Stratified simplex method for solving fuzzy multi-objective linear programming problem
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Abstract. This paper is to deal with a kind of fuzzy multi-objective linear programming (FMOLP) problem with symmetric trapezoidal fuzzy numbers. Several methods has been proposed in order to obtain fuzzy efficient solution to FMOLP problem. In this paper, we change FMOLP problem into the complete stratified fuzzy linear programming problem, then use the stratified simplex method to obtain the fuzzy optimal solution directly without converting them to crisp linear programming problem. We then prove that this fuzzy optimal solution is the fuzzy efficient solution of the original FMOLP problem. A numerical example is given to illustrate the proposed method.
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1. Introduction

Fuzzy set theory has been applied to many disciplines such as industrial applications, management sciences, control theory and mathematical modeling. Bellman and Zadeh [18] first proposed the definition of fuzzy set. Tanaka et al. [8] proposed the concept of fuzzy mathematical programming. Then several methods for solving fuzzy linear programming problem were proposed [3–5, 7, 8, 16]. In many practical problems there usually lies not only one objective, then multi-objective linear programming (MOLP) has important application in many areas of management and engineering. Because of the complexity of the actual problem, the parameter values in MOLP problem cannot be accurately given, then in the actual decision makers consider the parameters as fuzzy numbers. Therefore, fuzzy multi-objective linear programming (FMOLP) problem with fuzzy numbers has more extensive application.

In order to obtain a satisfaction solution of FMOLP problem for a decision maker (DM) based on his/her subjective and preference, various FMOLP models have been proposed and the corresponding solution are also presented. For example, Toksar [13] proposed a Taylor series approach to solve multi-objective linear fractional programming. Ibrahim [10] proposed fuzzy goal programming approach to solve decentralized bi-level multi-objective programming problems. Lai and Hwang [21] used the fuzzy ranking function to solve FMOLP problem with triangular fuzzy numbers. Huang and Wu [9] transformed the fuzzy multi-objective programming problem into a single-objective programming problem by using the comprehensive coordination function with exponential weights. Luhandjula [14] proposed the concepts of α-possible feasibility and
β-possible efficiency based on the concept of fuzzy number, and used the two concepts to solve FMOLP problems by transferring it into an auxiliary crisp MOLP problem. Jie Lu et al. [11] given the concept of adjustable satisfactory degree a, the definition of fuzzy number based solution concept and related theorems for solving an FMOLP problem with different degree a. Ganesan and Veeramani [12] defined a type of fuzzy arithmetic for symmetric trapezoidal fuzzy numbers. Using this definition fuzzy linear programming problem was solved directly with a primal simplex method. Ebrahimnejad [1] proposed a fuzzy revised simplex method to solve fuzzy linear programming. Behrouz et al. [4] extended the dual simplex method to solve fuzzy linear programming. The model and the algorithm developed and presented the dual simplex algorithm directly using the primal tableau, for solving the linear programming problem into the complete stratified linear programming problem, then use the stratified simplex method to solve FMOLP problem with symmetric trapezoidal fuzzy numbers. We change the FMOLP problem involving symmetric trapezoidal fuzzy numbers are presented. In Section 3, the general form of FMOLP problem is presented. Then the FMOLP problem is converted to the complete stratified fuzzy linear programming problem and the fuzzy efficient solution is obtained by using the stratified simplex method. In Section 4, the model and the algorithm of non-complete stratified fuzzy linear programming is discussed. An illustrative example is presented in Section 5 to demonstrate the method. Conclusions are discussed in Section 6.

2. Preliminaries

Some basic definitions, arithmetic operations and ranking function are reviewed in this section.

2.1. Basic definitions

Definition 2.1. [2] A fuzzy set \( \tilde{A} \), defined on the universal set of real numbers \( R \) is said to be a fuzzy number if its membership has the following characteristics:

1. \( \mu_{\tilde{A}} : R \to [0, 1] \) is continuous.
2. \( \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) = 0 \) for all \( x \in (-\infty, a) \cup [d, \infty) \).
3. \( \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) \) strictly increasing on \([a, b]\) and strictly decreasing on \([c, d]\).
4. \( \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) = 1 \) for all \( x \in [b, c] \), where \( a < b < c < d \).

