

FIRST INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON HEMORHEOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF ICELAND, REYKJAVIK, JULY, 1967

Announcements and statements at the Closing Session

THE following is an excerpt from the transcribed Proceedings of part of the Closing Session on July 16th. The other part of the Closing Session will appear in: *Hemorheology, Proceedings of the First International Conference on Hemorheology, University of Iceland, 1966*, edited by A. L. COPLEY, Pergamon Press, Oxford and New York, 1967, in press. Statements by—Professors S. OKA (Tokyo), M. JOLY (Paris) and G. BUGLIARELLO (Pittsburgh, Pa.)

A. L. Copley:

We come now to the second part of this closing session. I should like to thank all the speakers for the brief appraisals. We shall, of course, include them in the Proceedings of the Conference, and we shall publish in *Biorheology* the abstracts of all papers together with the appraisals just given. However, Dr. Scott Blair and I would appreciate it if the appraisals could be lengthened by our speakers since we believe the readers of *Biorheology*, who could not be present at this conference, would appreciate it if longer appraisals, if possible, could be submitted to Dr. Scott Blair or me for publication in *Biorheology*. The first statement will be given by Professor Oka about the *Biorheology Sessions at the Fifth International Congress on Rheology in Tokyo in 1968*.

Professor S. Oka:

I am a member of the Organizing Committee of the Fifth International Congress on Rheology, and I would like to make some announcements about the Congress. The Fifth International Congress on Rheology will be held from October 7th (Monday) to 11th (Friday) 1968 at the Kyoto International Conference Hall, Kyoto, Japan, under the auspices of the International Committee on Rheology with the Society of Material Science, Japan, and the Society of Polymer Science, Japan, as a host society. The program of the Congress will cover the entire field of rheology. In addition to contributed papers the program will include a number of lectures of special current interest by invited speakers and auxiliary events on which rheologists from various countries represented may exchange views. The details of the Congress will be given in the forthcoming circular. The circular will appear at the end of 1966 or in the beginning of 1967. All inquiries regarding the Congress should be addressed to the Chairman of the Organizing Committee of the Fifth International Congress on Rheology, Department of Polymer Chemistry, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan. Three rooms of the Kyoto International Conference Hall are arranged for the Congress. The actual Congress will be held during four days, and one day is for an excursion. The day for the excursion will be either the 9th or the 12th of October. Further details of the arrangements will be discussed at the occasion of the Fifteenth Annual Rheology Symposium to be held in Tokyo in October of this year. Although final plans have not yet been made, *biorheology sessions* will be included in the Fifth International Congress on Rheology. This will probably be organized in a certain form since the program of the Congress will cover the entire field of rheology.

A. L. Copley:

Thank you very much, Professor Oka. I hope that many of us, as well as others working in different fields of *biorheology*, will be able to go to Japan in two years. October, I recall is a very pleasant month in Kyoto. It is not so very hot then and I think it is a well chosen time. Now I should like to ask Professor Joly to give a statement and information on our Second International Conference on Hemorheology, in France, in three years from now.

Professor M. Joly:

Mr Chairman, my dear colleagues. The First International Conference on Hemorheology is just coming to its end, here in Reykjavik. Therefore, what one wants to do now is to look to the future, that is to say, for instance, to think about the Second International Conference on Hemorheology. As you know, the

International Society of Hemorheology has decided to have this next International Conference in France, taking into account the opportunity of a commemoration of the centenary of the death of Poiseuille who lived and worked in Paris 1799–1869. It will be a great honor and pleasure for the French hemorheologists to welcome in France their colleagues of all parts of the world. We have not yet decided about the exact date and place of this meeting in 1969 in France. It is still a little too early to choose a place. Paris or a provincial town, Tours, for instance, would be a very pleasant place. In a few months, we shall be able to give you more precise details about this conference. As you certainly easily understand after the beautiful and exciting conference we have had here in Reykjavik under the enthusiastic leadership of our President Professor Copley and with so many excellent and stimulating papers, it appears that it is a terribly dangerous job for us to organize with success and in good conditions a Second International Conference. We hope you will not be disappointed in 1969 by the results of our realizations in France. As probably you will hear from Professor Bugliarello, the Council here of the International Society of Hemorheology has decided to have two types of papers in this next conference; general or symposia lectures in the morning, and in the afternoon, sets of short specialized communications and discussions. What we have to do now is to organize the details of the “exercise” in France, as they say on the battlefield. What I am very glad to say now as a conclusion is: thank you very much, my dear colleagues for your confidence in the French hemorheologists for the local preparation of your next general meeting. I think that I can say in the name of all French hemorheologists that co-members of the International Society of Hemorheology will be welcome in France in 1969 for the Second International Conference.

