T1-weighted Axial Visual Rating Scale for an Assessment of Medial Temporal Atrophy in Alzheimer’s Disease
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Abstract
Background: The most widely used visual rating scale (VRS) for medial temporal atrophy is the T1-weighted (T1W) coronal VRS developed by Scheltens et al. However, it is often difficult to use the T1W-coronal VRS in cases with limitations in obtaining T1W-coronal images. To overcome this issue, we modified the T1W-coronal VRS onto the axial plane.

Objective: The purposes of this study were to validate our T1W-axial VRS by examining its compatibility with the original T1W-coronal VRS and by investigating the correlation with the cognitive functions and hippocampal volumes.

Methods: Participants were 50 patients with Alzheimer’s disease dementia and 30 elderly with normal cognition. We transposed each component of the T1W-coronal VRS onto T1W-axial images (i.e., the largest height of the hippocampal formation into the width of the medial temporal lobe). The compatibility of T1W-axial VRS with T1W-coronal one was determined using the kappa value. The correlations of T1W-axial VRS with cognitive performance or the hippocampal volumes were analyzed with age, gender, and education as covariates.

Results: The kappa value between the T1W-axial and T1W-coronal VRS was 0.772 (p < 0.045). The T1W-axial VRS showed a significant correlation with the scores of cognitive functions, including verbal memory tests (−0.601, p < 0.001 for the left). Furthermore, the T1W-axial VRS also correlated well with hippocampal volumes (−0.576, p < 0.001).

Conclusions: The T1W-axial VRS showed good agreement with T1W-coronal VRS and correlated well with cognitive functions as well as hippocampal volumes, which suggests that the T1-axial VRS may replace the original T1W-coronal one.
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INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common cause of degenerative dementia among the elderly [1–3]. Memory impairment is the earliest and most prominent clinical manifestation, and medial temporal lobe atrophy (MTA) including the hippocampus is known to be the first neural correlate involved in AD [4–7].
Therefore, clinicians have evaluated MTA on magnetic resonance (MR) images since many studies have shown that the degree of MTA is a predictor of AD progression in patients with mild cognitive impairment [2, 6–10] and correlates well with the extent of cognitive impairment in patients with AD [8, 11, 12]. Recently, the National Institute on Aging and the Alzheimer’s Association proposed revised criteria for AD where atrophy of the medial temporal lobe was included as a biomarker of neuronal damages [13]. As a consequence, the use of volumetric methods or visual assessments of MTA is expected to increase.

In many clinical studies, visual assessment of MTA has been used, and its advantages are that it is less time intensive and easier to apply than quantitative volumetric analysis. The most widely used visual rating scale (VRS) for MTA in clinical practice is the T1 weighted (T1W)-coronal VRS that was proposed by Scheltens et al. in 1992, in which the authors use T1W-coronal MR images perpendicular to the brainstem [5, 7]. This scale has shown meaningful and reliable results through many clinical studies [7, 14, 15]. However, it is not always easy to use the T1W-coronal VRS for MTA by Scheltens et al. in cases with limited acquisition of T1W-coronal images. To overcome this issue, we modified the T1W-coronal VRS into an axial plane that allows the use of T1W-axial MR images for the visual rating of MTA. We examined the comparability between the T1W-axial and the T1W-coronal VRS in our preliminary study, which showed that our T1W-axial VRS showed good agreement with T1W-coronal one [16].

The purpose of the current study was, therefore, to validate our modified T1W-axial VRS for MTA using the following methods. First, we aimed to demonstrate the compatibility of our new T1W-axial VRS with the original T1W-coronal VRS by Scheltens et al. in larger number of participants. Second, we investigated whether our T1W-axial VRS was correlated with the clinical severity. Lastly, we analyzed the correlation between our T1W-axial VRS and hippocampal volumes that were manually traced on the T1W-coronal MR images.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients with AD dementia

A total of 27 patients were recruited from the Memory Disorder Clinic at Ewha Woman’s University Mokdong Hospital and 23 patients from Samsung Medical Center in Seoul, Korea, from January 2007 to April 2008. All patients with AD dementia fulfilled the criteria for probable AD according to the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke and the Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders Association [17]. All patients underwent clinical interviews and neurological examinations by an experienced neurologist (Dr. Jeong or Dr. Na) to evaluate their cognitive, behavioral, and functional impairments. We subsequently conducted detailed neuropsychological and laboratory tests including complete blood counts, blood chemistry, vitamin B12/folate, syphilis serology, and thyroid function tests to exclude secondary causes of cognitive defects. Patients who had cerebral infarction, hemorrhage, tumors, trauma, and severe white matter hyperintensity were excluded from the study population.

