

Case Report

Preterm neonate delivered to COVID-19 positive mother on ECMO support

P. Balasundaram*, S. Louis and A. Thompson-Branch

Division of Neonatology, The Children's Hospital at Montefiore and Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY, USA

Received 8 July 2021

Revised 8 August 2021

Accepted 26 August 2021

Abstract. Despite ongoing research and recent discoveries, there remains a paucity of data regarding COVID-19 and its implications for pregnant women, particularly its effects on the developing fetus. To date, there are a limited number of articles available regarding the utility of Extra Corporeal Membrane Oxygenation (ECMO) for cardio-respiratory support of pregnant women during the perinatal period. Additionally, there are only a few case reports detailing the delivery management of a baby born to a mother on ECMO support. Here, we report a case of a premature, low birth weight neonate delivered by a 32-year-old woman while on ECMO due to severe acute respiratory distress syndrome resulting from COVID-19 infection.

Keywords: COVID-19, ECMO, premature neonate, SARS CoV-2

1. Background

The 2019 novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19), caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), is a global public health emergency. Despite ongoing research and recent discoveries, there remains a paucity of data regarding COVID-19 and its implications for pregnant women, particularly its effects on the developing fetus. To date, there are a limited number of articles available regarding the utility of Extra Corporeal Membrane Oxygenation (ECMO) for cardio-respiratory support of pregnant women during the perinatal period. Additionally, there are only a few case reports detailing the delivery management of a baby born to a mother on ECMO support. Here, we report a case of a 26-week

premature low birth weight (860 gram) neonate delivered by a 32-year-old woman while on ECMO due to severe acute respiratory distress syndrome resulting from COVID-19 infection.

2. Case presentation

At 23 weeks gestation, a 32-year-old G3P2002 woman presented to an outside hospital with a two-day history of fever, chills, headache, and cough in the setting of a recent COVID-19 exposure at work. Her past medical history included two prior cesarean sections, and uneventful current pregnancy. She had positive SARS-CoV-2 detected by PCR. In view of respiratory distress with supplemental oxygen requirement, she was admitted and started on intravenous Remdesivir (200 mg initial dose followed by 100 mg once daily) and Dexamethasone (6 mg daily). On the second day of admission, she experienced acute respiratory decompensation neces-

*Address for correspondence: Dr. Palanikumar Balasundaram, Division of Neonatology, Children's Hospital at Montefiore, 1601 Tenbroeck Avenue, 2nd Floor, Bronx, New York. 10461, Tel.: +1 718 904 4105; E-mail: dr.palanikumar82@gmail.com.

50 sitating invasive mechanical ventilation. In lieu of
51 her worsening clinical status and superimposed preg-
52 nancy, the decision was made to transfer her to our
53 facility with access to ECMO and a level 4 Neonatal
54 intensive care unit (NICU).

55 She was initially admitted to our Medical Intensive
56 care Unit (MICU) where she remained intubated, and
57 Remdesivir (10-day course) and dexamethasone were
58 continued. Due to worsening hemodynamic stabil-
59 ity, she was started on vasopressors: Norepinephrine,
60 epoprostenol, and subsequently vasopressin. Van-
61 comycin was started for superimposed bacterial
62 pneumonia. On hospital day 6, she was transferred
63 to Cardiac Surgery Intensive Care Unit (CSICU) for
64 ECMO consideration in view of worsening hypoxic
65 respiratory failure. The decision was made to place
66 her on venovenous (VV) ECMO.

67 Despite being on ECMO, oxygenation was still
68 a profound challenge. She received a course of
69 remdesivir, methylprednisolone, and antibiotics for
70 secondary bacterial pneumonia (respiratory culture
71 growing methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*,
72 and Chest x-ray showing persistent extensive bilateral
73 pulmonary opacities). Her course was complicated
74 by *Candida* fungemia (*Candida albicans*), acute
75 cholecystitis, severe upper gastrointestinal bleed-
76 ing requiring multiple packed red blood cells and
77 cryoprecipitate transfusions, atrial fibrillation requir-
78 ing cardioversion with amiodarone followed by
79 metoprolol and later mechanical cardioversion. Dur-
80 ing her stay in the CSICU on ECMO, she was
81 kept paralyzed and sedated with various medica-
82 tions such as propofol, fentanyl, and cisatracurium,
83 in addition to other sedatives and paralytics. She
84 also received nitric oxide for hypoxic respiratory
85 failure. Additionally, because of the ECMO, she
86 was also on an unfractionated heparin drip for
87 anticoagulation.

