Relation between Uric Acid and Alzheimer’s Disease in Elderly Jordanians
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Abstract
Background: It has been suggested that oxidative injuries have a role in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Uric acid (UA) has a contradictory effect on cognitive function and several lines of evidence suggest that UA may modulate outcome in neurological diseases.

Objectives: Many studies investigated serum UA levels in AD patients, but to date, results from these observational studies are conflicting. In this study, we assess whether serum UA levels would be altered in the AD Jordanian patients compared to those of the healthy controls.

Methods: Serum UA and lipid profile levels were measured in 41 AD patients and 40 healthy controls. Results were statistically evaluated at p<0.05 level of significance. The Arabic version of the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE-A) was used to evaluate the cognitive functions of all participants.

Results: Demographic variables indicate that individuals that are illiterate demonstrate a 7.5 fold (p=0.033) increase in risk of developing AD. The AD group shows 12.6% lower serum UA level than control subjects and the difference between groups is statistically significant (p=0.033). No significant differences could be found between the two groups in lipid profile levels. Pearson correlation coefficients and Multivarient linear regression show no significant correlation between MMSE and continuous variables in AD patients except for age.

Conclusion: The results suggest that serum UA levels are significantly lower in AD patients in comparison to control subjects. UA may have a protective role against AD; however this role needs further investigations.
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INTRODUCTION

According to the UN (2011) 5.5% of Jordan’s current 6.25 million inhabitants are over the age of 60, and this is expected to increase dramatically by 2050. We estimate that there currently 16,500 people with clinically significant Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and this will increase over the next forty years [1]. AD is the most common neurodegenerative dysfunction of the central nervous system and has been associated with oxidative stress and vascular pathology [2, 3]. Cerebral tissue seems to be particularly vulnerable to oxidative damage because of its high oxygen consumption, low content of antioxidants, and high content of polyunsaturated fatty acids of neuronal membranes [4]. Cholesterol plays central role in promoting the production of amyloid-β, the main component of the amyloid plaques found in the brains of AD patients, and possibly the progression of AD [5]. The role of elevated
SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Subjects

The sample population for this cross-sectional study is patients in the senior homes and Jordan University Hospital with a diagnosis of probable AD. Participants were diagnosed by National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke–Alzheimer’s disease and Related Disorders Association criteria [17] and Clinical Dementia Rating of 1.0–2.0, establishing mild to moderate AD [18]. Informed consent was obtained from subject or legal guardians in accord with the Institutional Review Board for Human Study at the University of Jordan/ Faculty of Medicine. The AD patients had no major medical illnesses such as hypercholesterolemia, hypertension, renal disease, diabetes, etc., no psychiatric illnesses, and no systemic use of anti-hyperuricemic drugs, lipid lowering agents, psychotropic drugs, or substance abuse. Information about subjects was gathered from a questionnaire completed by all participants. The questionnaire covered socio-demographic characteristics, lifestyle, family, and medical history including chronic diseases and medications.

Initially, all subjects were screened with the (MMSE-A). A total 165 individual agreed to participate in the study; after the interview and the application of the AD diagnostic criteria, only 40 subjects were eligible for the mild to moderate AD group. In parallel, a group of 50 controls was randomly selected from different sectors of the same hospital (employee, caregivers, and visitors) and only 41 completed the study. They were functionally independent and cognitively healthy.

Mental scales

The Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) is a widely used screening instrument for assessing dementia in older adults. It tests five areas of cognitive functions: orientation, registration, attention/calculation, recall, and language [19]. MMSE was translated to the Arabic language and was adapted to the local Arabic dialogue [16]. Taking into consideration the high rate of illiteracy among the elderly population, the cutoff point for the presence of cognitive impairment was set according to the corresponding levels of education. For the control group, a score at 21/30 was set for those with no formal education, 23/30 for those who received <12 years of education, and 25/30 for those who had >12 years of education, and 25/30 for those who had >12 years of education.
years of education [16]. AD patients included in this study scored no less than 11.