Individuals with no cognitive impairment (NC)

The 30 individuals who were spouses of the patients of the Samsung Medical Center were enrolled as controls for this study with their consent. They had no cognitive complaint, no neurological or psychiatric illnesses, and no abnormalities on neurological examinations. Their performances on the Korean version of Mini-Mental State Examination (K-MMSE) [18] and neuropsychological tests were within normal ranges.

Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Ewha Womans University Mokdong Hospital as well as Samsung Medical Center, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants enrolled in the study.

Neuropsychological tests

All participants received standardized neuropsychological tests using the Seoul Neuropsychological Screening Battery [19]. This battery comprised tests for attention, language, calculation, praxis, visuospatial/constructive function, verbal/visual memory, and frontal/executive function. The attention domain score was assessed by the forward and backward digit span test. The Korean version of the Boston Naming Test (K-BNT) and 12 trials of written calculations (three trials each for addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division) were included to test the performance of language and calculation. The copying test from the Rey
Complex Figure Test (RCFT) was used to assess visuospatial function. The verbal memory was examined by the immediate recall, delayed recall task, and a recognition task from the Seoul Verbal Learning Test (SVLT). The visual memory was also assessed by the immediate recall, delayed recall, and recognition task of the RCFT. The frontal/executive function subdomain was assessed a word fluency and Stroop color-reading test.

Visual rating of medial temporal atrophy

Two neurologists (Dr. Jeong and Dr. Kim) rated MTA grading independently after a series of training sessions. The scans were numbered to hide the patients’ clinical information and were gathered separately in T1W-coronal and T1W-axial images for visual rating. The raters judged all scans in a random order, which was different for each rater. T1W-coronal and T1W-axial images were analyzed separately. Inter-rater reliability between the two raters and intra-rater agreement were obtained with a seven-day interval between the two ratings. For the correlation analyses of VRS with cognitive function, clinical severity and hippocampal volumes, we used only the scores of VRS at the first trial of the rater 1. Especially in the analysis of diagnostic performance, the more severe MTA was selected for an each participant if the degree of MTA was asymmetric between the left and right.

T1W-coronal visual rating scale for MTA by Scheltens et al.

On the T1W-coronal VRS, the degree of MTA is rated on 5-point scale from absent (0) to severe (4) [7]. The three linear measures of the medial temporal lobe (A, C, and D) were calculated for both sides (left and right). A is the largest height of the hippocampal formation defined as the dentate gyrus, hippocampus proper, and subiculum together with the parahippocampal gyrus. C is the vertical width of the choroid fissure centered on the midpoint of the hippocampus, and D is the width of the temporal horn (TH) (Fig. 1A).

T1W-axial visual rating scale for MTA

We transposed the T1W-coronal VRS [7] onto the T1W-axial images (Fig. 1B, Table 1). The greatest height of the hippocampal formation (A) on the T1W-coronal scale was converted to the width of the medial temporal lobe (A’) on the T1W-axial scale, while the vertical width of the choroid fissure (C) was supplemented by the perimesencephalic cistern (PC) gap (C’). The defined measurements for the axial VRS are as follows (Fig. 1, Table 1): A’ is the width of medial temporal lobe (comprising the hippocampus-parahippocampal gyrus); C’ represents the PC gap (the width of the crural cistern); and D’ is the width of anterior TH. The axial-MTA scale ranged from 0 (no atrophy) to 4 (severe atrophy): Grade 0, no MTA change without widening of the PC/TH and a normal medial temporal cortex; Grade 1, questionable atrophy of the medial temporal lobe with slight widening of the perimesencephalic cistern/or slit-like TH; Grade 2, mild but definite change of the MTA showing mild widening of the PC combined with mild but definite widening of the TH; Grade 3, moderate change of the MTA and moderate widening of PC/TH with bending of the hippocampus proper; and Grade 4, severe change of the MTA showing severe widening of the PC/TH with marked angulation by knife-edge change of the medial temporal cortex (Fig. 1, Table 1).

