Study and analysis of occupational risk factors for ergonomic design of construction worksystems
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Abstract. Manual material handling (MMH) is unavoidable because of the nature of jobs or tasks as and man-machine interfaces in a construction worksystem. Data were collected from six strata of workers viz., masons, mason helpers, carpenters, welders, gas cutters and ground-level helpers (259 out of 700 workers) from a construction site of a steel plant located in India to analyze different types of occupational risk factors, such as awkward posture, repetitive movements and others associated with MMH activities to assess their impact on musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs). It is essential that these risk factors are required to be under control through application of ergonomics-based design approaches for construction worksystem. In this context, multinomial logistic regression analyses were used to identify the significant risk factors among the workers. The results shows that masons, mason helpers, carpenters, welders/gas cutters and ground-level helpers are greatly affected by static body posture, type of tools used, excessive stress due to repetition, awkward postures and extreme climate, respectively. Appropriate preventive and corrective measures are suggested for minimization of risks associated with such jobs/tasks to improve health and performance of the workers.
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1. Introduction

Occupational risk has been defined as any condition of a job that can result in illness, injury, disability and death [1]. Although technology has advanced and new methods of work have been introduced, occupational risk factors are prevalent in every worksystem as workers perform diverse activities associating themselves with specific risk factors [2]. Traditional risk factors, such as repetition [3, 4, 5, 6], dynamic forces, awkward posture [7] and environmental factors, such as noise lighting and extreme temperature may be considered as occupational risk factors which may lead to work-related injuries and diseases, including musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) workers. In this context, assessment of occupational risk factors associated with varieties of man-machine interface is a unique and critical research need for ergonomic design of industrial jobs.

Among various types of worksystems, construction is known for presenting a very high risk of musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) to the workers as the workers are primarily engaged in a variety of manual material handling (MMH) activities, such as shoveling, welding, gas cutting and grinding of steel plates, chipping of concrete with the help of jack hammers, pulling and pushing of hand trolleys, repetitive lifting and laying of bricks at a heights, shuttering and de-shuttering at construction sites and are exposed to several occupational risk factors, such as poor and awkward postures, repetitive movements, hand-arm vibration, heavy material handling, high physical work stress, overexertion, etc. in their routine jobs. Also, during construction work, the workplace keeps on changing and hence the workers become unfamiliar with the workplace which may further lead to MSDs and injuries [8]. It has been found that construction workers have two and a half times higher...
trauma incidence than all other occupational groups, and a work-related injury rate of four times that of all other employed people [9]. Due to the high prevalence of hazards and typical nature of the construction sites, study of occupational risk factors has gained importance. Early identification and control of such factors in a construction worksystem may enhance safer environment and healthier workforce [7].

In this paper, details of the methodology pertaining to the assessment of present status of the construction worksystem with reference to varieties of physical and occupational hazards for various construction-related MMH activities are presented. Potential short- and long-term risks, MSDs for various segments of the human body, their causes, relationships between the risk factors and different kinds of injuries are identified and analyzed at a construction site of a steel plant located in eastern India. Appropriate engineering and administrative controls are suggested in respect of risks associated with a number of construction jobs from ergonomics perspective to improve health, safety and performance of the workers.

2. Problem definition and research issues

Construction industry has been considered as one of the hazardous industry in which fatal and non-fatal occupational injuries occur very frequently [10] due to its unique and multifaceted nature [11]. The overall injury rates among construction workers are higher which indicates that the occupational risk factors are dominant and may severely affect the workers resulting in severe injury consequences [12]. Mostly, workers complain about pains in their lower back, upper and lower extremities. The following problems based on data collection through a questionnaire-based survey of the construction site under study are worth mentioning:

(i) pains in back, shoulders and wrists (while carrying out shoveling activity continually for eight hours with additional overtime for 3 hours per day in many instances),

