NT-proBNP and the Risk of Dementia: A Prospective Cohort Study with 14 Years of Follow-Up
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Abstract

Background: Memory disorders and Alzheimer’s disease (AD) share the same risk factors with cardiovascular diseases. Objective: We tested whether elevated N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) levels would predict any incident dementia or AD. Methods: The association between NT-proBNP and the risk of dementia was evaluated in a total of 7,158 subjects without previous memory disorders in a prospective study with a median follow-up of 13.8 years. Results: A total of 220 new dementia cases occurred, of which 149 were AD. Baseline logNT-proBNP levels were associated significantly with the risk of dementia in the entire study population (HR 1.32, 95%CI 1.17–1.56, p = 0.001) per 1SD difference, adjusted for multiple cardiovascular risk factors. Integrated discrimination improvement (IDI) and continuous net-reclassification improvement (continuous NRI) were improved in the study population over 40 years of age: continuous NRI was 17.5% (95%CI 4.4–30.6%, p = 0.009) and IDI was 0.005 (95%CI 0.001–0.010, p = 0.021). Regarding AD, the HR for 1SD logNT-proBNP change was 1.23 (95%CI 1.01–1.49, p = 0.040) in the entire study population, but no IDI or continuous NRI improvement was seen. Conclusion: NT-proBNP is also an independent risk marker for dementia, and patient discrimination regarding dementia risk could be improved by using it.
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INTRODUCTION

In recent years, more interest has been focused on the role of cardiovascular risk factors in risk of future dementia. Prospective studies have shown that traditional cardiovascular disease risk factors such as diabetes and high blood glucose [1, 2], high blood pressure [3, 4], smoking [5, 6], and hypercholesterolemia [7] are also risk factors for dementia. Some of these risk factors seem to interact with APOE status, modifying the dementia risk [8, 9]. With these easily available parameters, it is possible to predict future dementia risk to a certain degree by using risk scores developed for dementia (CAIDE) or even for cardiovascular risk assessment (Framingham Risk Score – FRS) [10–12]. Currently, new biomarkers like brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) or N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) are beginning to be used for further risk stratification.
peptide (NT-proBNP) are emerging in cardiovascular risk prediction. Increased NT-proBNP level seems to predict cardiovascular events [13], but also specifically ischemic strokes [14, 15] and atrial fibrillation [16, 17]. In clinical practice, NT-proBNP is used to diagnose and screen for congestive heart failure [18]: to exclude chronic heart failure, the level of 125 pg/mL is an optimum cut-off point, according to the European Heart Association recommendation and, to exclude acute heart failure the 300 pg/mL cut-off point can be used together with clinical assessment in an emergency setting [19]. No clinical guidelines exist for using NT-proBNP in any cardiovascular disease risk prediction.

In the general population, NT-proBNP is associated with cardiovascular death, non-fatal myocardial infarction, or non-fatal stroke [20], and all of these cardiovascular diseases are associated with cognitive impairment and dementia [1, 21].

Few studies have examined the connection between BNP or NT-proBNP and dementia itself. These studies have shown elevated brain natriuretic peptide levels (BNP or NT-proBNP) in peripheral blood to be associated with cognitive dysfunction in patients with cardiovascular disease [22, 23], with the conversion of mild cognitive impairment to dementia [24] and with more decreased cognitive function in demented patients [25]. To the best of our knowledge, two prospective population-based studies have evaluated the association of increased NT-proBNP level with the risk of dementia. The first study included participants over 75 years of age, and the second was conducted among patients with type 2 diabetes aged 60–75. In both studies, a higher NT-proBNP or BNP level was associated with a higher risk of dementia in the follow-up [26, 27]. Furthermore, a correlation between plasma BNP levels to cerebrospinal fluid levels of amyloid-β and tau was observed in patients with mild cognitive impairment or Alzheimer’s disease (AD) [28].