Definition 2.2. [2] A fuzzy number \( \tilde{A} = (a, b, c, d) \) is said to be a trapezoidal fuzzy number if its membership function is given by

\[
\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) = \begin{cases} 
1 - \frac{a-x}{b-a}, & \text{for} \quad a - \alpha \leq x < a, \\
1, & \text{for} \quad a \leq x \leq b, \\
1 - \frac{x-c}{b-\beta}, & \text{for} \quad b < x \leq b + \beta, \\
0, & \text{else}. 
\end{cases}
\]

If \( a = d = b = \beta, \tilde{A} \) is said to be a symmetric trapezoidal fuzzy number.

Definition 2.3. [20] A ranking function is a function \( R : F(R) \to R \), where \( F(R) \) is a set of fuzzy numbers defined on set of real numbers, which maps each fuzzy number into the real line.

Let \( \tilde{A} = (a, b, c, d) \) be a symmetric trapezoidal fuzzy number, \( R(\tilde{A}) = \frac{a+b}{2} \), then

1. \( \tilde{A} \geq \tilde{B} \) \( \text{iff} \) \( R(\tilde{A}) \geq R(\tilde{B}) \)
2. \( \tilde{A} < \tilde{B} \) \( \text{iff} \) \( R(\tilde{A}) < R(\tilde{B}) \)
3. \( \tilde{A} \equiv \tilde{B} \) \( \text{iff} \) \( R(\tilde{A}) = R(\tilde{B}) \)

Remark 2.1. We consider \( \tilde{A} \geq 0 \) if and only if \( R(\tilde{A}) = 0 \). This in this paper we let \( \tilde{A} \equiv (0, 0, 0, 0) \) as the trapezoidal fuzzy number.

2.2. Arithmetic operations

In this section, arithmetic operation between two symmetric trapezoidal fuzzy numbers are reviewed [12].

Let \( \tilde{A}_1 = [a_1, b_1, a, a] \) and \( \tilde{A}_2 = [a_2, b_2, \beta, \beta] \) be two symmetric trapezoidal fuzzy numbers, \( \lambda \) is a real number, then

1. \( \lambda \geq 0, \lambda \tilde{A}_1 = [\lambda a_1, \lambda b_1, \lambda a, \lambda a] \)
2. \( \lambda < 0, \lambda \tilde{A}_1 = [\lambda b_1, \lambda a_1, -\lambda a, -\lambda a] \)
3. \( \tilde{A}_1 \oplus \tilde{A}_2 = [a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2, a + \beta, a + \beta] \)
4. \( \tilde{A}_1 \ominus \tilde{A}_2 = [(b_2 - a_2)/(b_2 - a_2)] - w, (2\lambda - \frac{b_2 - a_2}{b_2 - a_2}) \)

\[
+ w, \quad [b_1 + b_2], [b_1 + b_2], \quad k = \min(a_1 a_2, b_1 b_2, b_1 b_2). 
\]

where \( w = \frac{a_1 a_2}{b_2 - a_2}, h = \max(a_1 a_2, a_1 b_2, b_1 a_2, b_1 b_2), k = \min(a_1 a_2, a_1 b_2, b_1 a_2, b_1 b_2) \).
3. FMOLP problem

A general model of FMOLP problem with \( n \) decision variables, \( m \) constraints and \( l \) objectives can be stated as follows:

\[
\text{(P1)} \quad \text{Min} \left( f_1(\mathbf{x}), \ldots, f_l(\mathbf{x}) \right) = (\tilde{c}_1, \ldots, \tilde{c}_l) \\
\text{s.t.} \quad A \mathbf{x} \leq b \\
\] 

Where \( A = (a_{ij})_{m \times n} \) is a real matrix, \( \tilde{c}_i = (\tilde{c}_{ij})_{1 \times n} \) \((i = 1, \ldots, l)\), \( b = (b_j)_{1 \times m} \) \((j = 1, \ldots, n)\) are fuzzy matrices consisting of symmetric trapezoidal fuzzy numbers.

