Bexarotene Promotes Cholesterol Efflux and Restricts Apical-to-Basolateral Transport of Amyloid-β Peptides in an In Vitro Model of the Human Blood-Brain Barrier
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Abstract. One of the prime features of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the excessive accumulation of amyloid-β (Aβ) peptides in the brain. Several recent studies suggest that this phenomenon results from the dysregulation of cholesterol homeostasis in the brain and impaired bidirectional Aβ exchange between blood and brain. These mechanisms appear to be closely related and are controlled by the blood-brain barrier (BBB) at the brain microvessel level. In animal models of AD, the anticancer drug bexarotene (a retinoid X receptor agonist) has been found to restore cognitive functions and decrease the brain amyloid burden by regulating cholesterol homeostasis. However, the drug’s therapeutic effect is subject to debate and the exact mechanism of action has not been characterized. Therefore, the objective of this present study was to determine bexarotene’s effects on the BBB. Using an in vitro model of the human BBB, we investigated the drug’s effects on cholesterol exchange between abluminal and luminal compartments and the apical-to-basolateral transport of Aβ peptides across the BBB. Our results demonstrated that bexarotene induces the expression of ABCA1 but not ApoE. This upregulation correlates with an increase in ApoE2-, ApoE4-, ApoA-I-, and HDL-mediated cholesterol efflux. Regarding the transport of Aβ peptides, bexarotene increases the expression of ABCB1, which in turn decreases Aβ apical-to-basolateral transport. Our results showed that bexarotene not only promotes the cholesterol exchange between the brain and the blood but also decreases the influx of Aβ peptides across BBB, suggesting that bexarotene is a promising drug candidate for the treatment of AD.
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INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a neurodegenerative pathology that affects almost 44 million people around the world. According to the amyloid cascade hypothesis, accumulation of amyloid-β (Aβ) peptides in the brain is one of the prime features of AD [1]. In the brain, these peptides are derived from the cleavage of the amyloid-β protein precursor expressed essentially by neurons. The enzymes involved in this process have been identified and it is now clear that their activities are closely linked to the neuronal cholesterol content [2]. Accordingly, it is now acknowledged that AD is closely associated with lipid metabolism. A close relationship between cholesterol and AD is suggested by the fact that (1) cholesterol is a dietary risk factor in humans [3, 4] and animals [5–7] and (2) the ApoE4
allele is the most strongly associated genetic factor in AD identified to date [8]. For all these reasons, several current therapeutic approaches for treating AD patients consist of targeting Aβ peptide metabolism and modulating the brain’s cholesterol metabolism and ApoE expression/lipidation. In the brain, ApoE’s lipidation status depends on the ATP-binding cassette family subfamily A member 1 transporter (ABCA1), which transfers lipids to ApoE, ApoA-I, and high-density lipoproteins (HDLs) [9, 10]. Several studies have demonstrated that deletion of ABCA1 expression increases the amyloid burden in murine models of AD [11–13], whereas overexpression of the gene decreases amyloid deposition and improves the animal’s behavior [14]. Likewise, several natural or synthetic modulators of ABCA1 expression have significant effects on amyloid synthesis, degradation, and clearance from the brain [15, 16]. One of the most promising molecules in this field is bexarotene—a drug that has been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of certain types of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. In 2012, Cramer et al. elegantly demonstrated by the US Food and Drug Administration for the field is bexarotene—a drug that has been approved in vivo, in situ, and in vitro that ABCA1 is the major protagonist in the exchange of cholesterol between the brain and the blood [29–31]. The BBB also participates in the regulation of the brain pool of Aβ peptides in general and the re-entry of these peptides into the brain in particular [32–36]. The receptor for advanced glycation end-products (RAGE, expressed at the EC luminal membrane) is involved in the blood-to-brain transport of Aβ [32, 34], whereas ABCB1 and probably ABCC1 restrict Aβ re-entry into the brain [25, 37, 38].

