Anthropometric aspects of body seated in school
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Abstract. This study aimed to assess the student-furniture interface from anthropometric parameters of the sitting posture. The sample was composed of 887 students from two public schools in the State of Paraná - Brazil, which attended children from 7 to 17 years of age. The data collection used anthropometric measures of the sitting position, a questionnaire containing a human body diagram for indication of discomfort areas and photographic records to verify postural and ergonomic inadequacies in classroom. The following anthropometric variables were measured: popliteal height, sacro-popliteal length, hip width, lumbar support height, and elbow and thigh height. Percentiles 5 and 95 of anthropometric variables showed differences statistically significant, with variation coefficient greater than 30%. In relation to body discomfort, the highest occurrences were recorded for ankle, knees and shoulder joints as well as for spine and buttocks. It was concluded that children use school furniture that does not meet their anthropometric standards, which favored the adoption of incorrect postures and contributed to the emergence of musculoskeletal problems that can interfere with their educational process.
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1. Introduction

Worldwide, school furniture has always been present in schools as a fundamental element for the performance of school activities; however, little attention has been given to the ergonomic issues in its interaction with users. Despite the growing concern with posture problems in schools, children still use inadequate furniture, especially regarding its dimensioning. This undoubtedly will corroborate the later appearance of several orthopedic problems, and will also interfere with the educational process of students [14,15,17,20,22-26,30,33,35,37,44].

Educational tasks that occur within the classroom environment such as reading, writing, interpreting and viewing require a high audition, motor and cognitive concentration, which makes it important that the furniture has ergonomic design and size compatible to users. All students must remain seated in a balanced posture, without great biomechanical effort, thereby reducing the muscle fatigue levels and favoring the performance of school activities, with consequent improvement of such activities [18,35,36,49].

Misfit school furniture represents a potential factor for the development of postural bad habits in the sitting position and in the performance of school activities, which may cause motor and orthopedic changes for life. In the school period (including growth and body formation), every biomechanical requirement such as compression, tension, tilt and twist forces on the musculoskeletal system will define the postural configuration of the future adult, thus, erroneous stimuli are responsible for significant skeletal deformities, especially those arising from the seated position with the use of unsuitable furniture [4,9,14,30,35,36,38,47].
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Ergonomics must be present in all sectors where there is human presence, and must be aimed to provide the greatest fitness and comfort as possible in the development of their activities, whether in work, leisure and daily activities [16]. However, studies on school furniture design and its effects on posture and on the development of schoolchildren are very recent and scarce. Researchers acknowledge that it is in school, where children remain seated most of the time, that the majority of disagreements between research results and their actual use occur [1,3,10,13,16,21,31,39,46,48].

School furniture is undoubtedly an essential element and vital in the educational process, since it is responsible for the physical and psychological comfort of students, encouraging their learning and, therefore, it must be appropriate to users and pedagogical needs of schools [20,25,26,35,36,38,39].

The use of inadequate furniture affects the comfort of users. Thus, desks whose design does not meet the biotype of students will affect their school performance by exposing them to embarrassing situations in the development of activities in the sitting position. These disagreements of ergonomic nature resulting from the disharmony between the subject and its interface will contribute to the emergence of diseases in the vertebral column of children because they are in the growth phase [14,48]. Likewise, children and adolescents with frequent symptoms of pain / body discomfort will not be interested in acquiring the contents, since their concentration and willingness to learn will be impaired [11,28,43].

Providing furniture suitable to humans and their working environment, mainly for children, who are more likely to acquire postural problems such as kyphosis, lordosis and scoliosis [23,34] is a duty of organizations. Only with proper use, health problems can be avoided, especially at school age, which is the phase of body growth. With advancing age, obtaining results in terms of postural correction becomes more difficult, because bone growth becomes increasingly defined [9,17,27].

During the growth phase, the body segment grows faster than the head, followed by the trunk and, after six years of age, lower limbs grow more significantly, continuing to grow faster than other body segments until the onset of puberty, which, in turn, trunk grows faster again. This indicates that at the different school phases until the beginning of puberty, one should pay more attention to the height of the seat and, during the puberty, the height of the desk deserves more attention [40,45].