In problem (P1), it is unlikely that all objectives will simultaneously achieve their fuzzy optimal subjective to the given constraints. So in practice the DM usually choose fuzzy efficient solution as final decision according to the satisfaction degree of each objective [15]. The fuzzy efficient solution is defined as follows:

**Definition 3.1.** A fuzzy feasible solution \( \tilde{x}^* \) of the FMOLP problem (P1) is said to be a fuzzy efficient solution if and only if there does not exist another fuzzy feasible solution \( \tilde{x} \) such that \( R_{f_k}(\tilde{x}^*) \leq R_{f_k}(\tilde{x}) \) for all \( k (k = 1, \ldots, l) \) and \( R_{f_k}(\tilde{x}^*) < R_{f_k}(\tilde{x}) \) for at least one \( j (j = 1, \ldots, l) \).

3.1. Complete stratified problem

In practical problems, objective functions are usually divided into the different levels according to their importance. Then the FMOLP problem (P1) can be changed to a complete stratified fuzzy linear programming problem as follows:

\[
\text{(P2)} \quad \text{Min} \left( \tilde{f}_1(\mathbf{x}), \ldots, \tilde{f}_l(\mathbf{x}) \right)_{k=1}^{l} \\
\text{s.t.} \quad A \mathbf{x} \leq b \\
\] 

Where \( P_k(k = 1, \ldots, l) \) is the mark of the priority level, \( \tilde{f}_k(\mathbf{x}) \) belongs to the \( k \)th priority level and \( P_k \geq P_{k+1} \).

According to the above model, we know that different objective functions in problem (P1) have different levels. In order to find the fuzzy efficient solution of problem (P2), we solve it from the upper level, the fuzzy optimal solution on the lowest level is what we want.

The steps of solving problem (P2) can be summarized as follows:

**ALG (3.1).**

**Step 1:** Determine the fuzzy feasible region

Assuming the fuzzy feasible region \( X \) of problem (P1) as the fuzzy feasible region of the upper level problem, i.e. \( X_1 = \tilde{X} \), set \( k := 1 \).

**Step 2:** Minimize each level problem

Solving the following problem \( \text{Min} \tilde{f}_k(\mathbf{x}) \), then obtain the fuzzy optimal solution \( \tilde{x}^*_k \) and the fuzzy optimal value \( \tilde{f}_k(\tilde{x}^*_k) \).

**Step 3:** Check the priority level

1. if \( k = l \), put out \( \tilde{x}^* = \tilde{x}^*_l \)
2. if \( k < l \), go to Step 4;

**Step 4:** Set \( X^{k+1} = \{ \tilde{x} \in X^k | R_{f_j}(\tilde{x}) \leq R_{f_j}(\tilde{x}^*_k) \} \) and \( k := k + 1 \), go to Step 2.

**Theorem 3.1.** Set \( \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}), \tilde{X} \) is the fuzzy efficient set of problem (P1). If \( \tilde{x}^* \) is the solution of problem (P2) that obtained by the ALG (3.1), then \( \tilde{x}^* \in \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}), \tilde{X} \).

**Proof.** Set \( \tilde{x}^*, k = 1, \ldots, l-1 \) is the fuzzy optimal solution of the \( k \)th priority stratify problem, \( \tilde{x}^* \) is the fuzzy feasible solution of the former \((l-1)\) levels and also the fuzzy optimal solution of the lowest level. Suppose, on the contrary, that \( \tilde{x}^* \notin \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}), \tilde{X} \), then there exist a fuzzy feasible solution \( \tilde{x}_0 \in X_1 \), such that \( R_{f_k}(\tilde{x}_0) \leq R_{f_k}(\tilde{x}^*_k) \) for all \( k = 1, \ldots, l \) and \( R_{f_k}(\tilde{x}_0) < R_{f_k}(\tilde{x}^*_k) \) for at least one \( j = 1, \ldots, l \).