MR image acquisition

Twenty-seven patients with AD dementia were referred to Ewha Womans University Mokdong Hospital for MR images taken with a 1.5-T MRI scanner (Avanto Syngo, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) using a 12 channel head coil, whereas 23 patients with AD dementia and 30 with NC underwent brain MRI using a 1.5-T MRI scanner (Signa, GE, Milwaukee, WI, USA) with a head coil at Samsung Medical Center. The MR images were acquired employing five different techniques; T1W-3D magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo (MPR) coronal for Siemens or 3D spoiled gradient echo (SPGR) coronal for GE Signa, T1W-axial, FLAIR axial, T2W axial, and GRE. All images were acquired using identical imaging protocols and the same slice positions as follows. The T1W-coronal images were obtained with following parameters; slice thickness, 1.2 mm; inter slice thickness, 0 mm; repetition time (TR): 1160 ms; echo time (TE): 4.19 ms, flip angle: 15°, matrix size of 256 × 192 and field of view (FOV) 188 × 250 mm. The T1W-axial images that were parallel to the AC-PC line, which was performed with 5.0mm slice thickness, inter-slice thickness, 1.5 mm, TR: 550 ms, TE: 17 ms, and flip angle: 90°, matrix size of 256 × 190 pixels and FOV 1750 × 200 mm.
Fig. 1. T1W-axial visual rating scale. (A) T1W-coronal visual rating scale: A) Height of hippocampal formation, C) Vertical width of the choroidal fissure, D) Width of the temporal horn. (B) T1W-coronal visual rating scale. A') Width of the hippocampus, C') Width of the perimesencephalic cistern, D') Width of the temporal horn.
Table 1

Comparison of the T1W-axial visual rating scale with the T1W-coronal visual rating scale for MTA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>A: Height of hippocampal formation</th>
<th>C: Vertical width of the choroidal fissure</th>
<th>D: Width of temporal lobe</th>
<th>E: Perimesencephalic cisternal gap</th>
<th>F: Width of anterior temporal horn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0: Normal</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1: Questionable</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: Mild</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: Moderate</td>
<td>↓↓</td>
<td>↑↑↑</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>↓↓</td>
<td>↑↑↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4: Severe</td>
<td>↓↓↓</td>
<td>↑↑↑</td>
<td>↑↑↑</td>
<td>↓↓↓</td>
<td>↑↑↑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

↑, widening or increasing in size; ↓, decrease; n, normal.

Hippocampal volumetric analyses

The hippocampal volumetric analyses were performed on all the participants by a single specialist who was blinded to the participant’s details at the time of the assessment. Manual tracing of the hippocampus on T1W-coronal MR images was assessed with Analyze 8.1 (Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA). Each image was binarized into the region of interest (ROI) and the rest. The ROI part was regarded as ‘1’, and the rest as ‘0’. We counted the number of voxels in the delineated area on each slice and then multiplied the total imaging voxels by the voxel volume (0.5 × 0.5 × 1.2 mm³).

Anatomic guidelines for the structures of interest were established using Duverney’s anatomic sections [20]. We selected 10 participants randomly and repeated the examination for hippocampal volume after 14 days to examine the intra-rater reliability of volumetry, which showed a high correlation coefficient value (intra-rater correlation coefficient: 0.996, p < 0.001).

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Descriptive statistics of the initial work up were performed using demographic and clinical scores. Student’s t-test or the Mann-Whitney U test was used to assess continuous variables, and the chi-square test was used to assess dichotomous variables. The compatibility of the T1W-axial VRS with T1W-coronal one was examined using the kappa value, which was also employed for the inter-rater and intra-rater agreement of the T1W-axial and T1W-coronal VRS. The analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used to compare the mean hippocampal volumes between the patients with AD and NC after adjusting for age, gender, and education as covariates. The correlations of T1W-axial VRS with cognitive performance or the hippocampal volumes were analyzed with the same covariates. The diagnostic performance to discriminate AD from NC in the two VRS was evaluated using the area under the curve (AUC) value that was obtained from the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve analysis [21]. The cut-off values for each VRS were determined using the highest Youden’s index methods [22, 23].

The statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05.

RESULTS

Demographic characteristics of participants

The patients with AD dementia were older and less educated than the NC group (p < 0.001). The scores of VRS for MTA were significantly higher in AD patients compared to NC participants (p < 0.001). More detailed demographic characteristics are presented in Table 2.