(ii) strain and sprain injuries (while unskilled ground-level workers carry large wooden planks, reinforcement bars, steel bolts, etc. that may have a weight of greater than 20 kg in each occasion),

(iii) MSDs (skilled workers like fitters, etc. while tightening and bending the reinforcement bars and welders doing welding operations for long durations while weight of a typical welding pipe is about 31 kg),

(iv) severe fatigue and loss of energy (due to exposure to adverse environmental conditions (heat stress) and workers working in an open environment), and

(v) improperly designed safety gadgets (causing inconvenience and discomfort to workers and may lead to accidents)

Many of these problems do arise because of highly repetitive and strenuous construction-related activities. In order to assess the impact of these problems on occupational risk, a questionnaire-based status survey of occupational risk factors in construction worksystem, highlighting a number of research issues, such as Characteristics of MMH Tasks (Issue-1), Features of the Working Environment (Issue-2), Types of MMH Activities (Issue-3), Characteristics of the Jobs/Tasks (Issue-4), Types of Tools and Equipment used (Issue-5), and Types of Occupational Health Problem including MSDs (Issue-6).

3. Literature review

Risk of occupational injuries may be associated with two major causes concerning the characteristics of work environment and practices and inherent and unique characteristics of the workers or individuals [13]. Thus, there may exist a causal relationship between the occupational risk factors and the prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders affecting different body parts [14]. Some of the important occupational risk factors, such as awkward posture, repetition, excessive force, hand-arm vibration (HAV) leading to increase in disorders and injuries of different body parts as identified may be discussed as follows:

(i) Awkward posture: Awkward posture may refer to significant deviation, such as static positioning, constrained body posture, etc. from the neutral body posture may be considered as an important risk factor [15,16] Kneeling, Squatting, Flexion of trunk, twisted and bent trunk, twisted and bent neck, extension and flexion of
neck are few awkward postures being associated with injuries and MSDs. Forward bending with lumbar flexion, pelvic tilting and restricted hip flexion may also increase tensile loads on the spine with the gradual development of low back pain [17, 18, 19].

(ii) Repetition: A repetitive activity involves similar motion patterns for more than 50% of the work cycles. Cyclic work activities, repeated tasks that involve frequent and prolonged movement of body parts and joints such as wrist extension, ulnar deviation of wrist, etc. may be referred to as repetition [20]. Metal workers who perform repetitive and forceful wrist movements may have musculoskeletal and neurological problems because of nerve entrapment in the carpal tunnel [21].

(iii) Excessive Force: When there are forceful exertions, impact and impulsive loadings, the load exceeds the musculoskeletal strength at various joints and segments of the human body causing fatigue and injury [20, 22] Overexertion combined with lifting, bending and repetition [23] has been identified as one of the risk factor which reduces muscular force for carrying out the task [24, 25] and affects motor control and impairs accuracy of the movement [26].

(iv) Hand-arm vibration (HAV): The use of vibration-induced tools, such as jack hammers, grinders, chippers, etc. has been considered to be a significant factor for hand-arm vibration syndrome (HAVS). HAV affects vascular, neurological as well as musculoskeletal parts of the human body causing pain in upper limbs, muscle or Vibration-induced MSIs affects bone and joints of upper extremity. Exposure to vibration may alter proprioception and may cause workers use greater force to complete a task. Forceful exertions are considered to be one of the occupational risk factors causing injuries to soft tissues [20]. Workers who are exposed to HAV are most likely to have two times higher risk of CTS [27].

Since the condition of a typical construction worksystem may change over time, it becomes difficult to identify total set of the risk factors unless a large number of construction-related jobs, their characteristics and methods of working are closely observed and analyzed at a given construction worksite.

4. Study methodology

In order to study and analyze occupational risk factors for different kinds of construction-related activities, so as to enhance ergonomic design of worksystems, a systematic methodology consisting of a number of steps in sequence, such as (i) data collection, (ii) data analysis, (iii) results and discussions, and (iv) suggestions and improvement actions (remedial and preventive measures) is to be employed. The details of these steps are given below.