By using an in vitro model of the human BBB that expresses the RXRs (the cells’ gene expression profile is available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE45171), we sought to investigate bexarotene’s effects on the BBB’s ability to exchange cholesterol and Aβ peptides. This model involves culturing hematopoietic stem cells obtained from umbilical cord blood with brain pericytes [39].

Our results demonstrate that bexarotene (1) induces the expression of ABCA1, (2) mediates the efflux of cholesterol toward ApoA-I, ApoE2, ApoE4, and HDL, and (3) increases the expression of ABCB1, which in turn decreases the apical-to-basolateral transport of Aβ peptides.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals

ApoA-I and HDL were purchased from VWR (Fontenay-sous-Bois, France). ApoE2 and E4 were purchased from Clinsciences (Montrouge, France) and were resuspended in sterile water at a concentration of 1 mg/mL. Bexarotene, Lucifer Yellow (LY), and human serum albumin (HSA) were purchased from Sigma. Bexarotene was dissolved in DMSO (dimethylsulfoxide from Sigma) at concentration of 40 mM. [3H]cholesterol (43 Ci/mmol), [14C]sucrose (630 mCi/mmol), and [3H]imulin (1.25 Ci/mmol) were purchased from PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences (Waltham, MA, USA). Fluorescent amyloid beta-protein (1–40) (human)-Cy5 labeled was purchased from Phoenix Pharmaceuticals (Strasbourg, France). The powder was reconstituted according to the manufacturer’s instructions, in order to avoid peptide aggregation. Briefly, the peptide was resuspended...
in 10% DMSO in buffer and stored at -20°C. The ABCB1 inhibitor elacridar was kindly provided by Dr. Stefan Lundquist (AstraZeneca R&D, Södertälje, Sweden) and resuspended in DMSO.

The human BBB model derived from hematopoietic stem cells

The human BBB model has previously been described in detail [39]. All the donors of umbilical cord blood samples had given their written, informed consent, in compliance with French legislation. The sample collection was approved by the local investigational review board (Béthune Maternity Hospital, Béthune, France).

Isolation of CD34+ cells

Briefly, CD34+ cells were isolated from the umbilical cord blood and differentiated into ECs by using a previously published protocol [40]. For this differentiation step, isolated CD34+ cells were cultured in Endothelial Cell Medium (ECM, Sciencell, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 20% (v/v) fetal calf serum (FCS; Life Technologies) and 50 ng/mL of recombinant human VEGF_{165} (PeproTech Inc.) on 0.2% (w/v) gelatin-coated 24-well plates. After 15 to 20 days, ECs can be seen in the culture dish. Cells were then trypsinized and expanded in 0.2% (w/v) gelatin-coated 100 mm Petri dishes. Cells were then trypsinized and expanded in 0.2% (w/v) gelatin-coated 100 mm Petri dishes (BD Falcon) in ECM supplemented with 5% (v/v) FCS, 50 µg/mL gentamycin (Biocrom AG), and 1 ng/mL basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF).

Brain pericyte culture

To reproduce the BBB, ECs were co-cultured with bovine brain pericytes isolated as described by Vandenhaute et al. [41]. For this co-culture experiment, the brain pericytes were initially seeded on 60-mm gelatin-coated Petri dishes and cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM, Fisher Scientific, Illkirch, France) supplemented with 20% (v/v) FCS 2 mM L-glutamine, 50 µg/mL gentamycin and 1 ng/mL bFGF. The cells reached confluence after 2 days. Lastly, 50 × 10^3 cells were seeded into individual wells of 12-well plates (Corning, NY, USA).