According to the definition of \( X^{k+1} \), \( R_{f_k}(\tilde{x}^*_k) \leq R_{f_k}(\tilde{x}^*_l) \) and \( \tilde{x}^*_l \in X^l \); \( k = 1, \ldots, l-1 \). Then \( R_{f_k}(\tilde{x}_0) \leq R_{f_k}(\tilde{x}^*_k) \) for all \( k = 1, \ldots, l-1 \) and \( R_{f_k}(\tilde{x}_0) < R_{f_k}(\tilde{x}^*_k) \) for at least one \( j = 1, \ldots, l-1 \). Thus \( \tilde{x}^*(1 \leq j \leq l-1) \) is not the fuzzy optimal solution of the jth priority level, a contradiction.

3.2. Stratified simplex method

Now, using the simplex method, the stratified simplex method employs stratified simplex tableau is proposed to solve the FLOMP problem directly. A stratified simplex tableau for a problem with \((n+m)\) decision variables \((m \) of the slack variables), \( m \) constraints and \( l \) objectives is shown as Table 1.

Consider Table 1, where \( P_k \) denotes the \( k \)th level, \( \tilde{f}_k \) is the \( k \)th fuzzy basic variable, \( x_j = B^{-1}A_j \), where \( B \) is the basis matrix, \( A_j \) denote the \( j \)th column of \( A \). \( \tilde{f}_k \) is the mark of the \( k \)th priority level, \( \tilde{x}_k \) is the fuzzy basic variable of the \((k+1)\)th level, in order to ensure the objective values of the former \( k \)th levels \( \tilde{f}_k(\mathbf{x}) = \tilde{c}_k, s = 1, \ldots, k \) can not exceed the optimal solution \( \tilde{x}_k^* \) of the corresponding level, we must consider the following condition. When replace the leaving variable \( \tilde{x}_k \) in the basic column with the entering variable \( \tilde{x}_k \), where \( \tilde{x}_k \) is a nonbasic fuzzy feasible solution of the \( k \)th priority stratify problem, \( \tilde{x} \) equals fuzzy feasible solution of the former level, \( \tilde{x} \) ensures the objective values of the former \( 1 \)th level not greater than the optimal solution \( \tilde{x}_1^* \) of the former level, the fuzzy optimal solution \( \tilde{x}_1^* \) of the former level is solved by the above method.
fuzzy variable, $y_{ij}$, is a pivot element, after changing, we get the following new objective values. $\tilde{f}_k = \frac{\tilde{f}_k}{y_{i0}}, s = 1, \ldots, k, f \neq B$, then $R(\tilde{f}_k - \tilde{f}_k^{\ast}) = R \left( \frac{1}{y_{i0}} \tilde{f}_k \right)$, where $\tilde{y}_{ij} > 0$, $\tilde{y}_{ij} > 0$, $\tilde{f}_k \leq \tilde{f}_k^{\ast}$, which result in $R(\tilde{\lambda}_{s,j} y_{i0}) \geq 0$, then $R(\tilde{\lambda}_{s,j} y_{i0}) \geq 0$, thus $R(\tilde{\lambda}_{s,j} y_{i0}) = 0$, i.e. $\tilde{\lambda}_{s,j} y_{i0} = 0$.

Therefore, the condition of choosing the $(k+1)$th entering variable is $\tilde{\lambda}_{k+1,j} > 0$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_{k,j} > 0, s = 1, \ldots, k$.

Above all, the steps of the stratified simplex method can be summarized as follows:

**ALG. (3.2).**

**Step 1:** Convert problem (P2) to the standard form as (P3) Min $\{ Y_{ik} \}^{\ast}_{i=1,k=1}$ s.t. $A x + k_B = b$

$\tilde{y}_{ij} \geq 0, k_B \geq 0$

where $\tilde{y}_{ij} = (\tilde{y}_{i1}, \tilde{y}_{i2}, \ldots, \tilde{y}_{im}, \tilde{y}_{ik})^T$, $\tilde{y}_{i1}, \tilde{y}_{i2}, \ldots, \tilde{y}_{im}$ are fuzzy slack variables.

**Step 2:** Find an initial fuzzy basic feasible solution with basis $B$. Check $\tilde{\lambda}_{k,j} = 0, j = 1, \ldots, n + m$ in the row of $P_k$.