4.1 Data collection

4.1.1 Selection of a construction site

One of the pioneering steel companies located in eastern India has been chosen for data collection in view of enormous opportunities it offers in studying varieties of situations in construction involving MMH tasks. In this context, Linz-Donawitz #3 & Thin Slab Casting and Rolling (LD#3 & TSCR) construction site has been chosen out of nine major brown-field projects viz. Linz-Donawitz #3 & Thin Slab Casting and Rolling (stated briefly as LD#3 & TSCR), I- Blast Furnace (BF), Pellet plant, rail and road logistics, power distribution system for MPDS#5, MPDS#6, cable tunnel, and LDSS building, raw material handling (RMH), battery10 & 11 and by-product, CDO and fabrication yard. This project site is preferred over others because manual intervention is found to be comparatively high for this project. Data were collected from different facilities of the site, such as slag asile, charging asile, converter shop, torpedo pit, tunnel furnace, casting shop, secondary metallurgy and ladle preparation, rolling mill, etc. at the project site.

Although heavy and mechanized equipments, such as Kabelco cranes (with 550T/250T capacity), Manitowoc and AVG Fusion (80T), TFC-280 and Gottwald cranes (75T), tire-mounted Krupp cranes (40T), Hydra (8T/12T) and other mechanized equipment being are at LD3 & TSCR construction site, MMH activities, such as lifting, carrying, pulling pushing, etc are very common at this site. It has been observed that the workers carry out the ground-level construction work starting from clearance of site, excavation,
reinforcement/shuttering work, anchoring, etc. to finishing and plastering of walls under several agencies like M/S L&T (civil and structural), M/S Tarapore, M/s Jusco (civil and structural), M/S Shapoorji, M/s Micco, M/S Power Max, M/s TPL, and M/S Tata Blue Scope.

4.1.2 Preliminary pilot survey

As a systematic procedure is needed for collection of relevant data on several aspects of occupational risks of the jobs and activities selected, a phase-wise plan has been made for data collection. The phases are as follows:

1. Preliminary data collection (mainly primary data): Understanding of the worksystems (involving physical activities being carried out by concerned persons), and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl No</th>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Total Number of Workers</th>
<th>Number of Workers Interviewed/Surveyed</th>
<th>Jobs Involved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mason</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Bricklaying, plastering, RCC work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mason Helpers</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Shovelling, RCC work, pulling and pushing of trolley, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Carpenters</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>Shuttering and de-shuttering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Welders</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Welding of steel plates and structures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Gas Cutters</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Cutting of steel plates and rods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ground-level Hel-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Pulling rope to lift materials, material shifting and lifting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>per</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data collection involved visiting construction sites for data collection through direct observations and interactions with concerned workers. The details of the data collected are as follows:

2. Evaluation-related detailed data collection

   The extent of severity of several occupational risk factors, (such as age and experience) on the health of the workers was observed directly. However, influencing factors and variables that cannot be measured directly were framed in terms of questions. A comprehensive and structured questionnaire is designed as required and the data pertaining to the analysis of the quantitative relationship between the risk factors and the types of injuries and MSDs have been collected.

   The questionnaire as designed is divided into two parts. In the first part (Part-I), 16 items related to the personal details of the workers including data pertaining to work conditions, kinds of jobs and contractual obligations, if any, are mentioned. The second part (Part-II) contains 125 questions of different types related to each research issue as considered.

   Workers were selected randomly from the total population and grouped into a number of occupations (strata) based on the similarities in the nature of the jobs, such as masons, carpenters, mason helpers, welders, gas cutters and helpers using stratified sampling technique. Division of sample into a number of strata results into smaller sample size for each stratum as given in Table 1.

4.2 Data analysis

   In this study, multinomial logistic regression is used for the analysis of occupational risk factors. Multinomial logistic regression requires the response variable to be polynotomous in nature and a set of predictor variables either continuous or categorical or combined in nature, to predict the response variable. The analyses were done for assessing occupational
risk factors as given in Table 2. The results from the analyses are presented in the following section.