In this context, this work aimed to study the in student-furniture interaction according to anthropometric and biomechanical parameters at the sitting position, as well as to show discrepancies between standard recommendations and their practical applications.

2. Method

2.1. Participants

Eight-hundred students enrolled in two public schools participated as the study sample (Tia Anastacia Elementary School and Monteiro Lobato High-school) in the city of Dois Vizinhos, state of Parana, Brazil. These schoolchildren were children and adolescents from 7 to 17 years of age from different grades and who used, indiscriminately, the same furniture for the performance of their school activities. For the participation of students in the study, permission was requested from parents and / or guardians through an informed consent form approved by the local research ethics committee.

2.2. Instruments

To conduct the survey of demographic data, a measurement desk based on the proposal of Serrano was constructed [39]. At the moment of collections, students remained in school uniform consisting of jacket, shirt and sneakers. In addition to collection of anthropometric data, a questionnaire containing a human body diagram [35,36] was applied to register body discomfort complaints and a series of photographic records to identify postural restrictions and inadequacies of biomechanical and ergonomic nature.

The following anthropometric variables were obtained: popliteal height, sacro-popliteal length, hip width, lumbar support height, and elbow and thigh height (Figure 1). Later, the data obtained were compared with the dimensional characteristics of the school furniture used by schools with the anthropometrical characteristics of students grouped by age and the postural demands of reading and writing activities in classroom.
2.3. Data analysis

Descriptive statistics was performed to verify average and standard deviation of the sitting posture (Figure 1), using the Excel for windows software.

The average difference between the lowest and highest difference found was 21.82 cm for popliteal height; of 20.28 cm for sacro-popliteal length; of 15.97 cm for hip width, of 6.91 cm for thigh height, of 7.15 cm for the lumbar support height and of 29.66 cm for elbow height, respectively.

Children with lower heights and lengths were represented by percentile 5 and those with the highest anthropometric measurements by percentile 95. In both groups, the data showed a statistically significant difference with variation coefficient greater than 30%. These data demonstrate that the only type of chair-desk furniture used by both schools does not have the recommended dimensions for the needs of most students.

Assessing the behavior of variable popliteal height, it was observed that the age group from 7 to 10 years showed the smallest and largest popliteal heights, with variations from 26 cm (7-year-old children) to 40 cm (10-year-old children), shown by percentiles 5 and 95, with average value of 30.47 cm for 7-year-old children and 37.43 cm for those aged 10 years. It was found that children younger than 9 years of age showed no significant variations in the popliteal height; however, from the age of 10 years, a higher average growth of this variable was identified [45].

From the age of 11 to 14 years, the popliteal height for males ranged from 39 cm to 50 cm, differing by 11 cm according to percentiles 5 and 95. At this age group, the average ranged from 42.63 cm to 47.61 cm, with an average growth of 4.98 cm. Thus, at this stage, the popliteal height had its best performance in terms of growth, coinciding with the so-called growth spurt [35,36,45]. However, the age from 15 to 17 years did not show such growth as in the previous phase, where the lowest and highest percentiles ranged from 46 cm to 57 cm, and the mean values from 45.62 cm to 46.84 cm, respectively.

The popliteal height has an important participation in defining the seat height for the respective age groups [25,26,35,36]. However, as previously mentioned, the seats used by schools are too high for most of their users, especially regarding percentile 5. On the other hand, for schoolchildren aged between 15 to 17 years, the seat becomes too low, especially for users of percentile 95. In this case, with the seat height being below recommended levels, the user should be induced to sit a little more curved forward, favoring an increase in intradiscal pressure and the muscle-ligament tension of the spine [4,26]. Although, mathematically, no age range will adapt to a standard furniture height, in this case, the values found for the age range from 11 to 13 years was
those that most corresponded to the desk used (46 cm).