A. L. Copley:

Thank you very much Professor Joly.

It is my hope, indeed, that many of you will be able to come to France in three years, and we shall hear more about the arrangements from Professor Joly and the committee which is just being formed. As far as the Congress on Rheology is concerned as well as the Second International Conference on Hemorheology Dr. Scott Blair and I shall make available to you in Biorheology any new information which comes to our attention. I should like to ask you, as the representatives of the different countries, here to make this conference, which is about to end, known in reports to be submitted to different leading scientific journals in your respective countries. I can announce thus far: Dr. Scott Blair will submit a report to *Nature*, Dr. Pfeiffer told me that he intends to submit reports to some German journals, and Professor Oka will submit a report to a journal in Japan. But as there are many more countries, and there are in the different countries different journals, will you, please, contact the other delegates from your respective countries and somehow sort out who is going to send reports to which journals. It is now my pleasure to ask Professor Bugliarello to give us an account about the preparations of the Society as it stands now. Before doing so, I should like again to thank, as I already did at our first meeting of the Council of the Society, Professor Wells, Professor Bugliarello, Dr. Whitmore, Dr. Scott Blair, Dr. Joly, Professor Oka and all the other members of the Organizing Committee for their help and for their advice without which this Conference never could have taken place. Here I like to thank also, Professor Snaevarr, Rector of this University, the members of the Local Arrangements Committee and the Ladies Committee for their enthusiasm which made this Conference a successful one. Now, I think, I ask Professor Bugliarello to give us his report.

Professor G. Bugliarello:

Mr Chairman, ladies and gentlemen. It looks like you have one last hurdle before lunch, and I would like to be as painless as I can. Concerning the arrangements for the next meeting in France, I would like to report to you what transpired during the last two meetings of the Executive or Council of the Society when everybody rushed out of this room and went down to drink their cokes in splendid isolation in the classroom downstairs and then rushed back. I have little to add to what Professor Joly said. There will be two types of sessions that have been planned. The morning session which will be a general session for lectures which are intended to give a general background to everybody, but at the same time these will be as advanced lectures as we can, and hopefully we can reconcile its two aims. And in the afternoon lectures, there will be contributed papers. The planning of the morning lectures will be primarily the responsibility of the Council of the Society together with the local Organizing Committee. The planning of the afternoon lectures will be primarily the responsibility of the Technical Committees. Then we have to do two things: The Technical Committee will have to weed out papers that may be repetitious; and we have, on the other hand, to encourage papers that should by all means be submitted to the Society. We have to start planning probably quite early for this, and I suspect that calls for papers will start coming out around the beginning of 1968. For two reasons: first of all to give a little bit more leisure in planning of the meeting and to have a more balanced meeting. Second, the problem of money. It will be increasingly difficult, the way we are projecting things now for the Society or for extra-society bodies to provide financial support for the people who will go to the meeting. Therefore if prospective participants will know a year and a half in advance that they will have to supply their own expenses, they can take appropriate countermeasures. I understand that the

local Organizing Committee will also attempt to have papers in early so that preprints for the meeting can be distributed well in advance. At the moment there was some disagreement in the Council as to the extent of the contributed papers for the afternoon sessions. There are two schools of thought. One, that the paper should be short, of the order perhaps 5 minutes plus 10 minutes discussion; and secondly, that papers would have exactly the same length or approximately the same length as they have now (20 minutes and 10 minutes discussion). Just as an indication of your wishes: May I have a show of hands? First of all those who are in favor of the short type of paper, in other words, 5 minutes paper with 10 minutes discussion. Would you kindly raise your hands? And those who are in favor of the longer type paper. It looks like this is not really a very decisive vote. Perhaps the Organizing Committee or the Local Committee will really have to decide. I am afraid we may have to come to a compromise. I think this is just about all I have to say except to remind you that the Society is hungry for donations, and with these donations we will be able to function more effectively. So if you have not donated your 3 dollars or 130 Kronur, please, by all means, do so. And one last thing, as the Secretary of the Society, if in any way if I can be of help to you for information, please, write me and ask me.

Thank you very much.