If $\tilde{\lambda}_{k,j} \leq 0$ for every $j (1 \leq j \leq n + m)$, or $\tilde{\lambda}_{k,j} > 0$ but exist $k' < k$ such that $\tilde{\lambda}_{k,j} = 0$, go to Step 5. Otherwise go to Step 3.

**Step 3:** Select $q(1 \leq q \leq n + m)$ such that $\tilde{\lambda}_{qj} = \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_{kj} | \tilde{\lambda}_{kj} \geq 0, k' = 1, \ldots, k - 1\}$. If $\tilde{y}_{iq} \leq 0$ for all $s(1 \leq s \leq m)$, stop, the primal FMOLP is infeasible, or else select the pivot column $p$ by means of the following minimum ratio test:

$$\frac{\tilde{y}_{ip}}{\tilde{y}_{ip}} = \min_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left| \frac{\tilde{y}_{ip}}{\tilde{y}_{ip}} \right| \forall i > 0$$

Then $x_p$ is the entering variable, $k_p$ is the leaving variable.

**Step 4:** Pivot on $\tilde{y}_{ip}$, we can obtain the new variables

$$y_{ip} = \tilde{y}_{ip} = \frac{y_{ip}}{y_{ip}}, y_{ip} = \frac{y_{ip}}{y_{ip}}$$

**Step 5:** If $k = l$, go to Step 6, or else if $k < l$, set $k := k + 1$ and go to Step 2.

**Step 6:** Put out the solution, the fuzzy efficient solution is $x^{\ast} = (x_{1}^{\ast}, \ldots, x_{m}^{\ast})^T$, the fuzzy values are $\tilde{f}_{k'} = \tilde{f}_{k}, k = 1, \ldots, l$.

**Theorem 3.2.** Set $E(\tilde{f}, \tilde{x})$ is the fuzzy efficient set of (P1). If $\tilde{x}^{\ast}$ is the fuzzy optimal solution of problem (P2) which obtained by the fuzzy stratified method, then $x^{\ast} \in E(\tilde{f}, \tilde{x})$. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$k_1$</th>
<th>$k_2$</th>
<th>$k_3$</th>
<th>$k_{m+n}$</th>
<th>$k$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_1$</td>
<td>$k_{i_1}$</td>
<td>$k_{i_2}$</td>
<td>$k_{i_{m+n}}$</td>
<td>$k$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\vdots$</td>
<td>$\vdots$</td>
<td>$\vdots$</td>
<td>$\vdots$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_l$</td>
<td>$k_{i_1}$</td>
<td>$k_{i_2}$</td>
<td>$k_{i_{m+n}}$</td>
<td>$k$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\vdots$</td>
<td>$\vdots$</td>
<td>$\vdots$</td>
<td>$\vdots$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_k$</td>
<td>$k_{i_1}$</td>
<td>$k_{i_2}$</td>
<td>$k_{i_{m+n}}$</td>
<td>$k$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\vdots$</td>
<td>$\vdots$</td>
<td>$\vdots$</td>
<td>$\vdots$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{k_p}$</td>
<td>$k_{i_1}$</td>
<td>$k_{i_2}$</td>
<td>$k_{i_{m+n}}$</td>
<td>$k$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1**

The stratified simplex tableau

- $\lambda_{s,j}$
- $\tilde{y}_{ij}$
- $\tilde{y}_{ip}$
- $\tilde{y}_{iq}$
- $\tilde{y}_{ip}$
- $\tilde{y}_{iq}$
- $\tilde{y}_{ip}$
- $\tilde{y}_{ip}$
- $\tilde{y}_{ip}$
- $\tilde{y}_{ip}$
Proof. Let \( \bar{x} = (\bar{x}_1, \ldots, \bar{x}_n, \bar{x}_{n+1}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{n+m})^T \). In the last simplex table, for all \( k (1 \leq k \leq t) \) and \( j (1 \leq j \leq n + m) \), \( \bar{x}_{kj} \leq 0 \) or \( \bar{x}_{kj} > 0 \) and exist \( k' < k \) such that \( \bar{x}_{kj} < 0 \). According to Step 6 of ALG (3.2), we have

\[
\bar{f}_k(\bar{x}) \succeq \bar{f}_{k0}, k = 1, \ldots, t. \tag{1}
\]