Table 1

Mean and standard deviation of anthropometric measurements of sitting posture of schoolchildren aged 7 to 17 years from public schools of the city of Dois Vizinhos, state of Parana, Brazil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>Popliteal height (cm)</th>
<th>Sacro-popliteal length (cm)</th>
<th>Width of the hip (cm)</th>
<th>Height of the thigh (cm)</th>
<th>Height lumbar support(cm)</th>
<th>Height of the elbow (cm)/Popliteal Height (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>30.45 ±1.82</td>
<td>30.75 ±1.79</td>
<td>21.76 ±1.22</td>
<td>7.62 ±0.69</td>
<td>16.85 ±1.79</td>
<td>46.17 ±2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>30.91 ±2.67</td>
<td>31.11 ±2.16</td>
<td>22.03 ±1.12</td>
<td>7.97 ±0.66</td>
<td>17.10 ±1.0</td>
<td>47.23 ±2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>31.91 ±1.62</td>
<td>33.06 ±1.72</td>
<td>25.03 ±1.53</td>
<td>8.50 ±0.91</td>
<td>17.45 ±1.37</td>
<td>48.92 ±1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>37.43 ±1.08</td>
<td>37.35 ±1.85</td>
<td>25.75 ±2.35</td>
<td>9.75 ±0.67</td>
<td>17.62 ±1.07</td>
<td>54.30 ±2.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>42.63 ±2.23</td>
<td>41.72 ±3.47</td>
<td>30.13 ±3.73</td>
<td>10.83 ±0.71</td>
<td>19.18 ±1.73</td>
<td>61.33 ±3.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>44.77 ±2.77</td>
<td>41.96 ±2.76</td>
<td>30.30 ±1.81</td>
<td>11.58 ±1.47</td>
<td>19.66 ±1.54</td>
<td>64.56 ±4.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>45.50 ±2.92</td>
<td>43.2 ±2.17</td>
<td>31.79 ±2.59</td>
<td>12.32 ±0.83</td>
<td>20.72 ±1.80</td>
<td>67.14 ±3.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>47.61 ±3.20</td>
<td>46.68 ±2.58</td>
<td>32.66 ±2.25</td>
<td>12.60 ±1.01</td>
<td>21.21 ±2.52</td>
<td>68.43 ±4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>50.53 ±2.84</td>
<td>47.38 ±3.70</td>
<td>34.07 ±2.20</td>
<td>12.72 ±1.64</td>
<td>22.8 ±1.79</td>
<td>72.93 ±3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>51.88 ±3.14</td>
<td>50.50 ±2.58</td>
<td>35.11 ±3.72</td>
<td>13.91 ±0.91</td>
<td>23.38 ±1.45</td>
<td>75.38 ±4.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>52.27 ±1.80</td>
<td>51.03 ±2.09</td>
<td>37.73 ±2.85</td>
<td>14.53 ±0.98</td>
<td>24.00 ±1.57</td>
<td>75.83 ±3.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In a school environment, when high desks are used, there will be no support for the feet, increasing the pressure on the popliteal vein and hips, forcing the knees to remain with excessive flexion, favoring the appearance of pain in feet, legs, knees and thighs. In this sense, it is important to warn for the indiscriminate use of the standard furniture, because this is not the ideal size for everyone, because each student has different anthropometric characteristics [7,18,36,37].

When the seat is too low, there is a decrease in knee flexion angle, forcing the trunk weight to the surface of the seat on its ischial tuberosities, reducing the contact with the thighs, increasing the intradiscal pressure, favoring the onset of discomfort and pain [2,4,12,18,20,21,25,26,29,35,36]. Thus, it is important to consider that the subject must sit down with knees bent at a right angle, with feet flat on the ground, so that the whole body weight is transferred to seat, floor, back rest and desk [25,26,35,36]. According to the posture adopted in the sitting position, there is a variation of the pelvis and consequent change in the configuration of the lumbar spine [19,25,26,29,49]. In this sense, all chairs need a suitable lumbar back rest, since this component is important to support the lumbar spinal region in order to decrease discomfort generated by the intradiscal pressure characteristic of the sitting posture [4,35,36].

For the age group from 7 to 10 years, the sacro-popliteal length presented a difference of 28 cm for percentile 5 and 40 cm for percentile 95, with a 12 cm difference in the age range from 7 to 9 years. In general, the variation in the sacro-popliteal length increased from 30.75 cm to 31.91 cm, with a difference of only 1.16 cm. However, for higher ages, which correspond to the growth spurt [45] for only one year, the value increased to 37.43 cm, with an increase of 5.52 cm.