88 On the 20th day of admission, mom had a sponta-
89 neous vaginal delivery of her baby in her sedated,
90 paralyzed state at 26-weeks 2-days gestation. The
91 NICU team arrived expeditiously; the female infant
92 emerged with poor tone, dusky, with primary apnea.
93 She was warmed, dried, and stimulated, with a heart
94 rate less than 60/min requiring Positive pressure ven-
95 tilation (PPV), with which heart rate increased greater
96 than 100/min. APGAR score was 2,4,5 at 1, 5, and
97 10 minutes respectively. She was transferred to the
98 NICU and placed on a conventional ventilator. The
99 initial chest x-ray was consistent with respiratory
100 distress syndrome (RDS) and surfactant was admin-
101 istered.

102 The neonate had tonic-clonic seizure-like move-
103 ments of her right upper extremity, which was
104 suppressed by phenobarbital load followed by a
105 maintenance dose. Ultrasound head showed “no
106 intraventricular hemorrhage”. With the presentation
107 of seizures as well as the mother’s history of COVID-
108 19 infection, with superimposed bacterial pneumonia
109 and fungemia, antibiotics (linezolid and cefepime),
110 antifungal (amphotericin), and an antiviral (acy-
111 clovir) were started. During the initial stabilization
112 period, the baby became hypotensive, necessitating
113 an epinephrine drip for 48 hours. The echocardiog-
114 raphy revealed a large PDA with a left to right flow
115 with systemic pulmonary pressures.

116 The SARS COV-2 result was negative on days 1
117 and 3 of life. After 48 hours of negative blood culture
118 and negative HSV swab and HSV PCR, the linezolid
119 and acyclovir were discontinued, followed by the dis-
120 continuation of cefepime on DOL 7. Amphotericin
121 was continued for a 14-day course given the maternal
122 history of candida fungemia.

123 The neonate required multiple blood transfusions
124 during her NICU stay, including three red cell trans-
125 fusions, two platelet transfusions, and two Fresh
126 frozen plasma (FFP). During the infant’s NICU
127 stay, she has been managed for her prematurity,
128 bronchopulmonary dysplasia, apnea of prematurity,
129 metabolic bone disease, history of seizure-like activ-
130 ity, retinopathy of prematurity, and PDA. Her NICU
131 course was complicated by bilateral osteomyelitis of
132 her femurs for which, she is now status post-antibiotic
133 treatment.

134 At the time of submission of the report, the infant
135 is 117 days old; term corrected gestational age on
136 room air in the bassinet and on full enteral feeds
137 by an orogastric tube. As for the mother, after
138 delivery, the mother’s hypoxic state improved, and
139 she was decannulated from ECMO and placed on
140 a mechanical ventilator with tracheostomy on the
141 11th postpartum day (31st day of admission). She
142 was later transferred to a rehabilitation center, from
143 where she was discharged home without any respi-
144 ratory support. She exhibits grossly normal verbal
145 and ambulatory skills, though some impairment in
146 short-term memory is noted.

147 3. Discussion

148 The use of ECMO is rapidly increasing for adult
149 patients with cardiorespiratory failure [1]. The sur-
150 vival rate in pregnant women on ECMO is 75–80 %, 150

151 and 65–70% for the fetus [2, 3]. The decision to use
152 ECMO in pregnancy presents unique challenges. The
153 potential indications for ECMO in pregnancy include
154 acute respiratory distress syndrome due to pneu-
155 monia or transfusion-related lung injury, pulmonary
156 embolism, amniotic fluid embolism, cardiomyopa-
157 thy, and primary pulmonary hypertension with right
158 heart failure [2]. The clinical team must always weigh
159 the risk versus benefit, including the overall risk of
160 using ECMO in pregnant mothers, such as bleeding,
161 nosocomial infections (bloodstream, respiratory, uri-
162 nary tract, or wound infections), limb ischemia, and
163 venous thromboembolism [4].

