10.1212/WNL.00000000009248.
- [26] Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ. 2021;372:n71. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71.
- [27] Ribero VA, Martí Y, Batson S, Mitchell S, Gorni K, Gusset N, et al. Systematic Literature Review of the Natural History of Spinal Muscular Atrophy: Motor Function, Scoliosis, and Contractures. Neurology. 2023:10.1212/WNL.000000000207878. doi: 10.1212/wnl.000000000207878.
- [28] Amir-Behghadami M, Janati A. Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcomes and Study (PICOS) design as a framework to formulate eligibility criteria in systematic reviews. Emerg Med J. 2020;37(6):387. doi: 10.1136/emermed-2020-209567.
- [29] Joanna Briggs Institute. Critical appraisal tools [Online]. 2023. Available from: https://jbi.global/critical-appraisaltools. Accessed March 2023.
- [30] Carson VJ, Puffenberger EG, Bowser LE, Brigatti KW, Young M, Korulczyk D, et al. Spinal muscular atrophy within Amish and Mennonite populations: Ancestral haplotypes and natural history. PLoS One. 2018;13(9):e0202104. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0202104.
- [31] Aguerre V, De Castro F, Mozzoni J, Gravina LP, Araoz HV, Monges S. Natural History of Type 1 Spinal Muscular Atrophy in a Series of Argentinian Children. J Neuromuscul Dis. 2020;7(4):453-8. doi: 10.3233/jnd-200508.
- [32] Servais L, Baranello G, Boespflug-Tanguy O, Day JW, Deconinck N, Klein A, et al., editors. FIREFISH Parts 1 and 2: Safety and efficacy of risdiplam in Type 1 spinal muscular atrophy (3-year data). Presented at the European Paediatric Neurology Society Congress; May 2022.
- [33] Trucco F, Ridout D, Scoto M, Coratti G, Main ML, Muni Lofra R, et al. Respiratory Trajectories in Type 2 and 3 Spinal Muscular Atrophy in the iSMAC Cohort Study. Neurology. 2021;96(4):e587-e99. doi: 10.1212/WNL.000000000011051.

- [34] Annoussamy M, Seferian A, Daron A, Pereon Y, Cances C, Vuillerot C, et al. Natural history of Type 2 and 3 spinal muscular atrophy: 2-year NatHis-SMA study. Ann Clin Transl Neurol. 2021;8(2):359–73. doi: 10.1002/acn3. 51281.
- [35] Sansone VA, Coratti G, Pera MC, Pane M, Messina S, Salmin F, et al. Sometimes they come back: New and old spinal muscular atrophy adults in the era of nusinersen. Eur J Neurol. 2021;28(2):602-8. doi: 10.1111/ene.14567.
- [36] Melemeni A, Tsaroucha A, Papagiannakis N, Chatziioannou A, Papadopoulos C, Kararizou E, et al. Respiratory function evaluation in treatment-naive patients with Spinal Muscular Atrophy. Eur J Neurol. 2021;28(Suppl. 1):833. doi.
- [37] Wolfe A, Scoto M, Milev E, Muni Lofra R, Abbott L, Wake R, et al. Longitudinal changes in respiratory and upper limb function in a pediatric type III spinal muscular atrophy cohort after loss of ambulation. Muscle Nerve. 2021;64(5):545-51. doi: 10.1002/mus.27404.
- [38] Brusa C, De Graaf J, Manzur A, Main M, Milev E, Iodice M, et al. Secondary outcomes of spinal surgery in patients with spinal muscular atrophy (SMA). Dev Med Child Neurol. 2020;62 (Supplement 1):56. doi: 10.1111/dmcn.14411.
- [39] Wijngaarde CA, Veldhoen ES, van Eijk RPA, Stam M, Otto LAM, Asselman FL, et al. Natural history of lung function in spinal muscular atrophy. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2020;15(1):88. doi: 10.1186/s13023-020-01367-y.
- [40] Wolfe A, Scoto M, Muni Lofra R, Milev E, Rohwer A, Wake R, et al. Investigating temporal changes in percent predicted FVC and RULM score in non-Ambulant SMA type III children. Arch Dis Child. 2020;105 (SUPPL 2):A29. doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2020-gosh.84.
- [41] Trucco FT, Ridout DR, Finkel RF, Mercuri EM, Scoto MS, Muntoni FM. Respiratory function in SMA type 2 and nonambulant SMA type 3: Longitudinal data from the international SMA consortium (iSMAc). Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology. 2020;62 (Supplement 1):67. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/dmcn.14411.
- [42] Kapur N, Deegan S, Parakh A, Gauld L. Relationship between respiratory function and need for NIV in childhood SMA. Pediatric Pulmonology. 2019;54(11):1774-80. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ppul.24455.
- [43] Trucco F, Ridout D, Finkel R, Mercuri E, Scoto M, Muntoni F, et al. P.223Respiratory function in SMA type 2 and non-ambulant SMA type 3, longitudinal data from the international SMA consortium (iSMAc). Neuromuscular Disorders. 2019;29 (Supplement 1):S131-S2. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nmd.2019.06.337.
- [44] Chabanon A, Seferian AM, Daron A, Pereon Y, Cances C, Vuillerot C, et al. Prospective and longitudinal natural history study of patients with Type 2 and 3 spinal muscular atrophy: Baseline data NatHis-SMA study. PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource]. 2018;13(7):e0201004. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0201004.
- [45] Seferian AM, Moraux A, Canal A, Decostre V, Diebate O, Le Moing AG, et al. Upper limb evaluation and one-year follow up of non-ambulant patients with spinal muscular atrophy: an observational multicenter trial. PLoS One. 2015;10(4):e0121799. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0121799.
- [46] Kaufmann P, McDermott MP, Darras BT, Finkel RS, Sproule DM, Kang PB, et al. Prospective cohort study of spinal muscular atrophy types 2 and 3. Neurology. 2012;79(18):1889-97. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1212/ WNL.0b013e318271f7e4.