Table 1: Details of the sample collected

4.3 Results and discussion

This study shows that occupational risks are highly correlated with work-related risk factors that may cause injuries and subsequent MSDs of various body segments and joints.

As has been observed, masons are highly prone to neck, shoulder and back pain in 80%, 66% and 64% of the persons interviewed respectively because of a number of risk factors, such as age, experience, heavy workload and physical tiredness. Other significant risk factors are static posture, prolonged sitting, repetitive work, awkward posture, strenuous tasks, squatting and standing. Mason helpers consist of 62% male and 38% female out of total workers interviewed. Mason helpers (both male and female) mostly feel pain in their wrists (53.2%) (because of long working hours and stature), shoulder (32.3%) (because of physical tiredness, long working hours, method of work and excessive stress), elbows (27.4%) (because of climate and stress) and back (66.1%) (because of types of tools used, methods of work, lack of rest, repetitive work and strenuous task). Pain in wrists, elbows and shoulders are found to very common for carpenters due to the use of hand tools, excessive stress (64% of the carpenters), climate and environment and physical tiredness or stress (94% of the carpenters) which are found to be highly significant. Occupational risk factors, such as repetitive stress, static and awkward postures along with prolonged sitting and standing significantly contribute to risks of dry eyes, flash injuries, severe back and shoulder pain among welders and gas cutters. Ground-level helpers have pain in their wrists, elbows, neck and back due to excessive workload, extreme climate and heat stress. As far as masons are concerned, static posture and prolonged sitting are significant risk factors. Risk factors for mason helpers are tool used, long working hours, lack of rest, repetitive and strenuous task. For carpenters, the most critical risk is excessive stress. Welders and gas cutters are affected by awkward posture, while extreme climate affects ground-level workers.

Evaluation of these risk factors may be carried out through biomechanical, physiological and physical analysis. Age, stature, tools and equipment, methods of work, awkward postures, frequent bending and twisting are related to biomechanical evaluation while physical tiredness, methods of work, lack of rest and strenuous tasks are needed to be evaluated physiologically so that the tasks or jobs are within the physiological capability of the workers. Similarly, excessive physical stress, heat stress and climate/environment are required to be considered for physical evaluation. Since, majority of the risk factors are required to be addressed through biomechanical evaluation, it is essential that biomechanical evaluation of all these jobs as mentioned needs to be initiated as a priority basis so that the prevailing problems of MSDs among the workers can be addressed and preventive and remedial measures are identified and implemented.
4.4 Suggestions and improvement actions (Preventive and Corrective measures)

It is found that appropriate preventive and corrective measures may be required in respect of risks associated with such jobs or tasks from ergonomic perspective. The following preventive measures are suggested: (i) for masons: sufficient space may be provided on the scaffolds so as to avoid static and awkward postures, (ii) for mason helpers: handle of the shovel may be redesigned and fibre-handled shovels may be replaced with wooden ones, (iii) for carpenters: in order to avoid repetitive movements, hand saws may be replaced with power saws, (iv) for welders and gas cutters: frequency of rest periods may be increased to allow the workers recover from excessive work stress, and (v) ground-level workers: job rotation and sufficient rest breaks may be proposed to overcome excessive stress because of climate/environment. However, remedial measures, such as wellness training and periodic medical checkup on regular basis need to be undertaken to minimize the effect of risk factors.

5. Conclusions

It is justified to assume that the issues considered in this study are relevant for evaluation of MMH tasks at similar kinds of worksystems with comparable types of technology and persons employed. The potential benefit of carrying such a study lies in developing appropriate guidelines for construction workers to secure sustainable change in the construction worksystems which may reduce the occupational hazards (particularly MSDs) to a large extent and improve health, safety and performance of workers under prevailing construction work environment in India.
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