Let \( \bar{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+m})^T \), then

\[
\bar{f}_j(\bar{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n+m} \bar{x}_{kj} x_j \leq \bar{f}_{0j} - \sum_{k=1}^{n+m} \bar{x}_{kj} x_j, k = 1, \ldots, t. \tag{2}
\]

Suppose \( \bar{x}^1 \) is the fuzzy feasible region of (P3), then

\[
\bar{x}^1 \subseteq \bar{x}^1 \tag{3}
\]

Let

\[
\begin{cases}
\bar{f}_k(\bar{x}) \succeq \bar{f}_{k0} \\
\bar{x} \in \bar{x}^1
\end{cases}
\]

In the following, using mathematical induction, we prove

\[
\begin{cases}
\bar{f}_k(\bar{x}) \succeq \bar{f}_{k0} \\
\bar{x} \in \bar{x}^k
\end{cases}
\]

If \( k = 1 \), then \( \bar{x}_{kj} \leq 0 \), \( j = 1, \ldots, n + m \), for all \( \bar{x} \in \bar{x}^1 \), then \( \bar{f}_1(\bar{x}) \succeq \bar{f}_{01} - \sum_{j=1}^{n+m} \bar{x}_{1j} x_j \succeq \bar{f}_{01} \succeq \bar{f}_1(\bar{x}) \). Therefore, \( \bar{f}_1(\bar{x}) \succeq \bar{f}_{01} \), i.e.

\[
R(\bar{f}_1(\bar{x})) = R(\bar{f}_{01}) \tag{4}
\]

from (3) and (4) we have

\[
\bar{x} \in \bar{x}^2 \tag{5}
\]

Hence Equation (5) holds when \( k = 1 \). Suppose Equation (5) holds when \( k \leq t \), then we prove (5) also holds when \( k = t + 1 \). Let \( \bar{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+m})^T \), then \( \bar{x} \in \bar{x}^{t+1} \subseteq \bar{x}^t \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \bar{x}^2 \), therefore \( \bar{f}_1(\bar{x}) \succeq \bar{f}_{01} \). From Equation (1), Equations (2) and (5), we have

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n+m} \bar{x}_{kj} x_j \geq 0, k = 1, \ldots, t. \tag{6}
\]

From Equation (8), if \( \bar{x}_{kj} \neq 0 \), then \( \bar{x}_j = 0 \), for all \( k = 1, \ldots, t \) and all \( j = 1, \ldots, n + m \). Therefore in the \((t+1)th\), if \( x_{n+j} > 0 \), there exist \( \bar{k} < t + 1 \), s.t. \( \bar{x}_{\bar{k}j} \leq 0 \), then \( \bar{x}_j = 0 \). Then for all \( \bar{x} \in \bar{x}^{t+1} \), \( \bar{f}_1(\bar{x}) \succeq \bar{f}_{01} - \sum_{j=1}^{n+m} \bar{x}_{\bar{k}j} x_j \succeq \bar{f}_{01} \succeq \bar{f}_1(\bar{x}) \). Hence \( \bar{f}_1(\bar{x}) \succeq \bar{f}_{01} \), i.e.

\[
R(\bar{f}_1(\bar{x})) = R(\bar{f}_{01}) \tag{7}
\]

From Equations (4) and (7), we have

\[
\bar{x} \in \bar{x}^{t+2} \tag{8}
\]

Therefore, Equations (9) and (10) indicate that when \( k = t + 1 \), Equation (5) holds. Hence corresponding to the mathematical induction (5) holds.

Now, from Equations (4) and (5) we have \( \bar{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)^T \in \bar{x}^{t+1} \) is the solution that obtained by the ALG3.1. According to the Theorem 3.1, we know \( \bar{x} \) is the fuzzy efficient solution of Problem (P4), where

\[
\begin{align*}
(P4) \text{ Min } & \{ f_1(x), f_2(x), \ldots, f_l(x) \} \\
\text{ s.t. } & A_{\bar{x}} + \bar{x} \succeq \bar{b} \\
& \bar{x} \succeq \bar{0}, A_{\bar{x}} \succeq \bar{0}
\end{align*}
\]

therefore \( \bar{x}^* = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)^T \) is the fuzzy efficient solution of problem (P1), i.e. \( x^* \in E(f, \bar{x}) \).