- [47] Souchon F, Simard LR, Lebrun S, Rochette C, Lambert J, Vanasse M. Clinical and genetic study of chronic (types II and III) childhood onset spinal muscular atrophy. Neuromuscul Disord. 1996;6(6):419-24. doi: 10.1016/s0960-8966(96)00379-3.
- [48] Granata C, Merlini L, Magni E, Marini ML, Stagni SB. Spinal muscular atrophy: natural history and orthopaedic treatment of scoliosis. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 1989;14(7):760-2. doi: 10.1097/00007632-198907000-00019.
- [49] Berti B, Fanelli L, de Sanctis R, Onesimo R, Palermo C, Leone D, et al. Oral and Swallowing Abilities Tool (OrSAT) for Type 1 SMA Patients: Development of a New Module. J Neuromuscul Dis. 2021;8(4):589-601. doi: 10.3233/jnd-200614.
- [50] Chen Y-S, Shih H-H, Chen T-H, Kuo C-H, Jong Y-J. Prevalence and Risk Factors for Feeding and Swallowing Difficulties in Spinal Muscular Atrophy Types II and III. J Pediatr. 2012;160(3):447-51.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2011.08.016.
- [51] Choi YA, Suh DI, Chae JH, Shin HI. Trajectory of change in the swallowing status in spinal muscular atrophy type I. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol. 2020;130:109818. doi: 10.1016/j.ijporl.2019.109818.
- [52] McGrattan KE, Keeley M, McGhee H, Clemmens C, Hernandez K. Natural history of physiologic swallowing deficits in spinal muscular atrophy type 1. Dysphagia. 2019;34 (6):1004. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00455-019-10022-z.
- [53] van der Heul AMB, Cuppen I, Wadman RI, Asselman F, Schoenmakers MAGC, van de Woude DR, et al. Feeding and Swallowing Problems in Infants with Spinal Muscular Atrophy Type 1: an Observational Study. J Neuromuscul Dis. 2020;7(3):323-30. doi: 10.3233/JND-190465.
- [54] De Amicis R, Baranello G, Foppiani A, Leone A, Battezzati A, Bedogni G, et al. Growth patterns in children with spinal muscular atrophy. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2021;16(1):375. doi: 10.1186/s13023-021-02015-9.
- [55] Mazzella A, Cruz R, Belter L, Curry M, Dilek N, Zizzi C, et al. Assessing perspectives of disease burden and clinically meaningful changes using the Spinal Muscular Atrophy Health Index in adolescents and young adults. Muscle Nerve. 2022;66(3):276-81. doi: 10.1002/mus. 27644.
- [56] Alvarez K, Suarez B, Palomino MA, Hervias C, Calcagno G, Martínez-Jalilie M, et al. Observations from a nationwide vigilance program in medical care for spinal muscular atrophy patients in Chile. Arq Neuropsiquiatr. 2019;77(7):470-7. doi: 10.1590/0004-282x20190073.
- [57] Bladen CL, Thompson R, Jackson JM, Garland C, Wegel C, Ambrosini A, et al. Mapping the differences in care for 5,000 spinal muscular atrophy patients, a survey of 24 national registries in North America, Australasia and Europe. J Neurol. 2014;261(1):152-63. doi: 10.1007/s00415-013-7154-1.
- [58] De Sanctis R, Pane M, Coratti G, Palermo C, Leone D, Pera MC, et al. Clinical phenotypes and trajectories of disease progression in type 1 spinal muscular atrophy. Neuromuscul Disord. 2018;28(1):24-8. doi: 10.1016/j.nmd.2017.09.015.
- [59] Farrar MA, Vucic S, Johnston HM, du Sart D, Kiernan MC. Pathophysiological insights derived by natural history and motor function of spinal muscular atrophy. Journal of Pediatrics. 2013;162(1):155-9. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2012.05.067.
- [60] Oskoui M, Levy G, Garland CJ, Gray JM, O'Hagen J, De Vivo DC, et al. The changing natural history of spinal mus-

cular atrophy type 1. Neurology. 2007;69(20):1931-6. doi: 10.1212/01.wnl.0000290830.40544.b9.