**Uric acid measurement**

Blood samples were centrifuged at 4,000 rpm for 2–3 min. Serum UA was measured with an in-vitro enzymatic colorimetric test [20] (UA Plus, Roche/Hitachi Diagnostics GmbH, Germany) then processed in the Cobas-Roche/Hitachi 902 analyzer at the University hospital laboratories.

**Lipid profile measurement**

The lipid profile measurements include serum total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), HDL, and LDL. All samples were measured by Roche Diagnostics COBAS INTEGRA 800 Biochemistry analyzer based on an enzymatic colorimetric method [21, 22].

**Statistical analysis**

Demographic, clinical, and laboratory data were coded and entered into SPSS for windows 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Data were summarized as means and standard deviations for continuous variables (age, UA, TC, TG, HDL, and LDL) or frequency and percentages for categorical variables (gender, education level, and smoking). The association between continuous variables and mental status was assessed by comparisons of means using t-test (control and AD groups). The association between categorical variables and mental status (control and AD groups) was examined utilizing Chi-square test and binary logistic regression. Bivariate correlations of the MMSE scores and the continuous variables was analyzed and presented as Pearson correlation coefficients. Statistical significance was set at p<0.05. Multivariant linear regression was used to measure the predictive value of continuous variables on MMSE scores.

**RESULTS**

**Demographic variables**

Forty AD patients and 41 control subjects completed the study. There are no significant difference between AD and control groups for age (p = 0.117) and gender (p = 0.888), respectively (Table 1). Educational level shows significant difference between the AD and control groups, illiterates demonstrated a 7.5 fold (p = 0.033) increase in risk of developing AD.

**Mental scales**

Table 2 demonstrates the results of MMSE in the two groups. They are significantly different (p = 0.000).

**Biochemical parameters**

The means and standard deviations of the biochemical parameters in AD group and control subjects

---

**Table 1**

Demographics characteristics of study participants with AD versus control subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Patients with AD</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (Years)</td>
<td>71.50 ± 9.11</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>68.46 ± 8.13</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>25(30.9%)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>25(30.9%)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.888</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>15(18.8%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>16(19.8%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Levels (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiterate</td>
<td>21(52.5%)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>7(26.9%)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 12</td>
<td>17(42.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>14(53.8%)</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>0.51–18.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 12</td>
<td>2(5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5(19.2%)</td>
<td>0.110</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>0.81–7.497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non smoker</td>
<td>22(55%)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>9(34.6%)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokers</td>
<td>18(45%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>17(65.4%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR, odds ratio; 95%CI, 95% confidence interval. *p-value is significant. †Values shown = mean ± standard deviation (SD).

**Table 2**

MMSE results in both AD and control group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Patients with AD</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MMSE*</td>
<td>14.40 ± 5.37</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>25(9.6± 2.6)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.000†</td>
<td>−12.63–−7.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval. *p-value is significant. †Values shown = mean ± standard deviation (SD).
Table 3
Biochemical parameter values of individuals with AD versus controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biochemical test</th>
<th>Patient with AD</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UA (mg/dl)</td>
<td>5.05 ± 1.28</td>
<td>5.78 ± 1.70</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>−1.39−0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC* (mg/dl)</td>
<td>184.24 ± 42.39</td>
<td>183.93 ± 34.48</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>0.987−1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mg/dl)</td>
<td>163.74 ± 65.14</td>
<td>168.17 ± 96.59</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>−71.56−78.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL* (mg/dl)</td>
<td>41.95 ± 9.21</td>
<td>40.65 ± 11.96</td>
<td>0.620</td>
<td>−3.92−6.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mg/dl)</td>
<td>118.36 ± 34.44</td>
<td>110.17 ± 27.73</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td>−7.90−24.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p-value is significant. *Values shown = mean ± standard deviation (SD). 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; UA, uric acid; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; HDL, high density lipoprotein; LDL, low density lipoprotein.

are shown in Table 3. Serum UA is lower in the AD group (5.05 ± 1.28) compared to control group (5.78 ± 1.70). The difference between the two groups is small numerically, however it is statistically significant (p = 0.033) and the values are within the normal physiological reference range (Serum UA levels ranges from 1.5–7.1 mg/dL for women and 1.5–7.6 mg/dL for men).