4. Non-complete problem

In Section 3 we consider the complete stratified fuzzy linear programming problem, but in practical problems, some objective functions are equally important, so the non-complete stratified fuzzy linear programming problem need to discuss.

Suppose there have some objective functions are equally important, so the non-complete stratified fuzzy linear programming problem need to discuss.

Suppose the upper level is \( F_1(x) = \{ f_1(x), \ldots, f_l(x) \}^T \), the second level is \( F_1(x) = \{ \bar{f}_1(x), \ldots, \bar{f}_L(x) \} \), the lowest level is \( F_2(x) = \{ \bar{f}_1(x), \ldots, \bar{f}_L(x) \} \).

Then we obtain the non-complete stratified fuzzy linear programming problem.

\[
(P5) \text{ Min } \{ P_1 F_1(x), P_2 F_1(x), \ldots, P_L F_1(x) \} \\
\text{ s.t. } A_{\bar{x}} \leq \bar{b} \iff \bar{x} \succeq \bar{0}
\]

The algorithm of solving (P5) can be summarized as follows:

ALG (4.1).

**Step 1:** Consider the kth level, determine the weight coefficient of each objective function according to the DM, then we have
Step 2: Obtain the complete stratified fuzzy linear programming (P6)

\[ Z(k) = \alpha_k f_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k f_n, \quad k = 1, \ldots, K. \]

Step 3: Use ALG (3.2) to solve problem (P6).

5. A numerical example

For an illustration of the above method we consider the following example.

Example 5.1. Consider the following FMOLP problem:

\[ \begin{align*}
\min & \quad f_1(x) = (3, 2, 2)x_1 + (6, 0, 1, 1)x_2 \\
& \quad f_2(x) = (-2, 0, 1, 1)x_1 + (5, 1, 1, 1)x_2 \\
\text{s.t.} & \quad x_1 + x_2 \leq (4, 6, 3, 3)
\end{align*} \]

Introducing slack fuzzy variables \( \bar{x}_4, \bar{x}_5 \) and \( \bar{x}_6 \), we may write the first fuzzy primal simplex tableau as Table 2.

In Table 2, \( \bar{x}_{12} \geq (0, 6, 1, 1) \) is a leaving variable and \( \bar{x}_2 \) is an entering variable. The new tableau is Table 3.

In Table 3, \( \bar{x}_{11} \geq (-1, 3, 2, 2) \) is a leaving variable and \( \bar{x}_1 \) is an entering variable. The new tableau is Table 4.
In Table 4, $\lambda_{23} \sim (1, 5, 1, 1) \succeq 0$, $\lambda_{36}$ is a leaving variable and $x_1$ is an entering variable. The new tableau is Table 5.

In Table 5, $\lambda_{23} \succeq (0, 2, 1, 1) > 0$, but $\lambda_{23} \succeq 0$, then convert to the 3th level, $\lambda_{23} > 0$ but $\lambda_{35} < 0$, $\lambda_{35} > 0$ but $\lambda_{35} < 0$ but $\lambda_{23} < 0$. At the same time $k = f = 3$, therefore the fuzzy efficient solution is $x_1 \succeq (1, 5, 5, 5), x_2 \succeq (1, 3, 2, 2), x_3 \succeq (5, 13, 10, 10)$, the fuzzy optimal values are $f_1 \succeq (28, 10, 18, 18), f_2 \succeq (65, 23, 28, 28), f_3 \succeq (6, 38, 65, 65).

6. Conclusion

In this paper, we proposed a fuzzy stratified simplex method to determine the optimal solution of the complete stratified fuzzy multi-objective linear programming problems. Then we proved this optimal solution is the fuzzy efficient solution of the FMOLP problem. The main advantage of the proposed method is that we can obtain the fuzzy optimal solution of the FMOLP problem directly by using the extended primal simplex method.
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