Comparison of the kappa value between the T1W-coronal and T1W-axial visual rating scale

The kappa value for comparability between the T1W-coronal and T1W-axial VRSs was 0.772 (p < 0.042, 95% CI: 0.692–0.852). The inter-rater reliability of the T1-coronal VRS was 0.628 (p < 0.001), while that of the T1-axial VRS was 0.636 (p < 0.001), which showed no significant difference of inter-rater reliability between the T1-coronal and T1-axial VRS (Supplementary Table 1). Intra-rater reliability of the T1-axial VRS seemed to be higher than that of the T1-coronal VRS (Rater 1: 0.946, Rater 2: 0.622 in the T1-axial VRS versus Rater 1: 0.835, Rater 2: 0.529 in the T1-coronal VRS), although the significance was negligible (Supplementary Table 1).
Table 2

Demographic characteristics of the participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AD dementia (n = 50)</th>
<th>Normal cognitive individuals (n = 30)</th>
<th>p-value (Adjusted p)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>72 ± 7.9</td>
<td>66.2 ± 6.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (M:F)</td>
<td>9:41</td>
<td>8:22</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (years)</td>
<td>7.3 ± 4.7</td>
<td>12.5 ± 4.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-MMSE</td>
<td>21.1 ± 5.4</td>
<td>28.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 (&lt;0.001*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDR</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.017* (0.024*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDR (sum of box)</td>
<td>4.4 ± 3.7</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 (0.001*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA visual rating scale</td>
<td>Coronal visual rating</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001* (0.001*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001* (0.003*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial visual rating</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001* (0.002*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampal volume (mm³)</td>
<td>1493 ± 759.8</td>
<td>3272.9 ± 1482.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001* (0.001*)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < 0.05, Adjusted p-value: adjusted by age, gender, and education AD, Alzheimer’s disease; K-MMSE, Korean version of Mini-Mental Status Examination; CDR, Clinical Dementia Rating; MTA, Medial Temporal Atrophy.

Correlation between the T1W-axial visual rating scale and the clinical and cognitive severity

Our T1W-axial VRS correlated well with the clinical severity measured by the scores of Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) (rho = 0.317 for the right, 0.379 for the left) and the CDR sum of boxes (rho = 0.357 for the right, 0.430 for the left). In addition, significant correlation was also evident between the T1W-axial VRS and general cognitive function, which was assessed by the K-MMSE. The correlation coefficient between T1W-axial VRS and K-MMSE scores was −0.313 in the right MTA (p = 0.036) versus −0.404 for the left MTA (p = 0.006). The T1W-axial VRS also showed good correlation with the immediate (rho = −0.363 for the right, −0.489 for the left), delayed recall scores (rho = −0.488 for the right, −0.601 for the left) as well as recognition scores (rho = −0.295 for the right, −0.456 for the left) of SVLT. Furthermore, the T1W-axial VRS correlated well with the scores of RFT and several frontal executive functions including COWAT animals and color reading of Stroop tasks. Details are provided in Table 3.

Correlation between the T1W-axial visual rating scale and the hippocampal volume

There was significant correlation between the T1W-axial VRS and hippocampal volumes. The correlation coefficient was −0.613 for the right (p < 0.001) and −0.659 for the left (p < 0.001). The overall correlation of T1W-axial VRS and hippocampal volume was also high at −0.606 (p < 0.001, Fig. 2).

Diagnostic accuracy of T1W-axial VRS and T1W-coronal VRS

When the cut-off ≥ 2 was defined as an indicator of the existence of hippocampal atrophy, the AUC value of T1W-axial VRS was 0.780 (95% CI: 0.672–0.888) with a sensitivity 76.0%, specificity of 80.0%, and an accuracy of 77.5%, which suggest fairly good performance for discriminating AD dementia from NC. In the T1W-coronal VRS, the AUC value was 0.787 (95% CI: 0.681–0.892) with a sensitivity 74.0%, specificity of 83.3%, and an accuracy of 77.6%. There was no significant difference in the AUC values between the two VRS (95% CI: 0.672–0.888 in the T1W-axial VRS versus 95% CI: 0.681–0.892 in the T1W-coronal VRS).

DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to validate the modified T1W-axial VRS for MTA using a compatibility assessment with T1W-coronal VRS [7] and using correlation analyses with clinical severity, cognitive dysfunction, and hippocampal volumes. First, we found a high kappa value between the T1W-axial and T1W-coronal VRSs, which suggests that our T1W-axial VRS was comparable with the T1W-coronal VRS (0.772, p < 0.045). Second, there was a significant correlation between the T1W-axial VRS and the scores of the CDR or the CDR sum of boxes, which indicates that the T1W-axial VRS corresponded well with the clinical severity of the participants. Third, the current study showed that the
The first major finding of this study was that the proposed modified T1W-axial VRS was well correlated with the well-known T1W-coronal VRS by Scheltens et al. (kappa value = 0.772; p < 0.042). The high kappa value between the T1W-coronal and T1W-axial VRS revealed that our T1W-axial VRS was compatible to the T1W-coronal VRS. In addition, the inter-rater and intra-rater reliability of the T1W-axial VRS seemed to be higher than the T1W-coronal VRS, although the significance was not considerable. It is also noteworthy that there was no difference of the diagnostic performance for discriminating AD from NC between the T1W-coronal and the axial VRS (AUC: 0.787 in the axial VRS). This high compatibility of T1W-axial VRS with the T1W-coronal one may provide an evidence for a reliable application of our T1W-axial VRS in clinical practice.

The second major finding in this study was that our T1W-axial VRS was correlated well not only with cognitive function but also with clinical severity. Table 3 demonstrates that our T1W-axial VRS was correlated with visual and verbal memory as well as global cognitive function measured by K-MMSE. However, the

Table 3  
Correlation of the T1W-axial visual rating scale with clinical severity and cognitive function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Right MTA</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Left MTA</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Right MTA</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Left MTA</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit span: forward</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>0.166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit span: backward</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.311</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.442</td>
<td>0.319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbose spatial function</td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td>0.021*</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>0.226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCFT: immediate recall</td>
<td>0.379</td>
<td>0.010*</td>
<td>0.351</td>
<td>0.017*</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td>0.021*</td>
<td>0.219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCFT: delayed recall</td>
<td>0.379</td>
<td>0.010*</td>
<td>0.351</td>
<td>0.017*</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td>0.021*</td>
<td>0.219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCFT: immediate recall</td>
<td>0.379</td>
<td>0.010*</td>
<td>0.351</td>
<td>0.017*</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td>0.021*</td>
<td>0.219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCFT: delayed recall</td>
<td>0.379</td>
<td>0.010*</td>
<td>0.351</td>
<td>0.017*</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td>0.021*</td>
<td>0.219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCFT: recognition</td>
<td>0.379</td>
<td>0.010*</td>
<td>0.351</td>
<td>0.017*</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td>0.021*</td>
<td>0.219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCFT: recognition</td>
<td>0.379</td>
<td>0.010*</td>
<td>0.351</td>
<td>0.017*</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td>0.021*</td>
<td>0.219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal/executive function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COWAT: animals</td>
<td>0.376</td>
<td>0.014*</td>
<td>0.442</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COWAT: phonemic</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.391</td>
<td>0.006*</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>0.273</td>
<td>0.307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroop test: word</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroop test: color</td>
<td>0.402</td>
<td>0.006*</td>
<td>0.517</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td>0.046*</td>
<td>0.364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-MMSE</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td>0.015*</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>0.007*</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td>0.036*</td>
<td>0.404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDR</td>
<td>0.349</td>
<td>0.020*</td>
<td>0.390</td>
<td>0.018*</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td>0.034*</td>
<td>0.379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDR=sum of boxes</td>
<td>0.384</td>
<td>0.009*</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
<td>0.357</td>
<td>0.016*</td>
<td>0.450</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.05 partial correlation coefficient adjusted by age, gender and education (SVT, Seoul Verbal Learning Test; RCFT, Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure Test; COWAT, Controlled Oral Word Association Test; K-MMSE, Korean version of Mini-Mental Status Examination; CDR, Clinical Dementia Rating.

T1W-axial VRS correlated with the scores of cognitive function, including K-MMSE, SVLT, and RCFT, which suggests the T1W-axial VRS matched well with general cognitive function as well as visual and verbal memory functions. Finally, we found that there was a significant correlation between the T1W-axial VRS and the hippocampal volumes, which suggests that our T1W-axial VRS reflected the hippocampal volumes of the brain.

