Use of the Vestibular Disorders Activities of Daily Living Scale to describe functional limitations in patients with vestibular disorders
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Abstract. The Vestibular Disorders Activities of Daily Living Scale (VADL) is one of several self-rated scales in the literature that may be useful for determining level of functional limitation or disability in people with vestibular disorders. The VADL was designed by an occupational therapist for use in treatment planning during vestibular rehabilitation. Unlike many other scales the VADL is specifically focused on essential functional skills and important mobility and instrumental skills. This paper reviews the findings about the VADL, including the original research about its development and more recent papers using it. The scale is most useful for assessing the patient’s perception about independence in personal, self care and basic mobility skills. It provides an outline for discussing instrumental activities of daily living.
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Patients with vestibular disorders have decreased independence in self-care and mobility skills [4]. Their functional limitations vary somewhat across disorders. For example many patients with Meniere’s disease are independent during quiescent periods but have limited functional skills during periods of Meniere’s attacks or more active disease [5]. Even patients with benign paroxysmal positional vertigo (BPPV), who some clinicians consider to be unimpaired, report specific deficits in activities and skills that involve pitch rotations of the head [6]. The standard scales of functional performance, such as the Klein-Bell [19] or the Barthel Index [21], are not sensitive to the subtle problems experienced by people with vestibular impairments. The Vestibular Disorders Activities of Daily Living scale (VADL) was developed by the author, an occupational therapist, for use in evaluation and treatment planning by therapists who provide vestibular rehabilitation. The scale was developed to fill the gap between the existing scales and the clinical need.

Before the VADL was published the literature included only two scales of quality of life that were specific to vestibular disorders, the UCLA Dizziness Questionnaire (UCLA-DQ) and the Dizziness Handicap Inventory (DHI). Both scales are discussed elsewhere in this issue of the Journal of Vestibular Research so they will be described here only briefly. Several other scales in the literature, including the UCLA-DQ, the DHI, and the more recent Vestibular Rehabilitation Benefit Questionnaire [23] are not ratings of in-

1 This paper was presented at the Session: Sensory Transduction at the 8th Symposium on the Role of the Vestibular Organs in Space Exploration, April 8–10, 2011, Houston, TX, USA.
or more questions about independence in activities of daily living but are scales that rate self-perceived quality of life and include one or more questions about independence in activities of daily living. Independence in activities of daily living is one of several factors that contribute to quality of life [20]. Therefore, prior to selecting a scale to be used with patients the clinician or investigator should first decide if the desired measure is independence in activities of daily living, or if it is quality of life.

The UCLA-DQ is a 5-point self-rated ordinal scale [17]. Item 3 is a global question about the ability to perform daily activities, rated on a scale from essentially no effect to dependent. The use of that single question indicates the importance of independence in activities of daily living to the quality of life, but the question is not sufficient to learn enough about which specific activities the patient has difficulty performing, and in what way performance is decreased. The UCLA-DQ is not comparable to the VADL.

The DHI is a 25-item, self-rated, 3-point ordinal scale. It has three subscales, one of which has nine items that address function. The DHI is well normed, and easy for staff and patients to use. The 3-point scale and brief list of functional skills, however, limit its value for treatment planning or even for understanding the subtleties of patients’ functional limitations.

The VADL shows differences between asymptomatic, different disorders cause vertigo and disequilibrium. Many diagnoses [13], and does not correlate well with scores of vertigo [10], does not discriminate among measures of vertigo [10], does not discriminate among diagnostics [13], and does not correlate well with scores that indicate changes in sensory weighting in patients with unilateral vestibular disorders [3,10,25]. Many different disorders cause vertigo and disequilibrium. The VADL shows differences between asymptomatic, healthy controls and a heterogeneous group of patients with chronic vertigo caused by vestibular disorders as...
well as a group of patients with BPPV [10]. These findings indicate that the VADL does what it was intended to do: evaluate functional limitations regardless of the underlying pathophysiology.

Functional performance is a different level of analysis than diagnosis or specific balance skills. Functional performance may be affected by a wide variety of physical, psychological and environmental factors. Psychological needs are related to functional performance and contribute to overall quality of life [20]. Therefore the finding that VADL scores are related to performance on the Beck Depression Inventory and the Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory [16] is not surprising.

In comparison to the DHI the VADL has more possible levels for rating. The VADL and the DHI are linearly related up to level 3 of the VADL so that a 1-unit change on the VADL was associated with a 20-unit change on the DHI [10]. For VADL scores equal to or greater than 4, however, the DHI scores remained the same. Thus for greater levels of independence, i.e., levels 1 to 3 on the VADL, both scales represent self-perceived performance well. For levels that indicate less independence, however, the VADL is more sensitive than the DHI. Studies of both instruments indicate that age does not influence score [10, 18]. This finding makes sense intuitively because age is not disabling; the disorders that occur with greater frequency with advancing age are disabling. When patients were asked to rate which tasks on the VADL were essential rather than somewhat essential or discretionary 97% of subjects rated the functional skills as essential; subjects rated the ambulation and instrumental skills as somewhat essential to discretionary. Therefore the omission of functional or personal care skills from other scales is a significant oversight that limits the value of data obtained from those scales.