The aim of this study was to assess in a prospective population-based study whether an increased NT-proBNP level before the age of 75 is associated with the risk of future dementia, regardless of other cardiovascular risk factors and comorbidities.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects and follow-up

The National FINRISK 1997 Study is a national population-based health examination survey conducted in five geographic areas of Finland including people aged 25 to 74. The original random population sample was stratified by area, gender, and 10-year age group according to the World Health Organization (WHO) MONICA (MONitoring trends and determinants of Cardiovascular disease) protocol [29, 30]. In total, 8,446 subjects were included in this survey (50.4% men). All the participants gave their written informed consent, and the survey was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Ethics approval was received from the Ethics Committee of the National Public Health Institute. The study protocol consisted of a baseline questionnaire and baseline measurements made by specially trained research nurses using the standardized WHO MONICA protocol [31], which is described in more detail in the Supplementary Material. The follow-up was performed using data from the Finnish Hospital Discharge Register, the Causes of Death Register, and the National Social Insurance Institution’s Drug Reimbursement Register, with good diagnostic specificity for dementia but having lower sensitivity [32]. The exact follow-up protocol and dementia and other disease definitions are described in the Supplementary Material and Supplementary Table 1.

Statistics

Excluded from the analysis were participants with prevalent dementia or a degenerative disease affecting the central nervous system (n = 16), any kind of stroke or subarachnoidal hemorrhage (an additional 128 subjects), congestive heart failure (an additional 190 subjects), a calculated glomerular filtration rate of <30 (Cockcroft-Gault) or missing creatinine at baseline (an additional 567 subjects), or subjects with any other missing data (an additional 387). The final sample comprised 7,158 participants. We used Student’s t-test and \( \chi^2 \)-test to evaluate the differences between subjects with and without incident dementia in follow-up. To evaluate the association of NT-proBNP with future dementia, we used Cox regression with a forward selection algorithm using the p-value 0.1 for inclusion in the model for adjusting variables. Adjusting variables were selected from among age, gender, years of education, and other cardiovascular risk factors and are listed in Table 1. More detailed statistical procedures are presented in the Supplementary Material.

Assays

After the baseline measurements described in the Supplementary Material, a venous blood specimen was
Table 1

Baseline characteristics of the total study group divided by incident dementia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total population</th>
<th>Remained free of dementia</th>
<th>Incident dementia</th>
<th>p-value by t test or χ²-test*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>7158</td>
<td>6938</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD), Y</td>
<td>48.1 (13.1)</td>
<td>47.4 (13.9)</td>
<td>66.2 (6.0)</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, M (%)</td>
<td>3562 (49.8)</td>
<td>3449 (49.7)</td>
<td>113 (51.4)</td>
<td>0.629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol, mean (SD), mg/dL</td>
<td>213.9 (40.5)</td>
<td>213.5 (40.9)</td>
<td>223.9 (36.7)</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride, mean (SD), mg/dL</td>
<td>57.1 (37.8)</td>
<td>57.1 (37.8)</td>
<td>63.7 (35.9)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine, mean (SD), mg/dL</td>
<td>0.91 (0.25)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.25)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.16)</td>
<td>0.468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure, mean (SD), mmHg</td>
<td>136 (19.6)</td>
<td>135 (19.4)</td>
<td>149 (20.1)</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure, mean (SD), mmHg</td>
<td>82.2 (11.2)</td>
<td>82.1 (11.2)</td>
<td>83.6 (11.1)</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, mean (SD), kg/m²</td>
<td>26.6 (4.5)</td>
<td>26.6 (4.5)</td>
<td>27.0 (3.8)</td>
<td>0.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker (%)</td>
<td>1692 (23.6)</td>
<td>1659 (23.9)</td>
<td>33 (15.0)</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education years, mean (SD), Y</td>
<td>11.4 (3.9)</td>
<td>11.5 (3.9)</td>
<td>8.82 (3.9)</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalent diabetes (%)</td>
<td>388 (5.4)</td>
<td>357 (5.1)</td>
<td>31 (14.1)</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalent atrial fibrillation (%)</td>
<td>74 (1.0)</td>
<td>68 (1.0)</td>
<td>6 (2.7)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalent ischemic heart disease (%)</td>
<td>349 (4.9)</td>
<td>322 (4.6)</td>
<td>27 (12.3)</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol lowering medication (%)</td>
<td>226 (3.2)</td>
<td>208 (3.0)</td>
<td>18 (8.2)</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antihypertensive medication (%)</td>
<td>866 (12.1)</td>
<td>810 (11.7)</td>
<td>56 (25.5)</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binge drinking (%)</td>
<td>444 (6.2)</td>
<td>437 (6.3)</td>
<td>7 (3.2)</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT-proBNP, mean (SD), pg/mL</td>
<td>72.2 (150.3)</td>
<td>69.5 (147.1)</td>
<td>156.4 (212.4)</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p-value for difference between the subjects remained free of dementia and subjects with incident dementia.