In the modified T1W-axial VRS, we assessed the entire medial side of the temporal lobe, including the hippocampus and the parahippocampal gyrus (A), since it is difficult to delineate the hippocampus alone in the axial images. The T1W-coronal VRS also evaluates the hippocampal formation that encompasses the hippocampus proper, subiculum, and the parahippocampal gyrus together, and this measurement was similar to our T1W-axial VRS. The vertical width of the choroidal fissure (C) in the T1W-coronal scale was converted to the width of the PC in the T1W-axial VRS since the choroidal fissure is connected to the ambient cistern through the transverse fissure of Bichat, which is an inferior-medial extension of the choroidal fissure [24]. In addition, previous studies have shown that the enlargement of cerebrospinal fluid spaces in the perihippocampal region is indicative of atrophy and volume losses in the hippocampus and the parahippocampal gyrus [24, 25]; therefore, we included the width of the PC as a variable in our T1W-axial VRS.

As a result, our T1W-axial VRS revealed a high correlation with the T1W-coronal VRS, especially with the scores of cognitive function measured by K-MMSE. However, the enlargement of cerebrospinal fluid spaces in the perihippocampal region is indicative of atrophy and volume losses in the hippocampus and the parahippocampal gyrus [24, 25]; therefore, we included the width of the PC as a variable in our T1W-axial VRS.

As a result, our T1W-axial VRS revealed a high correlation with the T1W-coronal VRS, especially with the scores of cognitive function measured by K-MMSE. However, the enlargement of cerebrospinal fluid spaces in the perihippocampal region is indicative of atrophy and volume losses in the hippocampus and the parahippocampal gyrus [24, 25]; therefore, we included the width of the PC as a variable in our T1W-axial VRS.

As a result, our T1W-axial VRS revealed a high correlation with the T1W-coronal VRS, especially with the scores of cognitive function measured by K-MMSE. However, the enlargement of cerebrospinal fluid spaces in the perihippocampal region is indicative of atrophy and volume losses in the hippocampus and the parahippocampal gyrus [24, 25]; therefore, we included the width of the PC as a variable in our T1W-axial VRS.
Fig. 2. Correlation between the T1W-axial visual rating and hippocampal volume. There was significant correlation between the T1W-axial visual rating scale and the hippocampal volumes. The correlation coefficient was $-0.566$ for the right ($p<0.001$) and $-0.578$ for the left ($p<0.001$). The overall correlation of the T1W-axial visual rating scale and the hippocampal volume was also fairly good at $-0.576$ ($p<0.001$).

Scores of other cognitive functions, such as attention and language functions, were not correlated with our T1W-axial VRS. It is noteworthy that our T1W-axial VRS for MTA was specifically correlated with visual and verbal memory function, which are known to be important functions mediated by the hippocampus [26]. This link might also indicate the potency of VRS for MTA in clinical practice.

The third important finding in this study was that the T1W-axial VRS also reflected the degree of hippocampal atrophy. The assessment of MTA has been a routine investigation, especially in dementia clinics, since MTA has been found to be an early and sensitive marker for AD [2]. Therefore, several techniques have been promoted to assess MTA, including volumetric measurement, linear measurement, or visual rating scales. For routine clinical use, however, the volumetric measurements have several drawbacks, such as stringent requirements of image quality and acquisition techniques, although they may provide truly quantitative measures of regional brain volumes. Considering the high correlation coefficient between the T1W-axial VRS and the hippocampal volume in our study, the T1W-axial VRS may be applied to routine dementia investigations as an alternative for volumetric measurement.

There were some limitations to our study. First, our normal controls were not age- and education-matched participants, though we adjusted these factors as covariates when we compared the scores of VRS or cognitive tests. Second, we did not examine whether the T1W-axial VRS would correlate with hippocampal volumes after adjusting the total intracranial volumes. Therefore, it is possible that the modified T1W-axial VRS could be a useful tool for the assessment of hippocampal atrophy in clinical practice.
VRS for MTA reflects global brain atrophy rather than a distinctive and disproportionate loss of hippocampal tissue relative to other brain regions. Nonetheless, the modified T1W-axial VRS for MTA showed high comparability with the previous T1W-coronal VRS with similar intra-rater and inter-rater reliability, which suggests the proposed T1W-axial VRS could replace the T1W-coronal VRS when there are limitations in obtaining T1W-coronal images. Although not formally evaluated in the current study, we could expect that our T1W-axial VRS would be applied to CT images considering of the previous study showing that using a 64-detector row CT could obtain comparable information with MR imaging [27]. However, further studies with CT images should be needed to apply our T1W-axial VRS on CT images.
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