The BBB model

CD34+ ECs growing on gelatin-coated 100 mm Petri dishes in ECM supplemented with 5% (v/v) FCS, 50 µg/mL gentamycin (Biocrom AG) and 1 ng/mL bFGF were trypsinized and seeded at a density of 8 × 10^4 cells/well on Matrigel-coated (BD Biosciences, Le Pont de Claix, France) Transwell inserts (Corning). After 6 days of co-culture, the ECs acquire the tight junctions and transporters typically observed in brain endothelium and display most of the BBB’s in vivo properties for at least 20 days [39]. Hence, the ECs are referred to as brain-like endothelial cells (BLECs) after this point in time. The BLECs’ gene expression profile is available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE45171

Endothelial permeability measurements

The presence of functional tight junctions in BLECs after 24 h of treatment with different doses (0–100 nM) of bexarotene was checked by calculating the endothelial permeability coefficient (Pe) of two BBB integrity markers (20 µM LY and [14C]sucrose), as previously described [39]. Briefly, prior to the experiments, HEPES-buffered Ringer’s solution (KH buffer, pH 7.4; HEPES 5 mM; NaHCO3 6 mM; NaCl 150 mM; KCl 5.2 mM; CaCl2 2.2 mM; MgCl2·6H2O 1.2 mM) was added to empty wells of a 12-well plate (Costar). Filter inserts with BLECs were subsequently placed in the wells, which were then filled with solution containing LY or [14C]sucrose and placed on an orbital shaker. For the LY experiments, filter inserts were withdrawn from the receiver compartment after 20, 40, and 60 min. Aliquots from the donor solution were taken at the beginning and end of the experiments, and (for LY) the fluorescence was then quantified (with a Synergy H1 multiplate reader (Biotek); excitation at 432 nm, emission at 538 nm).

For sucrose, Pe was calculated after 30 min. Radioactivity was measured in a liquid scintillation counter (Tri-Carb 2100TR).

At least three inserts with cells and three inserts without cells were tested in each permeability measurement, and each experiment was run at least three times.

Immunostaining

After 24 h of treatment with 100 nM bexarotene or DMSO, BLECs were washed with pH 7.4 phosphate buffered saline-calcium- and magnesium-free solution (PBS-CMF; 8.0 g/L NaCl, 0.2 g/L KCl, 0.2 g/L K2HPO4, et 2.87 g/L NaHPO4·12H2O) once and then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min at
room temperature (RT). After three washes in PBS-CMF, filters were cut free from the plastic insert and the cells were rinsed three times in PBS and permeabilized with Triton X-100 (0.1% v/v) in PBS-CMF for 10 min at RT. Following a 30-min incubation in PBS-CMF supplemented with 10% (v/v) normal goat serum (NGS), cells were incubated for 1 h with the primary antibody at RT (anti-ZO1 (red) or anti-Occludin (green), Invitrogen, Cergy-Pontoise, France). After 3 washes in PBS-CMF supplemented with 2% NGS, preparations were incubated with the secondary antibody for 30 min at RT. Nuclei were stained using Hoechst reagent (blue). Cells were mounted using Mowiol (Sigma-Aldrich) containing 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (Sigma-Aldrich) as an anti-quenching agent. Images were collected using a Cool SNAP RS Photometrics camera (Leica Microsystems) and processed using Adobe Photoshop software (version 5.5, Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA, USA).

RNA extraction and PCR analysis

After 24 h of treatment with different concentrations of bexarotene, BLECs were rinsed twice with cold PBS (8 g/L NaCl, 0.2 g/L KCl, 0.2 g/L KH2PO4, 2.87 g/L Na2HPO4 (12H2O), pH 7.4) and then lysed with 500 μL of RLT lysis buffer (Qiagen). For each condition, three wells were pooled. mRNA was purified using the RNeasy total RNA extraction kit (Qiagen), following the manufacturer’s instructions. After this step, the mRNA’s purity and concentration were assessed by measuring the absorbance at 260, 280, and 320 nm using the Take 3 microplate reader protocol (Synergy™ H1, Biotek). Only samples with a purity value over 2 were used in experiments.

For each condition, cDNAs were obtained from 0.5 μg of mRNA using iScript™ Reverse Transcription Supermix (BioRad, Marnes-la-Coquette, France), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Real-time PCR experiments were performed using the Sso Fast EvaGreen Master Mix kit (BioRad) and the custom-designed primers listed in Table 1. For each primer, amplification was carried out for 40 cycles with an annealing temperature of 60°C in a CFX96 thermocycler (BioRad). The efficiency was calculated for each primer pair (CFX Manager, BioRad). Melting curve analysis was performed after the amplification cycles, in order to check the specificity/purity of each amplification. Gene expression levels were evaluated according to the ΔΔCt method and normalized against ACTB and RPLP0 expression.