The behavior of the sacro-popliteal variable for elementary school children (11 to 14 years) ranged from 41.72 cm to 46.68 cm, with a difference of 4.96 cm. For high school students (15 to 17 years), the average value of this variable ranged from 47.38 cm to 51.03 cm, with a difference of 3.65 cm. However, if the extremes of the table are compared (percentiles 5 and 95), the length variation found was 10.5 cm.

Regarding the lumbar support height, in elementary school children (aged from 7 to 10 years), the values for extreme percentiles ranged from 14 cm to 19 cm, with a difference of 5 cm. However, taking
the average variation, the lumbar support height ranged from 16.85 cm to 17.62 cm, showing a change of only 0.77 cm, and that in this age range, the trunk of these children barely increased, coinciding with the phase in which the child grows more in the lower limbs [35,36,45]. On the other hand, in the second cycle of basic education (children aged from 11 to 14 years), according to percentiles 5 and 95, the lumbar support height ranged from 16 cm to 26 cm, with a difference of 10 cm, thus presenting a greater variation than children in the first cycle. Finally, high school students (adolescents aged from 15 to 17 years) had a variation of the lumbar support height from 20 cm to 27.5 cm, with an increase of 7.5 cm.

The desk height was established by the sum of the popliteal height and the elbow height. At the age of 7 to 10 years, the desk height, proportional to age, ranged from 44 cm to 57 cm, with an increase of 13 cm; the average variation of the desk height ranged from 46.17 cm to 54.30 cm, indicating a growth of 8.13 cm. However, in the age range from 11 to 14 years, the average desk height ranged from 61.33 cm to 68.43 cm, with a growth of 7.16 cm, whereas in the extremes, the growth was even more pronounced, ranging from 55 cm to 76 cm, with a growth of 21 cm, almost twice as the growth of the first cycle, confirming that at this phase, the child starts the growth spurt, a phase in which the seat height is no longer the most important factor, being the desk height the most important factor [35,36,45].

One of the major components that comprise the school furniture is the desk, as it is on its work surface that most school activities are held, especially reading and writing [45]. If the child sits at a height in which the desk plane is too high, there is a greater difficulty in performing his tasks, imposing a postural condition with exaggerated abduction of arms, which can provide the onset of diseases in the complex region of shoulders. The shoulder region is composed of a set of joints that work in harmony with the action of several muscles, tendons and ligaments. Thus, an inharmonious effort of these muscles will provide high mobilization of the humerus, which may cause injuries by impact, especially when the arms are working above the shoulder line [8,35,36,42,44]. The use of high desks will cause abduction, anterior flexion and elevation of shoulders, also influencing the neck posture, leading to fatigue of the muscles of shoulders and neck, causing a constant impact on the subacromial bursa, contributing in the emergence of pain, which may develop into an impingement syndrome [3,8,19,30,32,41,42].

The coverage area of vision is very important for an adequate ergonomics. The distance from the ideal sight to the work surface should be approximately 40 cm without bending the trunk in order to obtain a 50% reduction in pressure of the intervertebral discs of the cervical spine [4,25,26,35,36]. If this distance is increased with the incorrect positioning of the furniture, the reading and writing activities will require the student to bend the trunk forward in an attempt to better fit the focus of his vision to books and/or exercise books. This stereotyped posture favors the onset of the pain process in the dorsal and cervical region [1,5,8,14]. To avoid this posture, the maximum angle recommended for the neck articulation should be between 20 to 30 degrees. However, for longer activities, up to 120 uninterrupted minutes, the ideal angle would be 15 degrees [4,5,8,15,17,25,26,35,36,41,42].

School activities performed in the sitting position where the desk height is not compatible with the anthropometric characteristics of its user become a potential factor for noncompliance with the ideal angle of vision, disorganizing the correct postural alignment and favoring the occurrence of musculoskeletal discomfort in the cervical and lumbar spinal regions [4,26,41]. This knowledge can be confirmed with experimental procedures, monitoring the electromyographic signals of the neck muscles, combined with the application of subjective scales to assess body discomfort. The results show that the greater the head inclination angle, the greater the loss of strength of this muscle and, consequently, the higher the fatigue level [4,26].