164 Pregnant women with SARS-CoV-2 are more
165 likely to be hospitalized and at high risk for intensive
166 care admission and receipt of mechanical venti-
167 lation and when indicated ECMO, compared to
168 nonpregnant women with SARS-CoV-2; however,
169 the mortality rate is similar [5, 6]. The higher
170 morbidity risk among pregnant women with SARS-
171 CoV-2 may be explained by the pregnancy-related
172 physiologic changes, including a shift in CD4+
173 T cell population toward the Th2 phenotype over
174 Th1, the reduction in total lung capacity due to
175 diaphragmatic splinting by the gravid uterus, and
176 increased risk of thromboembolic events [7]. The pre-
177 dominant maternal complications with SARS-Cov-2
178 include cardiomyopathy, respiratory failure requiring
179 mechanical ventilation/ECMO, and death.

180 Delivery while on ECMO may be beneficial for
181 pregnant women. Cesarean section is the most used
182 mode of delivery, and labor induction could be con-
183 sidered when a cesarean cannot be performed, or
184 the fetus has died in utero. A multidisciplinary team
185 including the ECMO team, the obstetrician, anes-
186 thesiologist, and ICU doctors is needed in such
187 clinical scenarios. The risk of fetal morbidity and
188 mortality due to premature delivery needs to be
189 weighed against the risk of fetal morbidity due to
190 maternal illness and therapy [8]. The resuscitation
191 of a neonate born to pregnant women with SARS
192 CoV-2 should be performed, as per the American
193 Academy of Program, Neonatal Resuscitation Pro-
194 gram (NRP), with slight modifications in respiratory
195 care practices. Everyone in the neonatal resuscitation
196 team should don the personal protective equipment
197 (gown, gloves, N95 respirator mask, a face shield,
198 eye-protection goggles). The necessary precautions
199 need to be taken to decrease the risk of spread of
200 infection, particularly during aerosol-generating pro-
201 cedures such as bag-mask ventilation, endotracheal
202 intubation, invasive ventilator, non-invasive respira-

203 tory support (continuous positive airway pressure,
204 high-flow nasal cannula), and suctioning [9, 10].

205 Our neonatal patient in the case report is consistent
206 with other reports of the low vertical transmis-
207 sion rate of SARS-CoV-2; however, the placenta
208 may be affected in mothers with SARS Co-V2 [4,
209 5, 11]. Transplacental hematogenous transmission,
210 intrapartum transmission via exposure to maternal
211 infected secretions, and postpartum transmission via
212 respiratory droplets from the mother are possible
213 ways of maternal transmission of SARS CoV-2 to
214 the infant [12]. The risk of neonatal infection is
215 not greater with vaginal delivery, rooming-in with
216 mother, or breastfeeding, provided the respiratory
217 precautions, including wearing the mask, are under-
218 taken. [13, 14].

219 NICU admissions, prematurity, low birth weight,
220 and cesarean section are common expectations with
221 deliveries in mothers with SARS CoV-2 [15]. The
222 risk of preterm delivery is 20% [5]. The neonate born
223 to a mother with SARS-CoV-2 needs to be tested for
224 reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-
225 PCR) for SARS-CoV-2 at 24 and 48 to 72 hours of
226 life. Neonates should be assessed for clinical features
227 of SARS-CoV-2 infection, such as fever, cough, nasal
228 congestion, respiratory distress, decreased activity,
229 and feed intolerance. ECMO use during pregnancy
230 theoretically can be associated with a high risk for
231 maternal and fetal bleeding complications [3, 16].
232 The neonate in this case report had coagulopathy and
233 thrombocytopenia, which normalized after FFP and
234 platelet transfusion, possibly explained by maternal
235 underlying condition and prematurity. The neonate's
236 mother was on long-term unfractionated heparin,
237 which usually does not cross the placenta. Neonatal
238 outcomes of delivery of the mother on ECMO sup-
239 port for SARS CoV-2 are within the limit expected
240 for prematurity.