Protein extraction and analysis

After 24 h of treatment with bexarotene, BLECs were washed twice in PBS-CMF supplemented with 0.1 g/L CaCl2, and 0.1 g/L MgCl2*6H2O at 37°C and then incubated with collagenase (1 mg/mL in PBS, Sigma-Aldrich) for 5 min. Enzymatic activity was quenched by transferring the resulting cell suspension into culture medium at 37°C. After an initial centrifugation step (800 rpm at 4°C for 8 min), the supernatant was discarded, and the cells were resuspended in PBS-CMF and centrifuged again. After discarding all of the supernatant, the cell pellets were placed in RIPA lysis buffer supplemented with protease/phosphatase inhibitors (Sigma). Three wells were pooled for each condition. The protein concentration was then measured using the Bradford method (BioRad). Fifteen μg of extract were then electrophoresed on 4–15% sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel (BioRad) and electrotransferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (GE Healthcare, Saclay, France). After a 90-min incubation in blocking buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 125 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween 20, and 5% skimmed milk) at 37°C, membranes were incubated with appropriate primary antibodies overnight at 4°C ((anti-ABCA1 (1 μg/mL), anti-RAGE, (0.3 μg/mL), anti-SCARB1 (0.4 μg/mL), anti-ABCC1 (1 μg/mL), anti-ApoE (5 μg/mL); ABCAM), anti-ABCBl (0.18 μg/mL; Genetex), anti-β-ACTIN (0.05 μg/mL; Sigma)), rinsed three times with blocking buffer and incubated with appropriate horsedarish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibody (Dako) for 1 h at RT. The HRP was assayed with an enhanced chemiluminescence kit (GE Healthcare) and revealed on chemiluminescence-sensitive films (GE Healthcare). The bands’ optical densities were measured using TotalLab TL 100 1D Gel Analysis software (Nonlinear Dynamics, Newcastle, UK). In these experiments, β-actin and tubulin were used as loading controls.

Cholesterol efflux from BLECs

First, [3H]-cholesterol (0.5 μCi/mL) was incorporated into serum (20% FCS, as described above) for 6 h at 37°C. This serum was then supplemented with bFGF 1 ng/mL, glutamine 2 mM, and gentamicin 50 μg/mL, in order to obtain radioabeled medium. Cells were then cultured for 36 h with this medium and then rinsed twice with warm ECM/0.05% HSA. After this step, the BLECs were incubated in ECM/HSA 0.05%/bFGF 1 ng/mL supplemented (or not) with 100 nM of bexarotene at 37°C, 5% CO2. After 24 h of treatment,
cells were rinsed twice with warm ECM/0.05% HSA, and cellular cholesterol efflux was assessed for 7 h in the presence or absence of 20 μg/mL cholesterol acceptors (ApoA-I, ApoE2, ApoE4) or 50 μg/mL HDL. At the end of this step, the medium was collected and centrifuged (4 min, 4,000 rpm, 4°C). BLECs were washed four times with cold PBS and lysed with a PBS/1% Triton X-100 buffer. Radioactivity in the supernatants and lysates was measured in a liquid scintillation counter (Tri-Carb 2100TR). The following equations were used to calculate percentage efflux from the disintegrations per minute (DPM) [29, 42]:

$$\text{percentage apical efflux} = \frac{\text{DPM}_{\text{apical}}}{\text{DPM}_{\text{apical}} + \text{DPM}_{\text{basolateral}} + \text{DPM}_{\text{cell lysate}}} \times 100$$

$$\text{percentage basolateral efflux} = \frac{\text{DPM}_{\text{basolateral}}}{\text{DPM}_{\text{apical}} + \text{DPM}_{\text{basolateral}} + \text{DPM}_{\text{cell lysate}}} \times 100$$

Each assay was performed using three filters, and each experiment was run at least three times.