The VADL is sensitive to change after relevant treatment for several different disorders. Patients with vestibular neuronitis or labyrinthitis showed improved VADL scores after vestibular rehabilitation with habituation exercises [8, 9], Cawthorne exercises [22, 27], balance therapy [30], and mixed habitation, gaze stabilization and balance training exercises and a walking program [28]. Patients with BPPV showed improvement on the VADL after treatment with canalith repositioning and liberatory maneuvers [14, 26]. People with familial ataxic showed improvement on the VADL after pharmacologic treatment [29].

The scale is intended to address problems that patients with vestibular impairments often develop. It is not, however, specific to any condition, so it may be used with any patient with a vestibular weakness even if that patient has co-morbid conditions. It may even be used with patients whose main complaints are to other health problems, because of its focus on functional performance of specific tasks, rather than for specific diagnoses. The scale has been recommended for use with patients who incur traumatic brain injury, including vestibular impairments, due to blast exposure [24].

Changes on the VADL have been shown to correlate with changes in functional magnetic resonance imaging during compensation [15]. This elegant and technically challenging study may have reached the grail of neurorehabilitation research. It is the first experiment to show that functional performance is directly related to a physiologic measure of compensation.

In summary the VADL is easy to use as a self-rating scale for patients and may also be used to structure a rehabilitation evaluation visit. It has more levels of ratings than other scales and describes more functional skills. It is sensitive to change after treatment and is related to changes in the brain caused by central mechanisms of compensation.
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Vestibular Disorders Activities of Daily Living Scale

Name __________________________ Data __________________________

Instructions
This scale evaluates the effect of vertigo and balance disorders on independence in routine activities of daily living. Please rate your performance on each item. If your performance varies due to intermittent dizziness or balance problems please use the greatest level of disability. For each task indicate the level which most accurately describes how you perform the task. If you never do a particular task, please check the box in the column NA. The rating scales are explained on the bottom of the page.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Independence rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-1 Sitting up from lying down</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-2 Standing up from sitting on the bed or chair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-3 Dressing the upper body (e.g., shirt, brassiere, undershirt)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-4 Dressing the lower body (e.g., pants, skirt, underpants)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-5 Putting on socks/stockings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-6 Putting on shoes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-7 Moving in/out of the bathtub or shower</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-8 Bathing yourself in the bathtub or shower</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-9 Reaching overhead (e.g., to a cupboard or shelf)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-10 Reaching down (e.g., to the floor or a shelf)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-11 Meal preparation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-12 Intimate activity (e.g., foreplay, sexual activity)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-13 Walking on level surfaces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-14 Walking on uneven surfaces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-15 Going up steps</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-16 Going down steps</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-17 Walking in narrow spaces (e.g., corridor, grocery store aisle)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-18 Walking in open spaces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-19 Walking in crowds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-20 Using an elevator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-21 Using an escalator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-22 Driving a car</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-23 Carrying things while walking (e.g., package, garbage bag)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-24 Light household chores (e.g., dusting, putting items away)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-25 Heavy household chores (e.g., vacuuming, moving furniture)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-26 Active recreation (e.g., sports, gardening)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-27 Occupational role (e.g., job, child care, homemaking, student)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-28 Traveling around the community (e.g., car, bus)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The VADL scale [7]. (Copyright © 2000 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.)

Explanation of Independence Rating Scale
This scale will help us to determine how inner ear problems affect your ability to perform each task. Please indicate your current performance on each task, as compared to your performance before developing an inner ear problem, by checking one of the columns in the center of the page. Pick the answer that most accurately describes how you perform the task.

1. Independent. I am not disabled. I perceive no change in performance from before developing in inner ear impairment.
2. I am uncomfortable performing the activity but perceive no difference in the quality of my performance.
3. Decreased ability, no change in manner of performance. I perceive a decrement in the quality of my performance but have not changed the manner of my performance.
4. Slower, cautious, more careful. I have changed the manner of my performance, i.e., I do things more slowly or carefully than before, or I do things without bending.

5. Prefer using an object for help. I prefer using an ordinary object in the environment for assistance (e.g., stair railing) but I am not dependent on the object or device to do the activity.

6. Must use an object for help. I must use an ordinary object in the environment for assistance, but I have not acquired a device specifically designed for the particular activity.

7. Must use special equipment. I must use adaptive equipment designed for the particular activity (e.g., grab bars, cane, reachers, bus with lift, wedge pillow).

8. Need special assistance. I require another person for physical assistance or, for an activity involving two people I need unusual physical assistance.

9. Dependent. I am dependent on another person to perform the activity.

10. Too difficult, no longer perform. I no longer perform the activity due to vertigo or a balance problem.

11. NA. I do not usually perform this activity or I prefer not to answer this question.