241 Acknowledgments

242 None

243 Disclosure statement

244 All authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

245 References

- 246 [1] Karagiannidis C, Brodie D, Strassmann S, Stoelben E,
247 Philipp A, Bein T, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygena-

- 248 tion: Evolving epidemiology and mortality. *Intensive Care*
 249 *Med.* 2016;42(5):889-96.
- 250 [2] Moore SA, Dielz CA, Coleman DM. Extracorporeal life
 251 support during pregnancy. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.*
 252 2016;151(4):1154-60.
- 253 [3] Sharma NS, Wille KM, Bellot SC, Diaz-Guzman E. Modern
 254 use of extracorporeal life support in pregnancy and postpar-
 255 tum. *ASAIO J.* 2015;61(1):110-4.
- 256 [4] Kotlyar AM, Grechukhina O, Chen A, Popkhadze S,
 257 Grimshaw A, Tal O, et al. Vertical transmission of coron-
 258 avirus disease 2019: A systematic review and meta-analysis.
 259 *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2021;224(1):35-53.e3.
- 260 [5] Huntley BJF, Huntley ES, Di Mascio D, Chen T, Berghella
 261 V, Chauhan SP. Rates of maternal and perinatal mortality
 262 and vertical transmission in pregnancies complicated by
 263 severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-
 264 Co-V-2) infection: A systematic review. *Obstet Gynecol.*
 265 2020;136(2):303-12.
- 266 [6] Zambrano LD, Ellington S, Strid P, Galang RR, Oduyebo
 267 T, Tong VT, et al. CDC COVID-19 response pregnancy
 268 and infant linked outcomes team. update: characteristics of
 269 symptomatic women of reproductive age with laboratory-
 270 confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection by pregnancy status -
 271 United States, January 22-October 3, 2020. *MMWR Morb*
 272 *Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2020;69(44):1641-7.
- 273 [7] Wastnedge EAN, Reynolds RM, van Boeckel SR, Stock SJ,
 274 Denison FC, Maybin JA, et al. Pregnancy and COVID-19.
 275 *Physiol Rev.* 2021;101(1):303-18.
- 276 [8] Zhang JJY, Ong JA, Syn NL, Lorusso R, Tan CS,
 277 MacLaren G, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygena-
 278 tion in pregnant and postpartum women: A systematic
 279 review and meta-regression analysis. *J Intensive Care Med.*
 280 2021;36(2):220-8.
- 281 [9] Shalish W, Lakshminrusimha S, Manzoni P, Keszler M,
 282 Sant'Anna GM. COVID-19 and neonatal respiratory care:
 283 Current evidence and practical approach. *Am J Perinatol.*
 284 2020;37(8):780-91.
- [10] Law BHY, Cheung PY, Aziz K, Schmölder GM. Effect of
 285 COVID-19 Precautions on neonatal resuscitation practice:
 286 A balance between healthcare provider safety, infection control,
 287 and effective neonatal care. *Front Pediatr.* 2020;8:478.
 288
- [11] Karimi-Zarchi M, Neamatzadeh H, Dastgheib SA, Abbasi
 289 H, Mirjalili SR, Behforouz A, et al. vertical transmission
 290 of coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19) from infected preg-
 291 nant mothers to neonates: A review. *Fetal Pediatr Pathol.*
 292 2020;39(3):246-50.
 293
- [12] Sankaran D, Nakra N, Cheema R, Blumberg D, Lakshmin-
 294 rusimha S. Perinatal SARS-CoV-2 infection and neonatal
 295 COVID-19: A 2021 update. *Neoreviews.* 2021;22(5):e284-
 296 e95.
 297
- [13] Walker KF, O'Donoghue K, Grace N, Dorling J, Comeau
 298 JL, Li W, et al. Maternal transmission of SARS-COV-2
 299 to the neonate, and possible routes for such transmis-
 300 sion: A systematic review and critical analysis. *BJOG.*
 301 2020;127(11):1324-36.
 302
- [14] Salvatore CM, Han JY, Acker KP, Tiwari P, Jin J, Brandler
 303 M, et al. Neonatal management and outcomes during the
 304 COVID-19 pandemic: An observation cohort study. *Lancet*
 305 *Child Adolesc Health.* 2020;4(10):721-7.
 306
- [15] Smith V, Seo D, Warty R, Payne O, Salih M, Chin KL,
 307 et al. Maternal and neonatal outcomes associated with
 308 COVID-19 infection: A systematic review. *PLoS One.*
 309 2020;15(6):e0234187.
 310
- [16] Douglass KM, Strobel KM, Richley M, Mok T, de St Mau-
 311 rice A, Fajardo V, et al. Maternal-neonatal dyad outcomes
 312 of maternal covid-19 requiring extracorporeal membrane
 313 support: A case series. *Am J Perinatol.* 2021;38(1):82-7.
 314