**Apical-to-basolateral transport of Aβ peptides**

After a 24-h treatment with either DMSO (the control condition) or 100 nM bexarotene, Aβ peptide influx (apical-to-basolateral transport) was measured, as previously described [29, 34]. For apical-to-basolateral studies, inserts with BLECs were transferred into 12-well plates containing 1.5 mL RH/0.5% HSA in each well. Next, 0.5 mL RH/0.5% HSA/sucrose with 10 nM of either [³H]-inulin or Cy5-Aβ peptide were placed on the filters. For inhibition studies, the apical compartment was supplemented with 500 nM elacridar. During the 30-min transport assay, cells were incubated at 37°C with very slight agitation. The BBB’s permeability and non-receptor-mediated transport were respectively evaluated by measuring [¹⁴C]sucrose permeability (see below) and [³H]-inulin transport using a liquid scintillation counter (Tri-Carb 2100TR, PerkinElmer). Radioactivity was measured in DPM. Fluorescence at appropriate wavelengths was measured using a spectrophotometer Synergy H1.

To assess possible adsorption to plastics and non-specific binding to BLECs, the mass balance (%) was calculated as the amount of compound recovered from both compartments at the end of the experiment divided by the total amount added to the donor compartment at time zero. The mass balance value should be between 90% and 110% since there is neither degradation nor aggregation of the molecules.

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed with Prism 6.0 software (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA), using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and then appropriate post hoc tests. Data are reported as the mean ± SD or (where specified) the mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

**RESULTS**

**Effect of bexarotene on the permeability of BBB**

At concentrations of 25 to 100 nM, bexarotene did not modify the monolayer’s permeability (Pe) to the

---

**Table 1**

DNA primers used to amplify mRNA in human brain-like endothelial cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mRNA Species</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>F/R Sequences</th>
<th>Accession Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABCA1 Homo sapiens</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>5′-cagtgcttcctgattagcacac-3′</td>
<td>NM_005502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>5′-aggctagcgaagatcttgagtg-3′</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABCB1 Homo sapiens</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>5′-gcctggagctggaagacaaatacacaaaa-3′</td>
<td>NM_000927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>5′-cagacagcagctgacagtccaagaacagga-3′</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABCC1 Homo sapiens</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>5′-accaagacgtatcaggtggcc-3′</td>
<td>NM_004996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>5′-ctgtctgggcatccaggat-3′</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOE Homo sapiens</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>5′-ttcctggcaggatgccaggc-3′</td>
<td>NM_000041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>5′-ggtcagttgttcctccagttc-3′</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAGE Homo sapiens</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>5′-gagtccgtgtctaccagattcc-3′</td>
<td>NM_001136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>5′-atccaagtgccagctaagagtc-3′</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCARB1 Homo sapiens</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>5′-atccccttctatctctccgtct-3′</td>
<td>NM_005505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>5′-gtcgttgttgttgaaggtgatg-3′</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTB Homo sapiens</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>5′-ggagcacagagcctcgcctt-3′</td>
<td>NM_173979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>5′-acatgccggagccgttgtcg-3′</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPLP0 Homo sapiens</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>5′-cagctgatcaagactggagaca-3′</td>
<td>NM_001002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>5′-cacttcagggttgtagatgctg-3′</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From left to right: the cDNA targeted for amplification, species, sequences (F, Forward; R, Reverse primer) and accession numbers of cDNA from the NCBI database.
Fig. 1. Effect of bexarotene on BBB permeability (A) and tight junctions (B). In (A), different concentrations of bexarotene were incubated on the apical (blood) side of our human in vitro BBB model for 24 h. Permeability (Pe) of a fluorescent paracellular marker (LY) was assessed as described in the Material and Methods and in previous studies [28, 39, 73]. Data are expressed as the mean ± SEM. Statistical analysis: a one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s multiple comparison test, in which each condition is compared with the DMSO-treated control. In (B), the immunofluorescence localization of ZO1 (red) and occludin (green) was assessed after 24 h of treatment with DMSO or 100 nM bexarotene. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst dye (blue). Scale bars correspond to 25 μm.