The lipid profile analysis in the two groups shows slightly higher than normal TG and LDL and lower HDL when compared to the National Cholesterol Education Program/healthy lipid profile guidelines (TC < 200 mg/dL, TG < 150 mg/dL, HDL > 60 mg/dL, and LDL < 100 mg/dL) [23]. There is no difference in lipid profile between the AD and control groups.

Correlations and analysis

Pearson Correlation and linear regression analysis do not show a correlation between MMSE scores and UA, TC, TG, HDL, and LDL in AD group except for age (p = 0.020) (Table 4) and (p = 0.006) (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

In this study, persons affected by AD are older and in general have received less years of formal education compared to the control group. Educational level has been proposed to correlate inversely with the risk of dementia [24]. For some, this finding is attributed to compensatory strategies adapted by educated individuals that may delay the detection of the disease, or it may be that people with greater cognitive reserve usually choose mentally stimulating leisure activities and jobs, leading to a chicken-and-egg dilemma for the interpretation of the relationship between mentally stimulating activities in adulthood and dementia risk [25].

Among possible markers of age-related cognitive decline, UA is controversial because it has antioxidant
Serum UA is significantly lower in the AD patients than in the healthy controls. This study supports the hypothesis that oxidative injuries could play an important role in the pathogenesis of AD. Further studies to investigate the association of serum UA with clinical course and treatment response in AD will need to be conducted. Lipid profile in the two groups is statistically not significant. Linear correlation and linear regression analysis do not show a correlation between MMSE and continuous variables (age, TC, TG, HDL, LDL, and UA) in the AD group except for age (Tables 4 and 5) indicating that UA and lipid profile cannot be used as biomarkers for early diagnosis of AD cases. AD is a disease of aging. Importantly, this holds true even in individuals with a genetic predisposition, i.e., those individuals with an autosomal dominant inheritance of AD or in individuals with Down’s syndrome who develop the pathology of AD. Therefore age is a clear contributor in 100% of AD cases, whatever the genetic background. The aging process is associated with an increase in the adventitious production of oxygen-derived radicals and also a decrease in the ability to defend against such radicals [3]. Not surprisingly, studies over the past 10 years have established oxidative stress and damage not only in the lesions of AD but also in neurons at risk of death [38–41].

The results presented here may imply the presence of a more integral pathophysiological mechanism for AD. Genetic studies, neuroimaging techniques, and research for biological markers in general can help clarify the pathophysiology of AD leading to more effective therapeutic strategies.

**Limitations**

The present study is a cross-sectional analysis involving only one clinical and laboratory evaluation of the serum UA and lipid profile of the individuals without any further follow-ups. The changes in UA, TC, TG, HDL, and LDL levels over time could not be taken into consideration, and therefore, it is not possible to discriminate whether there were differences in serum UA and lipid levels that preceded the onset of the dementia or whether they developed during the course of the diseases.

**Conclusion**

Serum UA is significantly lower in the AD patients than in the healthy controls. This study supports the hypothesis that oxidative injuries could play an important role in the pathogenesis of AD. Further studies to investigate the association of serum UA with clinical course and treatment response in AD will need to be conducted. Lipid profile in the two groups is statistically not significant. Linear regression analysis do
not establish serum UA or lipid profile as a potential predictor to cognitive impairment; however, it does enhance the necessity for further investigation, possibly within the framework of a common underlying pathophysiology for dementia in the elderly.
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