### 3.2. Body discomfort

In the analysis of postural constraints, discomfort situations were found for first-grade children (Figure 2), especially for the gluteal region, back and shoulders. The seat-desk set seems to be the main problem, where for most students in early grades (children), it would be very high, while for those in higher grades (adolescents), it would be very low.

Comparing the data of the furniture used in schools and information recommended by the Brazilian Regulation Committee, which provides a type of school furniture for every age group, it was found that there is no match. Instead of furniture suiting its users, students, through awkward postures, try to adjust to the (unique) furniture (without criterion) adopted by schools [20,25,26,35,36].
Discomforts of the cervical and lumbar spinal regions were present in 17-year-old students mainly because the height of the desk surface is below the ideal, which forces the students to bend the head and trunk during activities such as reading and writing, which ends up by fatiguing the neck muscles in their role as head support and straining the lumbar spinal region due to its awkward position [4,26].

Similarly, knee pain occurs because the seat is too low and favors the reduction of the joint angle, forcing the student to position his legs under the seat [35,36]. Otherwise, the elevation of the thigh causes a rotation of the pelvis backward, promotes an increase in pressure on the ischial tuberosities, bringing discomfort to buttock and lumbar spine regions [4,26,35,36]. Thus, to ease the constraints in the region of knees and buttocks, the ideal angle between thigh and leg should be 90 degrees [4,20,26,35,36].

In an attempt to adapt to school furniture, small children are somehow trying to ease the constraints by frequently changing position. However, some situations are more critical, as in the case of very high chair that prevents smaller schoolchildren to support their feet on the ground. This situation is very inconvenient, because when the foot remains suspended, the thigh is pressed against the seat, making the normal venous return difficult, causing swelling in feet and pain in these regions [20,26,35,36].

For early school grades, the routine reading and writing activities are impaired because the desk is too high and the top is positioned on the horizontal line, forcing them to adopt stereotyped postures. Since the didactic material is too close to the eyes, there is a greater inclination of the head forward in search of better angle to focus on the study subject, which makes the adequate postural alignment difficult [8,45]. This fact is aggravated by forced abduction of the arms, when supported on the top table, causing discomfort in the shoulder girdle [10,35,36]. On the other hand, with 17-year-old adolescents the opposite occurs because the height of the desk work surface is too low, forcing them to adopt a stooped posture when approximating to the didactic material in order to better adequately their angle of vision. This posture causes the occurrence of musculoskeletal constraints in dorsal and cervical regions, contributing to the onset of painful processes [4,20,26,35,36,42].

Regarding the use of lumbar support at the time of the achievements of school tasks, which are mainly reading and writing, it was found that although being so important for the relaxation of the column, it is not used, which may be contributing to the generation of back pain [4,44]. 7-year-old children cannot support...
their feet on the ground because the chair was too high and have to adapt to the furniture, sitting in the front of the seat and sometimes being on tiptoes to gain greater support, providing great discomfort in the regions the spine and knee joints and feet, according to their reports [1-3,5,7,15,18,20,25,26,35,36].

4. Conclusion

Based on data found in this study, it could be inferred that the school furniture adopted by schools does not satisfactorily meet the students’ needs, and are indicators of a number of problems related to body discomfort and low performance in school activities.

The differences between the smallest anthropometric measures (7-year-old children) and the highest measures (17-year-old adolescents) are significant, which would require furniture with dimensions suitable for each age group. Thus, the only type of furniture used by schools does not meet the dimensional demands of students. For some, the furniture is too large, and for others, too small. Children with heights at the extremities of the table (smaller and taller) experience constraints regarding the correct use of the furniture, which force them to adopt awkward postures. These data were based on a large number of body discomfort complaints that were reported by the schoolchildren evaluated.

It is expected that the anthropometric data collected in this study serve as parameters for the construction of school furniture more suitable for these age groups, and that the reported body discomfort serves as a warning to the Brazilian educational system to pay attention to this issue. Children and adolescents, regardless of their physical, mental and age state, should use the educational facilities with health, comfort and safety.
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