RESULTS

Median follow-up time was 13.8 years, with an accumulated follow-up time of 94,600 person-years. A total of 220 (3.1%) new cases of dementia and 684 (9.7%) deaths due to any cause were recorded during the follow-up. Baseline characteristics and univariate associations between putative risk factors and incident dementia are presented in Table 1. Briefly, baseline NT-proBNP levels, as well as many other traditional risk factors, were associated significantly with incident dementia in univariate models. Distribution of NT-proBNP at baseline among subjects with and without incident dementia is presented in Fig. 1. In total, 452 subjects (83.5% men) had NT-proBNP under the detection level of 5 pg/mL. Of all subjects, the 33rd percentile of NT-proBNP was 27.2 pg/mL, the 66th percentile was 65.0 pg/mL, and 72% of all dementia cases occurred among subjects with a baseline NT-proBNP above the 66th percentile.

In the entire study population, logNT-proBNP was a significant predictor for future dementia after adjusting for other significant predictors. The hazard
exclusion criteria), and there was a continuous net-
evaluated in the entire study population (i.e., no age

...the Cox regression model. The con-
currence) after adding NT-proBNP into the model derived
was 0.866 before and 0.865 (\(p = 0.021\)) (Table 2). Among
women, logNT-proBNP was not a significant predic-
tor for dementia, but the direction of the association
was the same as for men (HR 1.17, 95%CI 0.90–1.52,
\(p = 0.245\)) (Table 2).

As there were no incident dementia cases among
younger subjects (<40 years), the reclassification value
of NT-proBNP was evaluated primarily in subjects
aged 40 years or more at baseline. Analysis was not conducted separately for women, since logNT-proBNP was not significant among women.

\(*\)Selected into model even if not statistically significant based on forward-stepping conditions (\(p < 0.1\)) in the regression model (see ‘Methods’).

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HR (95%CI)</th>
<th>(p)</th>
<th>Adjusted for</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>1.32 (1.17–1.50)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>1.48 (1.19–1.83)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>1.17 (0.90–1.52)</td>
<td>0.245</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are presented per 1SD logNT-proBNP from the Cox regression model among all participants and separately among men and women. HDL, high density lipoprotein; BMI, body mass index; pHD, prevalent ischemic heart disease; pDM, prevalent diabetes.

In earlier studies, NT-proBNP levels were shown to be elevated among demented patients [22, 23, 25], and the association between NT-proBNP and dementia risk was observed in two prospective studies [26, 27]. Our study is a large-scale prospective population-based study to evaluate this association, adjusting extensively for other risk factors in subjects without any specific predefined comorbidity (i.e., not limited to specific patient groups) and free of dementia at baseline. We observed that an increase in NT-proBNP was independ-
ently associated with the risk of dementia and that this association was especially strong among men. The confidence intervals for the HR estimates (95%CI) among women and men were widely overlapping but did not reach statistical significance among women, most likely due to insufficient statistical power to demonstrate this association.