paracellular marker LY (Fig. 1A). The Pe values were correlated with immunohistochemical labeling of the tight junction protein ZO-1 and occludin (Fig. 1B). Intact, strong staining of the junctions (with no gaps at cell borders) were observed in untreated and treated BLECs.
Cholesterol efflux from BLECs

We and others have previously reported that cholesterol exchange between the brain and the blood is mediated by several proteins expressed by the ECs composing the BBB [29, 30, 43, 44]. In the absence of treatment, BLECs loaded with radioactive cholesterol were able to efflux a small proportion (0.86% in an HSA-only control condition) to the basolateral medium (the brain side, Fig. 2A). As we have previously observed in other brain vascular cell types [29, 42], the addition of lipid-free ApoA-I particles did not significantly increase the extent of release (0.72%), whereas HDL, ApoE2, and ApoE4 increased release by up to 3-fold, compared with the HSA condition (2.71%, 2.25%, and 2.52%, respectively). In the apical (bloodside) medium, the proportions of cholesterol efflux in the HSA- and in the ApoA-I particle-conditions were 2.17% and 2.01%, respectively (Fig. 2B). Addition of HDL, ApoE2, and ApoE4 increased the proportion of cholesterol release to 6.33%, 5.74%, and 5.37%, respectively.

Bexarotene induces ABCA1 expression in BLECs

Given that (1) bexarotene crosses the BBB and (2) BLECs express nuclear RXR, we next assessed the drug’s influence on cholesterol exchange in the apical and basolateral compartments. Expression of ABCA1, SCARB1, and ApoE were investigated first with RT-qPCR assays and then in immunoblot experiments. Bexarotene upregulated transcription of ABCA1 (Fig. 3A) but not SCARB1 or ApoE (Fig. 3B and C, respectively). These results were correlated with levels of protein expression (Fig. 3D).

Bexarotene’s upregulation of ABCA1 modulates cholesterol efflux

Next, cholesterol efflux into the basolateral and apical compartments were assessed after 24 h of bexarotene treatment (100 nM). As shown in Fig. 4A, cholesterol release to acceptors in the basolateral compartment was significantly greater in the presence of bexarotene (with respective increases of 58.8%, 30.5%, 41.1%, and 19.8% in the presence of ApoA-I, HDL, ApoE2, and ApoE4, relative to the control conditions). Figure 4B shows the same trend in the apical compartment (with respective increases of 30.0%, 19.6%, 33.2%, and 19.7% in the presence of ApoA-I, HDL, ApoE2, and ApoE4, relative to the control conditions).

Apical-to-basolateral Aβ peptide transport across the BBB

We and others have previously demonstrated that RAGE, ABCB1, and ABCC1 are involved in the apical-to-basolateral transport of Aβ peptides. Bexarotene did not significantly modify the transcriptional expression of RAGE and ABCC1 (1.16- and 1.19-fold versus the control condition, respectively). After bexarotene treatment, however, the expression of ABCB1 was significantly upregulated by a factor of 2.45 versus the control condition (Fig. 5A). This observation was confirmed by immunoblotting, where
expression of the ABCB1 protein was upregulated by 33% (Fig. 5B).

**Upregulation of ABCB1 decreases apical-to-basolateral Aβ peptide transport**

In order to determine whether the upregulation of ABCB1 might affect apical-to-basolateral transport of Aβ peptides, the transport experiments were performed using the potent ABCB1 inhibitor elacridar [34, 45]. At a concentration of 500 nM, elacridar did not modify the monolayer’s permeability to $^{14}$C-sucrose (data not shown). In our human BBB model, the apical-to-basolateral transport of the Aβ peptides in the control condition was 1.28% (Fig. 6) after 30 min. When BLECs were treated for 24 h with bexarotene, this transport decreased by about a quarter (to 0.96%). The transport was greater in the presence of elacridar than in the control condition (1.86%). As in our previous studies of Aβ peptide transport across the BBB, inulin was included as a control because (1) its apparent molecular weight (almost 4.5 kDa) is very similar to those of the Aβ peptides and (2) it lacks a specific receptor on the BLEC membrane [29, 34, 42, 46]. Neither bexarotene nor elacridar significantly affected the apical-to-basolateral transport of inulin (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