- [61] Ou SF, Ho CS, Lee WT, Lin KL, Jones CC, Jong YJ. Natural history in spinal muscular atrophy Type I in Taiwanese population: A longitudinal study. Brain and Development. 2021;43(1):127-34. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.braindev.2020.07.012.
- [62] Pane M, Palermo C, Messina S, Sansone VA, Bruno C, Catteruccia M, et al. An observational study of functional abilities in infants, children, and adults with type 1 SMA. Neurology. 2018;91(8):e696-e703. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1212/WNL.00000000006050.
- [63] Darras BT, Guye S, Hoffart J, Schneider S, Gravestock I, Gorni K, et al. Distribution of weight, stature, and growth status in children and adolescents with spinal muscular atrophy: An observational retrospective study in the United States. Muscle Nerve. 2022;66(1):84-90. doi: 10.1002/mus.27556.
- [64] Johnson N, Paradis AD, Dave V, Macahilig C, Johnson C, Stephens JM, et al. POSC43 Healthcare Resource Use and Activities of Daily Living Status Among Adult Patients with Spinal Muscular Atrophy: A Natural History Multicountry Chart Review Study. Value in Health. 2022;25(1):S94. doi: 10.1016/j.jval.2021.11.448.
- [65] Chiang J, Mehta K, Amin R. Respiratory Diagnostic Tools in Neuromuscular Disease. Children (Basel). 2018;5(6):78. doi: 10.3390/children5060078.
- [66] Mangera Z, Panesar G, Makker H. Practical approach to management of respiratory complications in neurological disorders. Int J Gen Med. 2012;5:255-63. doi: 10.2147/IJGM.S26333.
- [67] Culver BH. Chapter 9 Pulmonary Function Testing. In: Spiro SG, Silvestri GA, Agustí A, editors. Clinical Respiratory Medicine (Fourth Edition). Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders; 2012. p. 133-42.
- [68] Stanojevic S, Wade A, Stocks J, Hankinson J, Coates AL, Pan H, et al. Reference ranges for spirometry across all ages: a new approach. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2008;177(3):253-60. doi: 10.1164/rccm.200708-12480C.
- [69] Mercuri E, Finkel RS, Muntoni F, Wirth B, Montes J, Main M, et al. Diagnosis and management of spinal muscular atrophy: Part 1: Recommendations for diagnosis, rehabilitation, orthopedic and nutritional care. Neuromuscul Disord. 2018;28(2):103-15. doi: 10.1016/j.nmd.2017.11.005.
- [70] Finkel RS, Mercuri E, Darras BT, Connolly AM, Kuntz NL, Kirschner J, et al. Nusinersen versus sham control in infantile-onset spinal muscular atrophy. N Engl J Med. 2017;377(18):1723-32. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1 702752.
- [71] Mercuri E, Darras BT, Chiriboga CA, Day JW, Campbell C, Connolly AM, et al. Nusinersen versus sham control in lateronset spinal muscular atrophy. N Engl J Med. 2018;378:625-35. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1710504.
- [72] Mercuri E, Deconinck N, Mazzone ES, Nascimento A, Oskoui M, Saito K, et al. Safety and efficacy of oncedaily risdiplam in type 2 and non-ambulant type 3 spinal muscular atrophy (SUNFISH part 2): a phase 3, doubleblind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet Neurol. 2022;21(1):42-52. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(21)00367-7.
- [73] Strauss KA, Farrar MA, Muntoni F, Saito K, Mendell JR, Servais L, et al. Onasemnogene abeparvovec for presymptomatic infants with two copies of SMN2 at risk for spinal muscular atrophy type 1: the Phase III SPR1NT trial. Nat Med. 2022;28(7):1381-9. doi: 10.1038/s41591-022-01866-4.

- [74] Aragon-Gawinska K, Seferian AM, Daron A, Gargaun E, Vuillerot C, Cances C, et al. Nusinersen in patients older than 7 months with spinal muscular atrophy type 1: A cohort study. Neurology. 2018;91(14):e1312-e8. doi: 10.1212/wnl.00000000006281.
- [75] Pechmann A, Behrens M, Dörnbrack K, Tassoni A, Stein S, Vogt S, et al. Effect of nusinersen on motor, respiratory and bulbar function in early-onset spinal muscular atrophy. Brain. 2023;146(2):668-77. doi: 10.1093/brain/awac252.
- [76] Leon-Astudillo C, Brooks O, Salabarria SM, Coker M, Corti M, Lammers J, et al. Longitudinal changes of swallowing safety and efficiency in infants with spinal muscular atrophy who received disease modifying therapies. Pediatr Pulmonol. 2024;doi: 10.1002/ppul.26919.