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C-index</th>
<th>Continuous NRI</th>
<th>IDI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All &gt;40 years</td>
<td>0.866 versus 0.865 ((p = 0.494))</td>
<td>0.005 (0.001–0.010, (p = 0.021))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men &gt;40 years</td>
<td>0.856 versus 0.860 ((p = 0.286))</td>
<td>0.008 (−0.002–0.018, (p = 0.101))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Models include all variables significant in the Cox regression model (Table 2) with and without logNT-proBNP among subjects aged 40 years or above at baseline. Analysis was not conducted separately for women, since logNT-proBNP was not significant among women. *Before versus after addition of logNT-proBNP, \(p\)-value for difference. IDI, Integrated Discrimination Improvement. Continuous NRI, continuous net-reclassification improvement.
Risk discrimination (IDI) and reclassification (continuous NRI) were improved with the addition of NT-proBNP on top of other significant risk factors. This effect was seen especially among subjects above 40 years of age and among men.

Limitations of our study are the lack of specific clinical evaluation of cognitive status at baseline and during follow-up. The exclusion criteria at baseline and incident dementia during the follow-up are based on register data. Nevertheless, we believe that the defined outcomes are valid, since in 1997–2010 all the anticholinesterase inhibitors (AChEIs) and memantine were used as an endpoint, the results had the same tendency, but the statistical power was reduced by the smaller amount of dementia cases, and the association of NT-proBNP and AD was seen only among the study population as a whole (HR 1.23, 95% CI 1.01–1.49, p = 0.040). We know also from previous studies that the Finnish national register data on dementia are reliable in terms of high specificity, but the sensitivity is lower, i.e., we may be missing some dementia cases [32]. We cannot exclude the possibility that persons with both heart disease and dementia could be more likely to be brought to medical attention than other patients with dementia but no heart disease and normal NT-proBNP levels. This may have led to some overestimation of the effect of NT-proBNP. The fact that significantly elevated HR was also seen when analyzing AD only makes a substantial bias unlikely. Of the major risk factors for dementia, atrial fibrillation and milder cases of congestive heart failure may be underdiagnosed, since a substantial percentage of patients suffering from these conditions are treated in general/primary health care units and thus not included in the Hospital Discharge Register used in the present study. Due to this possible underestimation of the prevalence of these conditions, it is not certain whether the association between the risk of dementia and NT-proBNP is independent of these factors. Furthermore, we did not have the APOE status of participants, which is an independent risk factor for dementia [35]. In future studies, APOE status should be included, and it should be evaluated whether there is an interaction between APOE levels or APOE4 genotype and NT-proBNP levels.

We speculate that some of this predictive value of NT-proBNP could be explained by cerebrovascular burden or silent atrial fibrillation and thereby also by increased risk of silent strokes, a condition which seems to be related to elevated NT-proBNP levels [36]. On the other hand, no association has been seen previously between the BNP level and silent vascular MRI changes in the 3.5 years of follow-up among middle-aged people free of stroke [14].

Our findings indicate that NT-proBNP could be an eminent risk marker for dementia, especially among men. Yet its predictive value must be verified in another population-based study in which the baseline cognitive status is unequivocally characterized and the endpoint is confirmed clinically using a standardized methodology. If NT-proBNP proved to be a valid risk marker for dementia in later studies, it would provide an easily available laboratory parameter to more accurately detect patients at high risk for dementia. With more accurate long-term risk prediction, more precise monitoring of high-risk subjects would become possible, leading to a more efficient detection of patients with clinical cognitive decline and at risk for dementia. Detection of high-risk patients years before the clinical signs of dementia become apparent could lead to preventive measures. This, however, requires a better understanding of the mechanisms by which NT-proBNP is associated with future dementia.
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