Dysregulation of brain cholesterol metabolism and Aβ peptide transport across the BBB are thought to have a key role in the development of AD [25, 47]. At present, one of the most interesting approaches for
Fig. 4. The effect of bexarotene on cholesterol release. To determine the effect of bexarotene on cholesterol efflux into the basolateral (A) and apical (B) compartments, the equilibration step was performed in the presence of DMSO alone (control) or 100 nM bexarotene. Acceptors were added in both compartments. Each bar represents the mean ± SEM; n = 6–12. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001, relative to a DMSO-treated control (in a one-way ANOVA, followed by Bonferroni’s test for multiple comparisons).

Fig. 5. The effect of bexarotene (100 nM) on expression of transporters involved in Aβ peptide influx across BLECs. In (A), cells were pretreated for 24 h with DMSO (control) or bexarotene (100 nM). ABCB1, RAGE, and ABCC1 were analyzed using RT-qPCR assays and the primers listed in Table 1. Each bar corresponds to the mean ± SD of mRNA expression, normalized against RPLP0 and ACTB. In (B), protein expression of ABCB1 was assessed by immunoblotting after BLECs had been treated for 24 h with either DMSO or bexarotene (100 nM). Each bar corresponds to the mean ± SD. Statistical analysis: a one-way ANOVA, followed by Dunnett’s test for multiple comparisons, where *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, relative to a DMSO-treated control.

Treating AD is the administration of bexarotene [24, 48, 49], an RXR agonist that modulates the expression of ABCA1 and ApoE (both of which are major proteins regulating cholesterol homeostasis in the brain and periphery). ABCA1 is the major transporter in the lipidation of ApoE, whereas the latter is involved in Aβ peptide metabolism and clearance. This clearance can be promoted by microglial phagocytosis or transport across the BBB. However, bexarotene’s effect on Aβ peptide clearance from the brain is still subject to debate. Whereas some studies report a decrease in soluble forms of brain Aβ peptides [17, 18, 23], other studies failed to observe an effect [20, 21, 50, 51]. Behavioral studies of bexarotene-treated AD animals have either reported no improvement [19, 21, 50, 51]
or some improvement [17, 18], relative to untreated animals. Although it has been suggested that these discrepancies are due to differences in the formulation of bexarotene use, another possible explanation relates to the use of aggressive transgenic mouse models of AD. Indeed, several studies have highlighted that genetic modifications altering the amyloid synthesis can alter the physiological properties of the BBB in these models—often before the onset of the disease itself. For example, the BBB's integrity [52, 53] and the brain's vascular volume and expression of receptors and transporters [54, 55] are rapidly altered in murine models of AD. Accordingly, we hypothesized that bexarotene acts at the BBB level to influence the amyloid burden and the brain's cholesterol metabolism. However, these effects cannot be observed in models in which the BBB's physiology and integrity are modified.

Hence, by using an in vitro model of the human BBB in which BLECs are cultured with brain pericytes [39], we sought to characterize bexarotene's effects on BBB permeability, cholesterol exchange, and Aβ peptide re-entry into the CNS.

In vitro, we used the same bexarotene concentrations used in the literature. These were not toxic and did not modify the permeability of the BBB in our culture system. Thus, a bexarotene concentration of 100 nM was used in all of our experiments.

Next, we investigated ABCA1 expression in our model. This transporter is of prime interest in AD because its impact on brain cholesterol metabolism modulates the brain’s amyloid burden. Overexpression of ABCA1 decreases the amyloid accumulation [14], knock-out of this gene provokes an accumulation of Aβ peptide in the CNS [12, 13, 56]. It has previously been reported that ABCA1 is expressed by brain microvessel ECs from animals [29, 30, 57]. Here, for the first time, we demonstrated that this transporter is also expressed by human BBB cells. Furthermore, our data showed that bexarotene-mediated upregulation of ABCA1 in BLECs is correlated with an increase in cholesterol efflux to ApoA-I, HDL, ApoE2, and ApoE4 particles. Because membrane cholesterol content influences also the ABCA1 activity [58], it is likely that bexarotene also modulates the ABCA1 activity. Interestingly, and as previously reported for brain pericytes [42], neurons [59], fibroblasts [60], and plexus choroid cells [61], there were no significant differences in efflux between the two isoforms of ApoE. The cholesterol efflux is preferentially directed to the apical compartment (the blood side) and probably helps regulating the cerebral pool of cholesterol. Taken as a whole, these data strongly reinforce the hypothesis whereby the human BBB is involved in cholesterol homeostasis in the brain and the periphery [29, 31, 42]. Thus, we hypothesized that if the physiology of the BBB is altered (as in several murine models of AD [19–21, 50]), bexarotene will have less effect on the brain's cholesterol metabolism.

Interestingly, we also observed that bexarotene did not modulate the expression of ApoE by BLECs. Bexarotene has been shown to induce the expression of ApoE in several CNS cell types and in brain extracts [17, 63, 64] but not in hippocampal neurons [48]. The levels of ApoE and HDL (or HDL-like particles) are important parameters for the cholesterol shuttle between neurons and astrocytes and for the BBB-mediated clearance of Aβ peptides [9, 25, 47].

For example, previous research has demonstrated that bexarotene can promote the brain-to-blood transport of Aβ peptides in vitro and in vivo in the presence of ApoE [65]. This effect is probably mediated by an increase in the expression of receptors such as the low-density lipoprotein receptors (LRP1, LDLR, vLDLR, etc.) involved in Aβ peptide clearance across the BBB. However, the above-cited study did not investigate the receptors' expression and functionality [65]. Given that we have previously characterized the role of RAGE and ABCB1 in the re-entry of Aβ peptides into the CNS [29, 34, 42], we decided to investigate bexarotene's...
effects on the apical-to-basolateral transport of these peptides. Although the impact of circulating levels of Aβ peptides in AD is often neglected, several studies have now highlighted the need to consider this peripheral pool in the disease mechanism [33, 35, 36]. RAGE have now highlighted the need to consider this peripheral pool in the disease mechanism [33, 35, 36]. RAGE have now highlighted the need to consider this peripheral pool in the disease mechanism [33, 35, 36]. RAGE have now highlighted the need to consider this peripheral pool in the disease mechanism [33, 35, 36]. RAGE have now highlighted the need to consider this peripheral pool in the disease mechanism [33, 35, 36]. RAGE have now highlighted the need to consider this peripheral pool in the disease mechanism [33, 35, 36]. RAGE have now highlighted the need to consider this peripheral pool in the disease mechanism [33, 35, 36]. RAGE have now highlighted the need to consider this peripheral pool in the disease mechanism [33, 35, 36]. RAGE have now highlighted the need to consider this peripheral pool in the disease mechanism [33, 35, 36]. RAGE have now highlighted the need to consider this peripheral pool in the disease mechanism [33, 35, 36]. RAGE have now highlighted the need to consider this peripheral pool in the disease mechanism [33, 35, 36]. RAGE have now highlighted the need to consider this peripheral pool in the disease mechanism [33, 35, 36].

Secondly, bexarotene acts directly at the BBB by modulating the transport of Aβ peptides. The brain efflux of Aβ peptide across the BBB is increased [65], and the influx of soluble forms of Aβ peptide across BLEC (in the apical-to-basolateral direction) is decreased; this could reduce Aβ re-entry into the brain and thus limit further accumulation within this compartment.

In conclusion, our present results add to the growing body of evidence to show that bexarotene can act at the BBB to decrease the amyloid burden in AD patients and animal models. As found in previous studies [29, 43, 68], our present results suggest that nuclear receptors (RXRs, LXR, and others) are promising drug targets for modulating the BBB’s physiology and treating CNS pathologies.
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